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MR. HILLBERG: Okay. We can start the meeting. I apologize for being late.

Do we need help with the feedback? You need me to be closer?

BECON: Yes.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. All right. We'll go ahead and call the meeting to order. And we do have a quorum, so we are able to conduct the business of the committee. So, good.

The first item is the Approval of Mr. Parth Patel. He's attended the last three meetings, so it shows he has stick-to-itiveness and he has my vote on that, alone, but his qualifications are -- are certainly more than adequate.

Is there any need for discussion from the members of this committee?
(No response.)
MR. HILBERG: Hearing none, $I$ will ask for a motion to approve?

MS. KRISHNAIYER: So moved.
MR. HILBERG: And a second?

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Second.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. All in favor?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
MR. HILLBERG: All those opposed?
(No response.)
MR. HILLBERG: So, welcome, Mr. Patel.
MR. PATEL: Thank you. Glad to be here.
MR. HILLBERG: All right. So we had a little discussion about the meeting minutes for the prior meetings and the last meeting we didn't have a quorum so we couldn't conduct any business, so it wasn't official so those minutes aren't really on the table for approval, so that takes us back to the prior meeting, which would be the first quarter meeting.

And does anybody on the committee have any comments on approving the minutes from the first quarter meeting?
(No response.)
MR. HILLBERG: You were here for that so you can approve them.

Okay. So can I have a motion to approve the meeting minutes from the first quarter?

CHIEF DEMOPOULOUS: Tom Demopoulos, so moved.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Second.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Anybody opposed? I'm sure we can skip the voting part; is that okay?

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Uh-huh.
MR. HILLBERG: So the minutes from the first quarter are approved.

We move along to presentations. As the agenda suggests TaxWatch will follow with comments for each one and I think Bob looks like he's ready to -- he looks perturbed, so I will proceed with caution. Okay. So Quarterly Highlights?

MS. LANGAN: Good evening. Good evening. Good evening. Kathleen Langan, AECOM Program Director for the SMART Program.

We'll begin with just the overall quarterly highlights for the Facilities. I wish I could see that. I'm not sure if it's dental surgery that I had, so, hopefully, I'll speak clear enough for you all to understand.

So we had -- we continue to have success with the SMART Program. We closed out an additional 11 projects. So we're up to 80 projects that we closed out. This is just for the SMART Program, this is not for all of the capital programs that we manage.

The number of delayed projects decreased from 38 to 28 this quarter. Of the 28 projects
delayed, 16.5 have already completed the contract work. We have been given change order work, so that will hold up the 110b.

We have a total of two projects that moved out of design into hire contractor, decreasing the total number of projects in design by six. And I'll let the committee know that three of those projects are, actually, in legal issues, so we are working through those and expect to have a mediation with that firm, I would say, in the next few weeks, and we will basically just de-scope that work from them and perform it under a different delivery method. So that will leave -- that leaves, really, three projects that we have in design.

A total of 44 projects are ahead of schedule and I want to make it clear that this is based on the reset schedule. I think one of the board members at the last meeting had a concern that, you know, the public didn't really understand that we reset the schedule in late ' 20 and in '21. So I want to make that clear.

The first S/M/WBE Prime Contractor Onboarding conference took place in March. We -- AECOM held that in order to try and improve $S / M / W B E$
participation with prime contractors. We had attended two School Advisory Council meetings, SAC meetings, and this is where the team takes the project that's going to happen on that school and we present and give an update and get feedback. We had a community meeting at Mary Bethune. I'm sure you all know Mary Bethune is moving into the demolition this summer. We'll get that accomplished, I hope, by the time school starts. But the issue there was to talk to the community about what they -- what vision they had for that campus. So we got a lot of great feedback, and, in fact, a lot of feedback from the students, wanting a dinosaur playground and all kinds of cool stuff, so it was a good meeting.

We had a ribbon cutting ceremony to celebrate the conclusion of the North Lauderdale Elementary's media center. And we've started doing this celebration with media centers, culinary labs, you know, sometimes without having a new building or something big to celebrate, so we want to recognize that school and some of the improvements that have been made there.

And then we're still tracking for substantial
completion October 25th, just for the SMART Program. If you track some of the school board meetings, you'll notice that there's a lot of discussion about reaching substantial completion on that date. The district provided the board with a resolution that they had requested. So all of that is on track and moving forward.

MR. WHITNEY: I just want to make sure everybody knows that we included a copy of resolution in the quarterly report. It's the first page, the resolution, so you can see what the board approved, that resolution.

MS. LANGAN: Thank you.
So the good news is, in addition to how the SMART Program in general is moving forward, School Choice, that is the program where every school received $\$ 100,000$, there was a process to having them request work, voting on the work, getting the work done, and we are on track to finish that program at the end of the month. We've been clearing out a lot of purchase orders, transferring moneys that -- small amounts of moneys that were left. So that's -- that's great news. And then we'll be moving that staff into the construction team so that we have additional
people. We have a very busy summer ahead of us.
So just -- I guess I didn't -- my slide doesn't have, if you want to pop back, yeah, I guess I didn't note that, so project planning, that's really, those projects are really not SMART projects, those are -- the Bethune project is SMART, but I'm not sure of that number.

As talked about we said six in design. We only have six in hire contractor now. We have 207 in the construction and 80 that are going through the construction closeout phase.

I will say that hiring the contractor, we did experience some delays there. You may know that we -- board member Ryan Ritter, when he was on the board, suggested a two-phase process for procurement, an RFP and a hard bid at the same time. So if the contractor met the qualifications they would open up the bids and determine who the awardee would be. We're -we're positive and happy about that. We've got some very difficult projects coming up, very challenging, complex HVAC projects where we're in every building, detailed and difficult phasing plans, so that suggestion and moving forward with it has been great. So we're looking forward.

We've awarded two of those so far. Well, we've got to take them to the board, but we're recommending two and we expect another bid in over the next two to three weeks.

The Safety Status, the single point of entry, obviously, those have been completed for some time. We have fire alarms, 84 under construction and the sprinkler fire protection we have 66 .

MS. CARPENTER: Kathleen?
MS. LANGAN: Yes.
MS. CARPENTER: There's a video before safety and security.

MS. LANGAN: I'm sorry?
MS. CARPENTER: The video is ready.
MS. LANGAN: Oh, I forgot about, they did a video. Sorry. Thank you, Ashley.
(Video played.)
MS. LANGAN: Pretty cool; huh?
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Is that on the website?
MS. LANGAN: Yeah, it's on Futures; yeah.
Okay. Now we're at Status \& Timeframes for the Safety status. So you can see the numbers here. We've got, as I mentioned, 84 construction fire alarm and 66 on fire sprinkler. The totals are 100 and 89 respectively. And we -- we have
more details on that for the committee so that you can see exactly on the projects that may be behind or at risk where those elements of work are. So we'll -- we'll touch on that. And there we go. There it is.

So you can see that what we tried to do for the committee is, obviously, list the school, the percent complete, but then the status. So that if the project wasn't 100 percent complete, what was the status of the fire alarm or the fire sprinkler work? I won't read through all of those, but I think, you know, just to highlight, in a lot of these fire alarm is complete and final inspections are in progress. On Atlantic Technical College fire alarm is complete. Resolving the portables and adding scope to get final inspection, that's Castle Hill. All work completed, Embassy Creek. We had some issues at Driftwood, just the fire alarm submittals have been delayed. We've been adding additional devices on that. Lauderdale Lakes, final inspections are being scheduled. Pompano Beach a 110b was issued and final documentation is in process. Pompano Beach Middle, the fire alarm is complete and finishing restroom modifications.

So just to just give you all a status on the safety and security work.

MR. HILLBERG: Bob, did you have -- Mr. Nave, did you have any comments?

MR. NAVE: Yeah, I wanted to ask a question. The ones that are shown in the Safety Status, those are just fire alarms and fire sprinklers; they don't include the Safety \& Security features that are bundled in with other primary renovation projects?

MS. LANGAN: They don't include that. My understanding from the team was that not all of that work was included in all of these projects. So if it was it should be on this list. I can go back and check that.

MR. NAVE: I don't understand that.
MS. LANGAN: So if there weren't replacement of lighting, emergency lighting, the doors, the exterior security doors, things like that, if those weren't identified in the projects, then she did not list them on here. She only listed the fire alarm or fire sprinkler.

So if there's questions about scope I can certainly go back and check that.

MR. NAVE: We've been doing that and we
include that in our report.
MS. LANGAN: Okay. Okay. Well, I'll make a note and make sure that we have those in the scope.

MR. NAVE: And, Chief, $I$ think that was a comment you made a couple meetings ago. That's when we started doing this deeper dive into Safety \& Security issues.

MS. LANGAN: I'll check on the scope.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: There were other things that are not within the scope of the SMART Program that deal with safety; are there not?

MS. LANGAN: I think that's what he's referring to.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Uh-huh.
MS. LANGAN: And if they weren't in the scope of these particular projects, then we didn't highlight that.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Okay.
MS. LANGAN: But, certainly, yes, there are emergency lighting, there's regular lighting, there's exit signage, those kinds of things. So I'm going to go back and check to make sure that that scope is not included here. If it is, I'll come back to report to the committee.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: So, just to clarify my, I guess, perspective, on the safety parts of it, so lots of -- a number of years ago the Fire Chief's Association was told that with this bond money there would be facilities that had no sprinklers and/or had no fire alarms that would be, and I've said this in many meetings, that would be retrofitted, if you will, and have those safety devices, which, to this date, I have not gotten any list or anything from the district. That was the original point of this, not so much, you know, this room has fire sprinklers, we're renovating this room, yes, we're moving sprinklers around in this, which is great, but that wasn't the original intent nor the ask many meetings ago.

So, personally, from the fire standpoint, unless you all want to do a deeper dive, which is fine, but that does not help my brain associate with those years, and years, and years ago promises of --

MS. LANGAN: Okay. So just so I can summarize, so I'm clear on exactly what you're asking us, you're asking us to go back to the original scope of work from MAPS and tell you if,
how many buildings have new fire alarm and/or fire protection included in the those scopes? CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: So we're --

MS. LANGAN: I'm not sure. Shelley could probably speak to that.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: And I don't know what document that would be in or what scope that would be in.

MS. LANGAN: It's called MAPS.
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Okay.
MS. LANGAN: And we would have to go through -- it's in a database and we would have to go through that and go to every school and see what the original scope was. And, actually, we can -okay.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: That is our goal and that was our main objective is, years ago, like I said, there was a list that said, hey these, I'm making this up, 10 schools have no fire sprinklers, $X$ amount of dollars will be allocated to that, yay, great. That's our true concern at this moment, not so much --

MS. LANGAN: If they had nothing.
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Correct. If they had nothing and now we're improving it by adding
that, not so much that they have it and now we're modifying the existing.

