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this again.
All right we'll call the meeting to order. The first order of business is the approval of the minutes.

Do I hear a motion to approve the minutes.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: So moved.
MR. HERBST: Second.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: All those in favor say aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: The motion passes. The meeting minutes are approved.

The next order of business is presentation by district staff.

MS. LANGAN: Good evening.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Good evening.
There's a slight echo. Does everybody hear it?

Who's the first victim?
MS. LANGAN: Well, I'll go ahead and get started. The first slide is referencing Technology, Athletics, and Music \& Arts and Equipment, Theater equipment. Each of those elements of the program are complete. The detail on the music equipment is shown. If you back up
just one, Denise. Sorry.
All schools with music programs have received instruments and equipment and there is the numbers below to reflect that.

Next slide?
Art kilns, all kilns have been delivered to schools. That was 136 kilns were delivered.

Theater equipment, 40 schools have full or partial programs. 40 schools have had equipment installed and it's 100 percent complete. 1.3 million total Theater budget.

Now moving into Facilities --
MR. SHIM: Excuse me. Before we do that, I'd just like permission from the committee, for future presentations, I think, you know, that's not going to change, so if we can omit that part and just present the Facilities part?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Good idea.
MR. SHIM: All right. Thank you.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: I do have a question.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Go ahead.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Yeah, I do have a question on the music. We were supposed to get a list of schools that did not have music programs. I requested it at the last meeting and haven't
received it. And I also wondered if, I think there was some savings, I saw it somewhere, I can't find it now, while reading through it on the music programs -- the music funds, if that could be applied to those schools that did not have programs.

MR. SHIM: We -- that is a part of the follow-up information and we'll send that out to you in a written format.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: And, Omar, this is not the first time, because, you know, we've requested information before and it doesn't come. So I just want to, you know, as a follow-up, if we could get a name who we contact in case the information doesn't come. I kept waiting for this but nothing came, so that's why I'm bringing it up.

MR. SHIM: Okay. We'll follow up.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Okay. Thank you.
MS. LANGAN: Okay. Facilities.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: There's one more question.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: I'm sorry. It's on the TaxWatch report, but $I$ believe it was also on the school board report about the kilns. There's
still pending kilns even though that slide says everything is done? Is there a confirmation? On the last quarter's report there was one kiln still waiting, on this quarter TaxWatch reported there's two kilns awaiting approval.

MR. NAVE: May I?
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Yes, please.
MR. NAVE: It's our understanding that the kilns had been delivered but there was one kiln that was waiting on a renovation of a room to put it in, I believe; is that right?

But that was the only issue that we raised. And I don't see that as a significant issue.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: What about your recommendation number 2 about the increase in the budget? And I don't know if I'm getting ahead of myself, the recommendation 2 , page 6.

MR. NAVE: That's on the budget increase?
MR. DEMOPOULOS: Yes, sir.
MR. NAVE: Yes, we noticed that the budget for the Music Arts and Theater part of the SMART Program had increased $\$ 300,000$. And since most of the equipment has already been purchased and most of the spend has been done, we were curious what that budget increase was for.

MR. SHIM: And I can respond to that. That didn't have anything to do with the actual equipment. That's related to an arts or theater space that's involved in the primary renovation project. And when a primary renovation project was awarded there was a budget increase to that project that increased that line. That's the answer for that.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Thank you.
MS. LANGAN: Shall we start?
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Please.
MS. LANGAN: All right. Moving to Facilities we have a short film.
(Video presentation was played.)
MS. LANGAN: Thank you, Denise.
On the slide we have our updated numbers, which include 42 projects in Design, 54 projects in Procurement at some phase, 124 projects in Active Construction and 45 projects in Construction Closeout.

I would add that some of you may have seen on our monthly report or other reports that the active construction projects are higher. Those are because those projects are not SMART funded, so we don't bring those to this committee.

Okay. So moving on to Blanche Ely, you can see the list of construction that is complete. Construction underway includes the roof at Building 1, some ADA renovations and HVAC in Building 17 that are finishing up. Building 18 roofing is finishing up. And then the locker room ADA compliance. The School Choice and Athletics are 100 percent complete.

Moving to Northeast High School, Construction Underway is Building 1, roofing, Building 3 STEM lab, culinary lab, restroom, fire protection and roofing. And some of those are pending building department approvals. Complete, we have completed the science labs, group restroom, electrical ceiling, tile installation. Culinary lab is currently back in for revise and resubmit in Building 3. Building 12, as you know, has been complete. That's the fire alarm, roofing and the renovation of the athletic space. The $F F \& E$ is in progress and we have noted an ASI for the culinary lab that is in process that is, I believe it's in the building department waiting for approval. And then SD-29 America's U6 tank is revise and resubmit. The new classroom addition, this has been a consistent update.

Unfortunately, we have been out twice to the market to bid and are not getting competitive bids in all of the categories, so we're back to advertising and looking at the GMP. The initial books were submitted to legal as we work to finish them and clear up the bids and any packages that don't have coverage. School Choice Enhancement is 94 percent complete. Athletics is 100 percent complete.

And moving to Stranahan High School, the construction complete listing is there. There's quite a bit complete. And I won't read each one of those. I think construction underway is key. Building 1, the air-conditioning in rooms 101-A-B is a change order, but that is in progress. Buildings 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 13 through 15 roofing is ongoing. There is change orders in Building 9 that are in process. Building 17 is storage conversion. Building 20, STEM Lab and roofing is being completed. Gas valves at the fire department's request was added. We're in the process of that. Fabrication lab dust collector, that's being actually reinstalled. The drain basin, you can see these are all change orders that we're currently trying to finish up on. The
media center sub floor design, we're working on that in order to reduce the moisture content in that floor to prevent the continuous delaminating of now what is the carpeting. And then room 139 fire concern egress. School Choice Enhancement is 93 percent implemented. Weight room and tracks are complete. And the cafeteria, actually design in progress, not quite yet. But as of tomorrow with the board we're bringing forward an item to approve the architectural contract for the cafeteria, so it will be in progress. Safety and Security, all the single point of entry projects are complete and then we've tried to outline for you each of the fire alarm and fire sprinkler and give you the detail that you asked for last meeting, which is with the design, hire contractor, construction, complete or closeout, with the total number of projects there.

