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MR. HILLBERG: All right. Let's go ahead and get started. A previously stated, we were waiting for a new member. We can't reach her at this moment, so we're going to have to go ahead and go.

So, the Call to Order. I made.
Item B is the Vote for the New Member. I think we can do that without the member present.

MR. SHIM: No, you cannot.
MR. HILLBERG: We cannot do that without the member present.

So we will skip B and we'll go to C, Nominate and Vote for the Bond Oversight Committee Vice-Chair. I would like to defer that until the next meeting. Okay. That's deferred. Good.

Item D, Approval of Minutes from the FY22 Q2 meeting, can't do that.

I like this meeting.
Presentation by District Staff? And we can do that.

All right. So first up is the Timeframes for the remaining Safety and Security Projects.

MS. LANGAN: Good evening. Kathleen Langan,

AECOM Program Director. I think we're -- I'm not sure about the organization, I think we have our Safety and Security Projects further in the presentation. Would you like us to go to that portion or shall we just start with our presentation? Whatever works for the committee.

MR. HILLBERG: Well, it's one page; right? It's in the early part?

MS. LANGAN: It's about midway through, if you want to pass through the presentation and just go to that slide.

There's actually three slides that we have an update for the committee on this evening regarding Safety and Security. Just bear with us one -- one second here. Here we go.

Okay. So what we did for the meeting today was we broke out Safety and Security projects to give the committee and the public a little bit better picture of what was included for security in the SMART Program.

So, as you can see, I won't read all of these words, but, basically, the work for security that was included in the SMART Program was the Single Point of Entry projects and then some additional fencing at those schools. That was 170 schools
which included additional fencing, as I mentioned, and that work was completed in July of 2019. So all of the security projects finished in 2019.

What -- no, if you'd just jump back for me?
What we do show you is that there are school-based projects, security projects, and these were including air phones, cameras, intercoms, buzzers, and those were installed at the single point of entry. Electric strikes on campus, secondary access doors, standalone card reader systems, single point of entry door viewers, rekeying of doors and counters and swing doors. All of those projects deemed completed on or about December 31st of 2021. Again, that was school-based projects. That was the title of that.

And then, of course, we have the hardening of the single point of entry. The Superintendent gave a great update at the board meeting last week. And you can see the status of all of those. And these were based on grants that were received and other funding. And so you can see we're referencing the Superintendent's report as of September 13th, and that -- we just wanted to
clarify that.
So if we move to the next slide, this is just a repeat of the single point of entry.

And then moving to the following slide which would be the Safety. So the fire alarm and fire sprinkler, those are the categories. You've seen this slide before. And there is some overlap of projects. Obviously, fire alarm and fire sprinkler, there are -- that scope is in projects combined. So you can see that 20 are in hire contractor for the fire alarm, 24 for sprinkler, and so on.

I would note that we have been pulling together the details of the status of each of those projects with fire alarm and fire sprinkler to give the district, the school board, and the Superintendent, a little bit more detail on the update. And we'll be happy to provide that to the committee. We expect that that will be finished no later than Friday of this week. So we'll be happy to transmit that to the committee.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. TaxWatch?
MR. NAVE: Thank you, sir. As we pointed out in our report, there are -- we identified 141 primary renovations projects that, as part of the
activities that are bundled with those projects, include Safety and Security features.

In addition to the fire alarms and sprinklers, things like lightning protection, emergency exit signage, ADA improvements; and things like that.

In our report we looked at the status of those primary renovations projects and we identified for the committee those that had been flagged for delays. And we also made clear in the report, and I think it's important to note, that a lot of the Safety and Security activities that are bundled into these primary renovations projects may already be in place and operational. It may be something like roofing or some other activity that is the reason for the delay.

So we didn't draw any conclusions. We didn't make any recommendations. It's just Chief Demopoulos had asked us to do a deeper dive and we did it and that's what we presented to you.

MR. HILLBERG: Any comments from the committee?

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Thank you. Thank you for the detailed report and for giving that information.

I will say, you know, when $I$ first started in this role $I$ was a little bit surprised to only see that this ginormous scope of work in the bond really only had the hardening of or the single point entry; if I may?

I still am very disappointed. I don't believe that project is done. I know we were done with it $X$ amount of years ago. Single point to a point is complete, but this multilayered approach and school hardening and vestibule hardening is not done by far. I only speak for Tamarac schools because that's the ones that I have been into, personally, and I do the Florida Safe School Assessment Tour each year with the school district and our law enforcement and we fill out every year these items, such as perimeter fencing and hardening of vestibules and things like that each year and each year nothing happens.

And I get that's not directly tied to some of these projects, but, originally, and years ago, the fire service in Broward County was told, hey, these projects will be completed, some of which were fire sprinklers. Some of these buildings that have no fire sprinkler protection, we were
informed that they would have it. Some of these buildings that had old and antiquated fire alarm systems, we were told would be upgraded and replaced.

So the legend or the list that you guys have -- or the table, I'm sorry, with the fire alarms and the sprinklers, I want to say that that's really due to the construction or the renovations. Hey, we're gonna construct or do something in this room, I'm moving a sprinkler head from one to another, yeah, that counts to it, but that really is not brand new installation and enhancing the life safety of the school and the students.

So from a fire service end, it's
disappointing. I don't believe it's done. I will still continue to say at every meeting that more work needs to be done for the school hardening, the vestibule hardening, and things like that. And it's not there. So thank you.

MR. HILLBERG: Comments?
MS. LANGAN: I would just say that, you know,
that is not part of our scope in the SMART
Program outside of fire alarms and the sprinklers, some of those other elements. But I
would also say that the Superintendent is extremely focused and that's why she has given the update that she did last week to the board and the public. And so $I$ think that -- that is an issue.

I will also say for TaxWatch purposes, and we'll get into it a little bit more, but the listing of projects that were noted as flagged for schedule are actually not flagged. We had a technology glitch where we uploaded from MPUs and we're not sure exactly what happened, but that's corrected now and uploaded. So we'll get into that in a little bit more detail.

I would also just offer that, as I mentioned, we are getting into deeper dives on the status of the fire alarms and the sprinklers and so we will make sure that we get a follow-up to you.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Thank you.
MS. LANGAN: You bet.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Let's move on to Primary Renovations Projects Delayed since Adoption of the New Schedule.

MS. LANGAN: Okay. So this is our dashboard that's divided by phase. We have -- I'm not sure if the committee knows, but there are
approximately six projects that we have moved back to planning that will be further studied in the long-term plan, and so those are reflected there by the number 4.

We have only 20 projects left in Design. So we have moved projects very quickly. We have 17 in Hire Contractor. We have 189 under Construction and 61 in Construction Closeout.

I will add that this is specifically only the SMART Projects.

As you all know, we manage the Capital Program and so there's a lot more. We have about 200 in construction right now and about 100 closing out, so --

But focusing on SMART, you can see the progress that was made since the last report, March 31st.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. TaxWatch?
MR. NAVE: Don't have anything to add to that. I would love to hear more on the actual number of projects that are -- have been flagged for delays. And I don't know if you're going to do that later, Kathleen, or when.

MS. LANGAN: Yes.
MR. NAVE: Okay. Then I don't have anything
at this point.
MS. LANGAN: We'll do that. We've given a lot of detail to you on that.

MR. HILLBERG: Comments from the committee?
(No response.)
MR. HILLBERG: I have a comment. Yeah, I guess it'll go here.

So, in the rebuttal or the response to TaxWatch comments of June, Mr. Bays provided a document going over the projects. There are 21 -- there were 37 total that were delayed. 21 of them were owner delays. And while reading through the list, one particular thing kept coming up over and over again and it reads like this. This is just an example, but 99 percent of all contract work is complete. The inspector is directing additional work. I want to know how that happens. I've never seen in my limited experience that an inspector has any authority to direct additional work. And, one, how does this happen? Who has the authority to add scope and additional taxpayer money and affect the project like this? Number two is how come it's at the 99 percent complete portion? I'm sure the inspector has been out there -- well, I hope the inspector
has been out there many times. And as part of a design, the design should be looking at, you know, what needs to be brought up to code. I'd like to know -- and this is -- I've highlighted this, in the 21 projects it's more than half. And it's all at late stage of completion and the inspector is directing more work. And for a program that is terribly behind schedule, this is very bad concerning development.

So could you please comment on how this happens? Is it something that can be mitigated or removed?