MS. LANGAN: Okay.
MS. MELONI: But then, also, it would be what was funded in the DEFP, the budget book, just to get clarification. Because, obviously, if something was identified in MAPS and not funded we don't have that as a project.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Yeah.
MS. MELONI: Okay. Just to get
clarification.
MR. WHITNEY: If I could provide just a little bit of clarity. So we keep hearing MAPS, we keep hearing DEFP. So MAPS is when the original needs assessment was done there was a database package that was kind of used by the engineers that did that called MAPS, and that's why we're calling this MAPS. And so they identified all these deficiencies and then through the process with the school board a package of those deficiencies was identified to be funded and that's what became the SMART Program, which was the $\$ 800$ million bond, plus the additional capital money that we're working on today.

And so not everything that was identified in MAPS, in fact, a lot of stuff that was identified in MAPS didn't get funded because at the time it was like a $\$ 3$ billion need and we, obviously, only funded close to a billion of that. So I think as we're going through the long-range facilities plan, that we're doing, as we're preparing for what do we do next after we finish this program, that will be highlighted as a concern to make --

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: And, no, and I appreciate that, so thank you for saying that. And I think this was mentioned, I saw it in the meeting minutes somewhere, can we do a better job then of identifying just the general obligations bond projects? Because in our resolution it simply says the committee provides external oversight for the bond projects only. So, not that $I$ don't care about all this other stuff, which is great, but $I$ don't care about, from this seat, all this other stuff.

MS. LANGAN: And you should only be looking at SMART projects.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: What page is that? Where do I only see just the SMART stuff? Maybe we
need to do a different job of identifying that.
MS. LANGAN: This report will only carry SMART projects is what I'm saying to you.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: But a few slides ago, and, I'm sorry, then I'll stop talking, but you said, I think it was one or two slides ago, all of them were not --

MS. LANGAN: Yeah, there was four projects and I'm not clear that those are all SMART projects. I did say that. But in general terms we only report to you guys on SMART projects. I think the issue, also, as Jeff mentioned, while MAPS shows all of the deficiencies, it shows the highlighted -- it puts in highlighted what was funded. So that's number one.

So we have put together the new conditions, facilities condition assessment RFQ that I'm hopeful will go out to the street within the next four to five weeks. So that'll get updated. But all -- as Jeff said, all of the deficiencies were listed, it's just what was funded. And that is definitely in that -- in that MAPS.

MS. CARPENTER: So the two things that I wanted to mention is, number one, yes, definitely, everything here related to Safety

Status is only the bond projects, SMART Program projects.

Secondly, everything listed here is -- for fire sprinklers, is new. So there wouldn't be anything listed here as a project that was -let's say we were renovating a media center and we had to modify the fire sprinkler, that wouldn't be captured here because that's just part of the media center renovation. It's not taking a non-sprinklered building and making it sprinklered. So that's the second thing.

And then the third thing is that the fire alarm projects, everything here, the 100 projects here, are all entire campus fire alarm replacements. So, I mean, to my knowledge, all these schools already have fire alarms, but they're getting a new, you know, upgraded fire alarm replacement project. So those aren't piecemeal, whereas the sprinkler, you know, it might be on a campus, one of these 89 projects, it might be just one building that's getting a sprinkler, it might be several. It depended on what the chief fire official assessed that campus, assessed their need as. But this is all new fire sprinklers.

MS. LANGAN: Maybe we can clarify that on the report. And, you know, somewhere as an attachment show you what schools that is, that includes.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Going back to the original question of -- I don't know about MAPS, but originally when the bond, when we were floating the bond, advocating for the bond, there was a list of what every specific school would get. So that list should still be available and that should show what every school is getting under the SMART Bond project.

MS. LANGAN: There is.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Right.
MS. LANGAN: And we call it MAPS.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Right.
MS. LANGAN: I apologize for the terminology, but, yes, you are absolutely correct, and that is in MAPS.

MS. CARPENTER: And those deficiency lists are still on the Broward Schools website. So you can still pull up, you know, I forget the actual address of it, but you can click through to it through the main home page and click on the school and see the deficiency list for what came
out of that original database.
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Can that link be sent to us?

MS. CARPENTER: Oh, yeah, sure.
MR. WHITNEY: I was just making a note of that.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Thank you. I appreciate that.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Because it's not that easy to navigate that website when you're looking for a specific thing, even policies.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Thank you.
MS. LANGAN: Okay. So next we can move to Schedule Variances. So, obviously, this mentions the 2020 reset and substantial completion October of ' 25.

At the end of this reporting period we had 28 projects as we previously mentioned that were delayed in achieving substantial completion or a 110b. 16.5, I mean we already kind of gave these statistics, but 16.5 of the 28 delayed projects have completed their contract work.

So as you look at some of these you can see the reasons why these projects, these 28 projects are delayed. Some is change order work that's
been requested by the owner. Some are -actually, we're only showing one as material shortage or supplier delays. 7 projects are delayed due to contractor issues. And then 4.5 are due to design errors or design omissions.

MR. HILLBERG: Any comment, Mr. Nave?
MR. NAVE: I'd like to hold my comments --
MR. HILLBERG: Okay.
MR. NAVE: -- if I can.
MR. HILLBERG: Certainly.
MS. LANGAN: Continue?
MR. HILLBERG: Yes, please.
MS. LANGAN: Okay. So the Big 3 Status, this is an area where Bob and I did talk and I understood what he meant when there's some coordination issues on status or in some cases scope between the spotlights and then between the Big 3 pullout. Basically, that we put more detail in the spotlights, so we've got to look to see how we can better coordinate that.

But the Blanch Ely High School, I think there's always a little confusion on this one because we finished the contract work with the exception of two inspection reports that added change orders and we're trying to work through
those to see if those are really needed to be paid for under SMART.

The other work that we're managing there, which is not SMART work, we've been doing the switchgear replacements, the bus loop, you know -- bus loop replacement or, actually, putting a new bus loop in. So when people see us there or see projects there may be some confusion, because just because we finish the SMART project doesn't mean we've left the school. So, anyway, this is Blanch Ely.

And then we move to, what's the next one, Northeast? And this is one, I think, that we had some confusion between the two documents, which we have -- the recommendation from Florida TaxWatch recommends the district brief the BOC at its June meeting on the status of primary renovations at Northeast. The briefing will address or should address status of Building 7, which is complete with the exception of the roof because we're adding two -- some of the scope, don't ask me why, I don't know, but some of the scope was combined between the new addition and the GOB. And in Building 7 that is the case. We have two rooftop units that go onto the roof that
have not been placed yet, and that kind of delayed the roof there. But everything else in Building 7 is complete. Building 12 is complete. Actually, Building 12 has been complete for two years. I think there must have been confusion there with regard to where it belonged or how we show it. And then Buildings 15 and 25, the fire alarm work was complete and they were working on the final inspections.

All future reports will include an update on all buildings that are included in the scope of work. And we apologize for that oversight.

The new addition, which has -- you know, new work always goes faster than renovations. That's for sure. So we're about 45 percent complete. You saw some of the images in the video. They're installing drywall. But we're all vertical. They're installing the windows. The shell and framing are complete and the roofing is installed. So the contractor has made good progress on that project.

Stranahan High School, this is another project that the contract work is complete. The contractor, when we started closing out, they had, I believe it was 120 or 130 open failed
inspections. That is -- so we have gotten into it and we have a staff person that is helping to close these with the contractor. We have a number of projects that are change order work that we are not giving to that contractor. He actually hasn't been back to the site -actually, he's left his field office there for some time. We're going to have to probably move that and charge him.

But we are doing things, like the kiln rooms at Building 6. We're doing a Castaldi report on Building 7. We are doing some roofing repairs. We're doing some change orders on the roof, on two of the roofs. We're doing some drainage work that was a change order. So that work is ongoing and we expect to complete that this summer.

Actions Taken by the District to Reduce/Minimize Design and Construction Delays, I think we've talked about this at a couple of meetings.

Bob and I talked briefly before this started and I think there is a concern -- oh, where did we go? So I guess we're saying we did nothing?

So I think it's in the follow-up, the
TaxWatch follow-up, and we had --
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MS. MELONI: There's a follow-up.
MS. LANGAN: I'm sorry?
MS. MELONI: There's a follow-up.
MS. LANGAN: Yeah. Yeah. I'm not exactly sure. Kira, can you speak to this, as far as -are you talking about this?

MR. NAVE: There you go. Well, the delays.
It's not -- it's not there, so we'll have to look at that.

But if you go back to the Change Orders Approved this Quarter, this is the sum of the change orders, amount this quarter, the owner requests, the consultant error, consultant omissions and unforeseen conditions. And there's the total. The sum of the actual change orders is listed there, not the errors or omissions amount that the district has had to incur outside of the value of the work.

Please go to the next slide.
This is a representation of the Cumulative Change Orders, and it represents the total change order percentage of 1.2 percent of change orders on the program.

96 percent of the change orders represented is value that the district received.

Here's the recommendation that I mentioned previously. I got ahead of myself.

So TaxWatch recommends the district brief the BOC for reasons for assigning a high level of risk at the four elementary schools identified and what is being done to mitigate the high level of risk.

So the concern was, this really was unresponsive because we didn't give in the narrative the basis for the delay. So I can share with you that, for example, on Collins Elementary School, the status is there, all safety and security scope has been completed with exception of kitchen tie-in to the fire alarm system, which is being done. That hood and the restrooms are being worked on. I don't have a basis for that delay.

If we move to the next one, that is Embassy Creek, and that is, actually, from change orders at the end of the project. So that's preventing -- the fire alarm work is 100 percent complete, but it's preventing us from achieving substantial completion.

The next one, for Stirling, the contractor was terminated and the bonding company took over
the project and they are currently bidding out the work that was not done by the original contractor.

Winston Park, again, change orders. The contract work is complete, but there's change orders that are being addressed.

Okay. Next slide?
MR. WHITNEY: Before we move on to the next section, if we could at this point, Mrs. Marte wanted the chief building official to talk a little bit about some of the actions that they've taken in regards to these construction delays, and they're not really, they don't really have anything in the presentation, I thought this would be a good time for her to talk while we're in the section on delays, so --

MS. TARRAU-AYALA: Good evening. Perla Tarrau-Ayala, Chief Building Official. I'm here today because a lot has been said about the Building Department creating constant delays in this program. And understand, the Building Department, at the Building Department, we are regulators of the code, but we are also constantly engineering solutions to complete the projects. We are nothing more than a part of the
team and the protectors of the district and the taxpayers to make sure that what we bid and what we permitted gets done in the schools.

With that said, we have 12 vacancies in our department. We are being supplemented through a building code services program that the district has. With that we have 16 additional employees we were able to acquire. We just got a new roofer because the roofing program is very aggressive.

After we published the book or after the book was published for this quarter Kathleen and I sat down and we went over the different variances. On the variances we had eight projects listed as the Building Department was causing delays. We completed five and three are pending final inspection.

We are finding that as we get closer to the finals and to be able to issue a 110b, which is the certificate of occupancy, a lot of inspections have been called by the contractors repeatedly and they fail and they fail again and then we are being left holding in some projects over 50 failed tickets that we have to resolve at some point. So, that's how the PMOR and the

Building Department is working together to get the program finished and to get the projects closed.