Okay. I'm going to turn it over to Ashley and she'll walk you through the cash flow forecast.

MS. CARPENTER: Thank you. So the graphic is showing that there was just over 600 million has been spent on SMART as of September 30th. And the spend -- I mean, you can see the light blue
curve going up to the right is showing that the spend is going at a pretty good rate. We continue to see for the past year pretty much now a pretty high spend every single month, particularly in construction, of course.

And then on the next slide what you're looking at is just a very high-level summary of what's more detailed in the report. But the quarterly risk assessment did change quite a bit this quarter. So you can see in the middle column, the 70 percent risk result, the previous quarter we were projecting 518 million, and in the September one it's now 584 million. And that's primarily for two reasons. Number one, see C. Robert Markham the board approved a new school essentially at C. Robert Markham and the board identified $\$ 29.1$ million for that. So that was not in there at all because C. Robert Markham was already under construction for SMART, so, you know, the budgets have already been adjusted for that job, so there's an additional 29 million that's been identified for that school. So that went straight to the bottom line. And then the other part of it is really related to what we've just been seeing consistently for the past couple
of years, which is that all the risks are trending towards the high end of what we were projecting the whole time. So, you know, you can see how the curves have just basically converged there at the top at the high end of the risk. And there's another report that I do where I keep track of the forecast of each individual project and then, of course, look at that, roll that up to the program level, and we just thought at this time we had to kind of align those two because everything that was happening was coming in at the high end of what we were projecting. So once we aligned those, you know, this is -- this is where we ended up.

Obviously, if there's any other Markham-like situations where the board decides to approve a new building or, yeah, a new addition at any school, then that's something that, again, has to be considered as part of the risk assessment. That's it.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Questions?
MS. KRISHNAIYER: I'm not sure that this is the time to ask a question, but seeing that the board is discussing maybe closing schools or consolidating, Markham, hopefully, that's not the
one of the under-enrolled schools that's being marked for closing or consolidation. Because if we are going to put more money into schools and they're closed or if the schools had already been renovated with SMART dollars, can you throw any light on that?

MR. SHIM: I can't. I mean, that's a discussion I think that the board would have to have and take into consideration any community input and everything else.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: So we don't know about the enrollment at Markham at this time? Okay. Thank you.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: I have a question.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Sure, please.
MR. DEMOPOULOS: So just to clarify because I'm not familiar, maybe. So that school that you were talking about, the 29 million, they had a plan for $X$ and then we added an additional building after the fact for 29 million? The board added a building?

MS. LANGAN: Yes. So that project was -- the scope of work of that project from the original scope validation report under the previous PMOR was changed. That was a large mistake.

Structurally the building needed to be replaced. The architect of record, we went back and looked at the Castaldi, we went back and looked at all the records. There was very little money spent on Building 1. We allowed the contractor to move forward with the improvements in Buildings 2 and 5 and I think 3. But we stopped them from working in Building 1. So right now the project is in design and we're looking to replace that Building 1.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Just so the record's clear, what school are we talking about?

MS. LANGAN: Markham Elementary School. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Okay.

MS. LANGAN: And I would just clarify for the committee one of our contract requirements is that we are required to look at projects both that may have had problems or that where scope was changed from the original plan. Our contract requires us to go back and look at those. And if the value add to the district is to make a modification or a replacement rather than a renovation, to get the value for the district, then we are compelled by our contract to perform that.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: So there was already a scope of work for that building.

MS. LANGAN: Yes, sir, it was very minor.
MR. DEMOPOULOS: So this is a change in scope.

MS. LANGAN: There was some HVAC work and it was to replace the roof and then some glass in the front entryway.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: What was the spend on the project before it was decided to do a new building?

MS. LANGAN: About, I'm gonna say approximately $\$ 200,000$, but we do have the HVAC equipment and we do have possession of the roofing materials. Maybe it was 250 .

I don't know if you want to check the exact number, Ash, but it was around that much money.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: It's a bias on my part but if anyone deserves that much money put in, it's Markham, where it's situated. It's an old school and definitely it needs some attention.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: My question isn't so much for that, I'm sure all the schools are deserving. My question is, if we have X amount of dollars and it's slated for $X, Y$ and $Z$ how are we doing
more additional? Because I have plenty safety and security items that I'd love to have added, which I was told we can't add with bond dollars, but, yet, we're adding $\$ 28$ million for a whole new scope. I'm just confused on why -- why I can't have some on safety and security but we can have some everywhere else. And I guess that's a school board decision?

MS. LANGAN: Well, right. And, if I may, that school in the original scope of work back in 2014 called for, in Maps, called for that building to be replaced. So I know that everybody thinks all their buildings were supposed to be replaced, and I guess they were prior to 2014, but in 2014 it was allocated that it should be replaced. There's no reinforcing in the walls. It won't withstand a hurricane. I mean, it's got serious, serious problems. So that was one of the only projects that used the word -- term "replacement" that had at the time over $\$ 7$ million to replace that building. So that's a big part of it as well. Not only are there major safety issues and, you know, work that needs to be done, obviously, the kids are still there, so it's not an imminent failure of
collapse like Rickards, but nonetheless it's got to be -- it's got to be taken care of. So that's the difference in Markham.

MR. HERBST: Could I add on to that?
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Please.
MR. HERBST: So wasn't Stranahan cafeteria supposed to be replaced? So if we're going with replacement, which I think I just heard you say, we're supposed to replace them. We're not supposed to remodel them or reconfigure them, we're supposed to replace them. So tell me why we're replacing one because it's supposed to be replaced but we're not replacing another that we promised the community was going to be replaced? Help me so I can go back and explain to my city commission and my residents why there's a distinction between the two.

MS. CARPENTER: So one of the distinctions between the two really is what was identified in the SMART scope, which we -- we call it Maps, that's the database that the original SMART scope was put into, and in Maps Markham had a line item that said replacement of Building 1 and then had other line items like HVAC improvements and roofing and whatever. But that replacement of

Building 1, you know, was pretty clear. The previous owner's representative before AECOM was here looked at it and said, well, if we can just renovate it, we should do that. Because they knew back then, you know, there were budget issues and everything. So they determined back then, you know, renovation would be the best way to go.

Now, when it went before the board when AECOM brought it before the board and said this is a serious condition, it needs to be replaced, you know, the board understood and said, okay, that needs to be replaced.

