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MR. HILLBERG: Okay. We're going to call this meeting to order. We don't have a quorum, but we're going to go ahead with our limited ability. We can't really make many decisions.

The first item is to approve the new member, Parth Patel, which we can't do since we don't have a quorum. Sorry, Mr. Patel, to have you come here for that. And, well, I guess we'll do this again at the next meeting if we have a quorum.

The next item is the Approval of Minutes, which we can't do because we don't have a quorum. We can do the presentations, so, Bob, you drove all the way down from Jacksonville, we don't want you to have to go back without saying a piece.

But the normal thing is we have each presentation and then Bob, representing TaxWatch, comes in with the comments from TaxWatch.

So we'll jumping right into the quarterly highlights with AECOM.

MS. LANGAN: Okay. Well, good afternoon. Hopefully, this thing is working. My name is

Kathleen Langan with AECOM. I'm the SMART Program Director. We thought we would start this evening with quarterly highlights.

You can see that our delayed projects decreased from 42 to 38. We have an additional three projects in construction closeout. Three Student Advisory Council (SAC) Meetings were held, one groundbreaking ceremony, which was Stranahan.

The SMART Program, our crews took advantage of the break and got a lot of construction done for the new calendar year.

Northeast High School, the addition is ahead of schedule. I will say, however, that we are having delays in supply of our switchgears and so that may have an impact and we'll keep -- we will keep you all informed about that.

We have 46 projects ahead of schedule right now.

We are still on track to complete, be substantially complete, by October of 2025. And we will continue to track that closely with some of the supplier and material delays that we're experiencing right now.

We have so far been able to work around those
and will continue to try and do that.
95 percent of the projects that are left in the design phase are now at 100 percent within the design process and will go out to bid very soon.

We probably have three design projects that we are in legal discussions with the same architect, and so those are behind schedule. And then we have six projects that are still in planning that $I$ believe we will be making a recommendation to the board to include those projects into the long-term planning. So more to follow on that.

We wanted to -- the next subject is the Status \& Timelines of Remaining Safety and Security Projects. On page 4 of the TaxWatch Quarterly Report it was pointed out that 23 primary renovation projects that include Safety \& Security Improvements are experiencing scheduling delays. So what we wanted to do was to further bring some transparency and clarity to those 23 projects and we have done so. We developed a, or authored a memo that everybody has in their packet just giving some further information on exactly where those projects are and what is
complete within those projects.
Overall Project Status, you all have seen this before. We have 4 projects in planning. I stand corrected. We don't have any in hire designer. We have 14 in project design and 6 in hire contractor. And then we have 206 projects under construction and 69 in closeout. You can see where we increased the number of projects in active construction.

So Design Delays, we wanted to touch on this a little bit and not only talk about the design delays, but in -- further in the presentation we talk a little bit about the contractors' delays and exactly what we do to ensure that the vendors are being held accountable to any delays.

So in this regard, on page 11 of the TaxWatch Quarterly Report it was pointed out that the Building Department delays during the design phase persist. To further clarify, to reduce the number of delays, the Building Department works in conjunction with AECOM, and we have reviewed the process and streamlined that based on a collaborative effort with the Building Department.

So one of the things that we've instituted
is -- as you all remember, when AECOM first arrived we were trending anywhere from 12 to 15 design reviews on projects. And the days varied, you know, depending on a lot of days were in the architects' court and a lot of days were in the Building Department's court. What we've done now is in working with the Building Department and Fire Marshall we now require two reviews. And if we don't get approval on that second review, then we have what's called a standup review. And I think we talked about this a little bit before. So then we'll have a third review. And, typically, there will be a few things that still require clarification, and so that fourth submission is where we get the permit. And that's the same with the Fire Marshall. You may recall that we had programed our reset schedule for five reviews. So at least we're within, you know, that.

So we wanted to touch base. On page 13 of the TaxWatch Quarterly Report it was pointed out that School Choice Enhancement Projects are complete at 204 of the 230. To supplement this information, all remaining projects are forecasted to be complete by the summer of 2023.

So we are confident in those dates. Again, having some delays with suppliers, but we'll keep a staff person there to address anything that has not come in yet and get that installed.

The Big 3 Status, we have talked about these before.

Blanche Ely, the construction is complete. The closeout and inspection paperwork is in progress.

This has been going on for a while and I just wanted to, again, clarify this, that what has been happening on this and, actually, the Stranahan project, as well, our contractors call for reinspection, but they'll only call for two reinspections because they don't want to get charged for the third and fourth. And so they're calling for new.

So what we've instituted now is we've added another person to our closeout team to work with the Building Department to ensure that permits are being closed out timely and then working with our project managers to ensure that they're ahead of the game for closeout in trying to get the closeout for these inspections, making sure that all permit inspections are closed out.

I will say that on Stranahan we're at about 83. We were at 250. So it's definitely a problem.

Northeast High School, with the GOB the status is about 89 percent complete and you can see the detail here. We have had a couple of schedule delays regarding the structural adequacy of the roofs, having to do further studies, but we think we'll be able to make up that time. So that's good news.

And then, as mentioned before, we're a little bit ahead of schedule right now on the classroom addition. It's about 45 percent complete. But we do -- are having problems getting our switchgear, and so we're working that out with the contractor right now.

Stranahan, same thing here, our construction is complete with the exception of change orders. And without getting into too much of detail of the legal issues surrounding the construction manager, we are doing those change orders under separate contract to be able to get those completed. So rather than having the -- the CMR, we are having -- we're going out and doing that extra work under the CSMB contracting
methodology, and we're making sure that we are within the pricing of what the change order would have been, actually, a little bit less.

I don't know -- as you can see, we had some structural issues at Building 6, at the kiln room. That scope of work for Building 6 was just to replace the roof, but because of the structural issues and the termite damage of the roof we had the $A \& E$ redesign, so where the kiln room would go, now, it's going to go into a storage room and that design has been complete and we actually have -- have bid that out under a CSMP and that work will be getting done.

And then, finally, the structural issues at Building 17 and 18, I'll hit Building 18 first. It was a storage for a Bobcat, a piece of equipment, and so we have decommissioned that portion of the building and will be removing that for the safety of the occupants of the campus. And then the structural issues at Building 17 which is also being used for storage, we are having a Castaldi done on that to determine any life left of that building. And if their -- if the recommendation comes back to demolish that, obviously, the state will have to approve that,
as would the board. And if that happens we will be relocating one of the panels for the fire alarm, which is in that space.

And this is the Change Orders Approved this Quarter. I don't know if -- it's pretty self-explanatory. We do want to touch on -- I'm not sure, there you go. We wanted to talk a little bit about Errors and Omissions and what's happening on the program. So, typically, you'll have anywhere -- on renovations, anywhere from 8 -- 5 to 8 percent of change orders due to unforeseen conditions, errors and omissions, those kinds of things.