So we're not, by no means, the enemy. We are part of the team and we are here to protect the district and the taxpayers. Thank you.

MR. HILLBERG: Thank you.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Going back to the change orders, did they come after the work was completed? I'm not getting it.

MS. LANGAN: Sometimes. Sometimes they do.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: So they don't --
MS. LANGAN: Sometimes they're issued beforehand, but by the time they're processed, or in some cases, you know, the contractor is focused on his contract work and waits to do the change order work, we -- as Perla actually said, we issue what is called a construction change directive. And those have been significantly helpful in directing contractors so that we don't delay the progress of the work through change orders, no matter what the change orders are. If -- so that -- that's very helpful.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: And who's paying for that? Does it still come from SMART bond money or --

MS. LANGAN: Oh, yes. Yes, absolutely.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Okay. And have they been -- these change orders have been approved by the board already?

MS. LANGAN: Yeah, I mean, there's a Policy 8000 that we follow and anything under a certain amount the superintendent has authorization to approve, everything else goes to the board. If we reach the cap on, you know, one percent cap of the value of the construction contract, even if it's a small change, that still goes to the board. Yes. Absolutely.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Okay.
MS. LANGAN: Again, these renovation projects are very challenging and they're challenging for the entire team, that's the PMOR and the Building Department, because, you know, you go out to these projects and if there's deficiencies that have been there languishing, you know, that are not code compliant for years, you know, there are certain times and certain elements of that that we have to make right and bring it up to code. So --

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Thank you.
MR. HILLBERG: And if that wasn't in the
original scope you were stuck with it anyway.
MS. LANGAN: And a lot of times you wouldn't have even, you wouldn't have known that. So we had a project that all the above ceiling electrical wiring was not per code. And while we were only in a very small section of that building or that room, that's what the A\&E's looked at, but when you opened up the ceiling and when, the inspector, you know, looks at that, you can't have those kinds of code deficiencies allowed, so, yeah.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: That would also be a safety issue because we have kids in there.

MS. LANGAN: Yeah. Absolutely. Absolutely.
MR. WHITNEY: And I'll further add to that, in the project budgets there's a contingency for change orders. And, you know, if -- as Kathleen was explaining, as we go through the different percentages that eventually require the board's approval, there's also the potential that, occasionally, one of the projects will exceed what was in that budget and the board is then asked for additional, you know, budget authority to move money out of our SMART Reserve into that project for that. That said, program-wide we're
still 1.2 percent, about 1.2 percent of our change orders, which for this kind of a renovation program is really phenomenal, actually.

MR. HILLBERG: Thank you.
You had something Chief Demopoulos?
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Yeah, a few things. I'll apologize for all of this beforehand.

So about things not being up to code and stuff, which I'm very familiar with, our codes, building, fire stuff changes every three years. And it's impractical to think that a building built in the 770 is up to code today, but there's also code provisions that says you don't need to bring them up to code today because it's impractical. Granted, a, like, safety concern, I get that that's something that you would have to do. So a business decision would have to be made on the district's behalf to say, hey, listen, do we want to invest in this upgrade today while things are open? So I get that.

But just for everybody's benefit, just because something's not up to code, doesn't mean you have to make it up to code today. That's not true at all.

I will also say there are a ton of owner delays, whether this is -- I mean, on page 70 of your report, not the TaxWatch, the other one, and 71 there's some, during final inspections of the roof the building inspector directed two rooftop units and two exhaust fans to be replaced. This is out of scope -- this is out of scope work.

There are tons of these in these pages, so my question is, why are we adding all of this stuff here?

MS. LANGAN: We're not now. What we've done is, Perla and I are working with actually PPO and the ESSER funds. We've been able to identify scopes of work, all -- mechanical equipment is what the focus is, or exhaust fans or whatever you have on the roof, we've been able to identify those items and then PPO commits to the Building Department that those will be replaced or fixed or, you know, whatever has to be done.

Previously, we did not have that option.
When the district got the funding from ESSER it really opened up an opportunity for us to look at how we could manage this process differently and, you know, prevent this from happening. So I think it's been very successful.

MS. TARRAU-AYALA: Yes, it has.
MS. LANGAN: You know, PPO writes a letter, we give them all the information, they write the letter to commit to the Building Department and then ESSER funds are used to make those upgrades or replacements, whatever the case may be.

MR. WHITNEY: And $I$ just quickly want to clarify, ESSER is the Elementary Secondary School Education Relief Act. It is the federal program that came out of COVID and they are funds that have been given to school districts and governmental organizations across the country. And so we're tapping into those resources.

MR. HILLBERG: Could you forgive me, please, but I don't remember what PPO is.

MS. LANGAN: Physical Plant Operation.
MR. WHITNEY: It's how we say maintenance. It's our maintenance department.

MR. HILLBERG: Physical Plant Operations. All right. Got it. Thank you.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: I've asked this question before. ESSER funds were not just for construction. Is there a list of what ESSER funds were used for in Broward schools? Because we've been trying to get that list and I see -- I
don't see anywhere where every ESSER fund went to. I mean, where the money went to. Because it had a lot of stuff in it. It was not just for construction.

MR. WHITNEY: In fact, out of the total of the ESSER funding I'd say a fairly small share of it was for construction and much more was used in other district initiatives around making some recovery to the education gaps that occurred during the at-home learning.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Yeah, that's why I'm asking.

MR. WHITNEY: And so that's something, that's information that our grants budget office or -she's not a director, but there's a section within our budget office that deals specifically with grants and they would have information on the global ESSER and how it's -- because there's budget amendments that go to the board that approve where the different allocations of ESSER go and so, I mean, those are official records of school board what's been approved into the different buckets across the whole ESSER.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Yeah, but that information is not readily available. And, you know, I'm
just trying to ask, I've asked before, where can we get that information?

MR. WHITNEY: I don't know, but I will make that as an item here and we're going to talk about later in the presentation about how we deal with responses to the committee members, responses to the public when it comes up because that was one of the recommendations in the TaxWatch report, so when we get there we'll talk about that a little bit, too, but I'll make sure that we get the information for you.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: So thank you for addressing that, I guess, owner delays or out of scope items found during field inspections and things like that will be carved out and dealt with through PPO and through other avenues, because it is disheartening to see owner delay, owner delay because an inspector at the last minute, whether it's Fire or Building, finds something not in the scope, $I$ know, that $I$ would not be employed if $I$ continued to do that, especially on my own city building. To me, that's unacceptable. I don't know how you all continue to allow that, but --

MS. TARRAU-AYALA: May I say something?

United Reporting, Inc.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: -- please make note of it that we are not -- we, the Fire, are not happy that we as a district continue to add things that are out of scope and delay projects. So if that can somehow be carved out or however you all do it.

MS. TARRAU-AYALA: Right. And that's what we're doing. It's not that we are finding items at the last minute, it's that as we go through the project, we find an item, and it might come out at the last minute because now we're going to do final inspections and we have all these items. So it's not that we found them at the last minute. We know they're there and we need to -for example, we have Griffin Elementary, Kathleen, that was a project where the contractor said -- actually, the contractor was let go or he left the project, and we were trying to close the project, and we found out that the fans had never been called for inspection. Well, the code requires that if you install fans you need to have dampers because the air can't just go through, we need to be able to close the damper, and we couldn't tell whether or not the new fans that had been installed or the fans that were
there that were removed to do the roof and had been installed, if the dampers were installed. So we used the ESSER program because we didn't have a contractor on the job anymore. We used the ESSER program, PPO came out, installed the fans. And now we're able to proceed to close the project.

So it's not always that we find it at the end. We find it throughout the project, but at the end is where it washes out. So we're just trying to close the project and find avenues to get there without --

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: And I understand that and I appreciate that. Thank you.

I guess my concern is, through MAPS there's a scope, right, and then your contractors provide drawings, which goes to your department and others to be reviewed and approved; right? Then your inspectors go out to only check the drawings. And anything that's not on the drawings is not part of the scope and should not be part of my inspection. So when I go out and I say, hey, this ladder looks rusted and should be replaced, hey, let's just add it to this, is not acceptable in Tommy's opinion and is not what I'm
-- that's my main thing that I'm talking, when I see these and it talks about, Building Department requested a not in scope new roof hatch ladder replacement at Chapel Trail, again, I don't know, this doesn't give me enough information to say, is that near the scope, is it in the plans? But we have failed if it's not on the MAPS and then it's not on the plan that your department and other departments have reviewed years ago, and then we do the inspection, it's just -- again, I do this every day, and so do you, I would be fired if I did a lot of the things that you all do and are doing.

So Tommy is not accepting this.
MS. LANGAN: If $I$ can comment on the fire or the ladders, Perla and I did spend a lot of time looking at these things because there are a lot of issues, obviously, in these buildings and what we did was we put the ladders as an inspection requirement on the new Facility Condition Assessment List so we can get an idea. A lot of these things, I guess, I'm sure you guys know, you need to develop annual programs for to be able to get these things taken care of. And I think that's an area that with this new Facility

Condition Assessment and really Space Utilization Study, this data will help serve the district to be able to build some of those smaller programs to make sure these things don't happen in the future.

MS. TARRAU-AYALA: Not only that, a lot of the schools were built prior to the time that OSHA came out. So now OSHA has a new standard for ladders. So we go to the school, we find a ladder that doesn't comply with the new OSHA regulation, but like you said, the school was built in '96, so how could it comply, but we still need to get to the roof, so we still need somehow to comply with the regulation in the future. So we put it in that program where this ladder now that was impeding the project from closing out, now that is in another program where we're going to go replace that ladder because now we know it's not there.

We also found out throughout the program that sometimes our consultants wouldn't go out to the schools and they would provide drawings to the Building Department which were not correct and according to the field condition. And that's where a lot of the problems began to happen.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: That's where you, as a district, and me, as an owner, failed. If I hire you to come to my building to do something, somebody should say, yes, that meets my needs of this building.

So my last question -- not last, but my last question right now, so $I$ know a while ago and in the report we were trending nine to 10 reviews, has enough time gone by to see if that number has decreased to some acceptable, like, what are we looking at now?

MS. LANGAN: What we're looking at now is we have two reviews and if we can't secure a permit or resolution to issues in those two reviews we have a standup review. And I believe that's working tremendously. So that's a process that we've enacted and we're three reviews. Now, there's times when that doesn't hold, where we may need four, but it is so much better. And, you know, of course, it is trending towards the end of the program in design, but I think it's a lesson learned for us for when the next bond program comes along.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Thank you.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: I just need a
clarification. When you say "owner delay", is that a decision made -- who makes that decision? Is the school principal involved in that decision or is it just made by -- who makes the decision and calls it an owner delay? Because, you know, the perception is, because it's not clear, the perception to the average community person is that it's something that the school requested. That is why I'm asking for clarification. MS. LANGAN: Sure. Not typically. Principals don't dictate scope. There's times when a principal might say, hey, you know, I would really like this material instead of that material or -- and if we catch it, if she says something or he says something in time, that's no big deal, we can definitely do that. But they don't -- they are not allowed to dictate scope. So in the beginning of when we arrived, I think, you know, what was happening was, it was more of an inspector driven and then our PMs would say, oh, you need -- we need to do this in order to, you know, move the project forward. So I think it was a combination. And then, obviously, Shelley's department at the time was involved and they would participate in those
decision makings.
Now, we don't allow our PMs to make those kinds of decisions. It's inappropriate. You know, we just -- we bring it up the ladder, we talk to pre-construction, we talk with the Building Department, and those decisions are much more scrutinized now. They really are. It's totally different than when $I$ first got here.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Thank you.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. We completed that and we're on to Primary Renovation Projects that are Experiencing Budget-Related Issues.