MS. LANGAN: Yes, it has been a significant issue for the program. I believe now we are getting it under control. With the help of the new Deputy Superintendent we actually have scheduled to have weekly meetings with the entire team including the building department, but also the Chief Fire Marshal, looking for ways to be able to be a little bit more consistent through the schools. I think what you're seeing is a reflection of the inspector's interpretation of the design guidelines as well as building code.

So when the inspector comes forward with a late adjustment or request for additional scope
on building code, obviously, we look at that very seriously and we accommodate the direction.

Now, when they direct design changes -- or changes in the construction of design standards we push back on that pretty hard now. And we're really at a point with -- and this has been an ongoing issue for a year, where we've been trying to work it out where we are only adding what is absolutely necessary with the code.

Since Mrs. Marte has come on board we feel that that's been empowering to the entire team, the Capital Program, building department and everyone, to be able to make decisions not to add that scope.

So I think we have come up with a mitigation plan and we are implementing that as we speak.

MR. HILLBERG: And one fictitional example of that --

Yes, Mrs. Marte?
MRS. MARTE: Thank you, sir. I probably don't need a microphone.

I want to thank Kathleen for the kind words. I do want to share though that the collaboration with our chief building official has been stellar. Her willingness to work with the
program and to make sure we stay on track and that we stay in scope has been amazing.

So I want to make sure that the Bond
Oversight Committee is well aware that my role is to get everybody to play in the sandbox together. And what has been happening, with all good intent and people wanting to do the right thing, were, a decision would be made, well, what about this, well I'll get back to you, and then a week goes by, well what about this, and a week goes by. And we have taken out all of that. We get everybody in the room, we focus on key projects, key concerns, the Capital Program, AECOM and our chief building inspector all share and get to a solution to move forward. So it's been -- I know Kathleen gave me credit, Superintendent Cartwright implemented these weekly meetings over the summer to keep our summer on track. We had a very successful opening of school in spite of having in excess of 175 projects going on across the district because of that collaboration. And because it was so successful I sat down with my supervisor, Dr. Cartwright, and said, let's keep it going.

I also make it a point, sir, to attend as
many of those meetings, myself, as I can, so I can make sure that if there are obstacles that I can remove, for the team, that I'm doing that.

Thank you.
MR. HILLBERG: As a follow-up, did you tell the building inspector no or whatever the inspector is, it's not in the plan, we have a contract, all these items are on here, that's not in it?

MRS. MARTE: Respectfully, sir, the building inspector, who's newly appointed by Superintendent Cartwright is a member of the BCPS team.

MR. HILLBERG: Uh-huh.
MRS. MARTE: And I am not qualified to tell them, no. What I will tell you is that our current chief building official will protect the children and the interest of the district by doing exactly what her job requires her to do. But any time that she can help with the solution she has been more than forthcoming in doing so.

MR. HILLBERG: Schedule be damned.
MRS. MARTE: No, she's working very hard to help keep us on schedule. But we've got to make sure that we're compliant with all phases of the

Florida Building Code as we do this construction.
I really need the Bond Oversight Committee to understand. I am coming back to the district in a different role, but as cabinet member in my former role, I had a lot of opportunity to watch some of what was going on with the program. And I am very confident in saying that our current chief building official is working very hard to keep us on track while discharging her duties correctly.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. One item was also Royal Palm Stem Magnet, the fire department, building department is now requiring that more than 100 fire alarm devices that have been given a permit, inspected and approved now be removed and replaced. The permitted devices are imprinted with the word Fire and are approved by the NFPA but now the departments want these devices to be removed and replaced with devices imprinted with Alert.

Does that not strike anybody as absurd?
Why? Why is that happening?
Why would that at the late stage when everything is all through the permitting is there a retroactive clause that says you have to go
change something?
I would -- it just seems strange. I'd like to know more about how that would happen.

MR. BAYS: Is Royal Palm one of our focus schools for the -- or is it in any way one of those schools where they augmented the system? I'm not familiar with this language.

MS. LANGAN: We would have to get back to you on that. That is -- that's what we're talking about with the consistency and making sure that we understand and have a clear understanding of all of the elements of what the fire chief is looking at. And, especially, when these things occur, we immediately get with him and his team to validate the fact that, that does have to happen? And in a lot of cases it does not. In a lot of cases they will rescind that direction.

Just, I would like to add what Mrs. Marte said that, you know, the chief building official just in the last two weeks, we have had three meetings with her to ensure that we have a plan in place to work through the issues that we have with the projects that are almost 100 percent complete that are requiring additional work. So we are going through those one by one and
validating them to see if we absolutely have to do this additional work, if it's code or if it's a design standard. If it's a design standard we absolutely have the ability to evaluate that and make a recommendation.

MR. HILLBERG: Thank you.
Moving on.
Oh, Chief Demopoulos?
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Sorry. Thank you. I know you had mentioned adding fire to that group, was that also what Mrs. Marte was talking about?

MS. LANGAN: Yes, sir.
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Okay. So I was going to say you talked very highly and positive about the chief building official, but, hopefully, the chief fire official is also in those meetings and participating in that as well.

MR. HILLBERG: Mrs. Marte?
MRS. MARTE: I didn't come to speak tonight, but apparently I'm going to have to speak a little bit.

Sir, you are absolutely correct. That partnership involves our task assigned fire official and I will tell you, because I spent most of my summer, seven days a week, out with

Mr. Bays, out with Mr. Dorsett on construction sites on weekends. I know more about building code and fire sprinklers than $I$ ever thought this 64-year-old lady could learn at this stage of the game. His commitment is evidenced by the opening of Pasadena Lakes with no noise when a weekend before school was set to open we had to get the building official, the fire official -- Mr. Bays, give me the list of those -- all of the construction team from -- is it West Construction?

MR. BAYS: And half the building department.
MRS. MARTE: We were all on-site the entire weekend. And we worked $24 / 7$ shifts and all the inspections were done because the fire official had staff there waiting to inspect as work got done, the building official, the same thing. And you didn't hear any noise about a school that I was pretty afraid was not going to open successfully because of all the hard work everyone did together.

And, again, I'm going to say the same thing. We have opportunity to get better. I am not going to pretend that everything is rosy.

But I will tell you that the level of
commitment everybody has to get us here, where I think we failed, honestly, in the past there has been some obstructionism, I commit to you that under my watch I will not allow that to happen. My goal is always getting us to here as expeditiously as possible.

But thank you for bringing that up, sir. He has also really stepped up and committed to being strategic and innovative on how we do work in pieces to get it to completion. It's not everything's done, I'm not coming back until everything's done. If we can inspect this phase of the fire sprinklers, Mrs. Marte, I'm going to do that. And then I need to do this once they finish this, we're doing a lot more of that than we used to.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: The only other thing I would add is, when you were mentioning 99 percent and then the inspector requires something additional, just to clarify for reporting purposes, is it really the inspector requiring something or was it an omission that the inspector said it's now requiring, just to make sure we're reporting properly. Because I've been on many inspections where they don't have
something and I require it, yes, but it was because it was missed. So just for the documentation and potential reimbursement, make sure that's documented properly.

MS. LANGAN: Will do.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Let's go to Status of The Big 3 Schools.

MS. LANGAN: Okay. So we had -- I beg your pardon. I'm sorry.

TaxWatch had noted and when we went back to look at the comparison of our Spotlights to the Executive Summary we had updated our Executive Summary and did not get back to the Spotlight. So we have corrected that and you will see here that Blanche ELY High School, the new group sink is procured now to replace the vandalized one that was a long lead item and actually since the time that this was written we have received it and the contractor is installing it as well as closing some inspection tickets at the same time.

And then the consultant has issued his substantial completion as all contract work is completed. But in order to meet the requirements for the district we need a 110B which will happen once we clear those inspections.

Northeast High School, the primary renovation, substantial completion is Quarter 1 of 2023.

We do have some issues at Northeast High School regarding the structural integrity of the units that will go on top of the roofs and the building department has rightly required that the engineers of record look at the calculations and ensure that those roofs can withstand the new equipment with the new weights. So that is a potential delay and we are working with the contractor on a time impact analysis and forecasting in regards to completion date.

The new building addition is proceeding. We did get a notice to proceed and the construction manager has mobilized for earthwork and site work to begin.

Stranahan High School that was -- we did look at that for a substantial completion in Quarter 3 of 2022. We were able to complete the chill water lines on building 9, which will open up for the roof to be complete. We have had some changes in building 6 with the kiln room. We actually permitted that on a separate permit to get that done in a quick manner. And the
contractor we have issued CCD for the contractor to proceed on that work.