Stranahan cafeteria is a different situation. Now, they were promised, I mean, I don't know.

MR. HERBST: Everything. They were promised everything.

MS. CARPENTER: They were promised the world a long time ago when the district had money.

MR. HERBST: And when we did the Castaldi report and when we sold the bond to the community.

MS. CARPENTER: A long time ago. But actually when it came to 2014 what was put into the scope for Stranahan did not show replacements
for those things. So that's, I think, where that -- I don't know what happened there, but, you know, when you look at the actual scope that was lined out for Stranahan and for Blanche Ely, there weren't replacements for buildings there. So Stranahan cafeteria got added by the board later on because it was recognized that there's some serious deficiencies there that need to be, you know, fixed, but it was never part of the original. And when it got brought before the board in March of 2018 the decision was just renovate it, or expand it and renovate it.

So, again, now after everybody's revisited that, it's been decided to give them a new cafeteria, but --

MS. LANGAN: And if I could just add to that because I think there is something important here to distinguish as well, and that is, whoever put the Maps together used the word replacement in a lot of different areas and was very general. And then on the same line item they would also put renovation. The difference in Markham was, they actually had enough money for that building to replace it. So it was a lot of different things, but I think the important -- because if you go
back and check the 2014, you'll see the word replacement, but what you won't see -- you know, it will say replacement, $\$ 200,000$, and then further on the line item it might say renovation. So as you go back and look at it, just keep those things in mind, that Markham was really the only one that had $\$ 7$ million to replace.

MR. HERBST: Well, I will though tell you, having sat on the city commission back when all this was going -- coming to pass, and representatives from the school board and the superintendent at the time came and asked my city commission to pass a resolution in support of that bond. And I know what we were promised. I know what was said to us to induce us to support the bond issue. We were told it was going to be replaced. So I can tell you on behalf of my community that we feel this is a bait and switch. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Not everybody's feeling warm and fuzzy.

Are there any other questions, comments, concerns? Otherwise, we'll turn to Bob. Steve?

MR. HILLBERG: Yes, hopefully a brief one. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Go ahead.

MR. HILLBERG: I'm lost with the building numbers and all the big schools. Is there a key somewhere that I missed?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
MR. NAVE: If I may, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to take a point of personal privilege and introduce Tony Carvajal, our executive vice president from Florida TaxWatch. Tony dove down with me today. He's going on to Miami for a meeting tomorrow, but this is his first Bond Oversight Committee Meeting, so --

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Welcome.
MR. NAVE: Now, having done that, I would like to talk a little bit. The staff didn't mention flagged building projects or anything. And the Bond Oversight Committee report identified 24 projects as being flagged for schedule issues. I had counted 40. And I see a handout that we got tonight that shows 23. So I'm not really sure how many projects are delayed. But I think it raises the issue of the new schedule. And if we'll remember that at the last meeting, the presentation that we got from staff suggested that the 2018 schedule was based on two back and forths between the design firms
and the building department, but it was actually trending closer to the nine to ten. But to base the schedule on nine to ten back and forths would push the completion out into 2027. So the district assumed five back and forths in putting the 2020 schedule together. So is that -- am I understanding that correctly?

MS. LANGAN: Yeah, I think that's a reasonable statement.

MR. NAVE: And, again, I'm saying that because I went back and read the transcript from the last meeting.

MS. LANGAN: No, no, that was definitely a discussion point. I think what we've been trying to do is, when you look at the documentation, you know, we're constantly trying to improve the spotlight and where the data is being drawn from is what you're looking at. When you look at the delays, it's really identifying the issues. So you can have issues without having a delay on a project. And what we failed to look at was the colors that we were using to express issues versus delays.

So when we instituted the traffic light concept, those, you know, red, yellow and green,
they really conflicted in a lot of areas of the issues from our monthly project updates.

So it's a glitch that we have to go back and look at how we're going to distinguish between issues and then delays.

What I would also add is, even with these 23 projects being delayed, 17 of those projects are almost 99 percent complete. These are outstanding change orders to get our finals, which we've talked a lot about at the last meeting.

In addition to that, there's no pushing out of the schedule. So we're still -- our critical path is still intact where we're not pushing out the program.

So I think that, that's an important distinction, as well.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob, can I ask you a question?

MR. NAVE: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Have you interfaced at all with Facilities to try to reconcile the number of delayed projects that they've reported versus what you've found?

MR. NAVE: I have not. And this is the, I
think, second quarter in a row where there's been some discrepancies between the numbers. But I think the more critical question is, if the 2020 schedule is based on an assumption that there will be five back and forths instead of nine or ten, then the question to staff is, what are you all doing to reduce the number of back and forths from nine to five?

MS. LANGAN: So we developed a design group instead of having project managers try to manage design and construction. So those -- those five reviews are strictly in design, getting through the code reviewers at the building department. So we developed a design group whose focus and management is solely on the design projects and making sure that they're going -- that they're within scheduled timeframe of the five design reviews with the building department. And, in addition to that, maintaining the contract duration requirements by the building department and the -- and the consultants.

Is it perfect? Does it always happen? No. But we manage very tightly.

Previously you had construction people that were also trying to manage design and it just
didn't have the focus that it needed. So when we instituted the five, we instituted the design group.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Does that answer the question?

MR. NAVE: We'll see. But, yes, it does answer my question. Thank you.

MS. LANGAN: And I would just offer that at any time we can sit down and go through with you the inner workings of the software and what we're trying to do to be more and more transparent with issues versus delays. And actually advancements, because we have moved projects forward as well.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: There's also, and it's set forth in your report, Bob, there's a discrepancy between the total number reported and the total that you found. Has that discrepancy been worked out?

MR. NAVE: Well, the district's report identified 24 flagged. We counted 40, and this handout tonight has 23 and I think that I would defer to staff to explain the 23.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: But then there's still
17 that are not mentioned as being delayed.
MS. LANGAN: It's the difference between
issues. So when a project manager updates his project in e-Builder, and it's called a monthly project update, we have a section of risks and issues. When he -- she or he identifies those there is a low, medium, and high risk; okay? So if he puts -- if he has a concern about a lot of change orders or maybe there is a schedule issue, he's not quite sure, but he wants us to be aware of it, he marks it accordingly. And what happened was, there's -- so there's the items that are showing delayed were really issues and not -- not actual delays on the project. And that's what the 23 projects are. And I do actually think there was one off.

