SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT MID-YEAR REFLECTION

<u>Directions for School Leadership Team:</u> We are asking all school-based leadership teams engage in collaborative conversation to complete the Mid-Year School Improvement Reflections. After input from the leadership team, each school is asked to upload the form the SAC Upload Center.

1. Has your school made progress towards achieving the goal?

- A. How do the structures and systems in place at your school ensure all facets of the school culture create predictable environments and a school climate that supports your SIP goal?
- B. What are the gaps that exist between your current state and your desired state?
- C. How will you address them between now and the end of this school year?
- A. Embassy Creek has made positive progress towards reaching our goal of 85% proficiency on the Math FSA.

 According to the second i-Ready Diagnostic Assessment, the percent of students scoring proficient has

 increased in third grade from 25% to 62%, in fourth grade from 56% to 77% and in fifth grade from 67% to
 78%. I-Ready is being utilized in grades K-5.
- B. The only identifiable gaps that we can determine at the moment would be the percent of students not proficient in each grade level (students in red). In third grade, 6% of students are not proficient, in fourth grade 3% and in fifth grade 4%.
- C. <u>Teachers have identified which students are performing below grade level and are assigning grade level</u> lessons on i-Ready Math to expose them to on level material.

2. Have alterable barriers been eliminated or reduced? (Alterable barriers are in-house infrastructure mechanisms such as scheduling, class structures, teacher attendance, student attendance, staff development plan, etc.)

- A. What evidence do you see that a barrier has been reduced or eliminated?
- B. What evidence do you have that the barriers are wide-reaching and will help you achieve your goal?
- C. If progress towards eliminating the barrier is not sufficient, where or what is the breakdown?
- D. Did you identify other barriers that could serve as effective re-entry points into the plan?
- A. <u>All classroom teachers have been trained in analyzing their i-Ready data and in finding additional resources for their students based on their needs. Administration has conducted data chats with teachers in grades 3-5.</u>
- B. The percentage of students not proficient in Math (students in red) has decreased in each grade level.
- C. At the moment, progress is sufficient.
- D. N/A

3. Are your strategies being implemented with fidelity?

- A. Were decisions to continue, intensify, modify, or terminate strategies or action steps based on specific evidence?
- A. <u>In addition to our school plan, we will also be offering weekly afterschool Math camps starting in February for our targeted lowest quartile fourth grade students.</u>

4. What are your benchmarks for success?

- A. How will you progress towards your goal impact student achievement?
- B. What is your desired state?
- C. What gaps exist between your current state and your desired state?
- A. <u>We will continue to reduce the percentage of students not proficient based on the i-Ready Diagnostic Assessment.</u>
- B. Our goal is to have 85% of our students in grades 3-5 score proficient or above on the 2019 Math FSA.
- C. <u>Currently, 72% of students in grades 3-5 are proficient in Math according to the second i-Ready Diagnostic Assessment.</u>