We also have looked at the building 17 and 18 on Stranahan, both of which have structural issues. So building 18 we are awaiting an engineering report on the south end of that building. We already replaced the roof on the north end and on building 17. That building is used for storage. It does house the main panel for the fire alarm, but we are proceeding with a Castaldi report on that building.

So the risk level, we have decreased that because we do have a mitigation plan in place. CCDs have been issued and the time impact analysis is being developed and under review.

I would like to say that, just for the committee's benefit, that we have now gotten -received four additional positions for AECOM claims experts and schedulers through up north and they are working with us to clear time impact analysis. We probably have about 60 that are behind that we have, you know, stacking up on us. So lots of issues with that, but we have added staff to make sure that we can meet the obligations of getting back on the schedule, so.

Or if the contractors are deserving of time that we give that time to them.

Okay. So that's The Big 3.
MR. HILLBERG: TaxWatch?
MR. NAVE: Yes, sir. First, I'd like to hear a little bit more about the district's response to the TaxWatch recommendations. The committee obviously has access to a document we have not seen. So I'm just curious.

MR. HILLBERG: We don't have a response.
MS. LANGAN: We have -- I believe we do have this in the presentation. So if you'd like to open those so that TaxWatch can see the responses?

MR. NAVE: I'm not going to read the responses here tonight.

MS. LANGAN: Okay. Well, this specifically was the spotlights that were corrected due to the error in data transfer. We talked a little bit about that.

I'm not sure why you don't have the responses in advance. I thought those were transmitted to you.

This is -- I'm sorry?
MR. HILLBERG: The chatter is we didn't get
it. Never mind.
MR. NAVE: With respect to The Big 3 Schools though, excuse me, I think it's important to remember why there's a Big 3 section in this report. And if you'll remember, a couple years ago, the committee asked the district to include a section on The Big 3 schools because those were the schools that you all were getting asked about the most often by the taxpayers.

We have to assume that if the taxpayers read one section in that report, that's going to be the section they read. It's absolutely critical that that section explain the status of the projects. And if there are delays, and we saw it in Q3 and we saw it in the Q4 report where substantial completion is moved back a quarter, there's no mention of that in that section nor is there any explanation for the reason for it. We've had risk go up and no mention, no explanation.

So I think we're at a point where either that section of the report needs to be done properly, accurately, and concisely, or it needs to be taken out of the report. It's misleading as it exists today.

MS. LANGAN: We agree that that part of the report -- actually, all of the report has to be accurate and we have to do a good job to make sure our quality control is spot on to ensure that that doesn't happen.

I will say, though, that, you know, schedules are a living document, and in some cases, you know, schedules will extend and in other cases, as we've shown in one of our slides, we have 45 projects that are ahead of schedule.

So this is going to continue up and down. We think that the critical element of this is that the program is on schedule to complete substantial completion of all projects in October of '25, as we have projected in our master schedule. So -- but we do certainly agree with TaxWatch that we have to do a better job. We definitely have had some glitches in this month's report.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. I believe Mr. Bays is about to --

MR. BAYS: Mr. Nave, I thought that when we had a discussion, it was prior to our meeting in the start of summer, the last meeting we actually had, I thought that at that meeting we brought
the responses to the meeting and I thought that was in satisfaction of a gentlemen's agreement that you and I had at this forum where we said that if we would answer the responses at the meeting we would never have a follow-up. So I thought that we were doing that henceforth, so that bringing the responses here was the expectations. If that was not the case, I'm truly sorry.

MR. NAVE: My understanding of our gentlemen's agreement was that, if the district came to these meetings prepared to discuss the issues and recommendations in the TaxWatch report then there wouldn't be a need for written follow-up. We have a court reporter that takes down everything we say. But what I'm hearing today is that there's still a written response to the TaxWatch recommendations. That's what I don't understand.

MR. BAYS: Oh, I think that now we're really into real nuance. We, of course, in order to prepare those responses come tonight ready to answer any questions and provide full responses. It was never our intention to not answer the questions here tonight. And I fully accept that
is exactly what $I$ understood of our agreement.
So am I -- Omar, perhaps, you could help me understand. Am I missing something?

MR. NAVE: Let me give this a shot, Omar, if you'll give me a chance.

The problem -- the reason for that discussion in the first place was, one, the district didn't respond to all of the TaxWatch recommendations. They were cherry-picked. There were four or five out of 10 or 12 that were addressed in the report. And then, two, by the time we discussed those recommendation six months has passed, so they're not even timely.

MR. BAYS: Do you mean previously or do you mean tonight?

MR. NAVE: Previously. I don't know what you've done tonight because I haven't seen anything.

MR. BAYS: Okay. So fully accept. And you said to me if we would come prepared, because the previous understanding this group had, my predecessor, was, we would come here and we would take input and provide a response back in the subsequent weeks. And you and I agreed we would not do that, we would bring responses here.

MR. NAVE: Yeah, the committee may require written responses. But if the district comes prepared to prepare to discuss the issues we've raised in our report then $I$ don't see a need for a separate written response. That seems to be a waste of your time and you guys don't have a lot of time to waste.

MR. BAYS: Thank you. So we agree.
MR. NAVE: Okay. We're cool?
MR. BAYS: We're very cool.
MR. NAVE: All right.
MR. BAYS: Thanks.
MR. NAVE: Thank you.
MS. GARTH: May I just offer a point of clarification in terms of the presentation? What we actually did -- we did put together the written responses and they're prepared to be distributed, but we also captured those on the slides in the presentation so that we could talk through them as we go through each question. So you'll notice on the slides, we put every single one of your recommendations on the slide and what the response is. It's a lot of words, but I think the intent was the team here would verbally walk through each one of those for you.

MR. NAVE: If that suits the committee, it's fine with me.

MR. HILLBERG: Organizationally, how do we go back to it depending, I mean, which item we're on? So we're on The Big 3, so we'll have to look through which ones pertain to The Big 3, and then the next bullet item, we'll have to go back and pick those up, and we'll have to keep going back to the list. Is that -- that seems a little bit cumbersome to me.

Well let's give it a try. What do we have on The Big 3?

MS. LANGAN: Well, those, I think, we already addressed through those previous slides. This was the specific response that we showed on the slides as well as on this slide and I, basically, outlined exactly what this slide says.

So the recommendation was that we summarize the status of The Big 3 schools that is concise, complete and balanced. Information included in this summary should be consistent with, and not in conflict with, information on the School Spotlight for each Big 3 school. And that's absolutely correct.

So, as I mentioned, we updated the Executive

Summary and it didn't get back to the Spotlight. So we will make sure that that doesn't happen again.

MR. NAVE: Mr. Chairman, let's be clear, what TaxWatch is suggesting is that that level of detail go in the report in The Big 3 section. Not to come to this meeting and explain it, but put it in the report so when the taxpayers read it they'll have it.

Are we clear on that?
MS. LANGAN: Understood. I totally understand. Yes.

MR. NAVE: All right. Thank you.
MR. HILLBERG: It's too many different places. We want to consolidate it. Okay?

Is there another --
MS. LANGAN: Yes, the next recommendation.
So this recommendation specifically addresses the TaxWatch recommendation that the -- to the Bond Oversight Committee that an updated and current running list of financial penalties that have been collected from firms for the design-related delays. So the response is that, as the design phase of program is nearing to a close, there will not be a significant change in
the design delay penalties. A summary report will be given in the presentation to the BOC. When the district pursues damages related to consultant errors and omissions construction change orders, those will be reported to BOC.

So if you go to the next slide?
MS. CARPENTER: We're going in reverse order, sort of, for the record.

MR. HILLBERG: Yes, this is too cumbersome.
MS. CARPENTER: Let's go back to number 1 and then -- thanks.

MS. LANGAN: So Recommendation Number 3, Florida TaxWatch recommends that SBBC delegate authority to approve change orders less than $\$ 15,000$ to the Superintendent or other senior official. And that is at the September 13th regular scheduled board meeting, Item $\mathrm{PP}-11$, included a revision to the Approval of Facilities' Construction Change Order Policy Number 8000. The policy rules can be found within the TaxWatch Response that is written.

But, basically, that policy changes to provide for the Superintendent to authorize change orders up to $\$ 25,000$.

