School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

	Domain: Leadership and Decision Making							
			Im	plementa	tion Stat	us		
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Partially		E. II.	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence	
			yet	В	Α	Fully		
1.	The school leadership team analyzes data to identify barriers and initiate improvement steps that increase the number of students with low-and high- incidence disabilities, across all grades, in general education and natural contexts.	 School analyzes data of all SWDs to identify current barriers and practices for the provision of educational services in general education classes and natural contexts. School increases the number of SWDs who receive educational services in inclusive classrooms and natural contexts. Data are collected, analyzed and shared with all teachers regarding student achievement of SWDs in general education and natural contexts. Data chats are conducted with students to create strong accountability and high expectations. 					Data chats are conducted on quarterly basis.	
Sugge genera	sted Measures: Data from state, district a l education), graduation rate, postschool ou	nd school assessments and alternate assessments, other a tcomes, observations from classroom walk-throughs and p	achieveme rogress to	ent measu ward indiv	res, behav vidual edu	ior data, e cational p	educational environment (percentage of time in lan (IEP) goals.	
	Aligns with District BPIE Indicator #1. Please I education and natural contexts.	e see the Appendices: Glossary of Terms section for definiti	ons of the	terms fou	ind above:	leadersh	ip team, low- and high-incidence disabilities and	
Comm	ents:							

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

Domain: Leadership and Decision Making								
			Im	plementa	ation Stat	tus		
Indicator		Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Part	ially	Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence	
			yet	В	Α	Fully		
2. The school has develor monitors progress for short- and long-term i to implement and imple educational practices, the BPIE.	, goals related to mprovement efforts prove inclusive	 The school has developed a plan indicating goals related to inclusive practices. The leadership team reviews and shares the language and intent of s. 1003.57(1)(f), F.S., with all staff and stakeholders. Once every three years, the school completes a BPIE assessment and reports the results of all planned short- and long-term improvement efforts to the district. The school leadership team analyzes data quarterly to monitor and evaluate progress toward meeting goals related to inclusive practices in the school. All stakeholder groups are represented and involved in a collaborative system of decision making to implement and improve inclusive practices across the school. The school provides access to goals related to short-and long-term improvement efforts on the school website and on request in the front office. The school handbook or website includes information on the school's process of shared decision making. Family input on inclusive practices is gathered in a variety of ways (surveys, interviews, focus groups, etc.). 					All stakeholder groups are represented and involved a collaborative system. Strong PTA and SAG	
Suggested Measures: Copy of goals related to short- and long-term improvement efforts, including distribution process (e.g., website, front office request form), data reports, meeting notes and schedule, roster and schedule of school leadership team meetings showing diverse representation.								
	ators currently ident	ns and Resources sections for information about the terms fied in an improvement plan would rate themselves as Pa as Not Yet.						

Comments:

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

		Domain: Leadership and De	cision I	Making					
		Implementation Status							
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Part	ially	Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence		
			yet	В	Α	Fully			
3.	The school has a key person who oversees, coordinates and monitors the implementation of best practices for inclusive education for all SWDs.	 There are clearly identified roles and responsibilities for the key person (e.g., ongoing communication, data analysis and progress monitoring of BPIE goals). The key person is responsible for oversight and coordination of goals and action steps related to inclusive best practices. The key person is identified in various school documents, such as: Staff roster; Professional Learning Community (PLC) minutes; Staff handbook; School website; and Newsletters. 					Two key persons are clearly defined to oversee communication between teachers and ESE administrators.		
includi	ng the name and contact information of the	, including the role and responsibility of key person. Sched key person who oversees inclusive practices. ess monitoring toward attainment of BPIE goals will occur a			-				
						SSESSITIET	and development of priority goals/action steps.		
	Comments:								

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

	Domain: Leadership and Decision Making								
			Im	plementa	ation Sta	tus			
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Partially		Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence		
			yet	В	Α				
4.	School administrators advocate for all SWDs to have the same school choice options as students without disabilities to ensure all SWDs receive educational services in their neighborhood school or school of choice.	 The principal contacts the district to obtain information about SWDs who do not attend the school because of the type or severity of their disability or perceived lack of services available at the school. The principal requests services and supports to follow SWDs in the school. The school has a diverse student population that reflects the full range of students who live in the neighborhood school zone, including those with significant disabilities. The school is equipped to provide educational services to all students. Families perceive the school as being able to address their child's needs, regardless of the type or severity of disability. 					The school has a strong reassignment and Mccay scholarship base.		
Sugge school		administrator requests for information (e.g., emails, memo	s) to the o	district offi	ce, roster	of SWDs i	n school's zone who are not attending the		
allocat disenfi at sch	ing resources for all SWDs to receive educat ranchisement from the communities within v pols based on their exceptionality, unintende	ndicator addresses the practice of placing SWDs in schools tional services in their neighborhood school or school of ch which they live and lack opportunities to build natural relat ed consequences include further isolation of students with without disabilities. Please see the Appendices: Glossary of	oice. Stud ionships a significan	ents who mong the disabilitie	are transp students es and bar	orted to a in the assi riers to so	ttend other schools are at risk of gned school. With the practice of placing SWDs heduling SWDs into heterogeneous classrooms		

Comments:

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

Domain: Leadership and Decision Making								
Implementation Status								
Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Part	Partially		Data Sources/Supporting Evidence		
		yet	В	Α	Fully			
5. School data reflect that all SWDs, regardless of the type or severity of disability, receive their education a related services in age-and grade-appropriate, heterogeneous, gene education contexts 80% or more of day.	 classes 80% or more of the day. All SWDs receive education in a general education regular class setting reflecting natural proportions 	r				All teachers ensure that every students feels welcomed and cared in their classes regardless of disability or not.		

Suggested Measures: School-level least restrictive environment (LRE) data showing the percentage of time each SWD, disaggregated by exceptionality, spends in age- and grade-appropriate, heterogeneous, general education contexts

Note: Aligns with District BPIE Indicator #12. Please see the Appendices: Glossary of Terms section for definitions of the above terms: related services, Individual educational plan (IEP), ageappropriate heterogeneous groups and supplementary aids and services.