What we wanted to talk about was, in the TaxWatch report it was pointed out that taxpayers have cost -- I'm sorry, that these items have cost the taxpayers more than $\$ 5.4$ million. The reality of that is that the district, obviously, gets a value from those change orders. So it's not just a matter of, it's a percentage that we look at for what the A\&E would be responsible for if the district were to decide that they were going to try and recover any costs. It's a small percentage. It's only what was -- the dollars that would have been charged on bid day and then,
of course, taking into consideration that the district is getting value for the work that's being installed as a change order.

So we also explain here that the two types of error categories that fall under change orders is a full cost recovery, meaning that the difference the owner would have to pay on bid day versus what the owner pays in a change order, which I previously explained. And then the partial cost recovery is the difference the owner would have paid on bid day versus what the owner would pay in a change order; if the work has not been installed.

So if you are ahead and you're reviewing these things, which our project managers strive to do with the contractors, those elements are not being installed to where we have to reinstall to correct the issue.

So, again, this is just an update on Holding Vendors Accountable. We have talked about this before and we wanted to, while the district report identifies 805 in damages and financial penalties recovered from the design firms, I don't have the total with us today, but any project that is now delayed beyond what the -- if
our schedulers, for example, if the contractors are delayed and they compose and develop a time impact analysis, our claims department takes a look at those and determines if -- whose fault the delays were. So it's either the A\&E, it's the owner, or it's the contractor.

Any time that we recommend to the board and get approved to extend the contract date, obviously, they don't get charged for any liquidated damages. Up until that point we hold liquidated damages. We don't pull it out of their contract, but we hold it on a monthly basis tracking the time and the delays.

So we just wanted to clarify that, you know, just another way to hold, not only vendors and architects and engineers, but contractors, as well.

So I'm going to turn it over to Ashley and she can do an update on some of the budget.

MS. CARPENTER: Thank you. Ashley Carpenter with Atkins. What I wanted to do here was just give you a little bit of supplemental information related to the projects that get flagged for budget in the report.

I know in the TaxWatch report there was a
section, you know, talking about the budget issues, but $I$ just wanted to kind of highlight what are the reasons why we would have something where the budget changed. And I know we've talked about before, the number one reason and the reason that represents far and away the big dollar value is when we award the construction. So the original funding was set in 2014 and that original funding was not adequate to deliver the scope that was promised by the district, particularly, when you look now nine years down the road. So when we take the projects to the board and ask them to approve the award of the construction they're also in almost every case approving additional funding that then gets added to the project budget so that we can actually issue the PO to the contractor.

So that's the biggest dollar value for any of these budgets. And we kind of think about it like, now, before construction starts, we're really setting that final budget for what that project, you know, is going to be delivered for.

And in the December 2022 report there were 14 projects that were flagged for budget, 7 of them were for construction award and that represented
a total of $\$ 30.7$ million in additional funding that was added to the SMART Program budget.

The second item here is -- the second type of budget adjustment is related to construction change orders. So we do have in the project budgets a five percent change order contingency that we can use to do change orders. Sometimes, as Kathleen mentioned, on these renovation projects, industry standard is really higher than 5 percent. You know, 7, 8 percent would be typical.

So some projects they end up exceeding the available dollars that we have for change orders and we have to go to the board for additional funding to pay for those change orders before they can be approved. In this report there is .48 -- $\$ 0.48$ million that represented, you know, change order monies that were being added to the project.

And then really the only other type of budget adjustment that we do is when we do a financial closeout, when all the POs are closed, and then Capital will take a budget amendment to the board for approval that basically returns any remaining dollars in the project back to the Smart Reserve.

So those are what you'll see, typically, in a report under the budget flags. So we just kind of wanted to highlight that and show you that, really, you know, the biggest thing is when we're studying the budget for construction and then there's other minor things that happened in the jobs as well.

This next part is really around the risk assessment. This quarterly risk assessment did increase and there were a few different reasons for that. It increased by about $\$ 14$ million on the 70 percent risk result. And I'll just give you a few bullet points on why that happened.

Number one, I increased the allowance that we had in the risk for the swing space program. Kathleen talked to the board and to this group and other groups about the swing space program before. That's helping us to deliver the projects on schedule and with, you know, less destruction to the schools by bringing the kids out of the classrooms and putting them into portables so that HVAC work or whatever can get done in the building that's not occupied. And we had some allowance in the risk for swing but we increased that this time based on, you know, what
we know to be true now and what's being planned.
The other thing is just an increase in the construction estimates. So while we've been doing estimates, you know, every month there's estimates that are completed on projects that haven't gone to construction yet, those estimates continue to go up and we've seen over this past six months, you know, pretty much every bid come in slightly above the estimate. If the estimate was done three months ago, the bid's coming in a little higher, you know. The market is changing so quickly. So we wanted to really acknowledge that in a risk assessment and do an analysis of that.

The other thing is related to soft costs, so the program management, the inspections that are being done by the Building Department consultants, the potential claims that we have in the work, whether it be for architects or for contractors, and, you know, we just wanted to make sure we were carrying enough in the risk for those things.

And then the last thing is the increase in the likely change orders over the program. So if I was sitting here a year ago or two years ago
and we were saying, well, the change orders program wide are less than half a percent, you know, of the construction value, but now we see that it's creeping up, creeping up, so now we're at about 1 percent, which is still pretty good, but we wanted to acknowledge that in the risk this is probably going to get higher. You know, typically, with really big massive change orders they just take a long time to negotiate and get settled and sorted out and so a lot of times those come near to the end of the project and we wanted to include in the likely risk about two and a half percent of change orders.

I hope that that's enough and that's what's included in this risk assessment. So those were really the main things.

The high end risk went up 11 million and the 70 percent risk result was 14 million.

MS. LANGAN: So just to bring a conclusion, I guess we'll do questions later, after, but just to let the board know that we did add a memo that sort of has the additional detail of what we presented today and it gives the additional detail of the School Safety \& Security Projects.

And, with that, I'll turn that over to

TaxWatch and Omar.
MR. NAVE: Couple questions.
Sorry. Couple questions. First of all, I just want to say $I$ think the last quarterly report that the district put together shows a lot of progress. If you look at the TaxWatch report there were no recommendations in the report. And I think that's the first time $I$ have not made any kind of recommendations. And the reason $I$ did that is because it is obvious that the district is making a lot of progress on these and continually to -- you know, to beat Mr. Ballou up for Supplier Diversity when there's only a small segment of the vendors who even work on construction, $I$ just decided I didn't want to do that.

But I want to look at, going through the packet that was put together, quick question on the Safety \& Security stuff, because I look through the school spotlights on every project to see which ones have anything in the scope that would be Safety \& Security related and then I look at the status of that primary renovation project to see if it's on time or not. Is there something, Kathleen, on those forms that I'm
missing or is this, the data, the 100 percent, the 23 projects, is that something that is not in there but you're adding a response to our report?

