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MR. SHIM: Good evening. My name is Omar Shim. And welcome to the Bond Oversight Committee meeting. I'm going to go ahead and call the meeting to order and point you to the agenda. The first topic on the agenda is to select a chair and a vice chair. But before we do that, I think what we'll do is go ahead and introduce ourselves or let the Committee introduce yourselves and then we'll introduce ourselves as well. So --

MS. LEWERS: I'm Maxine Lewers, for Broward County PTA.

MS. SIEGEL: I am Ann Siegel with Disability Rights Florida.

MS. REECE: I'm Laura Reece, representing the Florida Government Finance Officers.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I'm Adam Rabinowitz. I'm a member of the Board of Governors of the Florida Bar.

MR. MONACO: I'm Joe Monaco, representing South Florida Technology Alliance.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I'm Don DiPetrillo, President of Broward County Fire Chiefs
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Association.
MR. PERRONE: Ivan Perrone, Treasurer for the District.

MR. LEONG: Ben Leong, Chief Financial Officer School District.

MR. NAVE: Hi, my name is Bob Nave. I'm Vice President of Research for Florida TaxWatch.

MR. COONEY: Thomas Cooney, Assistant General Counsel. I am not -- notwithstanding my name tag here, I am not General Counsel. He could not be here tonight. He is attending the funeral of a fiend so I'm here to sit in on his behalf.

MR. SHIM: Thank you.
So the first item on the agenda is to select a chair. So what we'll do is we'll begin and sort of let the Committee discuss, have the floor to discuss selecting the chair and perhaps nominate someone for chair, and then after that I'll turn it over to the chair to select a vice chair. So I'll go ahead and turn it over to the Committee to have discussion about the chair.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I know the rules of order but does that mean that $I$ have to do it? What, because I'm a lawyer? Come on, you're a lawyer too. Don't all be so eager. Fair enough.

That's fine.
MS. LEWERS: I make the motion to nominate Adam.

MS. REECE: Second.
MR. LEONG: Yes. Go ahead. We have a nomination and then, you know, any discussion. No?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I don't think so.
MR. LEONG: Okay. All in favor please say aye. Unanimous.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
MR. LEONG: Any opposed?
Okay. I'll turn it over to the Chair then.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Congratulations.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you very much. We can commence the meeting now. I think we need a Vice Chair; do we not? We need to make a nomination for the Vice Chair.

Anybody?
Ann, you're the next lawyer in the group.
MS. SIEGEL: Do you want me to nominate someone?

MR. RABINOWITZ: No, I think we should nominate you.

MR. MONACO: I second that.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Do we have a motion?
MS. REECE: So moved.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Second?
MR. MONACO: Second.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Vote? Everybody say aye.
COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
MR. RABINOWITZ: I think it's unanimous. The motion passes.

MS. REECE: He knows the rules of order.
MR. RABINOWITZ: What else is on the agenda?
Well, I think the other thing on the agenda is whether we were nominating a secretary, as well. I don't know, I read somewhere that it wasn't mandatory.

MR. LEONG: Right now, according to the Board's resolution there is no requirement for a secretary. And I think, Mr. Chair, I know that, you know, you didn't have the benefit of discussing the agenda today, in the future we would be discussing with you about the agenda item, or TaxWatch will. The next item is that TaxWatch is -- they did a best practice and they would like to take this opportunity and present it to you, and that recommendation may have a secretary recommendation and then, if $I$ may,

Chair, you may ask TaxWatch to begin their presentation.

MR. RABINOWITZ: They can proceed.
MR. NAVE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Congratulations. Congratulations Madam Vice Chair.

I'm Bob Nave, Vice President of research for Florida TaxWatch. I want to apologize in advance for putting you through what may be the longest PowerPoint presentation you've ever sat through, but I think it's important.

What we tried to do is outline a set of best practices to guide the outline and operations of this Committee. And, also, this is the first time Melanie and I have had a chance to work together, so it may take us a couple of slides to get synchronized here, so bear with us.

You may not know much about Florida TaxWatch, but we are a private nonprofit. We have been around since 1979 and we are, essentially, a government spending watchdog. We conduct independent nonpartisan research on issues that are relevant and important to Florida taxpayers. We focus on things that can improve the efficiency and productivity of government and
ways to cut government spending. We are supported 100 percent by voluntary memberships and private grants. We don't receive government funding. We are, perhaps, best known for our Annual Budget Turkey Watch Report. It's a report we do. We look at the General Appropriations Act and we identify projects that usually are slipped into the budget at the last minute. These are projects that have not been subject to public debate, have not been subject to the scrutiny of committees. It doesn't mean they're bad projects. It just means they haven't gone through the normal appropriations process.

I think it's important now more than ever to have a strong independent review of government and evaluations of how the government conducts itself and how it runs its programs and activities. When you look historically at TaxWatch, we have been very vocal and we have supported measures to hold government accountable and promote accountability, integrity and efficiency in government. One of our centers of excellence is the Center for Government Efficiency. The advisory board oversees our research, looks, specifically, at ways to cut
government costs and improve government efficiency.

Our role in this engagement is limited to three things, one of which we'll do tonight, and that's to provide a research report on best practices that we have seen in other bond oversight committees and the intent is to help you structure your operations and lay out, if you will, bylaws for going forward and to help you in overseeing this bond referendum.

Another thing we will do is we will review the quarterly project reports that are put together by the District. When the District sends those to you, they're also going to send a copy to us. It's important to note that we will supplement and not supplant your oversight role.

So one of the things that we will do is we will come back to you at the next meeting and we'll present our findings of our review of those reports so you can compare our review to your review.

Then another thing we'll do is we will be a resource to the Committee. When it comes time to preparing reports and recommendations that go before the School Board, we'll be here to help
you put those together and we'll just kind of walk through that process.

What I would like to do now is just kind of walk through the best practices. And we first made these available, I think, the third week in August in anticipation of the August 31st meeting. So I'm hoping that you've had a chance to look through those. I would ask that when you go through those make note of ones that you think are worthy of recommending to the Board, also, make note of those that for some reason may not be appropriate to recommend to the Board.

But it's important to note that this review is based on our review of best practices of other bond oversight committees. California, for example, has a number of bond oversight committees. There are other states that we looked at. And we tried to put together what we think is the best practices out of all of those. And that's something that you guys can use as benchmarks and you pick the ones you like and then you pick the ones that you don't like. We've written them in a way that is intended to be actionable in a way that you can adopt them or not adopt them. We have numbered them in a
way that would make it easier for the Committee to make clear which ones they like and which ones they don't like.

And, again, the intent is, if you choose to implement all of these or some part of these, the intent is to help you going forward and to help you manage this process and, again, hold this process to a higher standard, which is what I think the taxpayers in Broward County and, certainly, what Florida TaxWatch would expect.

So the first area of best practices we looked at what is the membership and selection. And if you look at the resolution that the Board passed, it identifies the types of organizations that would be represented on this Committee. And I think what you'll see here is there's an awful lot of overlap between what the Board adopted and what the other bond oversight committees we saw adopted. So there's a pretty good closeness of fit between what the School Board did and what we would recommend. You'll see organizations that are active in the business community; parents, guardians who have children in the schools.

You'll see a lot of what we recommended that are also recommended in the resolution. Background
and experience in construction management. That's an area that we think is critical. Also, the experience in public financing and having people who are experienced in looking at public financing documents, those are important.

And, also, had TaxWatch not been in this role, we probably would have recommended that a group like TaxWatch sit on the bond committee.

So, right now, there's a pretty good fit between what we recommend and what the School Board adopted.

And, again, if at any time you want to stop me to discuss these I'll leave it up to the Chairman to decide whether you want to hold your questions 'til the end or whether you want to stop me at any time.

All right, Melanie. We can go on.
The number of members, it depends. It depends on the size of the bond referendum and it depends on the size of scope of work that the Committee has to do. The sweet spot that we found is somewhere between 12 and 18 members. The bond resolution originally had 11, so it's pretty close. 12 to 18, I think, is big enough. It's small enough to be manageable, but it's big
enough to allow the appointment of subcommittees and dividing up the work if you choose to do that.

Attendance, we felt attendance to these meetings ought to be mandatory. This is an important process and we think -- and we're confident that because you have chosen to do this that you will make the commitment to come to the meetings. We all recognize that there are going to be times when, for whatever reason, whether you're sick or you have other commitments that you just can't get out of, you can't be here, and excused absences, we think, should be permissible. Sending a proxy we don't think should be permissible. If -- we recommend that, if a member has so many unexcused absences over the course of a year or so many consecutive meetings are missed, then that person should be replaced according to the process that is laid out in the resolution.

And, again, we think that the member organization should have a reasonable amount of time to pick those replacements and we think 30 days or less is a reasonable amount of time to do that.

Terms of service, the current resolution limits, as I understand it, consecutive terms. And this is something that we did take exception with and it was our recommendation that -- or our recommended best practice that if one of the Committee members is willing to make the commitment and willing to serve the county and is doing good work and they want to serve more than two terms, TaxWatch sees no problem with that. And, again, that may be something that you may want to consider recommending to the School Board, but it's something that we -- we did not have a problem with exceeding that provision in the resolution.