So, again, we can sit down and go through those in detail and show you the difference in the working of the software.

We do need to tweak it so that when we're talking about issues and risks, that if they're not delaying the project, that somehow we define what exactly that issue or risk is rather than just saying it's a schedule or it's a budget, you know, flag.

MR. NAVE: And, again, the district's report identified 49 projects at risk using that traffic signal, which we tried that four or five years ago, didn't we, with putting it in reports? So I'm glad to see that back. But the problem is, we have 49 projects that are at risk, at high risk, we've got 23 or 24 that are delayed based on the 2020 schedule. I don't know what the inclusion of this risk factor does other than confuse me.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: The thing that gets raised in my mind is, what is the impact on bond dollars? I understand that projects get delayed, but if there's an increased cost because of, you know, whether it's high risk, low risk, whatever, is there anything that you can explain to us whether or not there will be increased cost exposure to the bond?

MS. LANGAN: Well, if you look at the projects that are actually delayed and the percent complete of those projects, the increase of cost is the change orders that we've been directed to perform. That's the increased cost. They're sitting there. They're sitting there without a 110 B or a 209 to get signed by the building department and the state -- and the superintendent, excuse me, and they're sitting
there. So, is there a cost to that outside of the change order that's preventing them from getting a 110B? I don't think so.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Okay. Thank you. MR. HILLBERG: Can $I$ jump in?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Yeah, please.
MR. HILLBERG: I think you're echoing
something I found. I had a similar thing, a similar number, closing in on 40 that it seems like the -- I kept seeing that projects listed in closeout did not have schedule flags, and that might be something, like you're saying, that they're waiting on the closeout paperwork. And that seemed to be a common -- a common element in the projects I looked at. In particular there's Coral Cove that was supposed to finish in the third quarter of 2019 and it's got the flag. These are just two really old ones. And Pine Ridge Education Center closeout second quarter 2019, no flag.

MS. CARPENTER: Can I speak to one really quickly?

I think what we had decided some -- a couple years back or something was that, yeah, once the projects achieved substantial completion and
enter closeout, then it would show, you know, a schedule flag if it was in delay leading up to that point. And then I think after it achieved substantial completion then it would kind of come off the list, you know. Or if something regained time and then is no longer delayed, obviously, the schedule flag would also come off. But I think that was intentional, that once it reached substantial completion it would come off the schedule flag list. So I'm not sure if the committee wants to change that, but I'm pretty sure that was intentional.

MS. LANGAN: What I'll add is, at the next meeting I think we can clear this up very easily by going back and we want the transparency of the traffic lights. That's a good thing. What we don't have right now that we need to have for the next report is we need to identify either what the issue is or what the delay is. And we need to distinguish between an issue and a risk versus a schedule delay.

I think if we do that, we talked about it today, we were in a long meeting, I think if we do that then we will be able to improve the spotlight, improve the understanding of people
looking at the spotlight and improve our transparency.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Did you have something else?

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Yeah, if we can go back to the building department, the delays in the predesign meetings, because I remember at the last meeting you had talked about the establishment of this predesign team and having these meetings, and I'm not sure that that has solved the problem.

My question, I guess, is twofold for staff or I guess the school board, is there any accountability; or training; or anything else on the building department side to try to minimize these amounts of times that we're reviewing plans? I don't know that that can be answered right now, but, I mean, if my plan reviewer at Tamarac Fire Department was reviewing nine or 10 times I would have a problem and we'd have some remedial training in my office. So what are we doing with our building department?

MR. BAYS: Sam Bays, Task Assigned Executive Director of Facilities Office of Capital Programs, I think if you were to look at the
specifics of those reviews, you wouldn't find a deficiency in technical expertise on the part of that reviewer. I believe that to be the case in my 16 years with the district. It's not been that the plans reviewer was inexpert in his work. MR. DEMOPOULOS: Do we know what -- if it's not a technical thing, do we know what it is?

MR. BAYS: It's a misalignment between the submitted plan from the design professional and the code as enforced here in Broward County Public Schools.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: So wouldn't the first; or second; or third review have those initial comments that then whomever, the contractor, would fix?

MR . BAYS: You would think so.
MR. DEMOPOULOS: Yeah, I would. Okay. So that's for the building department. And then I guess the consultant omission and consultant errors, holding them accountable, as well, has that been any better or worse; how is that working?

MS. LANGAN: Are you referring to the number of submissions that they give to the building department or are you referring to the result of
errors and/or omissions on the documents during construction?

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Well, I'm imagining both, right? Would they be tied together so if --

MS. LANGAN: You know, I don't know. What I'll say is that, you know, even though at times we've lost I'd say three LORs since we took over the program, and maybe it's four, and you would think that getting an LOR would secure your project to the point where you really -- there might be a few reviews and a few comments, but we have been back in the building department for sometimes up to six months in back and forth reviews for the second LOR. Same thing with the fire marshal.

So I think Sam is correct in that, you know, I think in order for the district to keep up with the volume of work for the building department and the fire, because fire is definitely a challenge, you know, they've brought in consultants to do the code reviews, and as Sam indicated, it could be that those consultants don't have the expertise of Broward -- I mean, I'm guessing. I'm trying to just give you some thoughts. It could be that they don't have the
expertise that maybe, you know, an employee of the district would have.

As far as E\&O's on construction, I know you all have asked that question, the board has asked that question, and we are preparing a board follow-up response. We are working with Atkins to institute a process where we begin to track the differences in cost between having to pay for a change order that may be an omission versus, you know, what we would have paid on bid day. Obviously, there's value there. So we are working on that and expect to have that to you by no later than the next meeting and our board follow-up is due within the next week or so.

Hopefully, that helps.
MR. DEMOPOULOS: Thank you.
And while we're on change orders or you mentioned change orders, have there been any changes to the stance of the board with the superintendent approving change orders under $\$ 25,000$ as the policy dictates?

MS. LANGAN: We have prepared an item to go to the board. It is actually for $\$ 15,000$. We spoke a lot about the different values and the leadership felt that that was a compromise to get started.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: So your item is to revise the 25,000 down to 15,000 and then the board will allow the superintendent to execute that, tentatively?