I just want to make sure I didn't miss that.
MS. LANGAN: Sure. This is part of our monthly report, the variance report. And so those 23 projects, we'll start adding this to the quarterly report. Right now it's been in the monthly. And so we'll start adding it. But, no, this was not -- it was not in the spotlight.

MR. NAVE: Okay. And on the design delays, I know when we did the 2020 reset schedule a couple years ago, the -- the logic was that, you know, if we flatten and extend the schedule we can have fewer back and forths on -- with the design firm. And I think at the time, I think, Kathleen, you all were seeing nine or 10 or more and with the 2020 reset it was predicated on about 5. And I think if you look at figure 1 in our report, if you look at before the 2020 reset schedule, there were roughly 190 to 200 projects that were delayed in any given quarter. Since that schedule reset it's now under -- under 50. It's -- I think it's 40 to 50 that are delayed. And then for the first time ever we've got projects
ahead of schedule.
So I think on Facilities Construction side there's a lot to feel good about. We've only got, what, 10,15 projects in the design phase? So any kind of design comments and stuff are probably going to start dropping out, if not in the next report, then probably the one after that.

I had a question on Stranahan where we say the status, the construction status is 100 percent complete but we've got at least four change orders to do a lot of work. And I don't understand how we can say it's 100 percent complete if we've still got all this work to do. So maybe you can take a minute and educate me on that.

MS. LANGAN: I'd be happy to.
So this was additional work that is -- was outside of the contract. So maybe a better statement is it's 100 percent complete with contract work. This was -- this was work added, and, frankly, we identified without the CMR necessarily being involved, we were out and looking at some of the conditions and these change orders had been languishing with the
contractor. So rather than, you know, wait for him to give us pricing or schedules or whatever it was we just decided that we would not pursue those change orders with that firm and perform them outside.

So it's probably an incorrect statement to say that all construction because as we were going through construction, just like Building 17, Building 18, we identified some real concerns out there that maybe weren't in the original scope that we started with and then, as I said, we're going to do some of those repairs under a CSMP. Buildings 17 and 18 we won't do anything with until we get the results of the Castaldi and the state approves that and then it's up to the board whether or not we, you know, demolish that right away or not.

I hope that helps correct that.
MR. NAVE: No, that's very helpful. Thank you.

The final comment, the risk, the financial risk, I think this is the first time that all three scenarios have been north of $\$ 700$ million. And TaxWatch, you know, we identified a number of issues going forward that we think are critical
and the financial risk is certainly at the top of that list, so --

That's all I have. Other than that I -- I think -- I hope everyone is seeing progress that the district is making. Because when I look at their report $I$ see it.

MR. HILLBERG: Thank you. Mr. Shim?
MR. SHIM: Good evening. Omar Shim, Director of Capital Budget.

This Quarterly Budget Activity Report is for the quarter ending December 31st, 2022. The total SMART Program budget increased by 29.8 million, as mentioned before for that last quarter. That's from $1,527.5$ million to $1,557.3$ million, which is shown on page 399 of the quarterly report.

A detailed list showing each project that the -- that had budget increases is shown on pages 403 to 426 in the actual report.

From the total $1,310.5$ million is either committed or spent. And the balance of funds that are not encumbered or spent is 246.8 million.

Expenditures through second quarter are 956.2 million as shown on page 428. This is a $\$ 42.7$
million increase in expenditures from the previous quarter.

And purchase orders in place are 354.3 million.

Now, in addition to the SMART Program funding that's identified in the project budgets the district did set aside reserves in the amount of 691 million for additional costs identified in the Atkins Risk Assessment. And this is sort of how we have managed the program-wide risks of the program. And as was mentioned, they were originally budgeted back in 2014. And so we expect as we go along and manage that risk by setting aside reserve funding so that when we actually do award these projects there's funding set aside to fully fund the projects based on that risk.

However, the last risk assessment which actually came out after we were finishing up this report did show a variance that was a little bit higher than what we have in reserve.

So I wanted to provide a comment that was the observation in the TaxWatch report that the risk assessment was higher and this will -- our recommendation as to how much and when to true up
our reserve is being developed at this point and we'll bring something to the board and have that discussion about how to address that issue.

The district does, however, have 141.7 million in unallocated reserve outside of the SMART Program. So funding could come from there if the board acts and is willing to do that.

And that ends my presentation.
MR. HILLBERG: Thank you. Comments from the Bond Oversight Committee?

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Tommy Demopoulos, I have quite a few.

So starting with Bob's comment about the Big 3 and the report, I will agree that it's a little misleading when I saw a green checkmark and it says, status, complete, irregardless of the construction percentage next to it. Just a suggestion, maybe not a big green checkmark with the word complete, because it is a little deceiving, especially with the ones you say 100 percent. So I'll definitely mimic what you said there, it threw me off a little bit.

Permission to keep going? I have a handful.
Thank you.
Safety \& Security, I understand we continue
to say we're done and I get it, and I will continue to say, we are not done. Single Point of Entry, in my opinion and in the Broward County Fire Chief's opinion, was not the intention or the only intention of the bond. There were schools that didn't have fire alarms that were promised fire alarms; there were buildings that didn't have fire sprinklers that were promised fire sprinklers. And, obviously, single point of entry and hardening the vestibules is far from being done. So, again, I have been taking steps to work with the new Safety \& Security Chief and the Fire Code Official in recognizing and trying to prioritize, but $I$ will continue to say, in my opinion and the Fire Chief's opinion, the Safety \& Security is not done.

I'd like to touch really quickly on some of the change orders. A lot of these on the report say owner's request. And I guess my question -I know Adam brought this up last meeting, but, why? Why so many? And I guess this is more for the district. It seems like we're -- I want to say shooting ourselves in the foot. There's $\$ 6,000$ in replacing dead trees, 50,000 in installing Somat system, relocating a clock for

3,000, redesigning a culinary STEM lab for 67,000, all that are identified as owner's requests. So I guess my question is, why has the owner, who is the school board, changing all of these things? Are they last minute? Should these be part of the bond oversight project?

And I guess staying on that same track, 26.5 of the projects were delayed or are delayed due to owner delays, which is on page 58. So I guess my question, if we can have some interaction, why is there so much owner delays?

I don't know who wants to answer that.
MS. LANGAN: I'll take a shot and maybe Shelley will jump in there as well.

So, regarding the culinary or STEM lab, when the designers complete those documents those -because we didn't have educational specifications for all of those types of spaces, it was really -- the designer afterwards worked with the principal and the curriculum specialists who really put the rest of that design together during construction. So that's been pretty standard that we have seen through the bond program in these specialty spaces. You know, especially, I'll give another example of the
media center, you know, if we have associated $\$ 150,000$ worth of improvements in the media center, that includes the walls, the painting, the construction portion. So it may not include all of the furniture, fixtures and equipment. So there's things like that that are considered owner requests.