Comments:

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

Domain: Leadership and Decision Making							
			Im	plementa	ation Stat	us	
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Part	ially	F ully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence
			yet	В	Α	- Fully	
6.	School data reflect that all SWDs, ages 3-5, receive special education and related services in the regular early childhood (Pre-K) and kindergarten classes with peers without disabilities. *Schools with Pre-K programs only	 School administrators collaborate with the district to establish programs that include students with and without disabilities in the same early childhood and kindergarten classes. The school has targets to increase the number of SWDs, ages 3 - 5, who receive special education and related services in inclusive classes with peers without disabilities. General and special education teachers regularly collaborate to plan and implement weekly lessons based on Florida standards for Pre-K and kindergarten. Curriculum adaptations, such as changing the physical or social environment, placing materials in optimal positions or heights, breaking down steps in a task, assistive devices, alternate materials, etc., are considered as easy-to-implement interventions All children receive supports and interventions necessary to ensure developmentally appropriate progress prior to referral for special education services and programs. Developmentally appropriate behavior supports are provided for students. The school regularly monitors (e.g., monthly) the number and progress of SWDs, ages 3-5, who receive special education and related services in inclusive settings with peers without disabilities. 					Not applicable. the school location does not have specialized pre-k classes.
		3-5, indicating the location(s) where related services are p eacher lesson plans indicating appropriate curriculum adap					
Note: necess		e see the Appendices: Glossary of Terms for definition of the	e above to	erm: currio	culum ada	ptations. I	Partnerships with private providers may be
Comm	ents:						

Domain: Leadership and Decision Making								
			Im	plementa	tion Stat	us		
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Not Part		Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence	
	_		yet	В	A	Fully		
7.	School administrators communicate expectations for all school personnel to share responsibility for all of the students in their building and consider all SWDs as general education students first.	 Administrators clearly and consistently articulate the following expectations for all school personnel during faculty meetings, School Advisory Committee meetings, PLCs, staff newsletters, etc.: General and special education teachers are expected to share instructional and behavioral support responsibilities for SWDs in each classroom and other school settings. SWDs are not the sole responsibility of special education personnel during academic and non-academic school activities. School personnel refer to special education teachers by name rather than exceptionality of students on their caseload (e.g., Mrs. Smith rather than the Autistic Teacher). All school personnel are trained and responsible for safety and evacuation procedures for SWDs. Administrators provide written rationale and other resources (e.g., research articles, newsletters, websites) with information and strategies on inclusive practices. Administrators review staff schedules to ensure all personnel have opportunities to support students with and without disabilities. Administrators ensure that all personnel are responsible for and understand the health and safety procedures for all students, especially those who have extensive support needs. 					ESE and general education teachers collaborate during PLC to address and meet the needs of all students: SWD and NoSWD.	
Sugge	ested Measures: Results of surveys, self-as	sessments, questionnaires, interviews and teacher schedu	les.					
	School leaders are critical in setting and ma sibility for all students in the school is a corr	intaining a vision for inclusive education with all personnel nerstone of inclusive education.	and acro	ss all scho	ol classroo	oms and s	ettings. Creating a climate of shared	
Comm	ients:							

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

	Domain: Leadership and Decision Making							
	Implementation Status							
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Part	ially	Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence	
			yet	В	Α	. uny		
8.	School administrators facilitate the use of resources, by school personnel, to implement best practices for inclusive education for all SWDs.	 School administrators obtain and allocate resources (e.g., personnel and materials) to implement effective inclusive practices. School administrators provide and monitor the use of resources across all school teams, such as: Supplemental materials for core subject areas related to all academic standards; Text sets with differentiated reading levels; Accessible instructional materials, (e.g., textbook set for homework and textbooks with alternate formats: audio/electronic, braille, large print); Assistive technologies, supports and services; Time for instructional planning; and Allocation of personnel aligned with in-class support needs of students (e.g., reading and math coaches, paraprofessionals, therapists). 					All material are accessible to all students. Accommodations of materials and assertive technology are fully integrated.	
		WDs. Inventory lists available to all staff indicating supple nutes from planning meetings with support personnel, coa				r use with	all students across all content areas and grade	
	Aligns with District BPIE Indicator #5. Withir n make progress in the general education cu	an MTSS, all educators should have access to a variety of irriculum.	f resource	s that inclu	ude conce	pts of univ	versal design so that all students have access to	
Comm	Comments:							

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

	Domain: Leadership and Decision Making							
			Im	plementa	tion Stat	us		
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Partially		Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence	
			yet	В	Α			
9.	School administrators communicate expectations for all school personnel to use person first language in all written and verbal communications.	 Administrators provide all school personnel with ongoing information and resources on person first language. Administrators provide guidelines on the use of person first language in all written, electronic and verbal communication. Administrators provide strategies to eliminate the use of disability-related labels in all written, electronic and verbal communication (e.g., referring to students by name rather than any label). 					Students are referred by name rather than by label.	
	sted Measures: School documents (e.g. in e) and job interview questions reflect the us	nprovement plan, newsletters), family resources, guideline e of person first language.	s, written	and electr	onic comr	municatio	n (e.g., staff roster, staff handbook, school	
		Appendices: Glossary of Terms and Resources/Publications g and talking about people with disabilities, we not only ex						
Comm	ents:							

Domain: Leadership and Decision Making							
			Im	plementa	ation Stat	tus	
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Part	ially	Eully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence
			yet	В	Α	Fully	
10.	School administrators use job interview questions to appraise an applicant's knowledge and beliefs pertaining to diversity and inclusive practices, as applicable to the position.	 School interview team members assess applicant responses to ensure a willingness to implement inclusive educational practices, such as collaborative planning and teaching, differentiating instruction, etc. School interview team members include job interview questions for instructional staff that assess knowledge and beliefs of inclusive educational practices, such as: "Please describe your role in a co-taught classroom." "How would you differentiate instruction for students in a mixed-ability classroom, including those on a modified curriculum?" "Tell me how you would respond if a parent of a student with a significant disability inquires about enrolling their child at this school?" School interview team members include job interview questions for non-instructional staff (e.g., paraprofessionals, front office, custodial) that assess knowledge and beliefs of inclusive educational practices, such as: "Tell me how you would respond if a parent of a student with a significant disability inquires about enrolling their child at this school?" 					
Sugge to dive	ested Measures: Interview questions used risity and effective inclusive practice, as app	for various positions at the school, including front office sta licable to the position.	aff, cafete	ria staff, te	eachers, p	araprofess	sionals, coaches, etc., include questions related
Note:	Aligns with District BPIE Indicator #11.						
Comm	ents:						