MS. LANGAN: Yeah, so, Ashley, do you want to take over?

MS. CARPENTER: Sure. Ashley Carpenter, with Atkins. So this slide is kind of a duplicate from the last presentation last quarter with the definitions of what the budget changes could be. And so I just wanted to kind of put that back in front of you so everybody remembers why we would be having these budget changes. Either we're awarding construction or we have a change order that now has exceeded the initial contingency that we had set aside for those change orders, so we might need additional funding to fund the
change order. Or if we're closing out the project and we're essentially sweeping some monies back to the SMART Program Reserve, that would be the other -- the other reason.

So in this report we had two construction award budget adjustments that totalled almost \$21 million. One of them was a huge project that $I$ think was, you know, 15 or 16 of that. And then we had 11 change order budget adjustments that totalled almost $\$ 300,000$ and three roof carveouts that we awarded, which required funding totalling 7.25 million.

So this is, you know, pretty standard. I mean, every quarter we see these budget changes for projects that get awarded that start construction. And, as you can see, the numbers are dwindling for projects that still need to go to construction, but we still anticipate needing, I don't know what we're -- what we're at now, but possibly still close to $\$ 100$ million from the SMART Program Reserve to -- to fully fund the project.

And then the next slide is around the Quarterly Risk Assessment. This quarter the risk assessment did go up in the -- both the 70
percent risk and the high level risk, about \$10 million. The reason is really -- well, I mean, several reasons, the market, obviously, you know, everybody can see the market, it continues to go up, but also we had some big scope issues, I'll say, related to like metal deck. I mean, I know one project in particular, Kathleen's been working on negotiating down, but it's a \$3 million metal deck replacement for one school that came up in the quarter as something that needed to move forward. So some of the --

MS. LANGAN: We're at 2.2 million though through the negotiations.

MS. CARPENTER: Yeah, she's been really working on that one. So -- but there were a couple of things like that that did come into play this quarter. And then just, again, aligning with the schedule, you know, this is -this is where we're at. I don't think -- I mean, we've worked pretty closely with Capital to ensure that we're aligned with what we think is coming up and what the needs are for funding the SMART Program and I don't think any of us were surprised, I'll say. I wasn't surprised.

MR. WHITNEY: So I just want to add to that a
little bit since we're -- you know, this is the third quarter report, but we're heavy into our budget adoption cycles, we've had five workshops with the school board, we've got a workshop scheduled actually Tuesday. You know, so we're on four-day workweeks now. So we're off tomorrow. We've actually shifted the four-day workweek next week for the Juneteenth recognition, so we're off on Monday, too. We come back on Tuesday, we've got a budget workshop 9:00 in the morning. One of the items for us to discuss on there with the school board members is that the SMART Reserve and the Risk Assessment have a little gap and so we've identified what's necessary for that to kind of discuss with them the unallocated reserves the district has and some positive -- continuing positive results in property value revenues that we'll receive are going to allow us to be able to discuss with them how we fund that and what we do. So we're working with them so that as we go through this budget adoption over the summer, so before we meet with you again, we will probably have addressed that with the board and have some direction and approvals to fill that gap in.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: I've said in the past and I like to say it, I guess, just the strong urge to look at these projects, if it was Tommy's Construction, $I$ don't know that I'd be doing a lot of this, personally, I would probably wait until the market crashed and then do -- you know, I know that may or may not be feasible for some of these projects, but my opinion is, if it's not something that has to be done now and not time commitments and legal bond type things that have to be spent, consideration should be discussed about what can be tabled or not tabled.

MR. HILLBERG: I would -- I would have to disagree with you. I'm sorry. I've done this a long time and the prices are going to be set and they're going to keep going up and they never stop. I don't know that they've ever had a big drastic decrease. Although, the great recession did -- did cause one of those things, but there's always the prediction that we're on the verge of the next big downturn and it just continues and continues. And so there's so much uncertainty with delays and the potential or lack of benefit for that, I wouldn't at all recommend that. And I'm sorry to disagree right here, but, no. Get
it done. Move quickly. Push it through. Get it over with.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Can you define quickly?
MR. WHITNEY: And I would add to that, besides just the cost of doing these things, the other side of that is that every -- every year we delay is another class of students we graduate out of the system that didn't get the benefit of these. And as a parent of students who came up through the system, that's very important. It's been made clear by our school board members with their resolution, which is now resolving to complete the program by October 31st, 2025, we're going to continue to move forward and deliver on this promised scope.

MS. LANGAN: If I may, though? I can assure you that part of our responsibility is to do just that, look at projects that, you know, should we be holding off for whatever reason? I can name, Dania is one. I think another one was, what's the school next to -- Broward Estates. We bid that project and the bids came in at -- well, the cost of the project was going to be over $\$ 9$ million. And because of the challenges with some of the surrounding elementary schools, and, you
know, some of those things, we did not move forward with that. So the school board is, we're looking to put some of those projects into the long-term plan so that we can reevaluate and make sure that, you know, the right decisions are being made. Now, that doesn't happen, obviously, on every project, but just know, certainly, that as we're talking about enrollment, under-enrolled schools, we are looking to say, well, wait a minute, should we be holding off on that? Or we're adding to the designers at Bethune, hey, we might want to -- the district in the future might want to add a classroom building there, so let's arrange the campus as we put a new admin building in such that we can, you know, plan for the future.

So just to, you know, assure you, obviously, it doesn't happen on every project, but we are looking at those things now.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: I want to add exactly that. Parents right from the beginning were talking about the fact that their kids, you know, we passed the bond, but their kids would be, you know, out of that school. So it's very important that -- and Dania and Broward Estates are both
older schools, Broward Estates, especially, you know. And not only the students are of primary importance and we want them to enjoy the benefits of the bond because those parents voted for the bond, but, also, if -- if we renege on some of these, we can't pass another bond. It would be impossible. And the rate we are looking at -and an important factor is the fact that the legislature did a great disservice to public schools by expanding the vouchers and we're already losing students and this would be another factor where parents, you know, withdraw kids from public schools. So I would think we need to keep our promises.

MR. PATEL: Also, Jeff, just a quick question. How big is the gap or like the projected gap that was mentioned before?

MR. WHITNEY: The gap right now is about 24 -- well, it will be about -- it's about \$24 million. We need a little bit more of that this year to get it started and then as the projects close out, like we've got a five percent change order contingency, we're trending 1.2 now, so we're actually expecting funds to come back, but in order to award the projects we need the money,
so it's like 47 million this year with 23 million coming back over the next two years, that then gets returned to the district's reserves and is then available for the board to put toward other initiatives as it comes back in. So the net effect of that is 24 million.

MR. PATEL: Thank you.
MR. HILLBERG: I had a question about roofing and costs. We keep hearing about the roofing. I want to ask if the district has agreements with roofers, standard contracts, annual contracts? That's how I've done my projects at the city and that's been very successful. And there was one point where they were in engineering plans and I questioned the need for that but I didn't get a good answer and the project fell apart and I ended up having to do the roofing but with the in-house contractor and it worked well. And they'll need plans and the details are in the contract, the roofing is -- ours didn't change much, so I don't -- I don't understand why it's so complicated and why it's so difficult, especially with the big cost estimate bust and I think if the roofing issue comes up, that's one of the things you shed over to perhaps to PPO or
another group but using a contractor with established prices and quantities and go from there. But $I$ understand it's a very different beast, so I'll wait to hear --

MS. LANGAN: Well, you're right. I mean, I think in the original program the -- at the time the PMOR, I think they looked at that option and decided against it. They didn't really -- I think there was a lack of investigation. Because we have created what we call the roof carveout program. And through that program we've been able to show, without the 20 percent markup added to it from the general contractors, over \$4.5 million worth of savings. So there's no question that even doing a design build, because you need a roof permit, so they're doing roof binders and they're submitting them to the Building Department, which is going much smoother now that you're almost doing a design build on these roofs. And you're not sitting through, you know, an A\&E. These roofers know what they're doing.

MR. HILLBERG: You don't pay the A\&E. There's a whole fee structure.

MS. LANGAN: We've gotten credits where we've taken roofs out of projects that, you know, where
we were originally were going to have those roofs done by the $A \& E$ and then a general contractor. But, I mean, we met with every roofer we could find about a year and a half ago and developed a new procurement where the roofer could also be a certified general contractor so that that way he can sub work and they're doing the roof and they're doing the -- any mechanical or electrical work that's required on the roof. So it's really been a success story. We wished it had been from the very beginning, but nonetheless it's -- and the schedules are tremendously faster so -because the roofers don't have to wait on the general contractor's mechanical contractor to come up and figure out how he's going to do the mechanical equipment. So it's --

MR. HILLBERG: It almost -- it sounds wasteful, but I was thinking it almost makes sense to do the roof first and if we have to tear out things for new mechanical and put that in, $I$ mean, we're very against doing double work, but in that case I think it might be cheaper than waiting and dragging along with the rest of the project.

MS. LANGAN: I think where you're seeing the
bust is, as Ashley mentioned, the metal decks in the buildings has deteriorated, deteriorated down to the joist. There is -- we've had a lot of -we've had change orders that are a tremendous amount of money because we have to go in and replace the entire metal deck. And you would think that in some cases an A\&E would be able to tell, but in some cases they can't. You know, you look up above, you remove ceiling tiles, whatever it is, and you can sometimes see, but not always. So -- and we have also been putting in unit pricing in case we find issues with metal decks, so --

MR. HILLBERG: Okay.
All right. That was Financial Risk. And Summary of SMART Program Expenditures/Balance of Funds.

MR. NAVE: Have we moved off of the capital facilities on the budget? Because I'd like to address a couple of comments.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Go ahead.
MR. NAVE: I want to address Recommendations 1 and 2 in the TaxWatch report. Again, staff was kind enough to put together a response to those recommendation. And, Steve, if it looked like I
was perturbed a little while ago it was because I just finished reading those responses.

And my comment on the first one, and I explained to Kathleen, that the recommendation was, when we looked at the School Spotlights we identified four elementary schools that had been assigned a high level of risk and the recommendation was for the district to come here tonight and explain to you guys what is going on at the schools that caused that escalation of risk. The response we got is, here's the current status of these projects. And as I told Kathleen, that's nonresponsive. Someone looked at that recommendation and determined that the appropriate thing to do would be just to give a response and that person should be embarrassed for doing that. And, more importantly, the person that looked at that and said, yeah, that's an appropriate response, print this out and hand it out to the Bond Oversight Committee, that person should be more embarrassed. That's Recommendation 1.