As far as delays, we are working with the Building Department and the Fire Marshall. What happens is during final inspections or startup inspections the inspectors will come out and ask for additional work to be done. And so if we can't close out at the same time that we had planned, obviously, if the contract work is complete, that's great, but then if we're -- if we can't get finals until these changes are completed, then, clearly, it delays the project, at least the completion of project.

I'm concerned about your thoughts on Safety \& Security and would like to meet with you at some point to get into the details more of what exactly we're talking about. I know at the last meeting we talked a lot about what was considered Safety \& Security in the ADEFP. And so that is not defined, necessarily, or pulled out in this
analysis. This was really more associated with the fire alarms.

If there are fire alarms that are programmed elsewhere outside of the bond, you know, we should talk about those, and $I$ know the district is working towards -- you know, we're working with them on a long-term plan. These are things that need to be identified as deficiencies and I know Omar is working to start the DEFP process, and he could probably speak better about it than I could, but these are things that would be recommendations to have the board consider for, you know, future funding items.

What else was there?
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: I think that was it. But to go back to that, so when I first started I was unaware and I still, honestly, am unaware of the original scope of the bond oversight; right; or the bond.

So prior to me being aware of this or being appointed here, some of the discussions as a fire code official with the school board was, oh, there's X amount of buildings that have no fire sprinklers and this bond will move towards fire sprinkler protection. One of the schools was in

Tamarac, Tamarac Elementary, two of the buildings had no sprinklers and I'm, honestly, not sure if all that project is done.

But when I talk about Safety \& Security that is one of the things, not so much emergency like exit signs, I get all that and that's part of the renovation, but the initial items to enhance school safety and security in the buildings not so much, you know, removing a strobe light or moving a fire sprinkler, we get that, that's part of the renovation. So I guess the original scope.

The second thing is with the Building Department and the Fire Marshall or Fire Department going back and forth, the outsider looking in, but they should -- they shall be providing you with code sections, and there's a bill in the legislature right now that's going to mandate if we make any changes to building plans that we shall provide a code section to you. So I would challenge you to challenge them, if they're not telling you why the walls should be blue and we're just paying for it, we really should be -- if it's not on the approved plans and it's not something that was overlooked, they
shouldn't just be coming to say, hey, we want this now.

MS. LANGAN: Understood. And I think that, you know, obviously, we are here to meet code. And sometimes I think it's the lens with which the inspectors are looking through at work. So if they go in and we didn't have any work on the ceiling, but yet they're looking, and they're saying, well, wait a minute, this isn't correct or this doesn't meet code, then they want to make sure that even areas that weren't necessarily in the scope, they want to look at that.

Now, we have worked very hard with the Building Department and the Fire Marshall to curb that. And I think we've been pretty successful.

We meet every Friday now with Mrs. Judy Marte, the Deputy Superintendent, and if there are -- we go through a lot of these issues. Okay, this scope is being asked for, what do we want to do with it? And she will make the final decision.

I just want to be clear that we're always there to meet code on anything that was in the original design documents.

Some of the changes are requested from the
design standards. And we know working with pre-construction that those are all important. But we also know that those design standards are based on new buildings. So it requires a lot of judgment calls throughout the construction.

But I -- I would like to, you know, work with you on some of these and just make sure we understand, and I'm sure Shelley would, too, to repair for the next year's budget.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Thank you.
I'm going to keep going.
So we talked about Building Department back and forths and that it's gotten better. Do we have data on the actual numbers of reviews on average? I know it used to be what you said nine or 10 or 12. What is it now and the average days? It used to be 192 days for these change orders. Do we have any data? We asked for that at the last meeting. I don't know if enough time has lapsed or if we need to wait.

MS. LANGAN: It hasn't, but we are collecting that and I will just say that we make -- we give it a priority. If an architect is asking for additional construction administration services or additional moneys for those types of things,
we go back and study the original design schedule. And if they were behind schedule, if they didn't submit their submissions timely, we take all of that into consideration and reduce the amount of, like the FLCC budgets, you know, they have a right to ask for the difference in their fees to construction costs. We don't just arbitrarily pay that. We spend a lot of time to make sure that we're considering their performance during the design phase.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: So as we move on a little more we'll have some better data on that?

MS. LANGAN: Yes, yes, we'll make sure that we are collecting this data and bringing something forward. I don't know that it will be at the next meeting, maybe the meeting after that.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Understood. Thank you. Has there been any improvement to, and I don't know if Policy 8000 was actually approved, I thought it was, to change the superintendent's approval from 25,000 to 50,000; did that go through and are we exercising that? Have we seen any improvement?

```
MS. LANGAN: We are exercising that. I think
```

we have seen an improvement. I know that the superintendent also, without going to court or the board, based on recommendations from staff, she can approve -- she or he can approve $\$ 5,000$ or less without going anywhere else. That's improved things quite significantly. And then, obviously, increasing the value of the change orders.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Why, this last quarter, was zero dollars recovered from the vendors on page 57? I guess last quarter we got 800,000, 900,000 -- 805,000 -- \$805,000. This quarter was zero. Any specific reason?

MS. LANGAN: Sure. Well, do you want to -MS. CARPENTER: Okay. So as we're moving out of the design phase, that holding vendors accountable section, we were reporting on dollars that were recovered because architects were delayed during design and we were recovering those dollars. As they were coming back to us to say we need more money for $X, Y$ and $Z$, we were saying, that's interesting, and we'd like to speak about that, but we would also like to talk about the fact that, you know, you were very late in design.

Kathleen has even kind of cracked down more on that to say, not only are we saying, now, you were very late in design, we're saying, hey, if the school board had been able to advertise this job in 2020 instead of in 2021 or 2022 we would have been able to save X amount of dollars, which is a huge impact to the school board, and we don't want to bare the burden of that entire, you know, cost adjustment or whatever.

So we're -- we are cracking down on that with them. However, you know, we're just moving out of design now, so we're kind of getting to the point where there aren't that many more of those to deal with. But I would say, even more than that and what's hard to kind of put on a slide and say how many dollars have been recovered, is AECOM's commitment to really challenging those architects to say, no, you're not owed money for extended CA because you were delayed and they're having their schedulers really then build that timeline and put together the analysis to say, absolutely not, you know, you were delayed here, here and here, even during construction. And so it's -- we can't really say, oh, we saved this money or whatever, but we're not taking those
amendments to the board and having the board give those architects extra dollars on those.

So there is a lot being done to hold vendors accountable. I don't know, maybe there's a better way to present that in a report.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Yeah, it just threw me off with 805 and then a zero, so $I$ wasn't sure if there was something behind that.

MS. LANGAN: I think we can start reporting, as Ashley mentioned, we're so much into construction now, that we can start reporting on dollars being withheld on liquidated damages. So we can definitely, you know, as the program moves, that's the phase that we're really focused on now.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: And I think my last thing, I did bring this up before, I think I got tomatoes thrown at me, is there consideration on pushing back projects or not moving forward? I know our city, when we look at our capital improvement, we're like, oh, my gosh, this is not great, let's put this off for a few years until things stabilize or we put away money. It seems like things are getting worse, not progress-wise, progress-wise I hear really good things, but with
industry, and things on back order, and construction costs, and things like that, I just -- I don't think I would personally do construction on my house, so I don't know why we continue to do construction in the schools, unless it's absolutely necessary.