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

Domain: Leadership and Decision Making								
			Im	plementa	ation Stat	us		
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Part	ially	Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence	
			yet	В	Α	Fully		
11.	School administrators advocate for all SWDs to be transported to and from school and community-based activities with students without disabilities attending the same school, except for those who have an IEP indicating a shortened school day.	 Administrators review bus arrival and departure procedures for all buses to ensure the safety of all students and identify potential problem areas (e.g, physical access, health and safety measures, adequate supervision for all bus arrivals and departures) All bus arrivals and departures occur at the same time and location for students with and without disabilities. There are designated bus monitors in each school who are responsible for overseeing bus procedures and identifying potential problems. The principal has made a formal request to the district for bus schedules to be changed. Students with and without disabilities attend field trips, community-based career or vocational instruction and school-sponsored trips together. SWDs do not arrive late and leave school early based upon the bus schedule. 					SWD participate in all field trips.	
	ested Measures: Bus schedules and rosters strict transportation office requesting chang	s, school site map (including bus locations), field trip docu es to bus schedules.	mentation;	documen	itation of e	emails or c	other communication between school leaders	
no stu		ugh school leaders may not have full control over district b c of scheduled bus service. School leaders can also make r ed for all buses.						
Comm	Comments:							

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

Domain: Leadership and Decision Making						
		Im	plementa	ation Sta	tus	
Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Part	ially	Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence
		yet	В	Α	Tuny	
12. All SWDs have the same opportunities as students without disabilities to participate in all school-sponsored, non-academic, age-appropriate activities, including electives, sports, dances, clubs, field trips, school plays, community service activities and graduation activities.	 All SWDs have access to all school facilities and non-academic activities. Supports, such as adaptive equipment, band instruments and communication devices, are provided so that SWDs can fully participate in the same activities as those students without disabilities. Athletic coaches include students with disabilities. Club sponsors are chosen because of their commitment to include SWDs in all club activities. Case managers monitor the participation of SWDs in non-academic activities. All personnel advocate for the inclusion and full participation of SWDs in school-sponsored activities. SWDs participate in class field trips with same-age peers without disabilities. Separate, "ESE only" field trips are discouraged. School personnel model strategies and create opportunities for students without disabilities to socialize with SWDs in non-academic contexts, (e.g., clubs, common gathering areas, lunch, pep rallies). Ability awareness and diversity training is provided to all students in the school. Same-age peers provide natural supports to SWDs, as appropriate, to facilitate social interactions during school-sponsored activities. SWDs are eligible for and encouraged to run for student government. All SWDs are eligible, within the same guidelines as their peers without disabilities, for candidacy for homecoming court, prom court, etc. Families of students with significant cognitive disabilities receive information about all school-sponsored, non-academic activities. 					Clubs and extracurricular activities are offered to all students.

Suggested Measures: Student schedules, organizational rosters, list of adaptive equipment; observations of students with and without disabilities in non-academic contexts, e.g., recess, in between class and school social gatherings; surveys, focus groups or interviews of students with and without disabilities

Note: Aligns with District BPIE Indicator #21. For many students with disabilities, especially those with more significant disabilities, learning purposeful skills in the context of meaningful and inclusive activities is critical to practicing, maintaining and generalizing what they learn across a range of natural settings and situations. Educators should consider non-academic activities when identifying opportunities to develop essential skills such as using money, ordering food, reading, speaking and asking for assistance when needed in natural contexts, with non-disabled peers. School-sponsored, non-academic activities also provide opportunities for students with significant disabilities to interact and develop relationships with same-age peers without disabilities.

Comments:

	Domain: Leadership and Decision Making							
			Im	plementa	tion Stat	tus		
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Part	ially	Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence	
			yet	В	A	. uny		
13.	All students, including SWDs, are given equal consideration for recognition through honors, awards and other designations offered by the school.	 All SWDs, including those who are working on a modified curriculum, are included in honors and awards programs (e.g., honor roll, citizenship awards, academic awards, science fair and attendance awards), except those honors and awards based solely on the requirements of the standard curriculum (e.g., class standing for academic scholarships, honor societies and International Baccalaureate programs). All SWDs are recognized for honors and awards in the same manner and at the same time as those without disabilities. 					Terrific Kid Super Citizen	
	ested Measures: Guidelines for participatio vard recipients; student interview responses	n as noted in information (e.g., school handbook, flyers, no	ewsletters	, website)	dissemina	ated to tea	achers, students and families; list of honorees	
Note:	Aligns with District BPIE Indicator #23.							
Comm	ients:							

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

	Domain: Leadership and Decision Making							
			Im	plementa	ation Sta	tus		
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Part	Partially		Data Sources/Supporting Evidence	
			yet	В	A	- Fully		
14.	School administrators analyze data to identify professional development (PD) and technical assistance (TA) needed for school personnel to implement effective inclusive practices.	 Administrators analyze student performance data, staff and family needs assessments/ surveys. Administrators analyze data from classroom observations. Administrators obtain input from IEP teams to identify specific PD and TA (e.g., augmentative, alternative communication [AAC], positive behavior supports [PBS]) needed to support individual students. PD and TA are differentiated for each staff member, as per their assessed needs. When appropriate, individual staff members include PD and TA goals related to inclusive practices in their individual professional development plan. Regular review of student learning data is reflected in an effort to determine ongoing PD and TA needs. Regularly scheduled (at least quarterly) data checks/ discussions are conducted across school teams to identify ongoing PD and TA needs of teachers. 					Administrators regularly analyze data from classroom observations.	
	ual professional development plan of each p	rom school staff and family members, record of needs asso professional staff member, agendas/sign-in sheets from pro						
	Aligns with District BPIE Indicators #6 and # size-fits-all approach.	 School administrators are encouraged to develop PD a 	nd TA that	are differ	entiated b	ased on ir	ndividual educator and/or team needs and not as	
Comm	Comments:							