Compensation, this has been addressed in the resolution. We didn't see any reason to deviate from it. You guys aren't getting paid for this. You shouldn't get paid for this. This is a voluntary activity. However, if reimbursement for, if you wanted to go visit a project or you wanted to go see something for yourself and it required mileage or something like that, then reimbursement for those incidental expenses consistent with the county's procedures seems reasonable to us.

Conflict of interest, it's covered in the current resolution. All of the other bond oversight committees addressed this. Obviously, Committee members should not work for any entity that either does work with the county or is looking to do work with the county. You all signed statements attesting that you don't have a conflict of interest and we think that that's a good practice and I don't see anything that we've recommended that conflicts with the current provisions in the resolution.

MR. RABINOWITZ: And if $I$ can just comment for just a moment. Conversations I've had with the county before, someone as myself, I'm a partner in a large statewide firm, and while I don't have any matters and my office doesn't have any matters that are adverse to the county, and I don't mean adverse in the sense of a lawsuit, I mean has business dealings and things of that effect, I think there needs to be something that resolves a potential conflict of interest because I can't control what my law partners will do in the future. And I know that other members of my firm, for example, sit on other bond committees across the state. For example, I know with the

Orlando Airport, for example. And in those circumstances where a member of my firm gets asked to, you know, consider that issue, they just simply recuse themselves in that circumstance from participating in a vote where a developer client or contractor is associated with the county or public entity, and I think that should be included, because to preclude it otherwise, you may not ever have a lawyer on this board, unfortunately, in the future.

MR. NAVE: And I think that may be something that needs to be addressed with the District's general counsel. I don't know the answer to that.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Fair enough.
MR. NAVE: Autonomy, the Committee, obviously, shouldn't be influenced by the School Board, members of staff, any of the District members of staff or any of the contractors or project managers.

And this is a little bit of a walk on a slippery rock because absolute autonomy is going to be difficult to achieve, because, on the one hand, you depend on the District's staff for information and they provide an administrative
and support type function to the Committee, but, also, they may be here providing testimony and information on projects.

So I think it's important to distinguish between when District staff is here serving a support role, like they are here tonight, as opposed to when they are here before you providing testimony on projects and things like that. So that's something, I think, to be mindful of.

I think having TaxWatch review the quarterly reports and to supplement the work that you all are doing, I think that will help preserve some of that autonomy. I think having TaxWatch help with some of the preparation of reports and the recommendations may help preserve that, as well. That's just something that we'll see to what extent the Committee needs that -- that resource. Next one, please.

The structure, again, the structure should be tied to the scope of the work and the number of members. And, again, I think the structural issue is whether the Committee chooses to appoint one or more subcommittees. It may be that a subcommittee on finance is appointed. It may be
that there's a subcommittee on legal issues or on contracting or something like that. You have very broad discretion and the Chair can appoint one or more subcommittees on whatever subjects the Chair deems appropriate. So that is -that's an option.

Melanie, can we go back to the budget? See, I told you it would take us a while to get the synchronization worked out.

The budget, I don't recall the resolution addressing a budget, per se, but I don't see anything that stops this Committee from preparing and presenting a budget to the School Board if you think it's necessary. Some of the bond oversight committees that we looked at had done that. However, I would caution that if the Committee does choose to do something like that, the consideration and approval of any type of budget would need to be done in a duly noticed public meeting like this one.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Well, what kind of expense will the budget create; what kind of expense did you see?

MR. NAVE: They didn't go into a whole lot of details on that. Mostly for travel, for
inspections and tours and things like that. It wasn't -- it wasn't great budgets. Some of -- I think one or two of the oversight committees had done -- had utilized a performance evaluator, a contractor to do a performance evaluation in addition to the financial audit. So there was an expense for that.

And it may be that that's not necessary here. But I wanted to bring that out because it was a best practice utilized by some of the other bond oversight committees.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
MR. NAVE: Orientation, at the last meeting it was mentioned that the District has a 45-minute on-line training program that is available. TaxWatch thinks it's a good idea for all the members to take and pass the orientation program. So if you guys have not done it, you might want to consider requiring yourselves to do that. I think it's a good idea. And, again, we believe that members, if you have not, you should make sure that you have been provided copies of the bond resolution, Robert's Rules of Order, the ethics requirements, government and sunshine documents, everything that you need to conduct
the business of this oversight committee.
And, again, as members drop off and new members are added, new members should receive the same type of orientation and training.

Rules of order, Robert's Rules of Order, it was described in the resolution that the Robert's Rules of Order would be used to conduct the meetings. Mr. Chair, Madam Vice Chair, if you're not familiar with those, you need to get familiar. If you don't have copies, you should have copies, because that's the procedures that you will be using to conduct these meetings. And, again, there may be an exception, and, again, $I$ would defer to the District's general counsel where some other provision of law might contradict something in the Robert's Rules of Order, and then, in that case, I would imagine the provisions of law would prevail and control. So I throw that out there in the event that there is an exception.

Quorums, as we learned at the first meeting, we need a simple majority of the appointed members to legally conduct business.

Fortunately, we have a quorum tonight and we have a Chairman and Vice Chairman and we will conduct
business. In the absence of a quorum there's not much that the Committee can do. Again, we saw that last time. We couldn't elect Chairs. We couldn't make recommendations. We couldn't approve or adopt anything. So it's important that we have quorums. Melanie? Committee officers, the resolution specifies a Chair and a Vice Chair. And some of the oversight committees we saw elected a secretary, and we'll talk a little bit more later about the duties and the responsibilities of the secretary, and then it would be up to the Committee to decide whether that is something you all would want to pursue or whether those duties and responsibilities can be performed by someone else, like the District staff.

And, again, we elected officers tonight, so that's a good thing. Officers should be elected to serve one-year terms. So one year from now when we meet we will elect new officers. The recommended duties of the Chair, what we saw in the other bond oversight committees, the Chairman calls the meetings, set the dates, established the agenda, and was the presiding
officer in the meetings. The Chairman also served as the committee's spokesperson on all matters that went before the School Board, the media and the public. The Chairman would be responsible for preparing all committee reports that go to the School Board, and, also, as I said earlier, for making subcommittee appointments.

The duties of the Vice Chair, and then this is the job I would want, because in the absence of the Chair the Vice Chair performs the duties of the Chair. If the Chair is here, the Vice Chair really doesn't have any duties or responsibilities.

The secretary, what we saw in the other bond oversight committees was that the secretary, basically, performed a lot of administerial functions of the committee and was responsible for overseeing the preparation and recording and distribution of all of the committee documents. This was a person that got the agendas put together and got all of those distributed to the members, made sure that the meeting notices were done correctly, made sure that all the minutes of the meetings were taken and distributed and reviewed and made sure that all of the reports to
the School Board were done. And just anything else that -- any official action of the committee, the secretary took care of those. Also, at the beginning of the meeting the secretary would do rollcall to take attendance to make sure that there was a quorum, and then if at any point in the meeting it looked like there wasn't a quorum, then the secretary would notify the Chair that it didn't look like there was a quorum.

The presiding officer, again, when the Chairman is here, the Chairman is the presiding officer and the presiding officer's duties would be to open the committee meeting and call the meeting to order. The Chair determines that a quorum is present. Consistent with Robert's Rules of Order the Chair recognizes members of the committee that wish to speak, also, recommends and recognizes members of the public that wish to speak. Any question that requires a vote on the committee, the Chair puts that question before the committee members. The Chair decides all questions of order that go before the committee and the Chair adjourns the meetings. And, again, as we saw earlier in electing the

Chair and Vice Chair before, any issue or matter that can be officially acted upon, a proper motion has to be made and seconded by Committee members. And, again, motions require majority vote to pass and once a motion has been made and seconded the Chair has the discretion to permit debate on that motion before the vote is taken.

And, again, it's up to the presiding officer, which is, again, normally the Chair to close debate and call for votes on motions. But, again, the Chair normally will make sure that all Committee members have had a chance to speak before closing debate and calling the vote.

And, again, motions to reconsider can be made, but if you're going to make a motion to reconsider, the best practice is to -- it has to be done at the same meeting. It has to be done on the same issue. And it had to be done by someone who is on the prevailing side. If you lose, you can't ask for a motion to reconsider. It just looks like you're a bad sport. So we recommend that.

On voting, all of your voting should be done in public and every Committee member's vote should be publicly and officially recorded. So
unless there's a conflict of interest, every Committee member is expected to vote on every issue.

And, again, if there is a conflict of interest or potential conflict of interest on the vote, you are required by Florida law to disclose that potential conflict of interest.

And, again, when the vote is taken, the results should be publicly disclosed and should be reflected in the minutes of the meeting.

Meetings, the School Board resolution requires Committee meetings to be held at least quarterly. You can meet as often as you want, but you have to meet at least once quarterly, and the meetings have to be duly noticed consistent with public law. And, again, we believe that at least once annually there should be a joint meeting between the Committee and the School Board, at which time the Committee would present an annual report of its activities to the School Board.

Now, there will be other meetings between the Committee and the School Board at which the Committee would make its recommendations to the School Board, but we think there should be at
least one joint meeting annually between the Committee and the School Board.