MR. HILLBERG: Anything, additional items
pertaining to The Big 3?
MS. LANGAN: No, sir.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Let's move on.
Oh, Committee response. Okay. Sorry. Yes, sir, Chief.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Thank you. So in regards to the change orders, is that actually going to happen now? Is this official and they're going to act on, hey, anything under 25,000 doesn't have to take the 192 days to get change orders and --

MS. LANGAN: Well, I would like to say that regarding the timing, we have made a lot of changes both in our e-Builder System as well as processes to reduce the amount of time it takes for change orders to move. The change order timing was not just solely resting on, obviously, the school board approval. And what we're seeing right now is just the start of our implementation of some of these changes. And, in fact, you will see tomorrow in our audit that as we look at those, there still is a significant amount of time that it takes for change orders to be processed. So we continue to work on that. But suffice to say for this particular issue with the
school board approving, it should assist us in a lot of different ways to be able to move forward.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Thank you.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. With no objections we'll move on to Action taken by the District or the School Board to Minimize Design and Construction-Related Delays.

MS. LANGAN: This is a recommendation that we have worked on, Recommendation 5, where, as you mentioned, the school -- the district takes steps by the building department to reduce the number of back and forths between design firms and the building department. This has happened. This has been a significant change for us. As Mrs. Marte mentioned, the chief building official and Ashley and myself have worked together to make sure that we work together in tandem to reduce the amount of back and forth. We actually also schedule a meeting every other week to work through any issues that we have with the designers, or even, you know, pre-construction, whatever it is, we are meeting every other week to resolve any issues. So that is working very well. And I'm not sure if the chief building official is here --

MR. BAYS: She is.
MS. LANGAN: -- this evening.
Sorry, I didn't recognize you with your mask on. So, Perla, if you would like to add anything?

MS. TARRAU-AYALA: Perla Tarrau-Ayala, Chief Building Official. So, yes, we are working together to minimize the number of re-submittals, but we also have to keep in mind that the number of re-submittals has nothing to do with the building department. The number of re-submittals has all to do with the quality of the drawings submitted to us. So if the drawings are bad you're going to go through a lot of re-submittals. But what we have done and we have partnered with the PMOR and when we get to a point where we feel we're comfortable with the number of comments that we have left, I mean, if you have 20 comments, we're not going to have a standup review, we're not going to make revisions to drawings because you're so far away from a permit. But if we get to the point where we have five comments or six comments, we call the $P M$ and we have them come into our office, we go over the drawings, we call the architect, we meet with
them. We let them come to our office, change the sheets. So rather than sending the drawings back and wasting all that time, they come into our office, we revise the drawings, we change the sheets, and we go ahead and issue a permit. So that's what we're doing.

MR. HILLBERG: Thank you.
MS. TARRAU-AYALA: Uh-huh.
MR. HILLBERG: TaxWatch?
MR. NAVE: I would just remind the committee that the 2018 schedule was based on the assumption that there would be two back and forths between the design firm and the building department. When AECOM came in they noticed that it was trending at 9 to 10. And when the 2020 schedule was offered that was based on the assumption that there would be five back and forths, as I understand it.

MR. HILLBERG: Uh-huh.
MS. TARRAU-AYALA: Right now we are, I think, averaging between three and four. We don't even make it to five. Because when we get to three we stop it. We say we've got to do something. We've got to bring the proposer in and we've got to talk to them and see how we can fix this
drawing. Because it's time-consuming. You know, nobody has an unlimited number of stuff to make -- you know, to keep on doing the same thing over and over again.

MR. HILLBERG: Appreciate it.
MS. TARRAU-AYALA: Uh-huh.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Comments from the committee?

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: I thank you. Because in our city as well doing plan reviews eight times, you know, we would not have a job anymore if we had to review plans eight times. So it's nice to see that you all are getting together preconceptually, pre-construction drawings, having those meetings, and then at that third time, you know, kind of, hey, let's stop going back and forth, let's have a meeting face to face and review the comments. So it's good to hear that. Thank you very much for your action on that. Because that is a big concern of mine. Thank you.

MR. HILLBERG: I agree. Without objection we'll move on to Primary Renovations Projects Experiencing Budget-Related Issues.

MS. CARPENTER: I can speak to this one.

Ashley Carpenter with Atkins.
So the recommendation was that a budget flag summary report be included in the front section of the BOC in the Facilities section, so that will be included from now on. It's also part of the appendix that will be given to the committee and TaxWatch. And then this is, obviously, too hard to read, so I'm just going to give you the summary of what this is, but the 20 projects that had budget flags for the quarter, 17 of them were flagged for the construction award. So, as you guys know, as we've been progressing through the program, as projects are ready for construction, you know, we've hard bid it or, you know, whatever has happened, and we now have, basically, concrete knowledge of what the budget should be based upon that award. When we bring the board item to the board at a regular board meeting we ask for them to approve the award of the construction contract along with any additional funding needed to set that project up with a reasonable budget that includes like a five percent contingency for change orders and, you know, various other things that need to happen, design and management.

There were three projects on the list that were flagged for additional funding related to something else. So they either had a change order in construction, I'll give you an example, one of them was Pasadena Lakes that had approximately an $\$ 800,000$ change order for metal deck replacement. The project did not have that much money in change order contingency available to pay that change order. So when we brought the change order to the board for award, or for approval, rather, we asked for some additional funding to be able to fund that change order. So there were three projects with that case. But going forward the report will have the budget flag summary in it.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. TaxWatch?
MR. NAVE: No, that's fine. I have no
comments.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Comments from the committee?
(No response.)
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Let's move on to, then, Assessment of Financial Risk.

MS. CARPENTER: Okay. That'll be me, as well.

Can you go to the Risk Assessment slide, please?

And this is not in response to a TaxWatch recommendation, but just to say that every quarter the risk assessment gets updated. There was a fairly significant increase in this quarter's risk assessment due to --

Sorry, it's backwards. Keep going. Yeah, it's before Recommendation 1. There it is.

So you can see the jump right at the end there. Due to -- about 10 million of it was for projects that had just been awarded within the quarter that our projections were off, and \$24 million on projects that still have not been awarded in construction, where we, basically, re-estimated every project. Projects -- some projects that haven't gone to construction yet, they've been sort of at the 100 percent design phase for quite some time, and, you know, they might have had an estimate done when they first got to 100 percent design and there's been a big gap since they've been estimated, so we re-estimated everything to try to get better projections of the projects going forward. And that led to an increase, a 70 percent risk result
of $\$ 692$ million of reserve that would be needed to fully fund those projects.

MR. HILLBERG: TaxWatch?
MR. NAVE: I think the district only has 691,000 [sic] in reserve, so there's only enough money currently in reserve to cover the low risk scenario.

MR. HILLBERG: And this is also related to the burn rate? Or is that --

MR. NAVE: I think that's a different issue.
MR. HILLBERG: Yeah. Well, I anticipate that the risk will start to plateau and then drop off as the majority of the budget is used in the construction and then risks become either evident or not. Is that kind of what's going to happen? But how do you address Mr. Nave's concern that we only have the least amount of risk covered?

MS. CARPENTER: I'll defer to Omar.
MR. HILLBERG: Omar, do you want to defer to Ms. Marte?

MRS. MARTE: No, go ahead, Omar.
MR. SHIM: Yes, that was actually a couple of questions that were recommendations from TaxWatch.

So, if you can go to that response a couple
slides down in the financial. Recommendation Number 9 and 10. There you go.

So, basically, district staff does work very closely with Atkins to making sure that we look at what the quarterly risk assessment says and that we look at what our reserves are. The current reserves are a million dollars off from what the 70 percent risk is. And the way that we look at the 70 percent risk is that each project has its own contingency in it; okay? There's a five percent contingency in that project. Once it's budgeted and fully budgeted, if there are change orders that go beyond that, that is, you know, pretty much 100 percent of what your risk is. But, typically, you would get when you close out a project some residual balances that come back to the district. So that 70 percent risk is really to say that we wouldn't spend 100 percent of the project budget once the final budget is done and that we would get some back to fund, you know, the rest of the program. So that's what the 70 percent risk versus the 100 percent risk is. So we have what we expect, given the current risk model, approximately what we think we will spend.

Now, we'll have to monitor what the risk assessment says going forward to be able to make sure that we always stay pretty much where we're at. But, as you said, we're getting towards the end of the program. So the more you have under contract the lower risk you have.

In addition to that, however, I just wanted to add, going to the next one, Recommendation 10, the district does have additional reserves that are not allocated to the SMART Program. The district has $\$ 150$ million in unallocated reserves. We also have funding set aside of, approximately $\$ 80$ million between 2024 and 2025. And as well as that we have funding that we reserve potentially for charter schools if the state does not continue to fund the charter schools, approximately $\$ 50$ million.