	Domain: Leadership and Decision Making						
			Im	plementa	ation Stat	us	
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not Parti		Partially		Data Sources/Supporting Evidence
			yet	В	Α	Fully	
15.	School leaders provide job-embedded professional development for all school- based personnel, as appropriate for their job role, on best practices for inclusive education for all SWDs.	 Administrators identify collaborative teams, including general and special education staff, to participate in all PD related to effective inclusive practices. PD is provided through existing school structures, such as PLCs, faculty book studies, collaborative team planning, lesson study, peer coaching and critical friends groups. Strategies for effective inclusion are provided and modeled in the classroom setting. PD is provided to collaborative teams, to include the following topics. Curricular accommodations and modifications in general education classes and non-instructional activities Embedding IEP goals into the general education instructional activities and natural contexts Access points for math, language arts, science and social studies Universal design for learning (UDL) Differentiated instruction (DI) Classroom management strategies Accessible instructional materials Assistive technology Communication supports (AAC) Visual supports PBS Alignment of modified curriculum to general education standards Formative assessment Collaborative planning and teaching models Flexible scheduling Peer supports School-family communication/collaboration School leaders participate in professional development activities provided to teachers and staff on inclusive educational practices. School leaders provide a published schedule of PD opportunities, made available throughout the school year, for all school personnel. Administrators provide ongoing support for new 					ESP are offered and encouraged to attend district provided training behavior and working with SWD

		personnel who are hired after the beginning of the school year.				
		elopment plan, agendas/sign-in sheets from professional ssistance activities and follow-up activities for school pe	nt activitie	es and rec	ord of foll	ow-up activities, master schedule (showing
suppor		and #19. Please see the Appendices: Glossary of Terms ators maintain an active role in the provision of PD activi achers and/or teams.				
Comm	ents:					

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

		Domain: Leadership and De	cision I	Making			
			Im	plementa	ation Stat	tus	
	Indicator	NOL	Not	lot Partially		E.I.I.	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence
			В	A	Fully		
16	School leaders facilitate job-embedded, technical assistance for all school-based personnel, as appropriate for their job role, on best practices for inclusive education for all SWDs.	 A key person at the school coordinates activities related to needs assessments and TA for individual staff and collaborative teams. School leaders facilitate the provision of technical assistance for individual staff and collaborative teams as determined through PD and needs assessments, such as: In-class coaching on collaborative teaching models; Development of professional learning communities; Classroom demonstration of instructional strategies; Team problem solving; Flexible scheduling; Planning and implementing behavior supports; Planning and application of curricular accommodations/modifications; and Planning instruction based on UDL and DI. Teacher leader(s) are identified to provide ongoing follow-up, coaching and feedback to teachers and teams. Outside resources, such as FIN, FDLRS and CARD, are procured for the provision of TA. 					Strong PLC with meaningful discussions on integration of SWD. Monthly ASD Parents Night where resources are shared and professionals are invited to share information. CARD, FDLRS.
Not		s, data from various needs assessment instruments. and #19. It is recommended that school administrators n goals for individual teachers and/or teams.	naintain ai	n active ro	ole in the p	provision c	f TA activities for their faculty and staff. This
	Comments:						

Domain: Leadership and Decision Making							
			Im	plementa	ation Stat	tus	
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Part	ially		Data Sources/Supporting Evidence
			yet	В	Α	Fully	
17.	School administrators ensure that collaborative planning time is used productively and reflected in general and special education staff schedules and instructional plans.	 The school master schedule reflects collaborative planning time for collaborative teaching teams. Administrators provide structures for release time for planning (e.g., floating substitute teachers, duty release). Administrators ensure that teacher duty assignments are distributed across all staff, allowing time for collaborative teachers to plan. The principal schedules time for secondary special education teachers, assigned to different departments (e.g., science, English, history, math) to meet with general education teams to discuss the progress of students they have in common. Monthly PD days are designated for teams (including ESE and general education teachers) to plan and discuss grade-level or subject-area concerns related to curriculum and student interventions. Agendas and logs from collaborative planning sessions are available for administrators to review. There is evidence that lesson plans are developed collaboratively and include shared roles and responsibilities for instruction and assessment. There is a schedule and record of PLCs related to the review of student work and instructional planning. Meeting logs show evidence that student data are reviewed, discussed and used to guide all instructional decisions made by teams during collaborative planning time. 					There is a schedule and record of PLCs related to the review of student work and instructional planning.
Sugge	sted Measures: Master schedule, teacher	s' lesson plans, agendas and logs from collaborative planni	ng sessio	ns, walk-tł	nrough no	tes from t	eacher planning meetings.
		ool administrators are encouraged to provide support to tea ng and provision of resources for planning time (e.g., releas					
Comm	ents:						

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

		Domain: Instruction and Stude	ent Ach	ieveme	nt			
			Im	plementa	ation Stat	tus		
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Partially		Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence	
			yet	В	Α	Fully		
18.	Special, electives and career technical education (CTE) teachers have regularly scheduled opportunities to consult with special education teachers and related service providers to implement strategies that support the learning of all SWDs in their classes.	 A music teacher has several SWDs in his classes. A special education teacher is available to observe students during class and discuss accommodations, modifications or other appropriate supports for these students. The physical therapist provides consultation to the physical education (P.E.) coach related to adaptive equipment for students who need mobility supports. The occupational therapist provides guidance to the business education teacher on the use of assistive devices, such as adaptive keyboards, for a student with fine motor support needs. ESE teachers and support services personnel solicit feedback from specials, electives or CTE teachers to determine the effectiveness of instructional accommodations or modifications. The ESE teachers provides monthly updates with specific student information, instructional strategies and/or useful articles to teachers. The LATS team provides guidance and training on the use of assistive technology devices. 					ESE teachers and support services personnel solicit feedback from specials, electives or CTE teachers to determine the effectiveness of instructional accommodations or modifications.	
		ers' lesson plans, agendas and notes from collaborative te	aching ses	ssions.				
Note	-							
Com	omments:							