Special meetings and emergency meetings can be called by the Chair at any time, and, again, the duly notice requirements for special meetings should be consistent with the regular meetings. Emergency meetings, depending on the nature of the emergency, you may not have time to meet the public notice requirements, so you take the most expedient best notice way you can.

And, again, all -- any special meetings, any emergency meetings, minutes should be kept, they should be run just like regular meetings, but I just want you to be aware that, in addition to the regular meetings, you can have special meetings and there are emergency meetings from time to time so --

Recommendations, when the Committee approves recommendations, those should be presented to the School Board at the next regularly scheduled School Board meeting. Again, the recommendation the way we have seen this done in other oversight committees is those recommendations were transmitted to a single point of contact in the District, and then that way the District made
sure they got included on the School Board agenda package for the next meeting. And then once those recommendations are presented to the School Board, there should be some period of time, a reasonable period of time, in which the School Board acts on those recommendations. And we thought 30 days was a pretty reasonable amount of time for the School Board to either approve or reject your recommendations.

As far as the agenda and order of business, the agenda, once the Chair sets the agenda, it should be made available to the members. What we saw was 48 to 72 hours before the meeting. And, again, if there are changes or addenda to the agenda, the same practice would apply there.

Again, the Chair determines whether agenda items are appropriate. If you want an agenda item on the agenda, you make the recommendation to the Chair, the Chair decides whether it's appropriate or not. We saw in a number of instances where the Superintendent's offices were given an opportunity to review and comment on the agenda before it was finalized. And that's not approval. I mean, you guys are autonomous from the School Board, but it's more of a courtesy to
the School Board so --
As I mentioned a minute ago, any Committee member should be able to recommend an agenda item for consideration. When the agenda is put together, the agenda should be put together in a way that it's clear what the issues are. So any proposed agenda item should be explained in sufficient detail so that the public and the other Committee members know what it's about. And, again, that -- that gives the public an opportunity to know whether they want to be here to hear on that. It gives the District's staff an opportunity to have the right people here to answer your questions on the issue.

And, again, any issue that doesn't meet that, that's something you would bump to a future meeting.

There may be circumstances, emergency circumstances, that require you to take action on items that aren't on the agenda. Those kind of issues are ordinarily bumped to the end of the agenda or they are moved to a future meeting and that's the practice we recommend you consider.

Consent agendas is a common practice just about everywhere. The bond oversight committees
we saw used consent agendas and we recommend that this committee use those, as well.

And, again, if you want to add, delete, change anything to the agenda, you can do that through a motion and it goes through the same process any other motion goes through.

Workshops, the Committee can schedule workshops. And these are a little different than meetings. They're generally less formal than the meetings. They're generally on -- they're generally issue specific and they give an opportunity for you to invite District staff to come in or outside consultants to come in or experts on a particular issue to come in and brief you on an issue to help you better understand what this issue is. Generally, you don't -- generally, you don't take public comment on the workshops. It's just -- it's to inform and to educate you. It's for your benefit. It's to help you better understand what the issue is. You may take official action, but, generally, in workshops, you don't.

Briefings, briefings are probably less formal than the workshops. And, again, they're here to help you better understand issues. This is when
you bring in the District staff or consultants and you ask them questions and you -- it helps you better understand. With the briefings you don't take official action. This is just to help you better understand or help you get educated. Public hearings, this is an area where our recommendations may vary a little bit with the School Board's resolution. To TaxWatch the public hearings are more of a formal process. It's to get input on a proposed recommendation or an issue. For example, if the District amended its capital improvement plan and the way the money was going to be allocated or the way that the timing of projects was going to change and the Committee wanted to invite public comment on that, then it may be appropriate to have a duly noticed public hearing just on that subject or on those subjects. And, again, those would be public noticed and, again, very formal. Related to that is public comment. Public comment, we saw the other bond oversight committees generally set aside a period of time in every meeting just to give the public an opportunity to speak on just about whatever they wanted to speak as long as it was germane. And,
again, $I$ think the difference is the level of formality. The public hearing, to us, is a way more formal process. Public comment is just you want to hear what people have to say.

On the agenda tonight in the middle of ours we have a public hearing. So in the middle of this Committee meeting it looks like you're going to take a break, have a public hearing, and then reconvene, which is fine. And, again, when people do speak, I think it's important that they fill out speaker cards or public comment cards. And I think it's important that they, for the most part, limit their comments to three to five minutes, although, the Chair has very broad discretion to extend that if he thinks it's appropriate. If people are getting off topic or, you know, not behaving themselves, then the Chair can cut the comment off.

But I think the distinction between public comments and public hearings is an important distinction.

The minutes, written minutes, we have a court reporter who is taking down everything we say, so we'll have a very detailed transcript of this Committee meeting. But, anyway, the minutes
should be taken in writing and should be made available to the public and upon request. The minutes of previous committee meetings would be distributed, should be distributed and read, and if there's something wrong it needs to be corrected and then you do that. And that's something you do at the next meeting.

If you can go back one, Melanie?
Governance model may or may not be applicable. What we're envisioning here and what we've seen is, to help in the decision making and to guide when points escalate, to develop a governance model that just kind of shows the relationships between the Committee and the different layers in the District, to show who reports -- you know, how the different offices in the District relate to the Committee, who the players are, who reports to the Committee, who the players are and if there are disagreements or points that can't be resolved, where those points of escalation are. It may not be applicable here, but it may be something that you want to consider.

Change management, we saw in the other bond oversight committees best practices that provided
the committee the opportunity to review and comment on all proposed major changes to the bond programs and to every bond program. And what these other bond oversight committees did was, they established criteria to determine what constitutes major change. And it can be something as -- like if it's a 10 percent change in project budget, if it's a six-month delay in completion of the project, whatever those thresholds are, if that change is going to trip that threshold, then that change would be submitted to the oversight committee for review before it was approved.

And we, also, think -- in addition to that, we think that those proposed changes should require public disclosure to make sure that that's as transparent as we can make it.

Melanie, if you can go back one?
Administrative support, again the District staff is providing the administrative support, providing a lot of the administerial functions that the secretary of the Committee would do if you chose to elect a secretary. But what the District will do is, you know, they will appoint a liaison to the Committee. They will be
responsible for preparing the meeting like they did this evening. They did a wonderful job putting this meeting together tonight, because we were here watching them, keeping the minutes, and, more importantly, retaining the documents. Everything that we do here is subject to the public records retention requirements in Chapter 219. So all of the documents have to be kept and the District staff will be the repository for all the Committee's documents.

Capital outlay planning, it's important, and we saw this with the other bond oversight committees, that the Committee review the capital outlay plan that the District puts together. And that's important because it will help make sure that the list of projects that are going to be funded through the general obligation bond is thorough and complete.

The expenditure schedule, for the same reason, it's important that the Committee look at the schedule of expenditures that the District staff puts together. You'll need to look at individual project budgets. And that's important for a couple of reasons. One is, it will provide some insight into when the next round of bonds
need to be issued, and it will, also, reduce the risk that those bonds will not be timely issued. Because, right now, we're working on the first cycle of bonds, and then at some point another cycle of bonds will be issued. And I think it's important that the Committee keep their eyes on that and make sure that that next cycle of bonds is issued when it's supposed to. Cash flow analysis, folks do this with their business and I think it's important that the Committee do this, as well. It's important to look at the cash flow projections associated with this bond referendum. And, again, for the same reasons that you would look at the expenditure schedule, you want to look at the timing of when is revenue coming in and when are expenditures going out? Because that, too, will help provide insight as to when new bonds need to be issued and it will, also, reduce the risk that they won't be timely issued. So that, I think, is important.

Database, most, if not all, of the bond oversight committees we looked at had a single database that the District maintained where committee members can go to get whatever information they need. So we think that's
important.
Website, it's important that the public have an opportunity to view what is going on and the District has established a website and information is being put on the website. Some of us, like me, have had a hard time accessing, but we finally figured out what we were doing wrong and we now have been able to access the website, but it's important that that relevant information be made available to the public on the District's website and that it is easily accessible. In an ideal website you get there with one click. I think here it takes a couple of clicks to get there, but if you can get there --

MS. LEWERS: It's still not working. I tried to get there earlier today. Are they going to fix it or give out information on how to get to it?

MR. SHIM: Yes, I think there is something wrong with the link to the website, but we're going to make sure that gets fixed.

MR. NAVE: Again, if you get there within the first couple of clicks, that's pretty good. And I think once it's figured out, you'll be able to get there with -- I think you click on the smart
icon and in the smart you click on the bond oversight icon and you get there pretty quick, so --

MR. MONACO: Will there be access to the database through the website, as well, to any and all records?

MR. SHIM: Yes, we are going to post all of the information that is provided to the Committee on the website.

MR. NAVE: Melanie?
And we list here the types of information that, that other bond oversight committees put on their websites, and that's, you know, committee member contact information, so that public, if they want to contact you, they know how to contact you. List of all active projects, timelines, budgets, things like that, any changes, deferred maintenance, things like that should be on there. Comparisons of actual budgets and timelines to the projected budgets and timelines, so the folks can see whether things are on time and on budget should be on the website. Notice of any future meetings and workshops, minutes of meetings, any reports or recommendations or any work products that come
out of the Committee should be on there.
And, again, the role of outside resources and experts like TaxWatch should be on there, because it's important that the public knows what our role is and what it is not.