Thank you.
MR. HILLBERG: Kathleen, did you have a response to Tommy's last statement?

MS. LANGAN: Sure. Sure. Actually, it came up at the last board meeting, that consideration, specifically, from board member Dr. Zeman. So what I can tell you is that there are four to six, maybe seven projects that we will be bringing to the board to defer and include in the long-term plan for reconsideration.

I think the philosophy as I understood it from board members, I'm going to kind of look around here, but $I$ think it's, promises made, promises kept. And I might just say that, you know, as a person who's run a lot of bond programs, if you -- if the district anticipates going out for another bond, and, hopefully, they do, or some other way to fund the needs of -- a lot of needs in the schools, you know, it's going
to be important to be able to say that, while the challenges were there for the SMART Program, we -- we met what we -- we did what we said we were going to do. And so I think that that's a really important part. Maybe not at the, you know, at the detriment of cost, but I think as long as the district can fund those we should finish it up and then take those projects that we've noted as, this should be on hold or go into the long-term plan, we do so.

MS. CARPENTER: And can I just add that for the few projects that Kathleen is talking about potentially being rolled into the long-term plan, the reason is because the scope is not well-defined, really, what was called out in the original SMART is not appropriate for the campus. I don't know if it was appropriate back then, but it's not appropriate today. So it really needs to be re-looked at as part of the bigger picture of what's happened in the district. So, you know, it's not just, kind of, oh, well, these projects are at the end so we're not going to do them. It's not like that at all. It's just that the scope needs some real work before we just go out there and slap on a roof or an HVAC.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: I didn't have a question but I have a follow-up.

MR. HILLBERG: Sure.
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Is there -- and you keep talking about the scope and $I$ get it, but is there a delineation between the scope for the bond and the scope for all this other stuff? Like can we just finish the bond things and then we end everything and you continue on your capital improvements as we all do?

I don't know how that's possible; if that's possible.

MS. LANGAN: It is. We have really focused down and, as I mentioned, with the Deputy Superintendent's help and working together with pre-construction, the Building Department and the Fire Marshall, we are really, I mean, I can just tell you, everybody's always mad at me for not -not signing that, not signing that, not signing that.

So we're -- we're very focused on it and being very cautious that we only do the scope that was called for.

MR. HILLBERG: Mr. Nave, you had a question?
MR. NAVE: Yeah, I wanted to ask a question.

Is anyone here tonight an employee of the district's facilities construction office?

MS. MELONI: Yes, I am.
MS. LANGAN: Shelley is.
MR. NAVE: You are? Okay. Thank you. I wasn't sure if there was one here.

MR. HILLBERG: Mr. Demopoulos, are you --
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: I think I'm done. Thank you.

MR. HILLBERG: Thank you. Very thorough questions.

I did have some quick things. One, it's been hard to see good news in the time that I've been on this committee, but the fact that tonight is the first night I've ever heard, and thanks for confirming it, it's the first time that we've ever had projects ahead of schedule. That's a major, major thing and $I$ think that deserves recognition.

We're coming to the end on the Big 3. That is another major accomplishment. And also to follow that up it looks like the School Choice programs are winding down or will be finished probably in the next two meetings, which we've been looking for for a while and there wasn't
progress very quickly made on that, but it seems like the last quarter it really jumped. So those are very good things.

And now $I$ think we should move along to Mr. Ballou with the Economic Development \& Diversity Compliance section.

MR. BALLOU: Robert Ballou, Office of Economic Development \& Diversity Compliance.

The first slide is kind of what Bob alluded to, we have 502 local businesses that are certified as local business. But as we move into the true just construction projects, as Kathleen also talked about, we have 54 pre-qualified local suppliers that have pre-qualified and are bonded to do work on the construction-related projects. And so there are 134 in total, 54 are local businesses. So instead of the 502 number, for the next couple of meetings we'll be talking about those 54.

The 28 percent, our internal target was 30 percent, and if you recall last year $Q 4$ that number was 27 percent. Specifically, it was 27.11. It is now 27.98. So it's an 84 basis point increase. How did we get there? Year to date Q1 and Q2 we're at 39 percent utilization.

So we're doing more than 30 percent, trying to catch up. We may not catch up to the 30 but at least for this meeting $I$ will walk out unscathed that we did more than 30 for year to date so far.

The other number that we're happy to report that we couldn't report with confidence before is our subcontractor number. We now have fully implemented our B2G system that allows us to report all subcontracting activities on our -- on our contracts. So we're at 42 percent spend utilization on all contracts.

So we looked at 28 percent utilization at the direct level, but then separately the second line is, yes, we awarded those contracts, but 42 percent of all of that spending is going on with local suppliers and our internal target on that was 29 percent.

And then marketing activities, 208.
So that's the, if you will, the bulk of the report. And now a little bit of details.

Secondly, we agree the district needs to do more with African American women and any of the ethnic groups that we highlighted. So while we agree with that, we don't necessarily agree with
the target goals anymore because the target goals were based on demographics of, truly
demographics, but not availability of suppliers, but we won't get into that today. But what we will say is that in this quarter we awarded an \$8.7 million contract to an African American supplier, so that is doing more.

Next slide?

This is the details that I talked about, the 54 pre-qualified suppliers as opposed to the 500 suppliers. So we will write -- our agreement with TaxWatch is we will rewrite our presentation of our certified suppliers for next presentation so that they will have the data. They did not have this data, so they couldn't report on it. So I won't let Bob tell me that he can't report on what $I$ didn't give him, so $I$ will give it to them for the next time.

Next slide?
And here's where we're talking about where we went from the 27.1 to the 27.9. We went from 381 million at the end of Q4 2023 and we're now at the 424 , which gives us a solid 28 percent end movement.

Where we are talking about, Robert, why do
you keep saying there's so few suppliers and there's a cap? So the cap in construction spend is, you as a contractor can only do what you're bonded for. And if you're bonded for $\$ 20$ million, you're bonded for $\$ 20$ million with all of your customers, not just with the school district. And anybody would say you might want to diversify your customer base. So if you have a $\$ 20$ million bonding you might not, could, should, would, do more than 10 million with a customer; right?

So if I add up all the African American pre-qualified suppliers bonding, in total it's \$105 million.

Bringing that down to what would be reasonable from a business perspective, there's probably only 40 to $\$ 50$ million of available spend. And we've already done 23 million.

So we have another $\$ 20$-some-odd million out of available spend, oh, and we just awarded 8.7 million this quarter. So that 20 million now is 12 million of available bonding that that number can go to. So the African American number on the best day will be 50 million, which is far less than 19 percent.