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

		Domain: Instruction and Stude	ent Ach	ieveme	nt			
			Im	plementa	ation Stat	tus		
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Part	Partially		Data Sources/Supporting Evidence	
			yet	В		Fully		
19.	General and special education teachers use the Florida Standards as the foundation for instruction of all SWDs, including those with a significant cognitive disability.	 IEP goals and objectives for all SWDs are aligned to the general education standards. General and special education teachers can articulate what all students need to know, understand and be able to do in relation to the Florida Standards. The instructional goals and learning targets of students with a significant cognitive disability are based on access points. Teachers modify learning goals and instruction for students with a significant cognitive disability using the same, or similar, age-appropriate materials as those used by students without disabilities. 					Teachers modify learning goals and instruction for students with a significant cognitive disability using the same, or similar, age- appropriate materials as those used by students without disabilities.	
Sugge	ested Measures: Lesson or unit plans, curri	iculum maps, walk-through data, ongoing progress-monito	ring data.					
Note:	For all SWDs, including those who are taking	g alternate assessment, educators should develop learning	g goals and	d assess p	rogress to	ward mee	ting those goals based on the Florida Standards.	
Comm	Comments:							

	Domain: Instruction and Student Achievement							
	Implementation Status							
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Partially		Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence	
	1		yet	yet B A		,		
20.	An MTSS and problem-solving process is consistently used by school personnel to ensure progress in the general education curriculum, across all grades and settings, for all students with and without disabilities.	 School personnel use a problem-solving process to identify appropriate instructional and behavioral interventions. There is a schoolwide plan to provide school personnel with ongoing PD and TA on the implementation of an MTSS framework. Members of the school MTSS team are assigned to provide support to specific grade-level or subjectarea teams. PD and TA activities for implementing MTSS are documented, including evaluation criteria to measure desired outcomes. PD and TA activities are provided with the goal of matching tiered supports with the instructional support needs of individual SWDs in general education classrooms and natural contexts. Families are provided information and opportunities to understand the MTSS process as it relates to tiered interventions for their child. Administrators allocate resources to support schoolwide MTSS, functional behavior assessments (FBA) and PBS plans. An FBA process is used to identify triggers and replacement behaviors for any student who needs additional behavioral support. School rules are translated into specific applications for classrooms, hallways and other school areas as part of a schoolwide PBS plan. 						
		n sheets, minutes of MTSS meetings, schoolwide PBS plan			nd Pocour	cos/Public	ations soctions	
	Note: For more information and resources on the MTSS and problem-solving process, please refer to the Appendices: Glossary and Resources/Publications sections.							
L								

		Domain: Instruction and Stude	ent Ach	ieveme	nt		
				plementa	ation Stat	tus	
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Partially		Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence
			yet	В	Α	Fully	
21.	All instructional and related services personnel use formative assessment processes and tools to gather, analyze and evaluate data about effective instruction and behavior interventions for all students with and without disabilities in general education and natural contexts.	 General education teachers collaborate with special education teachers, and other related services personnel, to use a variety of data collection tools and processes to continuously assess progress of SWDs in general education classrooms and natural conte to use a variety of data collection tools and processes to continuously assess progress of SWDs in general education classrooms and natural conte to use a variety of data collection tools and processes to continuously assess progress of SWDs in general education classrooms and natural contexts, such as: - Checklists - Profiles - FBA tools; - Ecological inventories; - Task analysis; - Portfolios; - Reading assessment s; - Reading assessment tools; and - Scoring criteria/rubrics. All teachers use formative assessment data to adjust instruction, revise behavior plans and determine individual student responses to interventions in general education and natural contexts. Instructional personnel consider SWDs as general education students first and use data-driven decision making to identify supports needed for SWDs to make progress in general education and natural contexts. The school has designated personnel with expertise in gathering and analyzing student data who provide ongoing support in the use of formative assessment processes. All SWDs have access to the same multi-tiered interventions as those without disabilities. 					Instructional personnel consider SWDs as general education students and use data-driven decision making to identify supports needed for SWDs to make progress in general education and natural contexts. Data chats and PLC
Note:		SS meeting minutes, classroom data, documentation show				or benav	
Comm	ents:						

	Domain: Instruction and Student Achievement								
			Im	plementa	ation Sta	tus			
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not			Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence		
			yet	В	A				
22.	Teachers of SWDs who spend less than 80% of their day in general education classes use formative assessment data to identify effective instructional and behavioral interventions that, when implemented in general education and natural contexts, allow SWDs to make progress toward meeting IEP and learning goals.	 Special education teachers use formative assessment to identify student needs, adjust instruction, revise behavior plans and identify opportunities for learning in general education and natural contexts. Teachers of students in self-contained classrooms use formative assessment data to increase time SWDs receive instruction in general education classes, such as observational data to identify effective behavior supports for learning in the general - education classes, such as observational data to identify effective behavior supports for learning in the general education classroom. Teachers of students in self-contained classrooms use formative assessment data to increase time SWDs receive instruction in natural contexts, such as lunchroom, media center and school store. 					Great inclusion plan for students in the special program. Great collaboration and communication between gen ed and ESE teachers.		
Sugge	ested Measures: Sample assessments, MT	55 meeting minutes, classroom data, documentation show	ving adjust	ments in i	nstruction	or behav	ior plans.		
educat		assessment data to ensure SWDs served in self-contained etermine interventions and supports that follow the studer							
Comm	Comments:								

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

		Domain: Instruction and Stude	nt Ach	ieveme	nt				
	Implementation Status								
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Partially		Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence		
			yet	yet B A		runy			
23.	There is a schoolwide approach to facilitate positive, interdependent relationships and social responsibility among all students with and without disabilities across all general education and natural contexts.	 The school has one or more schoolwide programs in place that address the following: Formal, academic and social peer support Social and community inclusion Anti-bullying Conflict mediation Student problem solving Character education Self-determination and self-advocacy Community service projects Global cultural and diversity awareness Teachers include team-building and class-building structures to create and support positive interactions among students with and without disabilities. School guidance counselor(s) are involved in identifying and coordinating schoolwide programs for anti-bullying, peer supports, etc. 					Character education. Zones of regulation. Guidance counselor has anger management, social interaction and peer mediator groups.		
		r and syllabus of anti-bullying and character education pro	-		unity serv	ice projec	ts.		
	-	e programs can be formal or informal, but should involve t		SCHOOL					
Comments:									