The dashboard, a lot of you are familiar with the dashboard concept where you use charts and graphics to provide at-a-glance information so that the public can click on and see -- get a pretty good quick view of what is really important. And, again, moneys budgeted and allocated, the extent to which women-minority owned and historically underutilized businesses are being used, milestones for each project, progress to date, these kind of things can be easily displayed on the dashboard on the website. And we saw quite a few of those used by other bond oversight committees.

Committee reports, there should be quarterly reports. There should be an annual report and when the Bond Oversight Committee finishes its work there should be a final report that summarizes all the activities of the Committee.

District reports, I understand that in the next probably couple of weeks we will see the
first District report. What we hope to see in that report would be a list of all the projects with the status. And, again, that status would be with respect to the original project scope, implementation schedule and budget. If there are any plans to or proposals to defer maintenance we would want those identified.

And, again, if there's anything that the District is doing that would have the effect of implementing cost saving measures to stretch the bond revenues further, we would like to see those identified as well.

And, again, other things that should be in the report, if there is failure -- if contractors are not following cost reduction strategies, they're not doing what they're supposed to, we'd like to know that. We think you should want to know that. Change orders, any proposed change to the projects, those things should be reflected in the quarterly reports. Again, the extent to which minority-women owned businesses, historically underutilized businesses are being used, that's something we think you would want to know. And, again, certification that every penny expended is consistent with the referendum. The

District needs to certify to you that they are spending -- that this money is being spent properly. And that -- that, too, should be publicly disclosed.

Inspections and tours, there's -- nothing should preclude the Committee from going on-site and inspecting facilities and grounds, if that's what you guys want to do. So I think -- I didn't see anything in the resolution that limited that or would prohibit the Committee from taking a look, if they wanted to see, firsthand, why a project was being delayed or why a project was costing more.

MS. LEWERS: And we do have to go as a Committee or can we go as individuals?

MR. NAVE: That, I would have to defer to the District's general counsel. There are Sunshine Law requirements, and I'm not an attorney, so I --

MR. RABINOWITZ: I guess that would be up to us.

MS. LEWERS: Okay. Okay.
MR. NAVE: And, again, under the government and the sunshine, if two members of the Committee decided to go inspect something, I believe you
would have to publicly notice that, otherwise, you're tripping over the Sunshine Law. So there are constraints you have to be mindful of. And, again, I would -- I would bring that up with the general counsel of the District before I did anything like that to make sure that you guys are doing everything the way you should.

MS. LEWERS: Okay.
MR. NAVE: The financial audit, again, the audit should be conducted in a manner consistent with general accounting principles. The Committee ordinarily should be given an opportunity to participate in the screening of the financial auditor. I think it's probably a little late in this process. I know we have an auditor that's been -- financial auditor that's been selected, but, you know, down the road, in the event if a new auditor is selected, the Committee may choose to be involved in the screening of auditors. And, again, when draft audits are prepared, we saw a number of bond oversight committees were given an opportunity to review those draft audits before they were finalized.

MS. REECE: May I ask a question? So is the
auditor the same auditor that does your CAFR; is that the auditor he's talking about or is there a separate?

MR. LEONG: Well, that's, actually, a very good question. I think that I need to clarify that with Bob. The district has a financial audit doing, you know, a comprehensive financial audit for the School District. We have selected an auditor in that regard. What we're talking about here, the financial audit, I would imagine, is the financial pertaining to the bond program. So it's not a complete set of comprehensive financial audit that we're talking about in here. When I saw that, this recommendation that -- Mr. Chair, I think that the Committee would -- if you would like to, you could select a different auditor, but you need to go through the selection process in accordance with, you know -- in accordance with law. You could choose the same financial external auditor to do the same set of financial audits, but that would be what we call agreed upon procedures, that, you know, you agree with the auditors what are they auditing. It's not a financial statement audit for the School District. So I hope I answered this question.

MS. REECE: Not quite. So you're saying that there is the intention of having a separate audit from the audit that's required as part of your CAFR; so you do that, plus another audit?

MR. LEONG: That's what my understanding is. Bob, can you clarify that? You're not talking about the District's financial audit here?

MR. NAVE: No. What we looked at was, again, the other bond oversight committees that, when an audit was going to be done on the moneys that were raised under the referendum and how they were spent, that the committee was at the table, had an opportunity to screen -- to participate in the screening, had an opportunity to review draft reports and stuff like that so that they were, to some degree, at the table. I don't know -- I'm not an auditor either and I don't know what the District plans are for auditing the bond referendum. But in the event an audit is going to be done on these moneys, we're just saying that the Committee should be involved in the screening, should have an opportunity to talk to the candidates, should be able to review and comment on draft audit reports before they are finalized and be part of the process.

MR. RABINOWITZ: So I'm clear, as well, is it -- has an auditor already been selected to do the general audit for the county and within their scope of work they're also tasked with doing an audit of the bond, as well? Am I understanding you correctly?

MR. LEONG: No, the auditor selected is for the general District type audit. What Bob is referring to, the bond program audit, you certainly can ask for a bond, you know, financial audit.

Now, remember that Bob has the next section, which is performance audit, which is, I would imagine that, you know, I'm not speaking for Bob, it's a different kind of audit. When we produce to you the annual report or quarterly report, the financial report, not the construction activity report, those data the Committee would like to have someone to look at what we reported has basis to form those reports, such as your budget, your expenditure and your remaining balance or your amended budgets. Those are the audits that I think that by reading this is different from the whole scope of the District audit.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.

MR. NAVE: And, as Ben mentioned, in addition to the financial audit there may or may not be a performance audit. A performance audit focuses more on the procurement methods, the non-financial aspects of the bond referendum. The contractors' performance, the extent to which projects came in on time, on budget, the utilization of women-minority businesses and things like that. Those are the kinds of things you would want to look at in a performance audit. And in the event a performance audit on the referendum was done, then the same kinds of things that we would recommend to get you all to the table, and this audit would be similar to the ones we recommended on the financial audit. So it's just a different scope of work.

And, Melanie, we can go on to the next slide. As Carnac would say, this brings us to the last envelope.

In dealing with the media, we found in other bond oversight committees that the Chair generally served as the official spokesperson for the committee, but, again, you have to recognize Committee members are free to speak publicly about matters that come before the Committee.

But it's also important when you do that to remember to make clear that the views you are expressing, whether it's on social media, or to reporters or in public forums, that these are your opinions and they may not necessarily reflect the opinion or the position of the Committee until the Committee takes action on it. Then that's the Committee's position.

And, again, when -- it's important to note, remember, that public meetings and meetings that are open to the public are also open to the media. And we're going to have media coverage of these meetings and the media are going to want to talk to you and they're going to want to know your opinions and thoughts on things, and it's important that every effort be made to accommodate the media at these meetings so that they can communicate to the public what is going on here.

Again, it's important. I would caution you not to speak about pending legal matters that are before the Committee to the media or the public. There's a forum for resolving those. And the media and the public is not the proper forum.

And then the final best practice word of
caution is that all interviews are on the record. Whether you think they are or not, they are.

So, again, I appreciate your indulgence. That is a walk-through of our recommended best practices. I will answer any questions you have. MR. RABINOWITZ: Anybody have any questions? MS. REECE: I do. So when you talked about an auditor coming before us and different folks going out into the field, have you seen that, for example, the Chair would appoint different people to do different things even if it's not subcommittees in the interest of not breaking the Sunshine Law to say, maybe, Ann, can you check out this project, and, Joe, can you go look at this; have you seen that in your bond research?

MR. NAVE: I don't recall seeing that. But, again, if -- if the direction -- if the Chair's direction to a Committee member is made at a duly noticed public meeting like this and it's on the record, then, again, I would probably have to defer to the District's general counsel, but, again, it's in the sunshine. The action is being taken in the public. And then that staff member would maybe go look at the project and report back to the Committee at the next meeting.

Something like that I think may be an acceptable way of dividing up work short of appointing a subcommittee.

MS. REECE: Thank you.
MS. LEWERS: I do have a question. Does the Committee approve our general meeting minutes as a Committee at the general meeting and then the workshop meeting minutes at the workshop?

MR. NAVE: I think you would approve them at the next meeting, whatever it is.

MS. LEWERS: Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Any other questions?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Yes. Mr. Chairman, I think there's a couple points that were very important and I think we need a budget for the Committee to be able to do some of our work that was brought up earlier. In all of the documents I've looked at I haven't seen anything that establishes that. So I think we should put a draft budget together and bring it together for the next meeting for our ability to do your work.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I agree. And that raises a question in my mind. If you don't mind, I call you, Bob, as well. Bob, have you seen any other budgets and what kind of value was put on them in
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the other committees in the research that you've conducted?

MR. NAVE: No, sir, I have not. It was just a general reference to the budget and how to go about developing and approving the budget. The emphasis was more on making sure that it was done in public and that the budget was acted on at a public meeting than any details or specifics about the budget. So I can't give you any more advice on that.