Recommendation 2, deals with the Big 3. And it is not uncommon in looking at the Big 3 section and comparing them to School Spotlights
and to the previous Big 3 sections in the previous report and there are discrepancies. And that occurred here. The buildings, I think it's 4, 5 and 6, the percentage of work complete in the last quarterly report and this report were unchanged, but their completion date had been pushed back one quarter. Now, the history didn't reflect that in the Big 3 section. And we've add this discussion on half a dozen or so occasions in the past. Nor is it reflected in the School Spotlight. So if the district's not going to be up front about these things and we don't catch it, and we will catch it, then you guys aren't going to know what's going on. And that -- it just -- we've never been able to get past this. So -- so those are my comments on that.

I also want to address change orders. Because at the last meeting Kathleen and Jose gave me a tutorial on change orders and I tried to capture that in our report this time. What I don't understand, and there was a slide a minute ago that said that -- showed the cumulative change orders, and it said that 96 percent of the change orders represented value the district got. And if we look at this current report, of the 84
change orders that were approved, 38 of them, that's 45 percent, were not because of unseen -unforeseen circumstances, not because of owner requests, but because it was errors and omissions on the consultants. And that cost the taxpayers $\$ 729,000$ in that quarter.

So I have a hard time getting to 96 percent of these change orders are good things when a large percentage of them are for errors and omissions of the consultants. So --

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. I thought Kathleen explained it pretty well in the last meeting and I'm going to put it differently. I've always heard or thought about it that in the bid, if you miss something and then later it's going to be put in by change order because you have to have it, then if it was in the bid you would have paid a price for it, there would have been a bid price. Now, the change order price is probably going to be more. So the difference is really what the disadvantage is. And there are other associated costs with that as far as the processing of the change order, the negotiations and all the time that people and the team spent, there's a labor cost associated with that. But a
lot of the change orders, if it would have been in the bid, you would have paid for it. So that's I think what that is, and if I misinterpreted what you said that's what I've always gone by.

MS. LANGAN: No, you're correct. Also, I think what we have been recommending to the district is that the school board, and we've actually developed a policy for them, but institute a policy on how staff deals with errors and/or omissions. You know, if you look at Miami-Dade, they have a cap of 1 percent that they say, okay, we understand in projects that there could be errors or omissions, we're all human, there's no set of documents that's 100 percent accurate, so they give the A\&Es a cap of 1 percent. It's not to say that they don't -that they won't go after and charge back the A\&E, but they have the ability to make those decisions.

So we took a look at that policy with the pre-construction and we recommend that the district incorporate a policy so that it's very clear. There is some confusion on change orders of errors and/or omissions. The value, and maybe

Ashley can explain better, but the value that you're getting I think is what we're talking about here.

So say you didn't have a switchgear in the bid and you got one through the change order because of something that the A\&E did, well, you got a whole new switchgear. You know, it may have cost you a hundred thousand dollars, it may have cost you another 10 grand because of that 10 grand difference between bid day and the change order, but you can't take that whole value of that switchgear and say that that cost the taxpayers more money, in our opinion, because it's -- you got the switchgear; right?

So it's -- there is a lot of confusion on these things and I think a policy would really help clarify.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Before -- Bob, before, I don't mean to condone and say that error and omissions are okay, they're disruptive at the very best and they're terrible to deal with. But, anyway, go ahead.

MR. NAVE: No, I appreciate your explanation because I do get it that had those items been in the original bid, the original bid would have
cost more, but because they got put in later they probably cost more than they would have. But the fact that in the last quarter 45 percent of the bids had to come back and add things to it suggests that there's, somebody's not catching these things when they're reviewing the original bids.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: I would second that. And I agree, you can't take the value of a switchboard, but, one, like, is that truly needed? The perception of like, hey, this is a great thing, and maybe I'm reading it wrong, but to see 96 percent of stuff gave us value but it cost us all $\$ 800,000$ for that 96 percent, to me is -- there's a gap.

Again, something is failing from here's our approved scope, to here's the plan, to here's the execution, to now needing $\$ 800,000$ of stuff in just one quarter spread over however many years this has been going on is absurd.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: I want to ask Kathleen, you mentioned a policy that you've recommended. Where is it at? Is there -- is it going before the board?

MS. LANGAN: We have finalized the authorship
of that and it is being reviewed by Mrs. Marte. And so we'll work with her to see if the -- now the new superintendent, $I$ guess, will get it on a board agenda if that is the decision that the district makes. But it's ready to go.

And I think, you know, they'll be able to talk to their neighboring colleagues to see how that policy has worked for them. I know that we hear from A\&Es that they appreciate the fact that that policy is there. And so we -- we look forward to moving that forward.

MR. CORTES: Yeah, and I'd like to say a couple of things. So I think that, I want to make sure that my comments and my assessment or stuff is in line and it's not misunderstood. Errors and omissions is never good. And, yeah, it's taxpayers' money on jobs like this. And I think the message or the comments that we have and what seems to be absurd or is not, it looks like a bad situation in terms of the changes, it's true on renovation jobs you're always going to have changes. They're renovation projects. That's what I'm trying to say. You're never going to get a renovation -- this is why the level of risk on those jobs is set up at 5 or 7
percent. That's what the industry goes to do; yeah? Is it good? No. But that's what the reality of the industry is. My message is, if you're at 1.2 , hopefully, we can get to zero. Yeah, hopefully you all can do that. Well, it's probably not going to happen because it's what the industry has. The one percent, I'm not 100 percent aware of the program, don't know it well, I'm not sure if I would support it. I'm not sure if I would be willing to tell the architects, well, you have one percent, play with it, if you have a problem. I think what it does is tell the architects that it's really more about the business decision, how do you want to treat your partners so that they come back and do work with you. Because at the end of the day, if contractors feel and architects feel that every time they make a mistake they're going to get slammed, they're going to charge you more when they come to work for you. And the board and the school district has to look at the relationship long-term. This is why people didn't want to come work here, because of that. So the reason why architects are happy about it is because they know they captured their risk, they're not going
to be slammed every time there's an issue and they can at least have confidence that they're working with a partner; yeah? And that's kind of what, that's what it does. It kind of tells them, relax, I'm not going to kill you all the time.

Every -- I like the idea of knowing what each individual case is and the cases where you say, look, this was really a gross error and omission, we've got to go after them. This is -- we're not tolerating this one; yeah? In the cases where you're talking about a company or an architect that has done 10 jobs for you or nine jobs for you, if you have an issue on one job, you know, it's a business decision.

I think that Robert and TaxWatch is doing an outstanding job in highlighting the moneys and the expenses. It's up to the board and to the people who are working on this to make good business decisions with the information in a way that helps the bond program the way it is today and the long-term. And I think that, yes, it's 700-and, whatever the amount is, 789,000, it's contemplated within the numbers that you would typically expect. If the bond program, and I did
the numbers myself, if the bond program, you have \$6 million right now. If this bond had the five percent amount that typically in the industry you have on projects like this, you would be paying \$75 million. It's 1.5 billion; yeah? 75. You're at 6. And with that, all I'm saying now, I think there's this idea of -- they say that in families that people -- the better families that do better are those who not only reprimand kids but also give them good thank-yous and good things when things are good. I think it's a good number. Do we have to accept that the 700 that is there, we have to pay for it? That's not what I'm saying. I'm not saying, hey, don't worry about it, it's a good number. What I'm saying is, it has to be evaluated in a way where if you look at the metric, itself, on its own, it's not a bad metric. And should all your focus be on that knowing that you have other stuff to be concerned about? And do you want to take a bond program that is doing so well compared to what the rest of the industry is doing and turn that into the issue that you want to get everybody's attention here? And, you know, 700-something maybe those are three cases, I don't know. And
that's all I'm trying to say is that, in the cases where it merits to go after the money, let's go after it. The numbers, themselves, when you compare it to the industry, are really good and I think you should feel proud of it and make sure that, you know, it stays there and keep reducing and reducing. And that's my message. I'm not saying that I'm happy with the 700-something. The reality is that in every renovation job that you do there's a portion of money that's allocated because that is expected. And you say, well, it's supposed to -- it's costing the taxpayers, yeah, it's part of the cost of doing renovation jobs. There is a portion of the projects that will have things that are not expected. And the question is, do you want to go after every architect every time? Well, it's your business decision, the district's; yeah?

It looks good in terms of telling the community, yeah, I went after 300, and, public, look, I'm looking after your money. Your numbers right now are good numbers for what the industry has and you have to make the decision on how you want to handle it. And I think Bob is doing his
job in telling you, hey, this is what it is, you have to decide. And that's my message. I'm not praising the moneys that we spent on errors and omissions, but it's money that is spent and that is expected. And if it was going to be the right number, it would have been 75. You're at 6 . That's my point.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Thank you.
Mr. Nave, you had something?
MR. NAVE: Yeah, just so there's, there's no misunderstanding, TaxWatch understands and appreciates that there are going to be change orders and the ones that are due to unforeseen circumstances or because the owner changed their mind, those are fine, I have no problem with those. But the fact that the last quarter 45 percent of all the change orders were a result of consultant errors and omissions, that concerns me. I hope it concerns you guys.

MR. CORTES: Yes, and it does and we have to look into that.

MR. NAVE: Then we're cool.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Yes, sir?
MR. PATEL: I guess my point, and I hear all the sides to it and this might be a little bit
because I'm a little bit newer, too, so, please, take it with a grain of salt, but are there strategies or ideas in place to help reduce the like the omissions and the errors to like some of the projects moving forward?

MS. LANGAN: I can say that we've put standard operating procedures in place to work on that to make sure that the drawings are more complete. Our challenge is, obviously, is we really don't have any design projects left. So our attitude is AECOM is not going to be here forever. The district will have another bond. And should they, you know, go to manage that bond themselves, they need the best practices in place with which to manage those jobs. So we have put those in place and, you know, expect that the future would look -- would look better.

MR. PATEL: Thank you.
MS. LANGAN: I would also just like to address Bob's thoughts on the two reports. And I totally agree with him. And since the buck stops with me, I take responsibility, and I am quite embarrassed. So I will work harder to make sure that doesn't happen again.

MR. HILLBERG: All right. Let's go to the
budget portion, the Summary of SMART Program Expenditures and Balance of Funds and Reserve Funds.

Before you get started, I'm sorry, I'm trying to figure out where, what to do with the comments. I went through the Spotlights, the School Spotlights, and some things that caught my eye were -- well, one, $I$ didn't see a definition between construction 5A and 5B. And that's very minor, but you I didn't.

MS. LANGAN: No, it -- yeah, it's -- it's a tracking mechanism of how we track projects that are coming, for example, the roofing carveouts, we don't -- we don't put those necessarily in a bid phase, we put them into a phase where it's design, it's a combination. So I bet Ashley could do a better job of explaining it. So I'm going to let her do that.