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

Domain: Instruction and Student Achievement										
			Im	plementa	ation Stat	tus				
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not Partially		Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence				
			yet	В	A	- Tuny				
24.	There is a schoolwide approach for planning and implementing UDL across all instructional and non-instructional school contexts.	 Teachers differentiate instruction to allow multiple means of representation, expression and engagement. Lessons are presented in visual and oral formats. The student responds using eye gaze, choices cards and/or gestures. Appropriate response time is given for SWDs to participate. Instructional technology, matched to the needs of individual students, is effectively used for instruction in all classrooms. Teachers and support personnel use assistive technology for students who need it, including lowtech strategies and high-tech communication systems and software, such as: Book pages equipped with foam tabs for Pre-K students to easily turn pages; A visual schedule that includes items with Velcro for ease of manipulation on the schedule board; Wide classroom aisles to accommodate students with limited mobility; Table heights adjusted to accommodate a wheelchair, a stander or students of short stature; Choice boards or software programs for visual schedules and assignments; Pencil grips; Modo blocks to raise the desk level; Specialized computer software, digital text, iPads[™], Alpha-Smarts[™] or FM systems to ensure meaningful participation in instructional activities; A student with scoliosis is provided with a lab stool with a back so that he can participate fully in instructional activities; and An FM system is provided in class for a student who needs auditory support. Teachers allow students to respond orally on assessments. Teachers ier assignments/assessments. Teachers ier assignments/assessments. Teachers ier assignments/assessments. Teachers ier assignments/assessments. 					Lessons are presented in visual and oral formats. Table heights adjusted to accommodate a wheelchair, a stander or students of short stature Pencil grips. A visual schedule that includes items with Velcro for ease of manipulation on the schedule board			

Note: Creating learning environments using the principals of UDL does not mean teachers water down the curriculum for SWDs. Students with IEPs are expected to know and understand the same concepts as those without disabilities (with varying levels of complexity), through multiple means of representation, action and expression and engagement.

Comments:

Domain: Instruction and Student Achievement									
		Im	plementa	ation Sta	tus				
Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Part	tially	Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence			
		yet	В	A	ruity				
25. There are a variety of service delivery models in place, across all grade levels, to provide instruction and related services to SWDs in general education classes and natural contexts.	 Administrators and teachers can articulate different ways to deliver special education services in general education settings. Related services are provided, as appropriate, in general education classes and natural contexts: language therapy is provided to SWDs during reading instruction, physical therapy is provided during P.E. or recess and occupational therapy is provided during writing activities. When developing the school's master schedule, SWDs are scheduled first. The school master schedule reflects a variety of service delivery models used across the school, including co-teaching, support facilitation and consultation. When providing in-class supports, teachers select and use various approaches, such as station teaching, parallel teaching and alternative teaching, based on the needs of the students and the intended outcome(s) of instruction and assessment. Collaborative teachers can explain why they selected a structure for a particular lesson. Collaborative teachers share accountability for coplanning and co-delivering instruction and co-assessing all students. Administrators note and provide feedback on collaborative teaching structures as part of the teacher evaluation system. 					Administrators and teachers can articulate different ways to deliver special education services in general education settings			
	student schedules, IEPs, classroom observations, teacher i ents in inclusive classes include consultation, support facili ersonnel Assignments.					ation, please see the Resources/Publications			
Comments:									

tha wor pro edu	Indicator Indico	 Examples or Evidence of Practice Paraprofessionals receive ongoing training on topics relative to their work responsibilities (e.g., the nature of specific disabilities and impact on learning; providing communication, physical, social and academic supports; health, safety and hygiene needs; and confidentiality). Outside resources, such as FIN, FDLRS, and CARD, are procured for the provision of training to paraprofessionals. The roles and responsibilities of paraprofessionals are clearly outlined and communicated by administrators and teachers. The principal ensures dedicated time for paraprofessionals to consult with teachers and be 	Im Not yet	-	ation Stat	Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence Outside resources, such as FIN, FDLRS, and CARD, are procured for the provision of training to paraprofessionals. Paraprofessionals receive ongoing training on topics relative to their work responsibilities. Teachers and paraprofessionals discuss strategies and methods to provide individual supports to SWDs in general education classrooms and natural context
tha wor pro edu	Il paraprofessionals have received PD hat includes clear descriptions of their work responsibilities and strategies for providing support to SWDs in general education classrooms and natural	 Paraprofessionals receive ongoing training on topics relative to their work responsibilities (e.g., the nature of specific disabilities and impact on learning; providing communication, physical, social and academic supports; health, safety and hygiene needs; and confidentiality). Outside resources, such as FIN, FDLRS, and CARD, are procured for the provision of training to paraprofessionals. The roles and responsibilities of paraprofessionals are clearly outlined and communicated by administrators and teachers. The principal ensures dedicated time for paraprofessionals to consult with teachers and be 	yet		1		Outside resources, such as FIN, FDLRS, and CARD, are procured for the provision of training to paraprofessionals. Paraprofessionals receive ongoing training on topics relative to their work responsibilities. Teachers and paraprofessionals discuss strategies and methods to provide individual supports to SWDs in general education
tha wor pro edu	hat includes clear descriptions of their vork responsibilities and strategies for providing support to SWDs in general education classrooms and natural	 relative to their work responsibilities (e.g., the nature of specific disabilities and impact on learning; providing communication, physical, social and academic supports; health, safety and hygiene needs; and confidentiality). Outside resources, such as FIN, FDLRS, and CARD, are procured for the provision of training to paraprofessionals. The roles and responsibilities of paraprofessionals are clearly outlined and communicated by administrators and teachers. The principal ensures dedicated time for paraprofessionals to consult with teachers and be 		B			CARD, are procured for the provision of training to paraprofessionals. Paraprofessionals receive ongoing training on topics relative to their work responsibilities. Teachers and paraprofessionals discuss strategies and methods to provide individual supports to SWDs in general education
tha wor pro edu	hat includes clear descriptions of their vork responsibilities and strategies for providing support to SWDs in general education classrooms and natural	 relative to their work responsibilities (e.g., the nature of specific disabilities and impact on learning; providing communication, physical, social and academic supports; health, safety and hygiene needs; and confidentiality). Outside resources, such as FIN, FDLRS, and CARD, are procured for the provision of training to paraprofessionals. The roles and responsibilities of paraprofessionals are clearly outlined and communicated by administrators and teachers. The principal ensures dedicated time for paraprofessionals to consult with teachers and be 					CARD, are procured for the provision of training to paraprofessionals. Paraprofessionals receive ongoing training on topics relative to their work responsibilities. Teachers and paraprofessionals discuss strategies and methods to provide individual supports to SWDs in general education
		 involved in student IEP meetings. Teachers and paraprofessionals discuss strategies and methods to provide individual supports to SWDs in general education classrooms and natural contexts. Administrators and teachers monitor the activities of paraprofessionals to evaluate the effectiveness of supports provided to SWDs. Paraprofessionals can clearly articulate the learning, communication and behavioral support needs of the SWDs they serve. 					
	· ·	t logs, pre- and post-assessments, checklists, paraprofessio			•	· ·	
opportunitie	ities and technical assistance relative to t	stand how to support learning while using the least intrusiv their assigned students and job responsibilities. Paraprofess as part of the IEP and curriculum team planning processes.	sionals' in				
Comments	nts:						