MR. RABINOWITZ: What was your next point? I think you said you had a couple.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Well, that was the first one. Yes. The second point would be, what's the current status, based on the fact that we've just finished the fiscal year, there were a number of projects that were outstanding, and I would like to get the Board to give us an update on where they are in reference -- there was an article in the paper back in August in reference to some of the problems getting started. I would like to have those addressed, as well, by staff. Perhaps at the next meeting, an update.

MR. SHIM: Yes, we are -- we are prepared to present that at the next meeting. Towards the
end of this I think the Committee will move forward with the next -- set a meeting. Our first quarter would be the quarter ended in September, a few days ago.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I'm sorry. Go ahead.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Yeah, also, I would like to know if some of the items in the particular article were addressed. There were some staffing shortages and some other issues that were being dealt with. There was a contractor that was supposed to be hired to look at guidance on some of these projects and get a report on that, as well.

MR. RABINOWITZ: It will be put on the agenda.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Yes. Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: I mean, I'll ask a question of the District, as well. I would imagine because we're all new to the Committee, whether or not on an annual basis the District will be providing, essentially, what the vision is for the coming year? Is it the intention of the District to provide us a report, say, at the next meeting concerning what those projects are, what we'll be looking at, what the expectations of
those contractors are, what the expenditures will be, for us to examine?

Again, $I$ think we're all new, so we need to know what the county's intent is to do, relative to the projects that are being commenced and the ones that will be commencing in the next fiscal year.

MR. LEONG: Mr. Chair, I think that the next meeting that we set in November, which is going to be looking at the quarterly end of September, and we will provide, you know, the budget report, and, also, we, also, asked the construction and facility department to provide a status report. And so the next meeting we're going to have that and, also, in addition to that, in the bond program, you know, it's more than the $\$ 800$ million bond is what we call the bond program is almost a billion dollars. General obligation bond is only 800 million. The other 200 million was provided from some other sources. So we're going to be prepared to do that at the next meeting. Within that program you also have some information technology implementation. They are prepared to also, you know, to report to the Committee.

Chair, I would like to just, you know, clarify the issue regarding the selection of the auditor, if I may. We have an internal audit department that's headed by, you know, Pat Riley. He, also, has an independent audit committee meeting, an audit committee, and they are the ones that on an annual basis or a periodic basis select auditors. So I'm not saying that, Bob, that we would prevent this Committee from interacting with the audit committee, but the audit committee, it is their duty and their charge to select auditors. So if it comes to that point, we can, you know, select auditors or whatever the Committee wishes. But I would, you know, invite Pat Riley to come and talk to the Committee and so that we, you know, we can work that out.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you. If TaxWatch has concluded it's presentation, I assume, if there's no other questions; am I correct?

MS. LEWERS: I just have one. You know I know they've already given us a budget. Where would it come from? Would it come from the bond money or would it come from the District?

MR. LEONG: The budgets for the Committee,
we'll work with the Chair how to eventually do the budget, it has to come from general funds. It would not come from the general obligations bond.

MS. LEWERS: Okay. Thank you.
MR. LEONG: In fact, Mr. Chair, I didn't know that the auditor director is here, so if you want to speak regarding the selection of auditors.

MR. RILEY: If I may, Mr. Chair?
MR. RABINOWITZ: Sure. Please.
MR. RILEY: Good evening. As Ben Leong mentioned, we do have an audit committee and part of their bylaws duties, you know, report that they are in the process of selecting our external auditor. Our external auditor, the next time we will be doing that will be for the 16-17 school year, so it's more than a year away where we will be doing that. They currently have a five-year contract and they're -- and we will be starting the last year of that contract. But that's one of the things they do, but I'm sure that that could be something that could be brought up to the Committee as an agenda item. The Committee -- and I'm sure they would welcome additional people to be on the selection committee. There
is a formal process that we work with the purchasing department, you know, we put out the bids, the committee selects the external auditor and makes a recommendation to the Board. So, I mean, I'm sure they would be fine adding additional, if you would like to participate in that, and we can just bring it up as an audit committee item on the agenda when that time comes.

MR. RABINOWITZ: The question is who is eager to be on that committee?

MS. REECE: It's two years away. I volunteer.

MR. RILEY: You could also observe it, too, also, if you don't necessarily want to be on it.

MS. LEWERS: And, actually, the Broward County PTA does have a representative that does sit on that committee.

MR. RILEY: Right.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Could we just get your name, please, so it's on the record?

MR. RILEY: Pat Riley.
MR. RABINOWITZ: The question, I think, that's now before this Committee is whether or not there would be a motion to adopt the best
practices as presented to us.
As the Chairman sitting on Committee's like this before, I would personally recommend that we table it, to review it. I just got it today. I don't know when everybody else got it. So I would table consideration of adopting these best practices until our next meeting.

Do we all agree? Do we have a motion to table it?

MS. REECE: Moved.
MR. MONACO: Second.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Everybody say aye?
COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
MR. RABINOWITZ: I think we're unanimous in that regard.

The next agenda item is Recommendation of Community Organizations.

MR. LEONG: Mr. Chair, may I just interact a little bit in here, that the Board originally when it adopted the resolution to create this Committee originally had nine Committee members and three of the organizations decided not to send a representative because of potential conflicts, that they may have members that their organization, potentially, in the future doing
business with the School Board or intended to do business with the School Board, so they declined to participate.

So now before the Committee, I believe, is to recommend whether to replace those members by other organizations or the Committee would like to just have a discussion and think about it. Because right now the resolution is created in such a way -- and, also, that when you review TaxWatch's wonderful report, their best practice, you need to also look at, you know, the resolution that we provided you a copy, because, for example, just to give you one example, that the best practice recommended that public input comments is important, that you may set aside 30 minutes or so for public comments, and in the resolution that, in a business meeting like this, no public comments is allowed. So that's a little conflict that you need to look at when you think about the next time when we put an agenda item if the organization doesn't change.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you. Because we didn't have the discourse with those other organizations, I, actually, expressed a concern myself about those potential conflicts of
interest. And it's really a question $I$ have. I would imagine, does the Committee, itself, have the power to make the proposed amendment to the resolution, or was that something the District created?

MR. LEONG: I spoke with the general counsel before and general counsel's opinion is that you can make the recommendation to the Board and the Board has to amend the resolution in order to make that happen.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. So we have to then vote on a potential amendment to the resolution and present it to the Board. Did I say that correctly?

MR. COONEY: Yes. That's correct.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. I'm trying to think of how to articulate it. Because, as I mentioned before, a firm like mine, Broad \& Cassel, we certainly represent developers and contractors and, also, as a member of the Board of Governors of the Florida Bar we want a representative on this board, but it would -- there is a potentiality for conflicts of interest in the future.
And so what organizations -- if I may ask,
what organizations declined to participate on this Committee based upon concern?

MS. REECE: It's on page 2.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
MR. LEONG: I think that Omar can help me. One of them is that the Associated Builders and Contractors, Florida East Coast Chapter. It's on page 2 of the resolution.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Got it.
MR. LEONG: And another one is that the -- I think it's the NAACP.

MR. SHIM: The Minority Builders Coalition. MR. RABINOWITZ: I think we've figured it out. It was the NAACP, The Broward County Minority Builders Coalition and the Engineering Contractors Association of South Florida. MR. LEONG: Yeah.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Obviously, they were not rejected by us. They're, obviously, important to have on a committee like this for all of the obvious reasons. If it would -- did they raise the same concerns that $I$ did concerning the conflicts of interest and inquire whether or not there can be a recusal of an individual if they participated and one of their clients, for
example -- I mean, what happened in that circumstance?

MR. LEONG: I didn't speak with those committees, but $I$ think that in one of the conversations I had with Bob that, I don't know, Roberts, from TaxWatch, that he indicated one of the organizations indicated to you maybe a potential conflict of interest.

MR. NAVE: If I may, Mr. Chairman, in trying to organize the first meeting I tried to contact as many of the original appointees as possible with the intent of trying to get some time on their calendar in advance of the meeting and -and I -- it was just that. The groups you talked about, the minority builders, the contractors, clearly did not want to participate because members of their organization anticipated bidding on some of these projects. The NAACP I don't remember. I think they may have had a similar concern. But $I$ think that was pretty much the concern $I$ heard from all three groups.

MS. LEWERS: I see that you also have on here the members of the Broward County Police Benevolent Association. Do you know why they stepped down?

MR. LEONG: Yeah, I think that if you look at the amendment to the resolution the Board decided to, you know, to -- not to include that organization.

MS. LEWERS: Okay.
MR. RABINOWITZ: I suppose the question before the Board is, do we need a resolution to open up membership to the Committee? I welcome discussion.

MS. REECE: I mean, I think these are really good groups to have on, too. So I don't know if it's like an additional outreach where you can, you know -- I mean, if you have a conflict, it's probably just as much as them. I don't see that the NAACP would, you know, they're representing that group, you know, not themselves. It just seems unfortunate that these key groups aren't here.

MR. RABINOWITZ: It seems to me, as well, that we're not getting participation by minority organizations that are necessary to have in an organization that is representative of our community.