Now, of course, everything that is approved for the SMART Program has to be approved by the board. So that takes you through that.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. I see from Mrs. Marte that she agrees with what you have said and you have more to add?

MRS. MARTE: I absolutely agree with everything that Mr. Shim has said. I do want to
say for the record, however, that when we access those unallocated reserves, the potential to do other projects that are much needed outside of the scope of SMART diminishes. So there's obviously a cost to doing that.

This team will do everything possible to stay within the confines of the risk assessment and make sure that we're careful not to use that as an easy fallback fund. I really need to say that for the record, there is definitely a cost to going into the unallocated reserves.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Thank you.
MR. CORTES: On the allocated, on the risk, is that based on 70 percent across all of the bond moneys, including prices that are awarded and prices that haven't been awarded or is that allocated per project and then you bill to the number?

MS. CARPENTER: Yes, correct. It's calculated per project. So projects that have already been awarded are only carrying the change order risk. Projects that have been completed now have had all of the risks stripped out of them, obviously, you know. So, yeah, and then projects that have not been awarded yet have
other risks as well, inflation and --
MR. CORTES: So it's an actual real number. It's not a bucket of money of 30 percent you're tracking per project.

MS. CARPENTER: Correct.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. We can move on to the next. It looks like, Bob, you have something to add.

MR. NAVE: Yeah, I didn't want this to go by. On the discussion of change orders, we did not discuss the number of change orders that were due to consultant errors or omissions, which was about 60 percent of all the change orders. The price tag to the district was $\$ 465,000$.

MR. HILLBERG: I thought I saw a response from Ms. Langan about how the formula is -- oh, it was in the previous meeting's minutes, we discussed it and talked about how if something is left out, the contractor has to put it in, you don't get the bid price, you get the later price, but I'll let Kathleen continue because she's more eloquent than $I$ am.

MS. LANGAN: Yes, thank you. We do have a response and it was based on Recommendation Number 4. I also think that we have an updated
table showing where the change orders are. And so, you're right, you know, these projects that we're currently working on are very complicated, deferred maintenance, renovation projects, and so the elements of errors or omissions, especially with the time that has passed, I think that's important that, you know, we consider that as well. What was designed, you know, maybe five years ago under the direction of staff, or whomever, or the previous PMOR, may not be what it is today.

So, anyway, if we look at the table in front of you, you can see where we do have our percentages of consultant error, omissions, unforeseen, which, obviously, is the highest based on the work that we do. And then owner request and tax savings from the DOPs is shown as well.

And just to add, in working with Atkins we have developed an approach to look at potential charges to architects and engineers for errors and/or omissions. We are, though, also recommending to the school board that we have a policy on errors and omissions such as some of the districts that are close to us have. And
whether those -- that policy entertains a baseline for errors and omissions or not, it does give staff a little bit more direction on how to deal with these issues.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. I want to add that you do have -- the program has an overall change order rate of 1 percent, which pretty much any way you look at it is very, very good. I've been part of a large program several years ago where we got a 6 percent and we were patting ourselves on the back. So 1 percent, please, stay with that. It's very encouraging that these issues are being watched and monitored carefully.

Yes, Latha?
MS. KRISHNAIYER: I just wanted to request, to process the recommendations, can you get it to us ahead of time? It's a lot to go back and forth. And, you know, it's come to us before and it did not come this time. So I would appreciate it very much if we get it ahead of time. And even these, if you can send these to us now so that, you know, we can go back and look at them again. So, it will be very important.

I do have to leave, but I do have a question. Since we don't have a quorum, we can't vote. And
we've cancelled meetings because of lack of quorum, but we are able to receive reports, which is very important, not only to us, but to the public. So, why don't we have virtual meetings? Okay. Why can't we have virtual meetings to, at least, receive the reports, not only for the committee, but for public access? Because we're looking to be more transparent, and we're looking to inform the community and the parents at those schools where their schools are at. You know, not everyone can listen to this meeting or go back and, you know, check it out.

So I'm wondering what is the regulation or policy against holding virtual meetings regularly so that we don't have to cancel meetings. Because between the large gaps it's not conducive to anything, you know.

So I'm throwing that question out and I don't know who decides or who has to authorize that, but it would be very valuable, I think, to the community and to us if we could meet regularly instead of skipping meetings.

MR. SHIM: It is my understanding that, and I guess I can go back to our legal, but it's my understanding that committees have to meet in
person and that you have to have a quorum in person in order to sort of conduct the meeting, you know, business.

We did have a confirmed quorum based upon a new member, however, you know, she didn't answer and didn't show, so --

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Right. But we could not have voted for her because we don't have a quorum to vote for a new member.

MR. SHIM: Well, the resolution for this committee is a little bit different. And for the purposes of adding a new member, the quorum is four, according to the resolution. But -- so it does allow to add a new member to form a quorum.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: And the reason I'm asking is, we had a member resign because of her inability to attend in person due to her -- due to COVID reasons or, you know, her immunocompromised reason. And she has indicated several times that if it was virtual she would be able to participate. And that is the other reason I'm bringing it up.

MR. SHIM: And, again, I can double-check, but it is my understanding that you have to have a physical quorum, but I'll double-check with our
general counsel.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Thank you.
MR. HILLBERG: All right. Any other comments on Financial Risk?
(No response.)
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Let's move on to Summary of Expenditures, Balance of Funds, Reserve Funds.

MR. SHIM: Yes. Again, Omar Shim, Director of Capital Budget. If you'll go to the beginning Budget Activity slide?

So the total SMART Program budget increased by 63.4 million over the last quarter from 1 billion 442.4 to 1 billion 505.8, which is shown on page 367 of the actual quarterly report.

A detailed list showing each project that had budget increases throughout the history of the program is provided between pages 371 to 392.

From the total of the 1.5 billion, 1.2 billion is either committed to or spent in the program. And the balance funds that are not encumbered or spent is 268 million. So you can kind of see where the program is at and what's left.

Next slide?

Expenditures through the second quarter or the fourth quarter are 848 million as shown on page 394. This is a 47.1 million increase in expenditures from the previous quarter. The growth in expenditures definitely shows, you know, where we're at in the construction program and most of it or all of it is for construction. Purchase orders in place is 369.8 million.

Next slide, please? Thanks.
So this really shows where we have increased the reserves and this shows, you know, each time we've increased the reserve. And the latest increase was in May of this year where we increased the reserve by $\$ 133$ million, again, to, you know, match what was at the time the expected risk.

This brings the total added to the program of 691, as we discussed. And, of course, we'll, you know, definitely work with Atkins on the risk assessment to make sure that we get the reserve to that point.

The next slide talks about the General Obligation Bond that we did the fourth and final tranche of the General Obligation Bond in May. And this completes the original $\$ 800$ million in
par value for the GOB. But after that completing that final tranche, the district added \$133 million because of cumulative premiums received for that fourth series.

And then the remaining, I think we already talked about, the Recommendations 9 and 10. And for Recommendation 11 I think there was in the introduction some language that was a scrivener's error which should have been 173 million and not 236 million.

MR. NAVE: You think I don't read this stuff?
MR. SHIM: So if you have any additional questions?

MR. HILLBERG: Thank you. TaxWatch.
MR. NAVE: No comments. We're good.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Committee?
(No response.)
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Let's move on then.
Okay. Latha has got to go. Thank you. See you next time.

Actions Taken by the District and School Board to Award More SMART Program Contracts to Women-Owned and African American-Owned Companies.

MR. BALLOU: Yes. Let's move forward three more slides, please. Two more from that. Keep
going. One more. One more after that.
The TaxWatch recommendation was that we continue our efforts to recruit African-American and women-owned companies in the pre-bid meetings because you have to go to the pre-bid meeting in order to bid. We have 20 current projects in design and four more that are pending. And we have actions that we will take to make sure that we include a robust engagement strategy on those 24 projects.