Does that makes sense?
So that's kind of -- and then the other argument is, well, why is the Hispanic number 200 million? Well, the availability of bonding is over 400 million. That's why.

Next slide?
So we're doing all the other stuff. We're still doing the pre-bid meetings, we're doing -and we call these ongoing activities. So we started doing them as we identified we had a problem and we're doing more of them.

We have three projects that we're working with Procurement on writing that we will be advertising within the next short period of time. And in those three projects there will be pre-bid meetings and we will have pre-bid meetings, we'll invite subcontractors and we'll invite all the -of those 54 certified pre-qualified contractors that have the bonding available for those three projects, we'll make sure they're in the room so they have a chance to bid on the project.

Next slide?
This is just showing that we're at 42 percent of our subcontracting when we write our API, our Affirmative Procurement Initiative, the mandatory
line is 29 percent. Even with the mandatory line of 29 percent the majority of our suppliers have exceeded it and we're at average of 42.

Next slide?
Well, how do we get this word out; how to we communicate? Well, it's a seven-step process. It's outreach, outreach, outreach, outreach, outreach, outreach, outreach. I think I said it seven times. But that's the process. We literally start with a query of available -- of suppliers in the network. When we're working on writing the RFP we contact the suppliers that are in the scope to see whether they want to work on that.

So that's notice that something's going to happen. We can't tell them exactly what's happening, but there's notice that something's going to happen. So that's the first survey.

We do follow-up phone calls and then when the district does the solicitation we broadcast that on DemandStar and then we follow that up with social media posts, which Garth helps us, and we get the word out to everybody; right?

We follow that email blast up with an additional email blast to the business community.

We call more people. We do more social media posts. We beg, borrow and steal to try to get them to come to a pre-bid meeting as a prime, because if you don't come to the pre-bid meeting you can't bid. And we use the pre-bid meeting for a Meet the Prime event for our subcontractors. So then if a contractor says I can't find a -- an electrician, well, there's three of them that came to the pre-bid meeting, so you didn't look far, but, guess what, we give those three subcontractors the primes that were at the pre-bid meeting so they can market directly. So our Meet the Prime event is why you will never see -- I won't say "never", why you don't see a lot of Meet the Prime events at Broward County Public Schools is because the Meet the Prime event is the pre-bid meeting.

Next slide?
We're still working with the South Florida Anchor Alliance to create more opportunity for our suppliers throughout South Florida. We have streamlined the certification process. So if someone is a certified supplier in Miami-Dade County Public Schools or Palm Beach County Public Schools, it takes exactly 14 seconds to get
certified. Not 15,14 seconds. I'm speaking because I'm the certifier. We use B2Gnow, full disclosure.

Both Palm Beach County and Miami-Dade Schools use the same B2Gnow system, so I can look at the system and see that they're certified, so I don't need the supplier to send me third party confirmation because $I$ can see it in the system. And we've evaluated their -- their process for certification and we value them as business partners as well, so $I$ can literally see in B2G. We added the module in B2G so I can just push the button. The supplier goes on, clicks two buttons, yes, I'm certified with one of those districts, and, yes, $I$ have an SAP vendor ID. If the answer is yes to both, it immediately goes to my queue, $I$ click to verify that $I$ can see it. It takes 14 seconds because $I$ have to go in and verify and see it with my own eyes and then $I$ click and they're now certified.

So it took a process that could take anywhere from 30 to 45 days, again, down to one day. My staff won't let me say 14 seconds, but $I$ said it here.

And then we do our technical assistance in

B2Gnow as well as we added it on our website. So if you go to browardschools.com/eddc, Edward, David, David, Charlie, right-hand side there is a button to click to sign up. We use Calendly, so you can click and register and make an appointment and then our certification staff will move their schedule around to meet you at your allotted appointment time so you don't have to worry about trying to find somebody. As well as, if you ever have a question, you call (754) 321-1517 and it will be immediately answered and directed to the appropriate staff.

Next question -- next slide.
And then that's just a summary of all of our activities we're doing; if you will. I won't say a lot. We're doing what we need to do to drive a better experience, again, making the district a more compelling place to work.

We'll report at the next quarter meeting a meeting that happened last week, because that technically didn't happen in this quarter, so I will leave you with that cliffhanger of we have good news to tell you about what happened in Q3 here at Broward County Public Schools.

MR. HILLBERG: Thank you, Mr. Ballou, for
another very good presentation, as always.
Let's move on to Communications and Yvonne Garth.

MS. GARTH: Yvonne Garth with Garth Solutions. We're the communications liaison for the SMART Program. And $I$ just want to say that Mr. Ballou is always a hard act to follow. I'd like to request that $I$ go ahead of him.

Okay. Communications Update. You heard throughout the presentation today that there's been a lot of progress made with over 200 projects in construction. From a communications standpoint it gives us a lot of great news to share with the community and we've been focused on celebrating those successes in a number of different ways.

Next slide?
We have been going out to the schools almost on a daily basis to capture the progress that's being made with images, with pictures, video, and so forth.

The website, as you may know, we have a web page for every single school, 232 schools, so as we capture that footage and those updates we update the websites and we let the school
community know so that they can share it with parents and students and so forth.

This quarter we're excited, we are always looking for new and innovative ways of sharing information and we started to use 3D video to -to feature some of the centers. We actually had three media centers that we took 3D video of and we shared that through Parentlink with the help of the Office of Communications to the school community.

Next slide?
Events. So in this last quarter we had eight events. We actually focused in the last quarter on celebrating projects that were getting started and were kicking off. We had a great groundbreaking celebration for Margate Elementary School. We had the media there. Parents, members of the community were there. It was really a fantastic event. As well as I'm going to -- we had four meetings at four different municipalities to give them an update and we attended three SAC meetings to provide updates on work beginning at South Plantation High School, Sawgrass Springs Middle School and Indian Trace Elementary School.

Next slide?
We continue to get great coverage in the community papers. We have four feature stories in OurCityMedia and Margate Talk. We've also expanded two additional papers. It's not on the screen, but thanks to the Chief we were able to facilitate a story through Tamagram. It didn't quite make it in the last quarter, but that story did run, as well as Coconut Creek.

Media Centers. This Monday is actually National Reading Month. I wanted to make sure I got that right. So we took advantage of National Reading Month to celebrate all of the work that's being performed at the media centers celebrating the hundred media centers that are in the program. The campaign includes videos, social media posts, some fun activities.

We have -- actually, this is a look-ahead, we'll have three ribbon cuttings this quarter as long as some stories that are going to run.

So that concludes our update for Communications and we'll continue to celebrate as we get more projects that cross the finish line.

MR. HILLBERG: You followed Mr. Ballou very well.

Are there any comments on either of the presentations?

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: I'll say a positive. Thank you very much to you and your staff. You worked with our PIO Department and the Tamagram for the March/April edition will have a full page of all of the three public schools that we have and the construction progress, so, thank you.