MR. LEONG: I think it could be the Committee wanted a recommendation for the Board to
reconsider, you know, this issue, but the issue with the Board is that how do you maintain the independence of the Committee and so that they can clearly, you know, give an independent opinion about the progress of the bond program. You may have to have a compromise, just like you said, the Chair may be able -- the matter go before the Committee that they can recuse themselves, but that's something that the Committee needs to discuss and, you know, when you make the recommendation to the Board, that would be a discussion.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Then I think it's something that we need to almost address now. When is our next meeting scheduled to be? Has that been set yet?

MR. LEONG: I think it's sometime in
November. We would like to propose November 9 to be the meeting, but it's up to the Committee, you know, the Chair, you decide it and then, you know, all the schedules for the Committee members in order to have the next meeting. Because we have six members. That's, you know -- that we would like to have at least six or five because right now five can constitute a quorum.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I think that we need -- it's something that needs to be drafted, and I don't know if this is something that's drafted along with or with the help of general counsel, but we need some kind of amendment to the resolution permitting a member of the Committee to be able to withdraw from consideration of something before the Committee if there's a conflict of interest that's presented before us. Should that be something -- I mean, I'm a lawyer, it's something I could draft. I don't know if I could do it this very second. But I don't know if there's something that the general counsel could provide us or guide us with.

MR. COONEY: I think the best way to handle that would be to submit that together with any other questions, legal opinion questions, to our office and maybe propose some language that we can take a look at. And I'm sure as we go through this meeting we're going to have other issues that arise. If you, as a Committee, could generate a letter or memorandum to us, we can look at all these issues at the same time.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Because I want to maximize all of our participation in this and have
maximum, you know, the appropriate number of Committee members, I don't recall -- I looked at the resolution, obviously, before I came here, but can we have a vote on something like that as a special meeting via e-mail? I don't recall -or do we have to, physically, reconvene a meeting? I see people in the back shaking their head that we have to have a meeting in person. MR. COONEY: You need to be, physically, present.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. Thank you. MS. REECE: Are you looking for recommendations on additional groups to add or what -- I know that TaxWatch recommended 12; wasn't that the minimum of like nine to 12 or something like 12 to --

MR. NAVE: 12 to 18.
MS. REECE: 12 to 18, so that's even more. So, I mean, are you looking for us to say here are some other groups that might be good and reach out to them? I'm not sure what the -- what you all are looking for us to do right now.

MR. NAVE: Well, if I may, Mr. Chairman, from TaxWatch's perspective, we -- and, again, we looked at the experiences of other bond oversight
committees to see what type of organizations they had on their membership and that's what we presented to you tonight. So when you look at those you get a pretty good idea. What -- what I think is important is -- is some of the -- the organizations represented here, that's important, but it's also important to look at the skills that are represented on the Committee.

And, as the Committee, you're being asked to oversee and monitor how, you know, millions and millions of dollars are being expended. And, you know, we have someone with public finance experience. Is one person enough? I don't know. Maybe somebody else with public finances. But, you know, when I look, I'm looking for someone that's got construction management, project management type experience. These -- I think that's an essential skill for this Committee. Public finances skills are critical. So the organization, that's just part of it, the skills are also important. It's tough when you look for organizations with construction management type of experience because they are the ones that want to bid on these projects.

So it may be that there -- you know, is there
an organization out there that has those types of skills that isn't going to bid on these types of projects? And when $I$ think about that, $I$ think about a group like Broward College or someone that, a public entity that deals -- that builds the same type of facilities these guys build and is not going to compete with them for or bid on their projects.

So maybe someone in the college system or the university system. Maybe there's folks there in the facilities planning department that has the types of skills that might help here. I don't know. I just offer that.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I think that's a good idea. And I don't know how everybody else arrived here tonight. I don't mean that, physically. But has the District thought about or anybody at the county thought about other organizations that would make for good candidates to be on the board? And who would go about contacting those individuals to participate?

MR. LEONG: To answer your question is that I think it's the Committee can recommend, you know, just like what Bob's saying, it doesn't have to be an organization. It could be, you know, the

Broward College, they may have, you know, the construction department, the dean or whatever that we can ask him to come and serve, not to box ourselves into an organization. It's difficult to have someone that a company doing construction participate in a Committee and kind of sign a conflict of interest statement saying that they are not going to do business with the School Board. So it's going to be very difficult to find that kind of people. So maybe the approach is, you know, take that kind of thinking. And, also, some people, maybe they're retired, you know, from that area that have expertise in that area. So they would be willing to serve. You know, just like what Bob's saying, the skill set is the important part in the Committee to help the Committee to render the opinion of the Committee.

MR. NAVE: And to Ben's point, Mr. Chairman, we recommended in our best practices senior citizen organizations and, you know, these are the groups that might have retired people with construction experience, construction management background. I think that's what Ben was getting at.

MS. REECE: I had a -- I thought about it after our last meeting, too, and I have a few suggestions. Did you all ever consider during the process the Building Officials Association of Florida; was that brought up?

MR. LEONG: No, we have not. It's a good suggestion.

MS. REECE: Because they're public building officials. They would have knowledge of project management. Also, I work for the City of Fort Lauderdale and our project engineers go through a project management course at FAU. So I don't know if somebody from the university would maybe be a good representative, much like what Bob said for facilities, somebody who leads a project management curriculum or is involved somehow. And then you said financial management. I know Florida Government Finance Association is who I'm representing. They had like five or six candidates that would be honored to serve on this Committee. So I'm sure they would send someone else if you wanted more than one government finance.

MR. NAVE: Well, I know in Tallahassee we see meetings of the Florida Association of State

Budgeting Officers, so I know there are groups at -- at that level. I don't know to what extent they have district chapters or how they are organized, but they have members statewide. So I think if you look close enough I think there are folks throughout that have the kinds of skills that the Committee could use to make its work easier and more effective.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: If I might, there are some other expertise out there in government, former city managers and other folks of that nature that have dealt with these projects in the past. There are some folks I could reach out to including a few of these others here that are on the list. Again, I think we need the ability to recuse ourselves if we have a conflict, but --

MR. RABINOWITZ: I agree.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: -- there are a number of other issues. But I think that would be good so we don't create a problem with the Committee.

MR. LEONG: And, Mr. Chairman, also, the board resolution that was created, only one organization can send one representative. Maybe the Committee can recommend, you know, like a government officer, you know, Finance Officer

Associations or planning, why just limit it to one person? So there's something that the Committee can recommend to the board for consideration.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Mr. Chair, in reference to the size of the Committee, again, usually the voting issues are usually odd numbered Committee members in case there's a vote so the Chairman has to break the tie. My concern is that if the Committee is too large we won't get anything done. So I hope that maybe by next meeting we could come up with a total, if we can find enough volunteers. But I would hope that it would be in the lower number, say 13. It gets a little unwieldy, from my experience, with more people than that.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Which leads to a question. Based upon your research, have you come up with -- I know that it's between 12 and 18, but have you seen that one number that's on average that's worked or it's all over the place?

MR. NAVE: No. And as far as breaking the tie and stuff like that, for motions to be approved it requires majority. So if there is a
tie, the motion fails.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: That's not a good thing. MR. NAVE: I think that's Robert's Rules of Order.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: It is, but you don't get business done when you have a tie. So -- I can tell you that from presiding over my meetings. MS. REECE: 12 seems really large. When you look at commission -- Board of Commissioners or things like that, $I$ mean, you rarely see above nine. How did you get to 12? I mean, that seems --

MR. NAVE: Well, again, we looked at the experience of other bond oversight committees. The original bond resolution here had 11 members. Wasn't it 11 originally? And a couple dropped off. And then three withdrew and we're down to six now. So, the original intent was to get 11, which I think was -- 11 was doable. When you look at the original intended composition that would work. But with two dropping off and then three dropping off, we're -- we're short. MS. REECE: Right.

MR. LEONG: Mr. Chairman, if I may, I think that during the discussion when the Board,
originally, they were thinking 11, and I think the Board, finally, settled down with nine. I think the issues, just like what Laura is saying, they worry about too large a member, you cannot, you know, get everyone together. That's why they settled down to nine. So, after they determined nine and then the three dropped off because of conflict of interest issues, that mostly affected it.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Well, we have six, currently. Do we want to consider the number now and have a motion to set the number of members? CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I would have no problem with that at all.

MR. RABINOWITZ: All right. Do I hear a motion to set the number; and what would it be?

MS. LEWERS: I make the motion to set the number at nine.

MS. REECE: Second.
MR. RABINOWITZ: There's a second.
Aye?
COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
MR. LEONG: So, Mr. Chair, if you would like to -- the Committee decided nine board members, if you make some recommendations, we'll
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definitely, you know, make the phone calls and maybe some of the Committee members know someone who'd like to serve, we would welcome, but we are willing to do the work and make the phone calls and so forth. And if you recommend an individual, like if you want to expand the number of representatives for one organization, you know, maybe that's one of the recommendations to the Board. Now, again, I have the understanding of general counsel's point of view, you can't change the resolution until you make the recommendation to the Board.

MR. RABINOWITZ: When is the next time that they meet for the resolution to actually be presented, assuming that this Committee establishes a conflict of interest provision?