One of the things that we would like to call out, most recently we had three awards go to African-American suppliers that were new to us. They were new contractors doing work with the school district. So now our work is to make sure we help them be successful so that they can get more projects. And we just wanted to call them out. ACT Services is doing Nob Hill renovations and Parkside Elementary and All-Site in the last board meeting, in the August board meeting, was selected to do Pompano Beach High school. So there is evidence of pre-bid meeting strategy working. We do continual highlights and call-outs to suppliers. We partner with Garth as well as with our social media. On the far right
is every time there's a SMART pre-bid meeting we plaster it all over social media and email the targeted major contractors that are M/WBEs that have the bonding capable, but then also we look at the details of what work's going to be done and we advertise directly to the potential subcontractors and invite them to come to the pre-bid meeting and issue the right hand of fellowship and introduce them to the three or four primes that would be bidding so that we can make sure that we are able to include them in subcontracting work if it's -- all of our projects are anywhere from 3 to $\$ 6$ million and many of our suppliers bonding are 2 to $\$ 3$ million. So they're excluded. But the ones that are able to, we actively participate.

And then next slide I think will be the last one I'll talk about. And then in August we, as a school district, highlighted in a resolution commitment to black business month and new board member Foganholi did a video and we also had a board resolution 2395 speaking of it, specifically.

Again, this was done in an effort to reach out and say, try us again. Right? If you hear
all of the horror stories or bad press that we've had, every one of those instances impacted a local small business more aggressively than it did anyone else. Right? Going back and forth impacted them, you know, not being able to get a -- you know, whatever it was, anything that we did that impacted our suppliers impacted our local small suppliers even more. So this was also an attempt to remind the suppliers that, hey, we're here and you read the proclamation. If you think it's not true, come to me and tell me and tell us what we can do differently. So we're 100 percent in agreement with TaxWatch on this recommendation, that we will continue to do pre-bid meetings as well as incremental activities more than pre-bid meetings as the opportunity comes up to get our local suppliers part of this public money. MR. HILLBERG: Okay. TaxWatch? MR. NAVE: Yes, sir. We have identified attendance at the pre-bid conference as a key and the efforts of Mr. Ballou and his staff to get more African-American owned businesses there are appreciated. So thank you.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Comments from the
committee?
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Good job.
MR. HILLBERG: No comments? Okay.
General Update on Communications.
MS. GARTH: Good evening. Yvonne Garth, Garth Communications. We are the communications liaison for SMART. I'll start by just mentioning -- next slide, please. A Few months back we met with Ms. Marte about opportunities to enhance distribution and reach an awareness of the SMART Program communications. So we worked with the district communications team to come up with our list of key initiatives that we are trying to implement. I won't go through all of them, but this is essentially serving as a benchmark for us to make sure that -- to make sure that -- a report card, if you will, to make sure that we implement this.

So, in the following slides, here, I'll give you an update on just how we're doing on some of those initiatives.

The What SMART Means to Me campaign, you've seen this before. Our last theme was on the Music program as it is completed. We featured four schools, Deerfield Beach Elementary School,

Driftwood Middle School, Fox Trail Elementary School and Sheridan Park Elementary School. We went into those schools and filmed the kids, the students, and teachers. That campaign was very successful for us. It generated close to 11,500 views. So we're very excited about that.

One of the other initiatives that we have to help us get increased regional awareness is working with the municipalities. So we have been working with the legislative team and district communications to encourage the $30-\mathrm{plus}$ municipalities to have a button and a presence on their website that links back to the SMART website. And so far 12 cities have worked with us to, have partnered with us to make sure that our link is on their website. And, if you recall, our website has a dedicated page for every municipality. So on those municipal pages we've sorted the schools that are in their cities. So if you are in the City of Hollywood you can go to your SMART space and see what's happening at your schools.

We've also worked with, again, with district communications to try to encourage community papers to publish stories. We continue to track
well with Tap Into Coral Springs. So far they've written nine articles about nine of the Coral Springs schools. Our City Media just published a story on Falcon Cove Middle School and the new building. That was published last week, as a matter of fact. And The New Pelican we're in communication with them. They are interested in writing stories throughout -- they're primarily based, I think they cover 20 municipalities. No, I'm sorry, that's Our City. The New Pelican primarily is Pompano. They're also interested in covering stories on their schools, so we're continuing to push in that regard.

As you may know, a lot of the work that's happening is not easily visible curbside, so we are out in schools. We average about six to eight visits per week photo documenting and video documenting. We keep those in archives.

Next slide.
But what we really do is we use that content to push out messaging on social media. In the quarter in June we had 341 social media posts on Twitter, Facebook and Instagram. And as we're posting we're tagging the individual schools, the municipalities, and that helps us to expand
reach.
Back to School, we -- right now, we're making a big push for folks to follow us and visit us on the website because that's really our hub for information related to SMART. The district worked with us to include a flyer in the Back to School packet. It was a digital Back to School packet. We included a flyer directing folks to visit the website to find out what's happening in their school. We actually saw an uptick in visitors to the website as a result of that. Not just an uptick in volume, but we're noticing that a lot of the schools are starting to follow us which helps us get the information out.

As part of the Back to School campaign we also did an explainer video that helps people to understand how to use the website and how to navigate it.

And we'll close on that.
(Video played.)
MS. GARTH: And just as a look ahead, we're working on releasing a blog on the summer work that was done and highlighting that. That should be coming out in the next quarter.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Thank you. TaxWatch?

MR. NAVE: I have nothing.
MR. HILLBERG: Committee?
(No response.)
MR. HILLBERG: No? No?
I guess that's good. Okay.
Going to the Grand Jury Final Report.
I would believe this is just for the committee's comment; if any?
(No response.)
MR. HILLBERG: No comments? I don't see any comments. It's there.

So Recommendations for Changes. We can't make any changes. So that's deferred.

RSM Program Management Report.
MR. JABOUIN: Good evening. I'm Joris Jabouin. I'm the district's chief auditor.

My office engages RSM to perform periodic audits of the SMART Bond program manager and owner developer and cost control manager.

I started with the district in 2018 and starting in January of 2019 RSM has been performing those audits for me. There is a report in your package and it's based on the strategy that I've created with RSM to audit the various areas based on the requirements for the

RFP of those vendors, the district policies and procedure and following up on findings that they have.

This report that you have in your package represents work that was done in the fourth quarter of Fiscal Year 2022. It was presented to the audit committee on June 2nd of 2022 and the board on July 19th of 2022.

There is a new report that the board has asked me to workshop it tomorrow, so it's an update to this report. And once we go through that process I will liaise with this team to get on the different milestones to make sure that I come in front of this group again.

So, as I -- as you look through the report, itself, there are no new findings from RSM in that quarter. There are seven previous findings from previous reports and the district has been a little bit slow in being able to close them out. There's been a lot of effort though on that end, but some of the testing just hasn't worked out.

So, for example, on the prior Observation Number 1, which is on page 9, on the Contract Time Modifications, when there are changes to the work orders and the change orders, those are
supposed to make their way to the schedules and so that finding remains open and it's been open since February of 2020 , simply because the samples have failed in the subsequent quarters.

I think that -- I'm encouraged that it will be closed soon, but that particular finding is one of them that has remained open.

Of the seven findings in this report, there were four of them that were open, there were two of them that were partially open, so there had been work done on them, and then one of them, which is Observation Number 6 on page 21, has been closed.

MR. HILLBERG: We don't have that report.
MR. JABOUIN: You don't have that report? Do you have it?

MR. NAVE: No.
MR. JABOUIN: That's unfortunate.
MR. HILLBERG: How would TaxWatch get the report, too? I'm concerned that --

MR. NAVE: Let me just make a blanket request that TaxWatch be included on all materials sent from the district to the Bond Oversight Committee. It makes my job a lot easier.

MR. HILLBERG: Yes. Sorry to cut in.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay. I guess I will connect with the group, because it seems like it would make sense for you to have the report so when I'm referring to pages, this page and that, so you can get to it. So we'll -- since this is a process that we're beginning, this is obviously a report that will make it in. So when you see the next report then $I$ will liaise with the team to make sure that you get it and that way we can flip through the pages and you can get the status.

So why don't I just give you -- since you don't have the report, let me just give you a high-level summary. On this particular report we have the prior observations. And of the seven of them that were there, you know, five of them were still at various stages of being open. One of them dates back to February of 2020.

And then RSM also did a project analysis on five completed projects and they were able to look at the various reasons for the delays.

So, maybe, the key takeaway is to work with everybody and communicate to be able to get some of those prior findings closed. That's probably all I could probably say without the reports
here.
MR. HILLBERG: All right. We look forward to seeing that, adding to our material.

You will email it when the next report is done, is that -- if $I$ can prompt you?

MR. JABOUIN: Sure. They're available in the public when they're released. So, for example, tomorrow's report is available. It's just a matter of how we want to have the communication stream to you.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Is it a website that we can go to?