MR. HILLBERG: She's smiling, so I think she's got it.

MS. CARPENTER: So Phase 4 is bidding. So that's between when it gets a letter of recommendation to permit and when the project has been awarded to a contractor. Phase 5A is after it's been awarded but prior to receiving the
notice to proceed. And Phase 5B is when it gets the notice to proceed.

MS. LANGAN: And we did that in order to be able to better track the NTP process. We've had our challenges and we thought we had changed the contract to not require a detailed schedule to get your NTP or a detailed schedule of value. So we changed the way we bid the work and we require a breakdown now with the bids. So -- and it helps us analyze the bids better. And then on the schedule side we require a milestone schedule rather than a detailed schedule, and then within 45 days the contractors, or before they turn in their first requisition, are required to provide both a detailed SOV and a detailed schedule. The problem is, as you guys know, you can't really -you've got to work with your subs. If you don't have notice to proceed on an award, you can't really finish the buyout, you can't really work with your subs to get the schedule and the, you know, the SOV, so it was -- it was just preventing. So we still track it, though, because we had an issue where we -- when we changed, the district changed from giving the builders risk, they used to have it, now it's a
contractor requirement, so that took time to get those changes made so -- but, anyway, that's why we sort of changed the phases.

MR. CORTES: So, Kathleen -- I'm sorry. Are you done?

MR. HILLBERG: Go ahead. No, I'm done.
MR. CORTES: So you're doing two notices to proceed, one to get started and then the real one where they give you everything or it's just one?

MS. LANGAN: No, it's just one.
MR. CORTES: Just one with the caveat that they have X amount of days to review?

MS. LANGAN: Baby steps. Baby steps.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Just to finish up, I have a list of comments, I've given them to Victoria, I think that would be distribution and still in following the Sunshine Act, but I didn't want to go over them one by one, I think that we would be here until tomorrow, but I would -- I just want to know what the most efficient way to address this is. And one thing, I don't really see that the program is going to benefit for me proofreading the report, so I don't want to point out typos and things, but there is a level of view that we need to look at, and, like, I guess
if the Big 3 is not agreeing with the School Spotlights, maybe that's something. And I've pointed out some financial issues in the past. But I'm just struggling with how to review these -- these reports and how to add or not create too much work for everybody else, but also add benefit. So I don't know what to do with the report, but $I$ have a copy, I can hand it out, but
$\qquad$

MS. LANGAN: I would think Jeff would be the transmitter of the information.

MR. WHITNEY: Yeah, so you emailed it earlier; right?

MR. HILLBERG: Yeah.
MR. WHITNEY: We can share that with the whole group and then it's actually in this section where I'm going to talk about it, I mean, we can talk about it now.

MR. HILLBERG: Well, no, we'll wait for your presentation and we'll cover it.

MR. WHITNEY: No, no, it's all right.
Because we actually had Recommendation 4 in the TaxWatch report was, Florida TaxWatch recommends that the agenda, you know, we review and discussion of the district's failure to provide
materials requested by BOC members and the district's limited response to public comments. So what I'm going to commit to in the capital budget department, because we kind of coordinate the whole, put this quarterly report together, the organization and communication to this committee, we're going to assign tracking numbers to these things and we're going to ensure that we have a timely response that goes back to the committee members, it'll go back to TaxWatch. If it's a public comment it will go back to the members of public that made the comment, too, right, so that we have a database more or less of these are the requests we have and here's what they are and here's our timely response. Some things may take longer, so I don't want to -MR. HILLBERG: Some things you may say, no, we don't feel comfortable giving that, it's beyond the scope of the committee.

MR. WHITNEY: Of course. But if we determine that we owe you, at least that determination, to say, yeah, thanks for the question, we're not going to respond to that. It may be a safety concern or whatever, it may be just a consumption of time or resources it takes to do that reply,
but we owe you an explanation of why we're doing that. So we absolutely --

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. I appreciate that. That's great news. Thank you. And I'm sorry I interrupted your start.

MR. WHITNEY: -- are going to do that and should have been doing that and will be doing a better job of that.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay.
MR. WHITNEY: It was in the Communications section but is actually something that, as the coordinator of what's going on here, the capital budget department is going to take responsibility to make sure that we coordinate that for the whole group here, the communication back to you all and the public; if they come make comments.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Thank you.
MR. WHITNEY: All right. So we want to go back to the beginning of the Budget Activity Report. We can kind of slam through this a little quickly.

All right. So we're up to $\$ 1.6$ million, we talked about that. Our -- the balance of the program that isn't already encumbered or spent, right, so there's a lot of encumbrances still
because there's a lot of projects still going on, but the balances is $\$ 210$ million.

We -- go to the next slide.
We spent $\$ 66.8$ million this quarter. That's the highest quarter we've had since the program began. So it's indicative of the fact that there's a lot of projects ongoing. It's also indicative of the fact that we're really moving things through. In my department we are the last reviewers before the things go to accounts payable on the payments to the contractors. And so what I've noticed that $I$ see is like the roofing carveout program that we've talked about a couple times tonight has really pushed things along and those roof portions move quicker and I think that's helped bump this expenditure number up because we're getting things done.

You know, so our net expenditures through the quarter were over a billion dollars in total expenditures. Now, that includes, you know, things that were completed earlier on in the program like technology, and the music \& arts program and those things and the athletics, too. That's all part of this program, too, but that's also a big portion of the construction program as
we're moving forward here.
We've got 400 -- $\$ 350$ million of active purchase orders, and, you know, that's kind of what's out there now.

MR. HILLBERG: Encumbered?
MR. WHITNEY: Encumbered, yeah. Uh-huh.
And if we want to go to the next page we can talk a little bit here about the reserves. So the current -- the current total reserves that the district has committed to set aside at different points in time, and there's a bullet, I don't know where it went -- the old animations, they keep getting stuck in my presentation. Anyway, it's on your printouts. There is a list there that shows the different times that the board has added, okay, that the board has given us authority to add moneys to the reserves, that brings it up to 691. As of the end of the third quarter our reserve balance was 93 million. As we discussed earlier in order to continue forward and stay on schedule to complete by 2025 we're going to have to add during this DEFP process our budget adoption this year about 47 million, of which we then expect to recover another 23 million from projects as they continue closing
out over the next two and a half years.
And then we went through, the next slide was the Recommendation Number 4.

And if there's any questions, other comments, we can go on to EDDC.

MR. HILLBERG: No, you covered everything. I was going to ask about the reserves decreasing, but you covered it. So, thank you.

Anybody else?
MR. NAVE: Can I make one comment -MR. HILLBERG: Certainly.

MR. NAVE: -- or recommendation?
I appreciate the district's attention to this. In looking back, in putting this part of the report together I went back through a lot of our previous reports where you all had asked for things and not gotten it. Latha had asked at at least two different meetings for a list of schools that don't have music programs. That doesn't require any extensive data mining or analysis to do and it shouldn't take 20 months to get it. You've asked for audits, the Rickards Middle School roofing collapse audit. There have been requests for those things and to my knowledge those have never been provided.

So, you know, assigning a tracking number just seems a bit too bureaucratic.

You guys aren't asking for stuff that requires extensive data mining and analysis. It's stuff that exists that the district staff could put their hands on it and get to you guys in a couple of days.

So when Jeff says in a timely manner, I don't know what "timely" means to the district. But if it's just a commitment to turn this stuff around in a couple of days, that's all we're recommending.

MR. WHITNEY: So specific to the list of schools that don't have music programs, it was in the report when the question was asked. It actually shows which schools don't have programs. I approached Latha after the meeting and told her that that's where it was and that's as far as it went. Maybe it should have been a more formal response to the entire group. I apologize. But it was already in the report. It existed and said, Bright Horizon Center, no program; Collins Elementary School, no program. And it clearly listed in the music section which schools didn't have programs. Maybe it didn't come out during
the presentation from the group who was here representing music, but it was in there. So maybe that's why that --

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Is that issue resolved?
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Sort of, but there's a lot of community concern.

MR. WHITNEY: There is. And so --
MS. KRISHNAIYER: I mean, it's not out there.
MR. WHITNEY: I just want to say, beyond that, recently $I$ had a meeting, we were in a meeting with Dr. Smiley kind of going through what we were getting ready to talk about in the budget update and she had a question about the music program, and her point was that, so at some point in time the school board took official action to say these schools don't have programs, we're going to put that money back in the reserve. The commitment was made when that happened that if a school created a program, and two schools have since done that, created a program, we would put the money back and let them get their allotment of their music instruments. Dr. Smiley's point was, it's been so long since the program started, administration's going to change at schools, and they may not know that
they have this opportunity. So we're going to send a communication out specifically to those schools and say, hey, this is still out there, it may -- it may still not be a priority at those schools, at which point the superintendent, who will be a different superintendent in a little -in a short period window now, may have some influence over the principal to say, I want to push you harder to create a program; right? Just because you're -- because Dr. Smiley's point was, as a high school principal when she was here at the district at Blanche Ely High School for many years, it was hard to have a program if the elementary school and middle schools that fed into her school didn't also have a program, if they didn't learn how to play an instrument in elementary and middle school, it was hard to have a band program at high school. So she wants to make sure that these principals that didn't have programs know kind of what happened because they may not know that there was money that the board took away.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: As a person that was around when Dr. Smiley was Deputy Superintendent for Curriculum and Instruction I'm aware of what, you
know, what happened in the district at that time, and we were much better than this.

But just going to the principal isn't enough. The principal should not make that decision. The decision should be made by the parents at the school who want that program. That's where I'm going. Because principals should not be making that decision. Parents want this.

MR. WHITNEY: And maybe $I$ said that unfairly because I know that the PTA SAC committees work with the principals and, you know, there's some give and take --

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Sometimes.
MR. WHITNEY: -- sometimes there. And sometimes -- because my mom was a school teacher and I know that she was at schools where the PTA and the principal worked well together and she was at schools where the PTA was swimming upstream and not getting anywhere. So I know that that's the reality of what exists out there.

What I can tell you is that Dr. Smiley is committed to trying to get that message out there so that there's some ability to respond and reply to it.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: And, you know, as I said, I
worked closely with Dr. Smiley at that time and I know where she's coming from. And I think it's important that the school community, the parents be involved in the decision making and not just the principals. Because many children, as we know, that is what moves them forward, either academically or in the future. So it's important that that well-rounded education includes the arts.

MR. WHITNEY: Yeah, I was a choir guy. I was -- I moved from Kansas City where I did a lot more choral work and then we got to Orlando and they said, oh, it's show choirs down here, you've got to dance, too, and I went, whoa, what? So, yeah.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: A lot more teachers like our South Plantation teacher that got the Tony award two days ago. So --

MR. WHITNEY: Yeah.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: -- thank you.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Moving to the next, the Actions Taken by the District to Award More SMART Program Contracts to Women-Owned and African-American-Owned Companies.

MS. FREEMAN: Good evening. Can you hear me?