MR. COONEY: I'm not sure when the next regularly schedule board meeting would be that your resolution would be able to make it on board. But, as I understand the resolution, currently, you've got to go to your next meeting to approve the minutes. So I'm not sure of the timing of that. We can provide a list of all upcoming Board meetings and the timing would depend on that.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. Thank you. I'm trying to think -- are there any other issues?

Assuming that members of the Committee have a recommended individual from whatever organization it may be, $I$ don't know who the liaison currently is. I mean, when I have questions it would be Mr. Shim, so would we send recommendations to him about prospective members?

MR. SHIM: Yes.
MS. LEWERS: Mr. Chair, I have a question. And I know that just the Board and how they go back and forth on voting on stuff. Can we go back to the original list and go from there?

MR. RABINOWITZ: I think that the original list, the individuals didn't want to participate because there was no ability to resolve conflicts of interest. So for purposes of moving forward --

MS. LEWERS: Well, if we plan to redo the provision, that might be an option to go back to them?

MR. RABINOWITZ: I agree, but from a timing perspective, that's why I asked general counsel's office about -- the reality is, if we create an amendment to the resolution resolving conflict of
interest, that wouldn't happen 'til our next meeting in November. So we would establish a proposed resolution, then we'd present it to the Board, the Board would then have to consider it. So we wouldn't, actually, have three more members from this body, this proposed body, until two meetings from now.

MS. LEWERS: Well, knowing the School District, $I$ don't even see it happening that quick, because we're going to have public speakers. I watched it when this whole nine was picked originally. It went back and forth. So I don't really know the time. It was pretty -- if you watched the School Board meeting and it wasn't easy for them to pick just this list right here. I anticipate that again, because you had a lot of public input and people said no and then the vote was split and it just went back and forth. So, I mean, us picking the nine, realistically, from the School District perspective, Benjamin, when do you think it could happen? What are we looking at timeframe?

MR. LEONG: What you are talking about is recommending three different organizations, and I think that the -- you know, I would not go to the

Board just for one recommendation for the board to amend the resolution. If you have any other issues relating to the organization of the Committee you make all at one time. Because, for example, right now you have the issue about public comments. You may or may not want to continue with that. There's a couple other good recommendations from TaxWatch you would like to adopt. So you would like to include those. And, in my point of view, you're not going to have any recommendation to the Board until the next meeting, which is sometime in November, mid November. And after that, then we're going to quickly draw the resolution and then forward it to the next Board meeting. I would say time probably is going to be, because the agenda needs to be, you know, forwarded to the Board and, you know, you have to deliver the resolution seven days ahead of time and so forth. I'm talking about mid December for the resolution to go back to the Board. And then after that we have to contact the organizations. It's not stopping us from contacting the organizations. The problem is that you don't want to contact the organizations and then later on the Board decides
not to, you know, to adopt an organization. So --

MR. RABINOWITZ: Then $I$ think that what the Committee would ask Mr. Shim to do is go back to those organizations and find out whether or not a conflict of interest amendment to the resolution would dissuade their concerns such that they would then, you know, nominate or suggest a member of that organization for this Committee. Because that would make it easier because then we would stay with those individuals or that organization and not have to venture outside of what was already approved.

MR. SHIM: Well, we did go back to these groups. We kind of originally took over the process in the middle of constructing the Committee and we were informed that three of those groups weren't participating. So we tried to go back and confirm that. We got a confirmation from the engineering association that they were not going to participate because of potential conflicts of interest and the other two really didn't respond because I think they had already told us that they weren't going to participate.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Yeah, but to my point is, if you advised them that there would be a resolution amended that would resolve any concern about a conflict of interest allowing somebody to be recused from consideration of an issue concerning a member or their organization in particular, would that then, you know, encourage them to have participation?

MR. SHIM: We can go back and ask them. Which, you know, to your point, we could do that; yes.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I appreciate that. Thank you.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Mr. Chair, in reference to the Florida TaxWatch and adopting their recommendations, can we wait until the next meeting to review these a little further to see if there are particular issues that we would like to accept or reject?

MR. RABINOWITZ: Yes. That's why I had asked to table it before.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I wasn't sure if we were going to have to take a vote.

Did I miss that somehow? Okay. Sorry.
How about if we just put an ad in the paper
and see who shows up? No, I'm just kidding.
MR. RABINOWITZ: And I'll have no comment for every single meeting. I'm kidding.

Were there any other issues?
MS. LEWERS: I don't see the meeting minutes from the last meeting. Did we get a copy of that; do you know?

MR. LEONG: We have the transcript. The issue is the last time we didn't have a quorum, so you don't have to approve the minutes from the last time.

MR. SHIM: Right. But they are posted on the website once you make sure you can get on there.

MR. RABINOWITZ: So does that, technically, mean there really was no meeting or is there actually minutes to approve and we have to make a motion to approve those?

MR. SHIM: Technically, the meeting did not occur, from what $I$ understand, and that you don't have to approve them. But they are posted on-line for anyone to read thoroughly. MS. LEWERS: Since I can't get onto that website and you haven't given us a date that it would work can you e-mail that to the members of the Committee.

MR. LEONG: We'll e-mail you a link.
MS. LEWERS: As long as that link works.
MR. SHIM: We can e-mail you that document, but, also, I'll have that, the website working tomorrow. I just realized what the problem was today.

MS. LEWERS: All right. Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: The next agenda item, I guess, we'll move on to that.

MS. REECE: Before you move on, did you have -- Bob, did you have a list of things that would need to be amended in the resolution in order for your recommendations to be implemented? Did you all -- is there like an easy place that you can refer to, say, to follow the recommendation, here's the things we need to change?

MR. NAVE: We -- in putting the best practices together, we -- we tried to number them in a way that would make ease of reference, make it easy to reference these. So you might want to, you know, the recommendation might be we want sections 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, but not 4.0, or you could go 1.1 -- we tried to set it up so you could just go through and pick the ones that you wanted to
recommend to the Board or not. So we tried to make it easy for you.

MR. RABINOWITZ: When I received it today it came in PDF. Could you possibly send it to us in Word or WordPerfect so that we could, potentially, redline it if we wanted to?

MR. NAVE: Sure.
MS. REECE: So that was sort of the answer to my question, but, for example, the public comments, does that mean that -- you referred to it would need to be changed in the resolution in order to follow your recommendation; was that the only other item that would need a change or was there additional things that maybe --

MR. NAVE: Well, obviously, the membership and the size are a little different than what is in the current resolution.

MR. LEONG: I think what I propose to do is that I can take the initiative working with Omar to do a little comparison in here and $I$ can see that, you know, for example, in the resolution it's very specific saying that a report has to be presented in a public hearing. You may not want to do that. Your recommendation -- your findings, your recommendation summary must be
presented at the workshop, and those you may want to look at the resolution and you may want to change that, you know, that --

MR. RABINOWITZ: Is there any other issues before we move on?

I think we can move on to the next agenda item, which is Recommendations of Community Organizations.

Is that what we just covered?
Okay. And then we have changes to the resolutions -- to the resolution. Did we cover that, as well?

MR. LEONG: Right.
MR. RABINOWITZ: The next agenda item, Approve use of court reporter transcript as the official meeting minutes of the board. Was that already --

MR. LEONG: No, the general counsel is saying that, you know, originally saying that the minutes, it was in the resolution, the Committee can decide using the transcript, you know, the court reporter as -- instead of taking minutes.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I think that makes everybody's life a lot easier.

So we need to have a motion, then, to the use
of a court reporter as the official minutes for our meetings.

MS. LEWERS: I make the motion have an official -- I'm sorry. What is it called again? Court reporter for our minutes.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Is there a second?
MR. MONACO: Second.
MR. RABINOWITZ: All those in favor say aye. COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. RABINOWITZ: The motion passes.
The next meeting date is the next agenda item. I think there was mention of November 9th as the prospective date.

MS. LEWERS: Mr. Chair, I cannot do that date. I'm out of town, so --

MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. Are there any other dates from the District relative to a prospective meeting or is that up to us at this point?

MR. LEONG: It's up to you, the Committee. Bear in mind that the September -- you know, the meeting, the report that we intended, you know, proposed to you, will be forwarded to TaxWatch for their review sometime in either the third week of October or so. We agreed on the October 13th. The issue is that we were originally
thinking about a November 2nd meeting, but we couldn't be -- most of the staff was going to be out attending a finance officers meeting, so we were proposing to move it to the 9. So, my thinking is that, TaxWatch needs two weeks to review the report, so that's why we're thinking about the 9. We're not -- anything after, you know, the 9 would be up to the Committee to decide. It doesn't have to be Monday.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Is there any day of the week, before we talk about dates in particular, that is good for members of the Committee that's better than others?

MS. LEWERS: I think Mondays are better for me, but I'm flexible.

MS. REECE: Tuesdays are bad.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. Tuesdays are out. Anybody else? How is Monday, the $23 r d$. MS. LEWERS: Yep, can do it. CHIEF DIPETRILLO: November? MR. RABINOWITZ: Yeah we're talking about November.

MS. REECE: It's only commission meetings that I'm bad for.