MS. LANGAN: That's our plan. That's our goal.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Does anyone have the Powerball numbers for tonight?

Moving on, I see budget is on the screen.
Omar, you're up.
MR. SHIM: Thank you. Omar Shim, Director of Capital Budget, and good evening.

This quarter's budget activity report is for the quarter ending September 30th, 2021.

The total SMART Program budget increased by 14.1 million over the last quarter from 1 billion 330 to 1 billion 384.1 million, which is shown on page 351 of the actual quarterly report. The detailed list of each project that got budget increases are listed in the report on page 355 to 373.

So from the total of 1 billion 384.1 million, 1 billion and 12 thousand is -- and 12 million is
either committed or spent and the balance of the funds that are not encumbered or spent is 372 million.

Expenditures through the first quarter are 715.4 million, as shown on page 374 of the report. This is a $\$ 55.1$ million increase in expenditures from the previous quarter. The growth in expenditures show increase in construction activity, as Mrs. Carpenter pointed out. And most of the nonconstruction items in the SMART Program have been completed. Purchase orders in place are 296.6 million.

Next slide, please.
So in the SMART Program funding that's identified in the project budgets, the district set aside reserves for additional costs. You know, we showed this in the report, 225 million, and the other additional funding we had, it's about 558 million total we put into -- in additional funding we put in addition to the original amount of the SMART Program.

And currently we are making sure that we work with Atkins on the risk assessment. Ashley mentioned that the recent risk assessment that came out was 584 million and so we've put in 558
million, so we're looking and monitoring those changes to make sure that we respond to those type of increases.

Our unallocated reserve is 60.9 million. So that's outside the SMART Program. And if you look at the difference of what we have put in versus the overall risk, it's about $\$ 26$ million difference. So you can see, if we had to respond to that, we'd take, you know, look at what our reserves are and look at other opportunities when we do additional financing. You know, we're going to go out for COPS this year. We're also going to do a GOB issuance this year. So those are some different opportunities.

So as we move into the fiscal year ' 22 district staff will monitor GOB cash flows. So that's another thing we're watching, because, really, the number of projects that are being issued that are going out the door this year versus how much money we need to be able to issue against that. And then that's it.

I'll take some questions.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Questions?
MR. HILLBERG: Yes. I found this to be a disconnect in the numbers for the budgets listed
on the school spotlights versus the page 350 financial. Are they on a different timeframe or are they in different sources? Because the variance is typically around 30 or $\$ 20,000$.

MS. CARPENTER: What page did you say in the BOC report, page 350?

MR. HILLBERG: Oh, no, in that section.
MS. CARPENTER: Oh, in the budget section?
MR. HILLBERG: Yeah, the schools, I did a spot check for Boyd Anderson, that looks like that was a 31,000 difference, Bright Horizons --

MS. CARPENTER: Are you saying the discrepancy was in the actuals or in the budget?

MR. HILLBERG: It's in the -- in the school spotlight you have a table and it has the budget and then it has the balance, maybe, and spent to date. And in those columns in the back of the report, the 350 s, they did not add up. In all five of the places that I checked they did not match.

MS. CARPENTER: Omar, do you have any thoughts on that?

MR. SHIM: Well, I'm looking. I'm taking your example of Boyd Anderson.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay.

MS. CARPENTER: I actually do have one thought which is related to the PM fees. It's not related to the contractor or the designer spend, but it's related to internal management of the projects. These reports for the spotlights are pulled out of e-Builder and e-Builder just got updated recently with the -- with additional PM fees that had been somehow not categorized with the right date from SAP. So they were minced out from the June 2020 load. And so they have been loaded now. So I would assume -because that 31,000 sounds like one of those that's probably what it was. So I would assume that in the next quarter those should align because all of those fees have been picked up. So the discrepancy was like in an internal code rather than, you know, any of the vendors. But I'll definitely check it now that you've brought it up.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay.
MR. SHIM: Okay. So I'm looking at Boyd Anderson and I'm just trying to follow along with what you're saying. So the current budget says $10,333,254$.

MR. HILLBERG: All the way to the right part
of the last two columns.
MR. SHIM: So that -- that matches. And then you were saying the actuals? Is that what you're saying?

MR. HILLBERG: Spent to date. Balance. Balance. I'm sorry, balance.

MR. SHIM: Okay. So the actuals, if you flip back to page 382 is a combination of the prior year expenditures and the current expenditures, the 130 --

MR. HILLBERG: Yeah, add those together and they're spent to date.

MR. SHIM: And you're saying that that's off the actual that's in here?

MR. HILLBERG: That's what I found; yes. But that's a good explanation that there's a temporary --

MR. SHIM: That's possible. I'll take a look at that. But thanks for pointing that out.

MR. HILLBERG: You're welcome.
MR. BALLOU: Robert Ballou, Office of Economic Development. I run the office. I'm the officer of it. But real quick to start the conversation, the district's goal for local M/WBE commitment is 30 percent. We are in this quarter
representing a 38 percent achievement, so we overachieved the goal.

Next slide, please. Actually, can you skip that slide?

Here we can see where our spend is or the commitments are, pretty much equal distribution of making it in women owned businesses, a little underachieving in African American suppliers.

And if we go to the next slide, what are we doing to do different? These are the things that we have been doing for pretty much the last nine months. We got some tracks during this quarter of Q1 of 21-22 through the bid courses and business development series that we did and the really personal invitations to come to a pre-bid meeting. Because if you don't go to pre-bid meeting, you can't bid. So if you look at the number of African American and women that attended a pre-bid meeting and won as the prime or a sub, over 50 percent of the contracts awarded during this quarter went to African American or women suppliers. So great quarter. I don't know if we're going to achieve the same effort in future quarters because we had -- the types of bids that we had were more conducive to
the suppliers. So that's how we did it that quarter.