MS. GARTH: It was actually -- I would be remiss in not mentioning that it has really been a team effort with the Office of Communications as well.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. That brings us to the School Board Workshop. I attended the one on January 24th. Unfortunately, the school board was more concerned about replacing the superintendent, so I never did present. I did leave the points behind for distribution and was contacted later that $I$ didn't need to reschedule. So I guess that was sort of successful.

The next School Board Workshop is March 28th and I need a victim/volunteer?

Okay. Well --
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: I have a class that day
which I might be able to rearrange, but I'd prefer not to. If there's no other coverage -MR. CORTES: Let me look at my calendar. MR. HILLBERG: By default it's penciled in, Mr. Cortes is tentative.

Okay. I will propose future meeting dates. Any issue with June 12th?

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: I think this is the most I've talked in this meeting, in any meeting. I do, I will not be in town June 12th.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. I don't know what in the past we've done with that, if somebody can't make -- if one person can't make one meeting, do we have a big enough board that we can take that?

Thank you, Omar.
MR. SHIM: Yeah. What we could do is we can send around, try to get a consensus about when everybody would be available to do the meeting. And, of course, include Bob. We had a little snafu, but we'll be sure to include him and try to find the best date that everybody can at least at this point confirm their availability.

MR. NAVE: May I ask a question?
MR. HILLBERG: Certainly.
MR. NAVE: We have always met on Monday
evenings. And I remember when we did it, but I don't remember why we did. But is there anything that prohibits the group from meeting on a day other than Monday?

MR. HILLBERG: I don't know. I would have to look to staff to -- apparently not.

Mondays are brutal, so I'd be up for any day other than Monday, actually. But that's just me.

Go ahead.
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Well, I'll pull the father card. My son has baseball Tuesday and Thursday, which does not obviously affect all of you, so Monday, personally, is better for me, but I'll try my best.

MR. HILLBERG: I'm not sure how to resolve that at this moment, but that will be something that we'll consider and make any adjustment as needed.

So at this time we recess the business meeting. Even with no quorum do we do that?

Okay. And we convene the public hearing.
Is there anyone here from the public?
There is no one here from the public to speak tonight. So we can adjourn the public hearing and we can reconvene the business meeting.

And there is -- this is the discussion portion. And $I$ only had one item. It's that the list of items that we get promised to be furnished with is growing and there's not been a whole lot of progress on supplying those items. Now, if it's something that is sensitive or too difficult to transmit to all of us by email $I$ understand that, you know, let's not do that. And maybe in mine maybe it's too sensitive, like the report on the roof failure at Rickards school?

MS. LANGAN: You didn't get a copy of that?
MR. HILLBERG: No, I didn't get one. Any members of the board? No? I mean, the committee?

So none of that's on the list. And the weigh-backs for the previous public statements, I've never seen a response for, there's probably been six now, and that's one of the bullets in our mission statement is that we are to facilitate communication with the public.

At the last meeting there was discussion that the school board would be implementing a procedure to track and follow up and resolve these issues and I was looking forward to hearing
something about that. But the person that was saying that last time is not here today at this time, so I'll save that until next time.

The -- I'm going to have to guess that the Stranahan cafeteria that was an issue, it's a change order that was outside of the original scope, because that was supposed to be just approved prior to the last meeting. And I know it's a very contentious issue with the community. So I think they would be happy to know that that's going to move forward. But that's outside the original scope, so it will proceed separately.

MS. LANGAN: Correct. That is not a SMART project, but we did get approval of the Construction Management at Risk contract. We actually had groundbreaking that was super successful, a lot of fun, and we have already started construction.

MR. HILLBERG: Very good.
I should have approached this first, these items that are listed that are -- that have been stated that we would be furnished with, how is the best way to handle that? Should I send the list after the meeting? And usually I read the
meeting minutes and kind of pick those items and then I would -- I would think that if I sent it two weeks prior to this meeting then that would serve as a reminder and people could chase those items down and we could cross these things off.

MR. SHIM: Well, the two items that I have is the Rickards report and the public statements, the response to the public statements.

With regard to the response to the public statements, we'll go back and -- because we haven't had that much public input except for a couple of meetings, and we'll go back and capture those and make sure that either, $A$, they have been responded to through any other forum, like other board meetings that have been brought up and the same questions have been asked and there has been a response and bring them back to the committee as far as what, how those were resolved.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Thank you.
MR. NAVE: Because $I$ know that we raised a couple of those issues in our reports to the committee.

And can I ask one other questions? Are you all getting copies of the court reporter
transcripts?
MR. HILLBERG: Yes.
MR. NAVE: Okay.
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: I don't think I did. I searched by Vicki and I didn't have any, but unless someone else is sending them, I'm a little behind on emails.

MR. HILLBERG: This one had two versions, two text versions.

Okay. Is there any other point of discussion?

MR. CORTES: I have something.
MR. HILLBERG: Yes, Mr. Cortes.
MR. CORTES: Good afternoon. Good night everybody. Just a couple of comments I didn't get to go through. There are a couple of things. I would agree, I think the last meeting I was kind of noticing there's a lot that we can talk about but there's a lot of progress going on with the projects, so, obviously, you can say congratulations for that. On the -- on the -- if you look at the charts and the numbers, obviously, it's like a wave going in the air on the construction and one thing that I would be personally interested in knowing, and I know we
kind of touched it, but $I$ don't think it's something that you're going to have immediately available is which ones of those jobs have financial closure yet, because, you know, you get it completed, but by the time you get financial closures you see if there are any moneys left in there, if any.

Then you guys talked a lot about the design delays, that's good. I just wanted to mention the change orders discussion, I know that every meeting we kind of talk about it. The last meeting I went to the board and kind of explained it, and I think it's good, I think the idea that you are adding moneys to the change orders, you are right in the middle of construction, when you look at the numbers right now, the majority of jobs you have 200 and you're done with design and stuff and you don't have a lot of those, you might see some of those issues. When you have 200 jobs that are in construction you are going to have change orders. And if you are at 1 percent, some of that is going to be over, it's more for all of us on the committee to know that there are change orders that are beneficial for the owner. Not all change orders are negative.

The real reason would be, why are we having a change order and are there any change orders that we should be concerned about that should be things that we should pay attention to, because it's an issue with the contractor or the architect. But the idea of thinking that having change orders is a bad measurement of management of the projects is not true. This is why you do projects and you have percentages allocated for changes because they happen. And so I just wanted -- I just want to mention that because sometimes you look at metrics and they might be an indicator of bad performance. It's the opposite right now in terms of the change orders. I don't know what the number would be, if you take seven percent or eight percent and apply it, we're really saving the other amount of money that typically is paid by the industry.

So we have to keep those numbers low, we have to be strong, continue to manage them and do the stuff, but a change order is not a bad thing when they happen. They happen because they are a part of the business; yeah? So that's one comment.