MR. HILLBERG: Yes.
MS. FREEMAN: Hi, I'm Lavinia Freeman, representing Economic Development \& Diversity Compliance Department. Thank you for this opportunity to share our achievements in Q3 as well as our data. Through this presentation I'll refer to our department as EDDC.

EDDC and TaxWatch are aligned in the ongoing goal of increasing district awards to businesses owned by African American, Caucasian women and non-minority small business enterprise firms.

In Q3 our efforts to engage these specific suppliers resulted in three African-American firms and one Caucasian woman-owned firm participating in the single pre-bid meeting held during the reporting period. They represented 57 percent of all certified firms in attendance. And certified firms represented half the firms in attendance at the pre-bid meeting. Also, of the five awarded contracts during the reporting period D. Stephenson Construction, an MBE certified African-American owned firm was awarded a $\$ 5.7$ million contract for Deerfield Beach High.

In addition subcontractor commitments account for an additional 2.4 million for Caucasian
women-owned firms and $\$ 20,000$ for non-minority small business enterprises.

EDDC undertakes numerous efforts to appeal to African-American, Caucasian women and non-minority SBEs. To name a few, we promote all BCPS procurement opportunities on our social media platforms. During the quarter EDDC completed 160 solicitation notification campaigns, which included target email marketing as well as social media post sharing opportunities to do business with Broward Schools.

We also make a concerted effort to retain our certified firms by sending renewal notifications at 90, 60 and 30-day intervals.

EDDC also collaborates on an ongoing basis with our partner agencies to invite their certified suppliers to get certified with Broward Schools. Our electronic tri-county reciprocal certification application is accessible using the B2Gnow platform. Partners include but are not limited to Miami-Dade County Public Schools and the School District of Palm Beach County.

During the reporting period the EDDC certification and the marketing sections planned
for the launch of ongoing reciprocal certification workshops for eligible suppliers. This slide reflects BCPS Contractor Pre-Qualification Data and drills down to the number of unique $S / M / W B E$ certified bidders in Q3.

To put it succinctly, of the 97 total pre-qualified and certified firms, 52 or 40 percent are general contractors. During Q3 five of the 52 pre-qualified and certified GCs, five submitted bid proposals to the district, one of which was an African-American firm, S3S Construction, and two WBE firms, women-owned firms, HB Hoffman and DL Fills.

It is important to note there are numerous factors that affect a firm's participation on a prime level, which also determines the available supply base. Factors include completion of similar-sized projects, financing, bonding limits, prompt payment and others.

This is a breakdown of the pre-bid meeting held in Q3. S/M/WBE attendance equated to 7 firms or 50 percent of all firms in attendance. EDDC team members attended each SMART project pre-bid meeting to present the S/M/WBE bid requirements and also to share information with
interested attendees. We also help facilitate networking between the potential primes and subs at pre-bid meetings to help enable firms meet S/M/WBE goal requirements.

Between the five awarded contracts in Q3 the S/M/WBE commitment total was 8.3 million, amounting to 27 percent subcontractor participation. This slide also shows sample bid language outlining S/M/WBE contracting participation goals.

Here we illustrated EDDC's seven-point marketing and outreach strategy as it relates to our continual efforts to increase utilization and awards to African-American, Caucasian female and non-minority small business enterprise firms.

One key partner initiative that expands our reach, visibility and access to new suppliers is the South Florida Anchor Alliance Regional Marketplace. This exciting pilot project uses a single platform known as Avisare to house all certified firms among the anchors. It also houses all contracting opportunities between the 10 anchors. Also, we are able -- we, as an anchor, are able to access suppliers and view their products, services and capabilities in

Avisare. And more information will be coming to you next quarter about the South Florida Regional Marketplace.

Finally, here is an overview of our certification activities, compliance marketing, in-reach and outreach activities. And that concludes our presentation and I'll be happy to answer any questions. Thank you.

MR. HILLBERG: Does the committee have any questions?
(No response.)
MR. HILLBERG: I had a question on the Avisare and the other was the Tri-County Cooperation. That's just like the -- Avisare is more the private entities, the educational facilities?

MS. FREEMAN: It's actually a software platform where the anchor alliance members, all of our certified firms are housed in that database. And it also includes information about each firm so that the anchors can identify and target firms to bring in to their certification programs. And then all of the participating certified suppliers are able to look in one place at 10 agencies' procurement opportunities as
opposed to on a, you know, agency-by-agency basis.

Is that -- is that clear?
MR. HILLBERG: Yes. So Avisare sounds like it's a bigger thing, a broader, multi, multi-user contribution? So -- and I'm getting at the district has a goal of zero for indigenous people and I'm kind of challenged to find an indigenous vendor to add to the school board. And I was thinking that might be a place to go and see if another agency has an indigenous vendor and could the school board use that vendor?

MS. FREEMAN: Sure, we definitely could use that platform to identify an indigenous vendor. We formerly had one in our program. I can't remember right now what business category they were involved in. But, absolutely, we're able to research and identify where we have a need for additional suppliers, whether it's based on their business category or ethnic status or if they're a non-minority small business. But, yes, so the program is beneficial -- is a benefit to the agencies as well as the suppliers, and especially the suppliers because of all of the legwork involved, you know, with identifying
procurements. So they're able to see all of our opportunities in one place and then we're able to see who's missing -- you know, who can we approach and spark interest in coming to do business with Broward Schools.

MR. HILLBERG: Thank you.
MS. FREEMAN: You're welcome.
MR. HILLBERG: Any other questions?
MR. CORTES: Just quick. So the percentage right now is 27 percent?

MS. FREEMAN: Yes, in Q3.
MR. CORTES: In just the quarter?
MS. FREEMAN: Yes.
MR. CORTES: And what about total? Do you know what the total is for the whole program or --

MS. FREEMAN: As far as spend or commitment?
MR. CORTES: The percent accomplished. The reason $I$ ask is, first, I'm interested to know. Secondly, when $I$ went to the board meeting and talked about it there was a lot of -- I don't think the board was expressing a lot of, they were not too pleased on the percentage. They were expecting a little bit more. And I think the gap right now seems to be two percent, yeah,
from what the goal is for the whole program?
MS. FREEMAN: I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
MR. CORTES: So I'm saying when $I$ went to the board, I had to do the presentation for what the last meeting was, and when I gave the description and kind of the optics on the numbers, which $I$ think Mr. Ballou, the last time there were some good numbers and stuff, they showed extreme dissatisfaction with those numbers, so I'm trying to understand why that is. So this quarter is 27 percent and the total for the whole program is 29?

MS. FREEMAN: That is the overall goal for the program; yes.

MR. CORTES: So when you do this report we're looking at the quarter or we're looking at the whole program?

MS. FREEMAN: We're looking at the quarter for the commitment numbers.

MR. CORTES: So what is the whole program? Do you know the whole -- you don't need to have it now. I guess at the end of the day you're really trying to understand what the whole goal is for the program. The quarter could be high, low, but what is the overall program percentage,
because that's what's going to tell you whether you accomplished the expected numbers, so --

MS. FREEMAN: Yes, that is a number that $I$ don't have with me, but we would be able to provide that at the next meeting.

MR. HILLBERG: Mr. Nave, do you have any input?

MR. NAVE: At the end of the previous quarter the cumulative was 27 percent and it's been trending about that. I could not find a cumulative number in this report or I would have had a cumulative percentage.

MR. CORTES: Okay. That's it for me.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. So that brings us to the Update on Communications.

MS. GARTH: Thank you. Good evening. I'm Yvonne Garth. I am president of Garth Solutions and the communications liaison for the SMART Program.

So for the quarter ending March we actually had a theme centered around reading. We took advantage of the fact that March is national reading month. And we took the opportunity to celebrate all of the investment that the district has made to improve media centers across all the
-- hundred schools, actually, and to celebrate some of the completed media centers. So we launched a campaign called Sparking the Joy of Reading. It was a multifaceted campaign with social media posts, a blog on the website, a blog that was sent out to subscribers and to parents as well as some digital media content. We had great views on it. Actually, across all platforms we had, for us it's fantastic, it's, you know, 5.8, 5,800 views of the video alone.

So next slide, we actually have the video to share with you this evening.
(Video played.)
MR. WHITNEY: And now it's 5,801.
MS. GARTH: There you go. Thank you, Jeff. So the campaign is part of our What Smart Means to Me initiative where we try to connect the dots between, not just showing all the construction pictures, but really connect the dots to how it's impacting the students and how it's impacting the kids in the schools.

So in addition to the media campaign we also had a ribbon cutting ceremony at North Lauderdale Elementary School. We had one after the quarter actually at Boyd Estates, as well. And when we
go back to school we're going to continue to celebrate as the media centers are completed and allow the kids and the community to celebrate the accomplishment.

Next slide.
We also continue to try to get coverage. We're working closely with the district's communication team to get coverage in local community papers. We had five articles published in the last quarter and very excited to have had our Tamagram story also run. Thank you. Run a story featuring the three schools in that community.

Next.
As Kathleen mentioned earlier on, we do try to go out in the community. We had a couple SAC meetings, just, you know, as the projects mobilized, at Coconut Creek High School and Riverside Elementary School, the Mary Bethune Elementary Community Meeting, and the Prime Contractors Onboarding Conference we participated in.

A quick look ahead for this quarter that we're in, we're launching a new theme campaign called Building Champions. This celebrates the
athletics program, the tracks, the weight rooms that were completed. So we are actually actively, that's actively underway.

And then, really, over the summer we're going to be focused on capturing the activities that are going on. We're working with Kathleen and her team to make sure that we're capturing the work that's underway during the summer months. And that is our quarterly communication update. I'm happy to answer any questions that you might have.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Good job.
MR. HILLBERG: Any questions?
(No response.)
MR. HILLBERG: I had one comment. I have talked to our, the City of Fort Lauderdale, the -- they call it the PIO, Public Information Office or the Public Affairs Office, either one, and I said that, the cities are reaching out or communicating with the board, but they had no notice, they didn't know anything about the program. So -- I think that's a lead you might want to pursue. And I would guess that the City of Fort Lauderdale has probably the most schools. I don't know, maybe Pembroke Pines might be more
in the burbs and might have more. I don't know, but, anyway --

MS. GARTH: So apparently we do have a road show that we're implementing with Commissioner Holness and one of our stops is the City of Fort Lauderdale and we'll be going there this summer. He has one scheduled. So we'll share that information. But we'll make a note of that just to see if moving forward we can perhaps send out a notice or some sort of notification to the PIO office at the city just to let them know.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. If you want me to give you the contact people, whatever, I'll be glad to.

MS. GARTH: That would be great. Because the website does feature each city and municipality has their on web page on the SMART website where they can keep track of the schools in their municipality and the current status.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay.
MS. GARTH: Thank you.
MR. HILLBERG: Anything else? Anyone?
(No response.
MR. HILLBERG: No? That brings us to the
Next School Board Workshop, August 22nd, 9:00
a.m. I was up for the last one and I didn't get to present, so I guess I'm still on the list to do that.