Domain: Communication and Collaboration										
			Im	plement	ation Stat	tus				
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not Partia		tially	Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence			
			yet	В	Α	. uny				
27.	All special education teachers are full, collaborative members of a general education curriculum team.	 A secondary school special education teacher is an active member of the social studies department. An elementary school special education teacher is an active member of the third grade team. Special education teachers collaborate with general education teachers to share and implement instructional decisions made by the team. Special and general education teachers meet regularly to share information on curriculum and individual student support needs. All team members receive minutes of team meetings and have input into decisions when not available to attend in person. Special education teachers are not pulled from regularly scheduled classroom schedules to attend other meetings (e.g., IEP or parent conferences). 					Special education teachers participate in grade level PLC as well as attending ESE PLC.			
Sugge	ested Measures: Curriculum team rosters,	curriculum team meeting schedules and notes.								
to mee		not be available to meet with each curriculum team durin beriod. For example, Mrs. Smith may meet with the third g								
Comments:										

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

		Domain: Communication and	Collab	oration				
			Im	plementa	tion Stat	us		
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice		Not Partially yet B A		Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence	
28.	General and special education teachers use regularly scheduled collaborative planning time to clarify their roles and responsibilities while planning effective instruction and assessment for all students.	 Teachers identify and discuss their various roles (e.g., collaborative teaching structures) during the lesson-planning process. When planning, teachers consider the application of UDL and DI as part of every lesson. Teachers determine appropriate accommodations and other supports (e.g., behavior, visual and communication) for individual students. Teachers have consensus on grading procedures, especially when accommodations or modifications are provided for individual students. Teachers share roles and responsibilities such that distinctions between special education and the content- or grade-level teacher are not obvious. Teachers have parity in their roles so that one teacher does not have more responsibility for instruction and assessment than the other. Teachers reflect on and assess their effectiveness as collaborative teachers. Administrators provide ongoing support to assist collaborative teachers in identifying, clarifying and developing their roles and responsibilities. 					ESE and gen. ed teachers are familiar and implementing PBIPs across settings. Teachers reflect on and assess their effectiveness as collaborative teachers	
ote: uppor		lesson plans, classroom observation or walk-throughs, tea rse Code Directory; Please see the Appendices: Glossary of teaching.					ove terms: Collaborative models of	

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

Domain: Communication and Collaboration										
			Im	plementa	ation Stat	tus				
Indicator		Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not			Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence			
			yet	В	Α	,				
29.	Family members of SWDs are contributing members of school decision- making groups.	 School administrators actively recruit family members of SWDs to participate in school decision- making groups, including the School Advisory Council. Family members of SWDs are active members of groups such as the Parent-Teacher Association (PTA) and school sub-committees (e.g., Fall Festival, Band Boosters). Family members of SWDs participate in school decision-making based upon annual measurable outcome data for students with and without disabilities. 					Parents of SWD are part of PTA and SAG. They volunteer at events and clubs.			
Sugge	sted Measures: Decision-making group ro	sters, meeting notes.								
	In addition to the School Advisory Council, fatistics, such as the PTA and school sub-commi	amily members of students with disabilities should be incluttees.	ided in all	decision-r	making gr	oups that	include family members of students without			
Comm	ents:									

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

Domain: Communication and Collaboration										
			Im	plementa	ation Sta	tus				
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not Partia		tially	Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence			
			yet	В	A	. uny				
30.	Learning opportunities and resources are provided to families of SWDs as a result of needs assessments and student data.	 Data are gathered from families via surveys, interviews, focus groups, suggestion box, etc. Learning opportunities and resources are identified and provided to families based on family surveys or interviews, school climate surveys, IEP goals and student data. Information and strategies are provided on topics such as helping with homework, test preparation, understanding LRE and inclusion, developing meaningful IEP goals and postsecondary school opportunities. Schedule of ongoing learning opportunities is provided to all families via newsletter, website, emails, etc. Family learning opportunities include content and activities that are translated for families whose first language is not English. 					During Parents Night and PTA/SAG meetings data are gathered from families via surveys, interviews, focus groups, suggestion box, etc.			
Sugge	ested Measures: List of resources/learning	opportunities available to families, survey samples and re	sults, sam	ples of inf	ormation i	n languag	jes other than English.			
	Family members play an important role in fa t their child's learning goals and objectives	acilitating their child's success in school when they are giv at home and in community settings.	en comple	te and ac	curate info	ormation.	It is important for families to understand ways to			
Comm	ients:									

	Domain: Communication and Collaboration										
			Im	plementa	ation Sta	tus					
Indicator		Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not Partially		Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence					
			yet	В	Α	runy					
 SWDs, all personnel consider family members as a resource and obtain their input in planning and problem solving. during the first week of school that gives a broad outline of what the students will learn, homework and grading procedures, ideas for how parents can support good study skills and homework habits, etc. Team/department meeting notes reflect family input on developing educational, behavioral and/or social strategies for their children, such as an individual PBS plan and FBA. Families receive support and resources, such as checklists or point systems, to implement behavior support plans at home and in the community. Teachers maintain ongoing communication with families to ensure support plans are consistent from school to home and community. Teachers obtain family input on creating a student profile for a student with significant behavioral support needs (e.g., interventions that have worked at home or in other settings). Structures are in place for educators and families to share ongoing information about access, equity and progress of SWDs. 							Team/department meeting notes reflect family input on developing educational, behavioral and/or social strategies for their children, such as an individual PBS plan and FBA. Teachers maintain ongoing communication with families to ensure support plans are consistent from school to home and community.Daily home notes and using Class Dojo daily to communicate with parents.				
	· · ·										
Note:	Family members are experts about their chi	ld. Their input is invaluable in identifying and providing ap	propriate	supports f	or success	5.					
Comm	ents:										