And then I have a similar comment when it comes to the metrics on holding people
accountable. I think it's important to get money when people are making gross mistakes and when they are worthy of getting money, but you have to be careful that the metric doesn't become the norm and the way to do business. Because companies will build that into their risk approach when they are doing business with you. So their numbers are going to be higher because they're scared that you're going to go after them for money every time.

So it's a philosophy of business, right, not only about the bond but how does the school -- if you go after everybody for every little thing just because you're trying to measure that you're getting out, to get money because the bond needs money, people are going to build it in their numbers and you're still going to pay for it. So it's just something to think about. I think it's -- I would say it's the same situation with change orders. Are there cases where -- and this is a management decision by the board and the people around the school board to make a decision on what the philosophy should be on chasing architects or companies to get money back. Getting money back from people is good, but it
has an implication on the overall business of how the community looks at the school board, and I just want to bring that up because it's important; yeah? If you go after everybody for every little thing you might run out of people that want to do business with you or it's going to be more expensive because it's more risky to do work with you. So keep that in mind.

Again, if money -- if a contractor or an architect or someone is doing nebulous stuff, yeah, we have to go after them, that's why you have contracts.

The absence of having cases where you are not recovering money, I would be more concerned if we're recovering that much money from companies, that means we're not doing our job in managing the companies in the way that they're delivering the scope. That concerns me more than how much we would get back. Because if it got to that point, something is going on, not only with the contractor but with the way we're managing the contractor or the architect. Why did we allow them to get to that level that now we're going to go try to get money from them, yeah, which is a very difficult task to do anyway. When you've
got 800-and-something, congratulations, because that is hard to do, especially knowing the history on how the bond program has worked. There's a lot of parts that have to contribute to get someone not to do their job correctly.

The one other question $I$ had is on the -- or comment, on the forecast for your risk approach, the risk management numbers, is that based on a low -- a low, high, medium? Are you tallying the medium probability and how is that, how are you doing that? Because $I$ know you're adding, what, 29 million the last quarter, and a lot of that apparently is out of the estimating and costs and all of that.

As you're moving through construction, I guess you, obviously -- I think you mentioned to me before that that number is going to continue to come down. Do you have a projection of that cash flow and is this the highest number that you think you'll have in the projections for the risk?

MR. SHIM: Again, Omar Shim, Director of Capital Budget.

What we have been doing is looking at the 70 percent risk and keeping our reserves at that
level.
Now, coming towards the end of the program we're looking -- and that's -- that's the risk in the expenditures, what the program is projected to spend based upon all those different things you were mentioning, cash flows and things like that, projected cash flows and things like that.

But as we're coming towards the end of the program we also are looking at projections now when we close out projects and funds that would be returned back to the district and factoring in how we put adequate reserves to budget the projects as we come to the close of the program towards the end of the program.

MR. CORTES: Okay. That's all I got. Thank you so much.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. I have a question about the committee makeup. Would it be helpful to have someone representing the building officials on this committee since we're going into construction issues and the inspections and permitting has been kind of a -- an Achilles heel, maybe, for a lot of projects, maybe the insight from a building official is appropriate. I don't need you to explain the whole thing, but
if there are no objections I will continue to try to find someone from the building officials group that would come and help us.

Okay. Seeing no objections.
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Is that not by resolution; you'd have to provide the makeup or who represents who by resolution? Maybe resolution is the wrong word.

MR. HILLBERG: Go ahead, Omar.
MR. SHIM: If it is the will of the committee I can -- and if you guys decide that that is what you want to do after you look at really the resolution, we can make a change if the committee votes to do so, and say we would like to -- we recommend that the committee does this, then we can use that to make a change to the resolution.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Thank you, Chief Demopoulos, for that.

For the School Board Workshop, I think the points should be that, of course, there's a lot of good progress going on with the schools ahead of schedule. The Big 3 making progress. It looks like we're pushing schools out of design and into construction, so pretty soon the first three categories of the process will be zeros,
except for the four beginners, I guess. That includes the School Choice program. That's all I have to -- is it within the Sunshine rules that I email anything that $I$ have to Victoria and then she can disseminate it? Because once it's in a public email system it's a public record.

Can you put your attorney hat on for just a second?

MR. SHIM: I don't have an attorney hat, so I would -- I would definitely have to check to see if that would be okay.

MR. HILLBERG: Any other comments?
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Yes, two more.
So you mentioned a building official and I would almost challenge whomever is responsible, there's nine people that are supposed to be on this, if my resolution is correct, 15-106, nine people, and it's always the same ones of us. So I don't know what vacant positions, and I know it was on the agenda last meeting or a few meetings ago to look at these positions and either remove some or add some, like you're talking about for the building official, so I would love to see tasked to whomever at least one member of whatever association, let's either work harder to
fill them or remove them from here and add others, such as a building official. To be honest with you, I think I speak pretty decent from the permit side, more for fire, but still it's a plan review on the permit side, but I would challenge that.

The second thing I'd love to see back on the agenda, and I don't think we finished talking about it, but just correct me if I'm wrong, the Chief Auditor's Office, there was some audits that we were supposedly going to be looking at or some reporting and I think the report was sent out but we never had a conversation. If that's true, I'd love to see have that on the agenda for a future meeting.

MR. CORTES: Just one comment. On this thing with the four reviews or eight reviews or stuff, on your schedule how much are you allocating for -- because you can do four reviews, that takes you six months or -- because nobody answers or whatever or eight reviews in two months. For the schedule, because I think when you look at the delays here, well, the price of delays or whatever, well, there is assumption on the duration that the reviews are going to take. If
not, that means that your schedules need help in terms of the assumptions; yeah?

MS. LANGAN: Yeah, so, contractually, the first submission to the Building Department is 21 days and then thereafter, and then the architects have the response, the required response in 21 and then after that it's 14 days per review and per response. So that's the assumption that we make because it's a contract requirement.

I would say that when we arrived there was probably more challenges, that's why we put -- we organized the group to have a design group and so that group has been laser focused on making sure they're communicating A\&Es as well as the Building Department to make sure people are adhering to their time. Obviously, sometimes, you know, things happen and there's a delay there, but, obviously, it's gotten a lot better and it seems to be -- it seems to be working very well and there's just a few projects left. And so we've actually changed staff so as they come out of the design group we put them into the construction group because we have so many in construction, obviously.

MR. CORTES: So I guess the cycles have the
implication of increasing the schedule but the real metric is how long is it taking us to do it; yeah? Whether you do it in three, two, one, six. So is that something that could be measured so that in the reports we could see how long is it really taking and to kind of push a little bit? That would make sense.

MS. LANGAN: Sure, we can look at that.
MR. CORTES: But I think we're trying to look at the cycles and the schedules. Thank you.

MR. HILLBERG: Any other comments?
(No response.)
MR. HILLBERG: Hearing none, let's go ahead and adjourn.

Thank you everyone. Good night. (Meeting was concluded at 7:09 p.m.)
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