How we plan to maintain that trendline, again, not exactly 50 percent, maybe 20 percent, is we're looking at how we're doing the solicitation and working with AECOM, re-looking at our mentor protege program, we'll revamp that to make it more target specific for the future solicitations we have. There's probably anywhere between 20 and 30 projects yet to be bid on, so we're looking at modifying those projects to make them more achievable and amenable for our local suppliers so that we can close the gap on those -- in those two constituent groups. But to point out women owned businesses are at 40 percent, so a little above their goal and we still have to work on the African American supplier, but achieving the goal overall and we just listed all the different things that we're doing. Basically, every recommendation that any of you or a board member has given us we have implemented over the last six to nine months to achieve the goal. And then as one of our last slides we always want to point out that both AECOM and Atkins are achieving their goals on a
quarterly basis of their local S/M/WBE
commitment. So kudos to them as well. They have proven that a 40 percent goal in construction related activities is not unrealistic, just to point out. And then we have proven it in forum because we did 38 percent commitment this quarter. So we now have -- our new aspirational goal is to be as good as they are and be at 40 or 45 percent in the future quarters.

And that completes my report.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob, anything?
MR. NAVE: Nothing on Supplier Diversity. But if you are inclined to revisit Budget, I probably would have a question or two on that.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Okay. Go right ahead.
MR. NAVE: Are we on Budget?
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Sure.
MR. NAVE: The question, and, again, I think what we've seen is the amount of money that the district has in reserves is only enough to cover the low-end risk scenario. So the 5 and the $\$ 603$ million scenarios, if those are realized, then the district would need to put more money in the reserves for that.

The other thing is, with the adoption of the

2020 schedule we have construction activities going into 2025, maybe even early 2026, but the budget only covers years 1 through 8. So we made this recommendation in the last report, we reiterated it in this report, it would be good to see the budget numbers for years 9 through 12. So that's a question to the district as to when would one think those are available?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Omar?
MR. SHIM: Yes. So, essentially, all the projects in the SMART Program are allocated. Their budgets are being adjusted as they're being awarded, which means that they're drawing from those reserves and being trued up when they are.

I mean, we could go back if the board would like to do that and reallocate them and push them out and add the additional funds in as a projection. That's one way to do it. I think that's what you're saying in the recommendation.

However, you know, that's a different way to look at it and you'd have to go out, back to the community, and provide, okay, we're going to take the money out of the project and fund it out in the future year, and I just -- you know, and that exercise, I just don't know -- so this is our
method of allocating right now, is to keep the funding that's been allocated on the projects based upon the original layout and provide additional funding when they're being awarded.

And I don't know if this committee is recommending or would like to recommend something different, but that's our current method of doing it.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Is that because the bond dollars will be fully utilized through year 8 and bond dollars are not going to be utilized to subsidize the additional expense that will be incurred to the projects for years 9 through 12?

MR. SHIM: No, it's primarily because, mostly because the projects are already allocated and we are not issuing the bonds -- they're mostly placeholders, because we're not issuing the bonds until we're actually spending the money approximate. So it's mostly they're a placeholder for the project, to say we're going to do this project and this is what its original budget was, and when we actually go out and do the award, we re-evaluate the budget, take money from the reserve that we've already projected using Atkins' risk assessment to lay out in those
years where we believe that they're going to need those additional dollars, and then award the project. And that's our method that we've used to budget this. I think that, you know, this committee has seen that, you know, we had different changes in the schedules; right? So we're -- we're basically not trying to change -make drastic changes in what we're saying that the project is going to cost in the budget until we know what they're going to cost when we go out to award them.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Does that make sense to you, Bob, or --

MR. NAVE: I -- I don't know whether it makes sense or not, but I'll remind the committee that when the SMART Program first started we had a budget the years 1 through 5 and budget for 6, 7 and 8 was added at the recommendation of TaxWatch. So all we're doing is making the same recommendation out through year 12. Now, whether the district wants to put numbers out to year 12 is their call, but $I$ don't know how in year 10 one can be spending money when there's no budget.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Omar?
MR. SHIM: And I'll say again that the
projects are already awarded the budget. So we're spending the money that's budgeted and then we're spending the money that the project already has the funding for. You know, it can last multiple years for the construction and the design and everything else. So there is a possibility of budgeting the project this year and it being completed over the next two years.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob, is it something that we can address in -- I mean, what year are we in now?

MR. NAVE: 8. Is it 8?
MR. HILLBERG: It just started.
MR. NAVE: We just started year 8.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Is it something that we should address in a year because there should be a budget for year 10 in year 9?

MR. SHIM: And let me reiterate that --
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I follow what you said, but I think what Bob's saying is that there should be known allocation for known projects by year 10, 11, 12, yeah, 9, 10, 11 and 12. Because we already have a budget for year 9; right? Or we don't have 9? Where did it stop?

> MR. NAVE: I think -- in the beginning of the
budget section there's a matrix and it shows the SMART categories out for each year and it goes out through, I think, year -- I think through the end of this year. So at some point we're going to get into year 9, and when taxpayers look at the report and they realize we're in year 9 and the budget only goes to year 8, then the obvious question is, how much money are we going to spend on these categories in each of those years?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: So that begs the question, how will the taxpayers know?

MR. NAVE: Well, that's my job, and I'll make sure they know.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: But other than knowing, what you're saying is you want to compare it against a budget or a proposed budget for that year?

MR. NAVE: Yes.
MR. SHIM: And we do it on a more macro level. So we say that the program is going to cost $X$ amount of dollars more and we'll set aside those funds on a macro level. And instead of doing it project by project and laying that out we do it on a macro level and say this is where we think the program is going to cost to deliver
all these things and we set aside the funding for that based upon Atkins' risk assessment. When we go through that we make adjustments to our macro cost and it's done program wide.

MR. NAVE: I've made my recommendation. I really don't have anything to add to it. The district will budget for those years or they won't.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anybody have any other questions, comments, concerns?

MS. KRISHNAIYER: I do have a question. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Sure.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Bob mentioned that the reserves only cover the lower risk. So what do we do if there's some high-risk options that come in if it only covers that?

MR. SHIM: Well, what we're trying to do is to cover the most likely scenario, which is the 70 percent risk and that holds for a while until recently we've seen some cost escalation based on the Atkins report. So now we're going to re-look at it and to make that adjustment to that 70 percent risk or the higher end and that's what we're looking at. And that's just -- that's the way it's been. If you looked at all the
adjustments we've made, we've made those adjustments to go towards that, you know, recommended -- what's recommended by our costing consultant.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: So, I mean, I'm just wondering, are we going to run out of money?