I will remind the committee members to try to come up with items to take to the board, the school board, that we want to push.

We were very successful in getting the policy of the change orders pushed through. It took two or three times. The first time I brought it up it was not well received at all, but we -- I think we wore them down and now it's an active policy and I think the program's benefiting. So I'm glad that the committee can have a positive impact on this group and not just a lot of extra time and a lot of work that is just that.

But -- okay. So we can move on to the Proposed Future Dates. They're so far out for me that $I$ don't know. I don't see any conflicts.

MR. WHITNEY: One thing I'd like to add here at this point and it's something that Vicki kind of pointed out to me as we were scheduling this one this time is the every other meeting kind of that we've fallen into we've ended up getting into the schools, like, right now, school's out, so we've actually kind of made it difficult for
the schools to let us be at, so what she was saying is we should really be looking at the September meeting and the March meeting rather than our December meeting and our June meeting as the meetings that we're going to try to have in schools. So we'd be looking to do September in a school and March in a school, that it allows the students to be a little bit more involved and might have their ROTCs be, you know, on the campus helping us find the location so we don't have to look for the little signs that Vicki and Millie worked so hard today to put out.

MR. HILLBERG: They were great. They led me right in here.

MR. WHITNEY: Those little bread crumbs for us. But it's a little bit easier for the school staff when they've got a full staff in session and working rather than dragging them in, you know, interrupting the custodians when they're in their summer work mode right now where they're cleaning schools and getting ready for next year and now they're -- so I just wanted to point that out?

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Any comments; suggestions; praise?

MR. PATEL: I just want to say, thank you for all the work you do. I work for the budget office at the City of Fort Lauderdale so I know it takes a lot of -- a lot of prep work to get everything going and provide all these updates. So thank you.

MR. HILLBERG: With no further comments we can recess the business meeting.

Can I get a motion?
MR. PATEL: So moved.
MR. HILLBERG: And a second?
MR. WHITNEY: There's no public speakers.
MR. HILLBERG: So we don't have to convene a public hearing, we don't have to adjourn the public hearing. So we can reconvene the business meeting which we didn't recess. Sorry about that.

Anything, discussion for the committee? I would like to have some point to take to the board. What do we need? What would help?

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: So I jotted down I think one of the things that is a positive, the school choice projects is anticipated to be done by the end of the summer. I think that's a great highlight.

MS. LANGAN: End of June.
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: So good job.
MR. HILLBERG: So it'll be done by the time the meeting's in session. Okay. Very good.

Kudos then for that one.
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Do you want to bring up anything about that policy that's in draft mode circulating, I think that was for errors and omissions.

MS. LANGAN: That would be great.
MR. HILLBERG: Yeah, error E\&O penalty. And that's really for, like you said, going forward because the design pretty much is drained out, but it's good to have.

MR. CORTES: It's not penalty, it's a percent allowance or something. It's an allowance.

MS. MELONI: A threshold.
MR. CORTES: A threshold, I guess, percentage threshold. That doesn't mean that you're not doing well, we have --

MS. LANGAN: We can send it out to you if that would help kind of organize your thoughts. MR. HILLBERG: Okay.

MS. LANGAN: But, Jeff, you have to remind me.

MR. WHITNEY: Anything else?
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: I like the video. Great job with the reading communications part and then teaming the next one with the track or the athletics.

MS. GARTH: Building Champions.
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Yeah, I thought that was pretty fun. See, I'm not all negative; all right?

MR. HILLBERG: Reading video, those are good. The progress videos, I like those. So, yeah, videos. And Building Champions; right?

MS. GARTH: Yep.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay.
MS. LANGAN: When is the next meeting, is that August, the end of August?

MR. HILLBERG: Future meeting is September 19th.

MR. WHITNEY: The end of August will be the board workshop.

MR. HILLBERG: Workshop. And you go to those, too?

MS. LANGAN: Yeah. My thought is that we will have probably the results of our summer efforts, which is huge. Last year we -- working
with the Building Department we opened all of our buildings that we had -- it was a really successful summer. This summer we have many more projects than we had last year. So it's a yeoman's effort this year. So it might be a good, you know, thing to talk about all of the summer projects that got completed and how we're able to open all schools. That's always a good thing.

MR. HILLBERG: Yeah. Yeah, this is the big push season. Okay. Very good. That will -I'll leave that. I wont steal your thunder on that one. That one's for you. Okay?

With no further issues --
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: I have another.
MR. HILLBERG: With no further issues -CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Oh, come on.

MR. HILLBERG: All right.
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: I'll try not to make this an issue. So question, in our resolution, and I know we don't have a full board and we're talking about, $I$ guess, this is your last meeting and, so in our resolution it talks about having no more than nine members, but $I$ remember a while ago
putting on the agenda to review this to see, do we need to make more positions, less positions, fill these vacant positions? It's a waste of everyone's time to come here and not be able to do business and I think we're doing a disservice -- I'm sorry, and I think we're doing a disservice if we don't have all these representatives being here to give you their input. I'm only one for fire, but we're missing some of the other experts in these fields, so I'd love to see all these vacant seats filled.

MR. PATEL: I agree.
MR. HILLBERG: I think -- I hesitate for a little bit because it's a legal type document and it's best, I think, with Adam's influence to help us decide how to modify this legal document, which is our charter, if I called it even the right name. But that's my only hesitation. And I agree. I've asked if we need somebody from the building officials organization to, since we're all in construction now and all these issues come up, maybe we need someone of that background to help us.

I do have to say, though, I am optimistic from what I've heard tonight that the perceived
issues between the Building Department and the construction effort, they seem to be going away and that's very good. But still, what kind of person would we need on the board, I don't -- I mean, the committee, I don't know how to answer that really.

But I'm ready to reach out to building officials. I know they have a support organization and I can canvas and even our own building official has indicated that he either could be a member or help find someone. So, yeah, that is a valid concern and we need to fix it.

So is it really your last meeting?
MR. CORTES: Uh-huh.
MR. HILLBERG: No. Oh, that's terrible.
Just when we got to know you.
MR. CORTES: Kind of.
MR. HILLBERG: Yeah.
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: My last thing, which should not be controversial, I promise.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay.
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Is it possible going
forward to use less acronyms? And I appreciate, Jeff, you kind of spelling some of those out, and
if I sat up here and gave you all the fire acronyms you'd be asleep.

MR. WHITNEY: We will try. I mean, we are a very acronym oriented organization --

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: I get it. But as we lose members and get new ones -- I've been here a little bit and I'm still cross-eyed.

MR. WHITNEY: One of my roles -- one of my roles in the finance department is reviewing a lot of the board items that get prepared and go out and that's one of the things that I get hyperaware of as I'm reviewing some of those is that, you know, even in board items where we're sending it to a board member who probably knows the acronym, I know that the public is trying to read it and they don't know what ESSER is, they don't know who PPO is, you know.

MS. MOTIWALA: But if it helps the committee we can add a glossary or something in the back.

MR. HILLBERG: There's a glossary.
MS. MOTIWALA: Yeah, but keep it running so any acronyms that come up, like ESSER today, that we can find out and just keep it there.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. So if we wanted an acronym put in and a definition it would be you
that we talk to? Because I asked before and I think I asked the wrong person. I didn't know what KPI was. Everybody's wincing like I should have known that.

Okay. I won't miss any more. Let's just go ahead, do we have any more to discuss about adding a member?
(No response.)
MR. HILLBERG: Let's get Adam here, let's try to get him involved and --

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Is that a district -that's a district initiative, I would imagine, to fill vacant seats. Not modifying the legal document, but vacant seats, that's a --

MR. WHITNEY: I think there's some coordination collaboration required for that, but, you know, it's something that, and the way that this has sort of been operating, Omar, who is still the director of the capital budget, he just -- he just -- he went to Jamaica, what are you going to do? That's why I'm here on my anniversary at 8:00. So -- -

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Oh, meeting adjourned.
MR. WHITNEY: It just means tomorrow's celebration of the anniversary is going to cost
me more than it would have today.
MS. LANGAN: A change order.
MR. WHITNEY: It's a change directive, I think would be the word. So I'll make sure that he's aware that it's a concern. I think he knows that it's a concern. And, you know, we'll put some effort into it, especially knowing that, you know, we're going to lose a good construction advocate with Jose leaving the board and we want to continue the progress that we've made there. You know, recently on the school board we had a very strong construction advocate in one of the board members and it, you know -- in the time that I've been with the school district for 26 years it was really nice to have somebody with that perspective even though he was only on the board for a handful of months. It was -- you know, it really -- we talked about the change order policy, it really helped push that through having somebody who could speak that language with a board member with a colleague to help get that where it was.

So you will be missed, Jose, but we'll be working to find someone who can even begin to approach what you brought to us, so --

MR. CORTES: Appreciate you. Thank you all.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. With that, can I have a
move to adjourn?
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: So moved.
MR. HILLBERG: Second?
MR. PATEL: Second.
MR. HILLBERG: Anybody opposed?
(No response.)
MR. HILLBERG: No? It's adjourned.
(Meeting was concluded at 8:02 p.m.)
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| 99:25 100:4,5 | 210 74:2 | 5B 68:9 69:1 |  |
| years 13:3,20,20,20 | 22nd 94:25 | $6$ |  |
| 14:17 23:5 30:20 | 23 51:1 75:24 | $\frac{6}{624: 1156: 464: 2,6}$ |  |
| 32:11 39:9 51:2 | 24 50:18,19 51:6 | 624:11 56:4 64:2,6 |  |
| 60:19 76:1 79:13 | 25 20:16 23:7 | 66:6 |  |
| 105:15 | 25th 7:1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \mathbf{6 0} 83: 15 \\ \mathbf{6 3 3} 1: 24 \end{array}$ |  |
| yeoman's 100:5 | 26 105:14 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \mathbf{6 3 3} 1: 24 \\ \mathbf{6 6} 9: 8,24 \end{array}$ |  |
| Yep 99:13 Yvonne 1:12 90:17 | 26th 107:10 $\mathbf{2 7}$ 85:7 88:10 89:10 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{6 6 . 8} 74: 4 \end{array}$ |  |
| Yvonne 1:12 90:17 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 27 \text { 85:7 88:10 89:10 } \\ \text { 90:9 } \end{array}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 1} 75: 18$ |  |
| Z | 28 4:25,25 20:17,21 |  |  |
| zero 62:4 87:7 | $20: 24$ | $\frac{7}{721: 322: 19,2423: 3}$ |  |
| 0 | 29 89:12 | 24:12 61:25 84:21 |  |
|  | 3 | 7.25 44:12 |  |
|  | 3 16:4 21:13,18 45:8 | $7033: 244: 25$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} 1 \text { 54:23 55:22 58:12 } \\ \text { 58:17 } \end{array}$ | 55:23,24 56:1,8 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 700 \text { 64:12 } \\ \text { 700-and 63:23 } \end{array}$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} 1.225: 2232: 1,1 \\ 50: 2362: 4 \end{array}$ | 30-day 83:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 700-something 64:24 } \\ & 65: 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
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