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, so my office, the Office of the Chief Auditor's website has all the reports, including the prior ones. But it sounds like we need to let you know to go look there. You're not going to be prompted to go look there unless -- unless you know the report is ready.

MR. HILLBERG: Well, I would say, it is a chore to go back and see, did we get the TaxWatch report; did we get a link to the latest; did we get the last meeting minutes? So it is kind of a -- and it's in my personal email, so it's quite a chore to search through all that stuff.

So without a prompt I would then have to rely
on, oh, I have to remember to go back, so it would be better to have some sort of prompt.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay. I'll work with the finance group to find out the best way to coordinate that.

MR. HILLBERG: I didn't mean to speak for the group though. If you have other preferences.

MR. CORTES: Is that a PDF report?
MR. JABOUIN: Oh, yes, it's a PDF report. It's available.

MR. CORTES: Just send it. I don't want to add more links to my life. I have too many links.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Thank you. All right. Sorry to interrupt your presentation. I was looking for the report and I didn't see it. So I hope that was just me.

Okay. We'll move on to Next School Board Workshop. Next workshop is October 4th.

Mr. Cortes, you did the last workshop. How did that go?

MR. CORTES: I think it went pretty well. There was a lot of discussion about the change orders and also discussion on the 15,000 and 25,000. But $I$ think it went well. And they all
received it -- no surprise that that thing is getting passed and it was a good meeting overall.

MR. HILLBERG: It only took us three or four times.

And Mr. -- Chief Demopoulos, you've done it prior to that, so it looks like the only one available is me. So I'll do the 4th. I wish I could volunteer Adam to do it, the Chair. That wouldn't be right.

MR. HILLBERG: Yes, Mr. Omar?
MR. SHIM: I believe the board has requested that, you know, when you do a presentation that Mr. Nave be available at least remotely, I don't know.

MR. HILLBERG: Yes, I remember that. Thanks for reminding me.

MR. NAVE: The 4th may pose a problem because of my schedule, but I'll confirm that.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. And we work through Victoria.

Okay. Thank you.
CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: I'd love to say I did do it, but I was supposed to do it but then they cancelled the meeting so I got off the hook last time. I shouldn't say anything, but I don't want
to every time say, I've never been to a meeting, I've never been to a meeting, so I guess I will --

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Good. Thank you. CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Yeah.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Thank you. This would be my third.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: Is there topics --
MR. HILLBERG: Oh, we've got to do that at the end.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: -- if we want to discuss any bullets.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. And I think we can move on to the next item, Future Meeting Dates. I don't book that far in advance so I think it's okay. Any issues?
(No response.)
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. All right. Now we recess the business meeting. Can I have a motion to recess.

MR. SHIM: No motion.
MR. HILLBERG: No motion? We don't have a quorum, no point in doing that. Okay. So we do not recess the business meeting or we just say -MR. SHIM: We're recessed.

MR. HILLBERG: -- we're recessed. And do we have any members from the public?

Yes.
MS. FERRARA: Okay. Are we on?
MR. HILLBERG: Yes.
MS. FERRARA: Okay. Good evening, everyone.
MR. HILLBERG: Your name and --
MS. FERRARA: Oh, I'm sorry. My name is Linda Ferrara of Cooper City. I want to say to Garth, great job on the website. I know you pushed hard. The problem is the information. When you look up a school it's from March 31st. The problem is the quarterly report was supposed to go up on the website for parents and communities to find out what's going on in their schools. But you can't pick it up six months later. It becomes very frustrating and then why bother looking at the website? So why bother spending the money? So I think we need to reconvene that thought.

This committee doesn't approve the report. There's no committee or board members that approve the report. So it should automatically go up once it's completed at the end of the following month or something.

Looking at the TaxWatch report there was a mention of SMART Ambassadors. No mention of it here. You know, I've asked some parents at schools, nobody has heard anything about it. Facilities Task Force, advisories, I sit on four advisory committees, we've heard nothing about it. So maybe some information somewhere down the road.

And it was a year ago I stood here and said, according to the resolution, the Bond Oversight Committee is to hold quarterly public hearings around the district for the purpose of presenting findings and taking public comments from the public. That is in your resolution for this committee. So in the seven years this committee has been in effect $I$ think you visited one school. I would like to hope that somewhere along the line in the future you go to schools like Parkway, Bethune, Oak Ridge, and you have to see the problems that they encounter on a daily basis. Thank you.

MR. HILLBERG: Thank you.
Okay. To recap, three items, more current information. And if I guess or interpret or try to poorly, were you asking that the quarterly
report be posted to the schools when it's finished? Did I hear right?

MS. FERRARA: Yes. Because the June one's not even posted to the website yet. So what good is the March report when you're six months in?

MR. HILLBERG: True. Understood. Okay.
Ms. Garth?
MS. GARTH: Yes. Thank you for those comments. If I may address them?

MR. HILLBERG: Yes.
MS. GARTH: Just to clarify, so the Bond Oversight Committee Report, we post it as soon as it's -- as soon as it has been published -- well, actually it takes -- there's a week delay because once it's been published we then have to go through the exercise of making every single page ADA compliant before we can post it on the website. So that is actually in the process of being posted right now.

I will also clarify that the information is on the individual school pages. If you go to the banner, that information is actually -- we try to update that monthly. So as we're going through school visits we update the progress in the form of any pictures that we've taken and there's a
blurb at the top, I'm sorry, verbiage at the top of the banner that explains what's happening at that particular school. So we try to update it monthly and then as soon as the quarterly report is completed we also post that. So a monthly update was an attempt to mitigate the gap in time. As far as the Ambassador Program is concerned, I didn't mention it today just because it was a long list of items that we are working on, but the Ambassador Program is something that we're in the process of working with the district to implement in the next few weeks. We are working with each school to identify one person, one point of contact that we can go to to help us disseminate information. So it's just a little bit of a process. We tried to let the schools get back open and we're now in the process of working with the principals to identify that ambassador.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. So that's not a TaxWatch issues, that's a communications issue.

MS. GARTH: Yes.
MR. HILLBERG: Or initiative, I should say.
MS. GARTH: Yes.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. And then the last item
was the committee should visit schools. We've been talking about that and we certainly -- I think on this side of the table we would like to do that. I love a field trip, so I would like to do that. I don't know how -- we haven't gotten to the how. There is some difficulty, I imagine, with taking this and putting it somewhere else or maybe even doing it in a different trip. But we need to work that out. I think it would help all of us to see more and understand more about the process. I'm certainly in favor of it, for the record.

Okay. Thank you, Ms. Ferrara.
Next we have Dr. Walsh.
DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. Thank you. Dr. Nathalie Lynch-Walsh, I'm the Chair of the Facilities Task Force. Linda is the recording secretary of the Facilities Task Force.

And since we don't have a communication plan for our two groups to communicate, when the Bond Oversight Committee was set up, it was specifically set up so that no one who serves on another advisory was eligible to serve and in particular the Facilities Task Force, so we resort to this.

I come with handouts, as usual. On page 31 there is a comment about Dr. Cartwright and her leadership team take appropriate actions to ensure that the remaining primary renovation projects especially those that include activities designed to improve school safety and security are implemented on time, on budget and in scope.

The handout addresses in part why those are sort of subjective. It shouldn't be, but it is. So what I'm bringing you is Blanche Ely, because I got asked a question, and there are things that are in scope but we don't know what the budget is for these particular buildings anymore because it's several years later and nothing has happened on these buildings.

So give me one second, I'm going to run these up to you.

And then some other comments that people were talking. Because the primary difference for the two of you that are new to the BOC is that you get all the staff here telling you things, we actually know what's actually going on behind the scenes, but we can't speak.

So Single Point of Entry, I think someone clarified that there were things mentioned at the
beginning of this meeting that are not in the scope of the SMART Program, however, there are things within the scope of the SMART Program that relate to safety, where if the building is only renovated up to a point, then they don't have to bring it up to code. So there are older buildings that don't get things that you've mentioned they thought they were getting, especially older schools, Tamarac, North Lauderdale, because they didn't renovate the building to the point where they need to bring it up to code.