MR. SHIM: Well, if we did all of this in one year we would. But it's been spread out. And so, luckily, we've been able to sort of keep pace with some of these increases.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: I do have another question, and you may not be able to answer it, but someone should. From what I read from the U.S. Department of Education, the money that was sent for schools whether the state government has sent it to us or not, some of that money can be applied for infrastructure, you know, ventilation systems.

So what is the status of that money? Because if that can be used to offset some of this it would be very useful. I mean, I don't know if we have received it or not. The last I read it had not come to us from -- Florida school districts had not received the allocation that the federal government sent down. And also in the future
expecting the Build Back Better, if it ever passes, I just saw a report on what that can have for school infrastructures specifically.

So do we have any idea or does anyone have any idea and can just -- I mean, you don't have to answer it now, can we get some kind of follow-up on that before the next meeting?

MR. SHIM: Well, I can -- basically, the American Rescue Plan, I think is what you're talking about, the American Rescue Plan does have funding that could be used for HVAC and other things. It's primarily for the recovery of COVID. But, of course, some of those funds can address a lot of building needs. And an application was sent for the grant to the state and we're still awaiting approval of that grant. And it has about $\$ 80$ million in there for HVAC and other things. But that's not really for the SMART Program. The SMART Program is funded and will go forward. And, of course, the district has plenty of other needs that those funds can go towards, so it's separate.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: The remaining question concerning budget that we should consider as a
committee, and correct me if I'm wrong, but TaxWatch gives us recommendations and then becomes a question of whether or not there should be a motion to request that the board then request a budget, to create a budget for year 9 and forward. That's kind of the machination as I understand it. So understanding that, you know, TaxWatch makes these recommendations, it's a question of what we do with them at this point.

So the question is, is there a motion or anything that we want to do as a committee with the recommendation made by TaxWatch concerning budget?

MR. HILLBERG: Adam, this was one of the items that I brought up as one of the points to be brought up to the board at the workshop, that confirmation that the funding plan for the Bond program is adequate to last until the end of the bond program which is now estimated to finish in 2026. So there was discussion of that and that's going to be something that we need to continue to watch. And, of course, I don't see that any projects will be cancelled.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: And I'll say it's just that I think that for transparency and to aid Bob
in his endeavor and service the question is whether or not a recommendation should be made to the board that a budget be prepared to make Omar work harder than he already does? You know, to create budget for the balance of those years 9 through 12. And, obviously, it doesn't have to be done overnight, but that's the question that's been posed and that's what's been recommended.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Question. I wasn't around prior, but this has already been done, as Bob said, for years, whatever 6, 7, 8, if I'm correct, so there's some past precedent that this --

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Yes. Go ahead.
MR. SHIM: If I may? But that's been using our methods that we've used. So basically we laid out the first five years on the original time scale for budget and we left it there. And whenever the projects were awarded we -- in other words, in year 6 if a project -- because a lot of them weren't awarded within the first five years, in year six if the project was awarded we added additional funds and things like that. So the projects have been awarded pretty much the same since the very, very beginning of the program and
we've managed to actually award and budget the additional dollars on a macro level using the reserves. And I think that's what you're talking about.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: But I think what you're saying, if I'm understanding you correctly as well, is that there's really in years nine through 12 we're not going to see new projects awarded, they've already been budgeted at some point in time between years one through eight, but then it becomes a question of whether or not how those dollars are going to be allocated between the projects that have already been awarded for years nine through 12. Am I understanding this correctly? Is that where some of the disconnect would be, Bob?

MR. NAVE: I -- I think it could be. But, again, I'm not a budget person, so I don't know the intricacies of the budget.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I'm just a lawyer, too, so $I$ just read financials in my line of business. So I want to make sure that Bob has all the tools that he's looking for when he fulfills his responsibilities along the way.

MS. CARPENTER: Can I just add something
here, Omar, through the Chair?
If you look at the -- one of the original slides with the counts of the projects, so projects that are still in design and the majority of them that are still in bid and award, which I think totals about approximate 96 -yeah, 42 and 54, 96. The majority of those 96 would be the projects that we're talking about where the budgets would need to be adjusted.

So, for instance, Whiddon-Rogers, that's currently in bid and award. If we move forward with taking the bid to the board, at the time that we take the bid to the board for award of the contractor we say at that time this project is going to require another million dollars, let's say. And then, as Omar said, that million dollars then gets put in the current year, you know, that it's awarded, that additional million dollars goes in there. So Whiddon-Rogers has some money, it has been budgeted. Some of those dollars are bond dollars, some of them aren't, and then the additional million is coming out of the reserve, so that's not bond dollars. And so it will show funding in the original years that it had and then the million dollars in the
current year.
So it's not -- I mean, I understand what Bob's saying and we have talked about it before, one of the benefits to doing it the way we've been doing it is that we know exactly how many dollars you need when you go to construction. We now have a bid. You know, we know exactly how many dollars we're going to have to spend on that project. I mean, almost exactly. And so we can give a very tight, you know, budget to the board and then move forward with the project based on that and manage to that budget.

If $I$ say right now for one of those 42 projects that's currently in design, based on what I think the scope is today, but it may change after four reviews in the building department, you know, it's not exactly -- you know, we may have to go to the board twice to say here's what we think the budget is going to be, will you approve these additional dollars today, and then in a year or two years, by the time the contractor is on board, that number might change.

So it's a different way to go about it, but -- sorry, Omar.

MR. SHIM: No, no, what $I$ was going to say is
that, basically, these projects that haven't been awarded, and there's -- as you can see, there's about half of them, right, so you're still going to get changes in budgets in the coming years. But we've set the money for those budgets out in those years already. So there is funding budgeted in the years, I guess it would be years 2024 and 2025. We have funding sitting in -awarded in those years for the reserve to take up the -- to add dollars to those projects when they get awarded. I hope that makes sense.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Whose head is not spinning right now?

MR. BAYS: And if you want to just compound that a bit, Sam Bays, Ashley can give you -Denise, if you'll go to the risk register, the market conditions and risk assessment, that's what that entire slide deals with, is the confidence interval that projects those costs forward. So that's a really fascinating conversation that those allocations are predicated on.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: If I need to fall asleep, I'm sure.

MR. BAYS: It's a lot of fun.

MR. HERBST: So if I can ask then, so when you're saying you've got those reserve accounts in the out years, is that reserve account a general fund allocated pool or are there project specific delineations within that reserve account in the outer years?