MR. LEONG: Tuesday is also bad for the

School Board because it's traditional School Board workshop, School Board meeting.

MS. LEWERS: So Chair are we going back to the $23 r d ?$

MR. MONACO: We have one; daughter's birthday.

MR. RABINOWITZ: We don't want to do that to your daughter.

If we want to keep it on Mondays, the 30th?
MS. LEWERS: Works for me.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Well, do we want to take it on a day of the week other than a Monday or a Tuesday? I can't do the 16th, I'm going to be in Chicago.

MS. SIEGEL: I was going to recommend the 16th.

MR. RABINOWITZ: That's why I skipped over it.

MS. REECE: That's right after Thanksgiving, so I'm thinking that may be a conflict.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Can you imagine this with nine people? If we do it on a Thursday, is there any problem with Thursday? How about the 12 th?

MS. LEWERS: Thursdays don't work for me. My kids are in marching band.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Can't do it on the 12 th. The 19th?

MS. LEWERS: Thursdays are bad for me. My kids are in marching band.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Can't do this on a Saturday; huh?

MS. LEWERS: So, Laura, are you back here on the 30 th or no?

MS. REECE: We haven't finalized our plans yet, so that's the problem.

MR. RABINOWITZ: So you're definitely out?
MS. REECE: 90 percent sure.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Well, that pretty much knocks out Mondays, Tuesdays and Wednesdays the entire month.

MS. REECE: We can do it Monday the 30th. I'll try to plan around it. And if I missed it --

MR. RABINOWITZ: We won't vote you off the island just yet.

MS. REECE: I'll try. If that's all that works for anybody.

MR. RABINOWITZ: 30th; okay? Chief, good for you?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I'm just checking. If
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it's not during the day it's fine.
MR. RABINOWITZ: I would imagine we would have the meeting at the same time, 5:30, here. MS. REECE: Yeah, I'll try to plan around it. MR. RABINOWITZ: November 30th it is.

I guess we have a recess now.
MR. COONEY: Mr. Chair, before you recess, it's recommended that the Committee jointly determine a limit as to the minutes for each public speaker. For our open board meetings we limit it to three minutes. The Committee can choose any length of time it wishes. But that was a recommendation and it's also pursuant to Section 6(2). It's your choice. You may reasonably limit the time each member of the public can speak at the public meeting.

MS. REECE: I move three minutes.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Is there a second?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Second.
MR. RABINOWITZ: All those in favor say aye.
COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
MS. LEWERS: I'm opposing. I want four, but I'm going to oppose it.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Does anybody have an objection to it being four?

MS. LEWERS: Thank you. You know, I just see that, my thing is we have to be careful and give the public the opportunity to voice their opinion. This is a very hot topic and I hear it all the time when $I$ go out to a school and $I$ just want to make sure that the public, when they do come to speak, that they will get their voice heard. And that's something that's e-mailed to me all the time and three minutes goes really fast especially when you're passionate about something.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I apologize I skipped over the discussion part of it.

Based upon the discussion I asked for the vote, we have to take the vote and if people say nay based on the discussion, then --

MS. SIEGEL: You can amend it; right?
MR. RABINOWITZ: Well, you can. It can be a friendly amendment to a motion.

MS. SIEGEL: I'll amend the motion to four minutes.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Based upon the friendly amendment to the motion and making it four minutes, all those in favor say aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. Four minutes it is. So will the general counsel's office, actually, make that amendment, because we don't have this in word either, so what's the function of getting amendments made?

MR. COONEY: If you guys are making amendments to the resolution, currently, we can record that and document that and keep it together with any other resolutions.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Great. Thank you.
Was there any other order of business before we take a break?

Hearing none, we will be in recess.
MR. LEONG: Mr. Chair, Bob just reminded me the meeting day you probably need a motion to adopt to set the next meeting.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. Is there a motion for -- to schedule the next meeting on November 30th, 5:30, at the current location we're at now? MS. LEWERS: I make it.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Is there a second?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Second.
MR. RABINOWITZ: All those in favor say aye.
COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Is there anything else? Any
other order of business before we recess?
No? Hearing none, we're in recess.
(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken).
MR. RABINOWITZ: All right. As long as we're ready, we'll reconvene. After the recess the next agenda item is to convene a public hearing and there has been a request by Andrea Blackwood to speak. You're here? You have four minutes.

MS. BLACKWOOD: No, I would rather not speak today. Thank you so much for the privilege of being here. You guys are doing a great job so far.

MR. RABINOWITZ: We thank you for coming.
All right. So the next agenda item is adjourning the public hearing. That took less than four minutes. We're all very grateful.

The next agenda item is the reconvening of the business meeting. I don't know what other items there are to discuss as a matter of business before the Committee, if any.

MR. LEONG: I don't have any, Chair. We set the meeting date, and, so, unless the Committee members have any other questions or anything --

MS. SIEGEL: I guess the only other thing may be to go over what our assignments are for the
next meeting to make sure that we all know what we need to review.

MS. LEWERS: And then I have one, also, if they can resend us the website to do the 45-minute test.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I think that we should all expect ourselves to do the orientation and hopefully pass the exam.

MR. LEONG: Chair, if I may, we can talk a little bit about the next meeting, you know, so that we can kind of help to set the agenda next time, Chair, with you. I think the next time we're going to present an overall of the bond program. I think that last time we had the orientation meeting, unfortunately, we didn't have the, you know, the quorum, so we're going to make the presentation to the Committee. At the same time we're going to make a presentation to the Committee about the quarterly report that's ending September 30th. And that's all. So is that something that Committee members would like to add? One of the things we would like to, is that the conflict of interest statement, you know, that you need to execute so we probably will have that ready next time we meet.

MR. RABINOWITZ: So I think that, for the purpose of the next meeting, a couple of things are not only -- well, do you have a copy of the old agenda, because $I$ don't have a copy of it and one of my responsibilities is --

MR. LEONG: We can forward it to you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. Please do that. I'd appreciate it. So it seems as though our next meeting will be our orientation.

MR. SHIM: Yes, the materials are actually in your packet for the members that did not attend the last meeting so we provide the actual --

MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. Thank you.
And, in the meantime, if there are any Committee members that have recommendations for other individuals to participate, can you provide them to Mr. Shim so we can at least discuss that, as well, and it also sounds like the request is to, basically, go over what the status is will be addressed at the next orientation, as well.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I believe they stated that they would do that as part of the quarterly report.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Will a proposed agenda be prepared by Dana, as well, and provided to us?

MR. LEONG: Yes, with consent of the Chair.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you. And is there anything else.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Yeah, just one quick question. If we have an item that we want to bring forward to the rest of the body to be reviewed, can we give that to you and have the Chairman bring that up prior to a meeting to look at or does it have to be --

MR. LEONG: Yes, send it to us and we'll run it by the Chair.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Just so the logistics are clear, if a member of this Committee has a suggested agenda item, it goes to Mr. Shim, Mr. Shim gives it to me and then it will be posted to the agenda?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Right.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So then can $I$ enter an item into the record? Can $I$ enter this article into the record for everybody? Just so we know what we're up against.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Sure. What's the discussion about?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: This was one of the
discussions that were brought up. So I just want to make this available to everybody so, you know, there's a number of points in here that were brought up in this article. I would like to make sure the Committee addresses them and that we're not reading about ourselves in the headlines here.

MS. LEWERS: Chief, can you tell me what day and what newspapers? What's the date?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: This is the Sun-Sentinel. The date was August 9th. It was Sunday in the local section.

MS. LEWERS: Okay. Great. Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: What's the title of the article?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Schools Projects Delayed. You could probably Google it, but --

MS. LEWERS: Thank you.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I believe it came out after our first meeting.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Is there any other discussion item before adjournment?

MS. LEWERS: Actually, I have one on Chief's article. Does the School Board have something in place when an article does come out like that to
respond back or put a statement out regarding what we are accomplishing?

MR. LEONG: I didn't know about that article because there are several articles. And I think that the Superintendent did respond to, you know, what they were saying was delay. In an editorial he did mention about the bond approved by the -you know, by the voters in November 2014. If you count, it's only about 10 months, you know, ago. And he mentioned about that we went through the bond validation process, the court process that, you know, went all the way to the hearing and then with the 30 days appeal periods at the end of April. And so he did have a response to that article. So what we're going to do, Committee members and Chair, is that when that article, if it's going on be on the agenda, we'll make sure that the facilities department will have someone here to, you know, to have a discussion. CHIEF DIPETRILLO: My concern is, I try to keep up with the news, but I don't necessarily get a chance to review every day. I just happened to come across this one. If it's possible, if anything relates to the bond, Mr. Chairman, that you provide it to all the members,
so that we have that information to help resolve some of the political issues.

MR. RABINOWITZ: So I think our other homework assignment is to stay up on the news?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I suspect that there will always be something. Perhaps, you know, the folks and staff can help us with that.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Anything else?
Do we need a motion to adjourn.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So moved.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Any second?
MS. REECE: Second.
MR. RABINOWITZ: All those in favor of adjourning?

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Unanimous.
I thank you.
(Meeting was concluded at 7:53 p.m.)
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