	Domain: Communication and Collaboration									
			Im	plementa	ation Stat	us				
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Partially		Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence			
			yet	В	Α	Fully				
32.	Reports of progress toward implementing inclusive practices are disseminated to families, school district personnel, and community members annually.	 At the end of the school year, the school provides a report to the district that includes progress toward implementing and improving inclusive practices. The school administrator provides a report to families as part of school open house activities. The school administrator provides a report to all school personnel as part of pre-school activities and throughout the year. The school administrator provides a report to other school administrators during district meetings. 								
Sugge	sted Measures: Annual summary report o	f BPIE priority indicators and resulting improvement efforts	and outc	omes.						
Note:	See indicator #2; BPIE results should be em	bedded as goals in a plan for short-term and long-term im	provemen	t.						
Comm	Comments:									

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

		Domain: Communication and	Collab	oration	1			
			Im	plementa	ation Sta	tus		
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not Partially		E. U.	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence		
			yet	В	Α	Fully		
33.	The school uses a person-centered planning process for SWDs.	 There is an established protocol for facilitating a smooth transition for SWDs from grade to grade and school to school. Personnel use the Circles of Friends activity to identify natural learning and social/friendship supports for SWDs in general education contexts. Person-centered planning is used for SWDs to plan for independent living, postsecondary education and careers. SWDs have opportunities to learn and practice skills associated with self-determination. Personnel use the Students Transitioning to Adult Roles (STAR) process for SWDs transitioning from school to postsecondary education. Personnel use the Planning Alternative Tomorrows with Hope (PATH) or McGill Action Planning System (MAPS) to determine person-centered planning goals for the future in general education and community agencies and postsecondary education institutions in person-centered planning. 					There is an established protocol for facilitating a smooth transition for SWDs from grade to grade and school to school	
Note: Apper Apper	The input of all stakeholders, including fami	or transition, STAR, PATH, MAPS, and Circles of Friends grap ly members and peers, should be considered in determinir e terms person centered planning and self-determination. I	ig the sup	ports nee	ded for SV	VDs to ach	nieve the most desirable outcome. Please see the	

School-Level Self-Assessment 2018-19

Domain: Communication and Collaboration										
			Im	plement	ation Stat	tus				
	Indicator	Examples or Evidence of Practice	Not	Part	tially	Fully	Data Sources/Supporting Evidence			
			yet	В	Α	Tuny				
34.	School uses a team decision-making process to ensure SWDs transition from grade to grade, school to school and district to district to ensure placement in the LRE.					The school provides opportunities and transportation for a student with autism spectrum disorder, transitioning from one school to another. ASD coach and ESE specialist visit schools and programs to determine proper placement a successful transition of students with disabilities.				
	Aligns with District BPIE Indicator #10.	nistrator interviews, district and school articulation plans, p								
-	nents:									

School Priority Indicators 35. Based on your team's final indicator ratings, please specify your school's priority indicators by selecting them on the list below. When you have selected your priority indicators, please click on the "Save" button in the toolbar above and email your completed BPIE to your ESE administrator. 1. School analyzes data to identify barriers and initiate improvement steps that increase the number of students in gen. ed. 2. The school has developed, and regularly monitors progress for, goals related to short- and long-term improvement efforts to implement and improve inclusive educational practices, as measured by the BPIE. 3. School has a key person who coordinates and monitors the implementation of inclusive education. 4. School administrators advocate for all SWDs to have the same school choice options as typical peers. 5. School data reflect that all SWDs are educated in gen. ed. contexts 80% or more of the day. 6. School data reflect that all SWDs, ages 3-5, receive special education and related services in regular Pre-K and kindergarten classes. 7. Administrators communicate expectations for all personnel to share responsibility for all students. 8. Administrators facilitate the use of resources to implement best practices for inclusive education. 9. Administrators communicate expectations for all personnel to use person first language. 10. Administrators use job interview questions to appraise an applicant's knowledge and beliefs pertaining to inclusive practices. 11. Administrators advocate for all SWDs to be transported to and from school with typical peers attending the same school. 12. All SWDs have the same opportunities as typical peers to participate in all school-sponsored, non-academic, age-appropriate activities. 13. All SWDs are given equal consideration for recognition through honors, awards, etc. 14. Administrators analyze data to identify staff professional development and technical assistance needs related to inclusion. 15. Administrators facilitate job-embedded professional development on inclusive practices for all school personnel. 16. Administrators facilitate job-embedded, technical assistance on inclusive practices for all school personnel. 17. Administrators ensure collaborative planning time is reflected in general and special educator schedules and instructional plans. 18. Specials, electives, and technical education teachers have regular opportunities to consult with special education teachers. 19. General and special education teachers use the Florida Standards as the foundation for instruction of all SWDs, including those with a significant cognitive disability. \times 20. A multi-tiered system of student supports and problem-solving process is used for all students with and without disabilities. 21. Instructional and related services personnel use formative assessment to analyze and evaluate data about effective instruction and behavior interventions for SWDs. 22. Teachers of SWDs who spend less than 80% of their day in general education classes use formative assessment data to identify effective instructional and behavioral interventions. 23. There is a school wide approach to facilitate positive, interdependent relationships and social responsibility among all students. 24. There is a school wide approach for planning and implementing Universal Design for Learning. \times 25. There are a variety of service delivery models in place, across all grade levels, to provide instruction and related services for SWDs in gen. ed. 26. All paraprofessionals receive professional development on ways to support SWDs in gen. ed.

\times	27. All special education	n teachers are co	llaborative members	of a gen. e	d. curriculum team.

28. General and special education teachers regularly plan instruction together.

29. Family members of SWDs are contributing members of school decision-making groups.

30. Learning opportunities and resources are provided to families of SWD.

31. All personnel consider family members as a resource and obtain their input in planning and problem-solving.

32. Reports of progress toward implementing inclusion are disseminated to families, district personnel, and community members annually.

33. School uses a person-centered planning process for SWDs.

34. School uses a team decision-making process to ensure SWDs transition to and maintain placement in the least restrictive environment.

Name and Title of team members completing this BPIE Self Assessment

Severina Christoff -Autism Coach Lauren Gordon - ESE Facilitator John Vetter - Principal