You mentioned inspector directing more work.
Okay. Stranahan High School cafeteria, since I think we had some going back and forth, is no longer in the GOB. And you'll be getting an email about that when $I$ finish a report. However, the walkways versus the building overhang being part of a building, it was too expensive and in staying in budget there are walkways that are ancient at Stranahan that may or may not be in the scope because part of the walkway is part of the building, so it could or couldn't have been in the scope, but it would have cost more money. So where does the scope
end? And you increased the budget for that.
Northeast versus Cypress Bay, we have asked repeatedly, and, generally speaking, when we don't get a response from staff $I$ can only guess that they're not being allowed to provide it, because even if they're busy, at some point they would have to provide it. We have asked for confirmation that the change orders that Cypress Bay got for the new building, same architect for Northeast, are they getting the same things that were supposedly required by the design standards or code? Don't know, because we haven't gotten that yet.

MR. HILLBERG: You're past the three-minute mark, if you could summarize or begin to --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right.
MR. HILLBERG: Sorry to rush you along.
DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Sorry. I didn't see it being tracked. And, again, I'm trying to provide clarification.

So on the reserves, the projects not yet awarded are in the DEFP of 2014 estimates. The SMART reserve is based on 70 percent, as everyone's said here. So any Atkins project estimate, which they have estimates and keep
doing them and updating, in excess of the 70 percent would then not be accounted for in the SMART reserves and it's not segregated in unallocated reserves, so we end up back to TaxWatch's concerns.

Just so you know, no projects have ever been audited, and we're still down, no facilities manager in the audit department and it's been two or three years.

As far as Blanche Ely goes, what I handed you is something familiar, that's from the BOC. Notice that it mentions Buildings -- reroofing Building 11 -- 10, 11 and 21. Building 10 and 11 are from the '50s.

Okay. The other pages -- so the first page, these plain black-and-whites are from the Needs Assessment. Building 10, Roofing, part of the GOB. Building 11, Roofing, part of the GOB. So are HVACs. This -- the colorful pages are the Architect's Scope Validation Report. Notice what it says for 10,11 --

MR. HILLBERG: You're at five minutes. I'm going to give you 30 seconds, please.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And 21. I'm just directing you to the pages. So, basically,
they've reroofed them and we don't know if they used the budget for the HVAC or not. So the question is, what happens next? It's in the scope, but it hasn't been done because there's been no definitive direction. And Kimberly can share what they've been telling the Blanche Ely community.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Thank you. Sorry to rush you.

There were a lot of questions in that. I don't know how to respond to even begin. I'm going to look to the meeting minutes to see if I can identify the individual questions.

Now, do you have something you could send us to ask? Because I missed a lot. I am not a very good note taker.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, sorry. I can certainly -- we'll be discussing this at the Facilities Task Force because this just came up. I got asked question and did a little quick research.

It's not so much questions as I want you guys to understand when I'm reading the TaxWatch report that says we must make haste and be on time, on budget and in scope, that we don't,
actually, know what any of those three things mean in some cases.

So the question to ask is, Buildings 10, 11 and 21, was is the plan? Because they've been reroofed.

Oh, by the way, I forgot the most important part, there's no power going to those buildings. So if you're going to reroof them, did they stick HVACs in them? I'm thinking, no, because -- and if they did there's no power going to those three buildings and I believe Kim, Ms. Burke-Mohorne can shed some light on why. And it isn't because AECOM doesn't want to do it. It's because they haven't been given direction to allow them to do it.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Thank you.
All right. Our next member of the public, please identify yourself.

MS. BURKE-MOHORNE: Yes, Kimberly
Burke-Mohorne, Margate, Florida. Just to --
MR. HILLBERG: I missed that. I'm sorry.
MS. BURKE-MOHORNE: Kimberly Burke-Mohorne, Margate, Florida.

MR. HILLBERG: One more time?
MS. BURKE-MOHORNE: Kimberly Burke-Mohorne.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Thank you. Sorry.
MS. BURKE-MOHORNE: No worries.
MR. HILLBERG: From Margate?
MS. BURKE-MOHORNE: Yes, I live in Margate.
MR. HILLBERG: All right. Please proceed.
MS. BURKE-MOHORNE: Talking about Blanche Ely High School, in 2015 we had some buildings removed; okay? Three of the buildings were without power that stayed there, were without power and we're talking about 10 and 11 and 21. 21 is a clinic, a clinic that our schools do not -- our school does not have a clinic. We are out of compliance with the State of Florida. Our clinic is in a classroom and it's not privatized for our male or female. We found out that this clinic does not have the electricity to it because it was all taken out when those other buildings were demolished. We were told that if they put the switch gear in then they would put -- energize the three buildings. Okay? So the switch gear was put in this summer, but we have not heard anything about reenergizing the buildings. We understand they are on this report, on the bond report. They were supposed to be reenergized and also they were reroofed,
but other than that we haven't heard anything else.

Now, on the report here it says that the staff told whoever came out on the February 22nd, whoever came out on February 22 nd, the staff told them they did not have access to the building, and that was a lie. Okay? We went into the building -- we didn't go into building, let me take that back. We made sure that, we found out who had the key and they have access to the building. Okay? Our representative, Nora Rupert, went out with us, we went to review the buildings and everything. And so we know that they have keys. We need someone to be able to go in. We need to reenergize -- first we need to reenergize the building. We have Broward Health that wants to partner with Broward County School Board to open up the clinic for our students. But we cannot do anything without the power. First, the power.

So, we would like to know what they're going to do about -- and we were told -- and one particular, probably at the next meeting, but one particular school member, a community member, was told by an employee of Broward County Schools
that it would be over his dead body that those buildings will be opened again. Okay?

MR. HILLBERG: Okay.
MS. BURKE-MOHORNE: All right. Thank you.
MR. HILLBERG: So, to summarize, when is the power going to be restored or is that the plan for those three buildings? And when -- I think the bigger picture is when will the clinic be available? And can anyone answer those questions?

And I'm getting a, no. So we'll have to come back to that.

MR. BAYS: Well, I can -- excuse me.
Sam Bays. I'll take a shot at the --
MR. HILLBERG: Okay.
MR. BAYS: I'm not going to give you a definitive answer on when we're going to be able to do that. We have to budget for doing a lot of work to those buildings because they were in poor repair. The longer story shortened is, we did take buildings down in 2015. They were a hazard. They were collapsing. We had a young man who had been a graduate of Blanche Ely living in one of the buildings as a homeless person. It was a very sad situation. So we were able to get them
demolished using in-house forces as an emergency project. It did cause the three buildings in the south part of the campus that were not to be demolished, because we thought they might be historic, to be saved. The one clinic building at that moment was vacant. We would like to have the opportunity to look at the two buildings that are very old and in very poor condition. Kathleen, I know, has worked with the local community to talk about, is it feasible to not salvage those two older buildings and just salvage the clinic? But, regardless, it will be a major undertaking to put those buildings back in use. The roof was just to preserve the asset, but the bigger question is, they would have to be gutted, they would have to be rebuilt, they would have to have new systems. So it's quite an extensive project.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. I'm gonna guess that this wasn't part of the SMART Program, those three buildings. So this is extra, outside?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's not true. That's not true. That's why I handed you -- your own report has the buildings listed as being reroofed. The Needs Assessment lists mechanical
and roofing as being GOB.
MR. BAYS: I'm sorry, Dr. Lynch-Walsh. What I meant was that the restoration work to do on those buildings is not part of SMART. It is a comprehensive restoration that has to be done.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. I understand. Because if you don't put a roof on it it's going to continue to deteriorate faster than you can keep up with it.

MR. BAYS: You would lose the building if you don't put a roof on it.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Odd situation, but I suppose that's the best we can expect in that circumstance.

So at what point will a schedule be available? Or is this still too early, you're sorting things out, or what can we -- I want to answer the question. That's all.

MR. BAYS: It is not part of the Bond Oversight Committee. It's not part of the SMART, sir.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Well, I'm sorry, I can't answer that -- we can't get an answer to you. I wish we could. It will be under further development, I guess.

Okay. That reminded me that we have continually asked for answers to be provided to the public when they've made presentations like this and I have not seen one yet. This is only the second or third time that I've seen public presentations and questions, but it's on our agenda a lot of times. We talk about it just about every meeting. I know it was in the last meeting minutes that we want those things addressed. It's better to give bad news, in my opinion, than to not give any news at all. So I would like to at least resolve it. And I put pressure on the school board staff to respond to the questions of those citizens.

Okay. We can adjourn the public hearing, reconvene the business meeting.

Is there anything else we need to discuss?
(No response.)
MR. HILLBERG: No? Anyone? No? Okay.
And we'll adjourn. We don't need to make any motions, so the meeting is adjourned.

Thank you.
(Meeting was concluded at 7:32 p.m.)
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