MR. SHIM: It is a general unallocated pool that's very specific to the SMART Program. So it can't be spent on anything outside of the SMART Program.

MR. HERBST: Right. But so going back to your point, $I$ understand that you don't know exactly what your costs are going to be for projects, but if I take it properly, you're suggesting that bringing an amount to the board now and then going back to them next year with a more accurate figure is somehow burdensome?

MS. CARPENTER: No.
MR. HERBST: Okay. Because, to me, because I deal with politicians a lot, pretty much every other week, and I can tell you what happens to reserve accounts, they're fungible and money moves all over the place and it doesn't stay in the project it was intended for. It tends to get moved over to other projects that happen to be of
more immediate concern or gather a little more political attention at a particular point in time. But when you put money into the city projects, and my city charter is very specific with this, we have to use the word "abandoned" when we move money from one project to another. And let me tell you what, the community hates when they hear that their project is being abandoned so that we can move money to another project.

So I'm suggesting that maybe putting it in specific delineated line items in those outer years instead of a general account might help keep money in projects where it belongs.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Also for the funding that you're putting away in reserve in '24 and '25, is that on the more conservative end of risk, is that at a higher end? Will that be sufficient in those future years? I know you don't have a crystal ball to know what inflation is and all that, but is that sufficient, what you're putting away?

MR. SHIM: Right, so we look at that every quarter. So when it changes we evaluate, you know, if that's sufficient. And it's based upon
very recent -- I mean, I'll let Ashley speak to that, but from the reserve standpoint we're looking carefully at the risk assessment level of it.

MS. CARPENTER: And they have continued to identify more and more dollars as more dollars are needed. I mean, if you think about the original program, had it been completed in seven years, the overall dollars for the program would have been less even if the scope was the same just because of inflation and market conditions; right? So as the program timeline extended that also did increase cost due to inflation. So even just that, you know, changes every quarter, but -- and we have seen significant inflation this calendar year.

But, yeah, Omar continues to reevaluate every time a new risk assessment comes out. MR. SHIM: So the answer to your question is, yes, we're looking at the most realistic cost of this program that's updated every quarter. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any other comments; questions? (No response.) CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: We're up to

Communications.
MS. GARTH: Good evening. Yvonne Garth of Garth Solutions. We are the communications liaison for the SMART Program. I just wanted to start by saying, typically, you hear from me regarding the activities and the progress that we're making with communications, but really all of what you see and all of that effort is a team effort and it's in collaboration with the Office of Communications. So I'm really pleased today to have Kathy Koch, the district's Chief Communications Officer, here tonight to lead us with the update for SMART Communications.

MS. KOCH: Thank you, Yvonne, and good evening to everyone. I'm Kathy Koch. It's a pleasure to be here with you this evening to talk about communications. We are putting a full-court press on communications. Not that we haven't. Garth Communications does an amazing job putting together well-defined, beautifully designed communications that are up to date, that have amazing photos, that have all of the stats in it, and communicating it through the district. But we felt that there might be something more that we needed to do in addition to that. And,
as Yvonne said, we are in close collaboration between the Office of Communications and Garth Communications. So we sat down and we brainstormed to see, maybe, are we reaching every audience that we should with a message that would resonate with them?

The new campaign that you saw at your last meeting, What SMART Means to Me, was really a result of us reaching into the community, into our business community, into our partners to see what they knew about the SMART Program. Surprisingly, maybe, some didn't know really what was going on and what was important. And so we felt we needed to do a better job.

So -- so we sat down, we brainstormed, and we realized it's not maybe just the facts but what it means to people. What does SMART mean to a homeowner? What does SMART mean to a parent? And so that's what SMART Means to Me. It's what is it that will resonate with the community?

So one of the things that we thought and that we heard is that a home value might increase if there are renovations being done at the school at the end of the street or if a new building is being constructed. Another thing is that there
are no taxes to Broward County residents that are going to increase as a result of the general obligation bond. And so messages that were very personal messages are what we feel is important and what we're including in this What SMART Means to Me.

So on this slide you will see the analytics from that first video that you saw at the last campaign. It ran from September 7th through October 19th. That first number, 6,533, the video views across all platforms, that's social media, that's Twitter, that's Facebook, that's Instagram. And then the 246 website hub views, the hub is where the campaign lives. And so people have gone to look at the campaign, 246 of them. And 6,098 have actually gone to the website, we have the current visitors to the website. So we're gaining some traction. People are coming to the website. They're aware of it and they are learning from it.

So on this slide, this slide is our Moving to Education theme. And I think, let me just share with you before I do that, some of the ways that the campaign is being distributed in addition to the social media.

As I said, now that we have reached out to a broader market, those videos are being distributed through all of the ways we said but also to the business community, to our partners. And some of the partners are the Urban League, the Greater Fort Lauderdale Alliance, United Way, Children's Services Council, the Broward Workshop, Broward County, and more. So we asked those entities to distribute the video.

Also, for our ribbon cuttings, we invite media to the ribbon cuttings, it's covered in the news, and so that's another way we're getting our message out. And these ribbon cuttings is acknowledgment that these programs are part of the general obligation bond, that they're paid for by those tax dollars, wise use, we hope, of those tax dollars.

Our TV station, BECON, if you're familiar with them, they're here tonight helping us, BECON creates a video story for every time that there is a ribbon cutting and it's distributed also via social media. So if Garth distributes it on social media, the district likes it and that means more than 200,000 people receive that social media. So it's a big umbrella.

SMART videos started on BECON TV on October 23rd. And one of the other things that we are doing is working with some of the media. For example, in Coral Springs there's something called Tap Into Coral Springs, it's an online newspaper, and in Coral Springs they want to do their own story about each of the buildings, the renovations in Coral Springs. So it's now becoming a bigger net that is reaching across the county.

What you're about to see is the new -- the new video and that's moving into education. And so this is What SMART Means to Me and as I said it's what does it mean to me in terms of education? It highlights how enhanced schools contributed to a healthy real estate market and happy homeowner buyers.

So if we can play that video now? Thanks Denise.
(Video presentation was played.)
MS. KOCH: So that's our real estate message.
There will be other videos with other messages that we intend to resonate with the community.

And in addition to what you have seen in terms of our videos and our social media, the
next thing we would like to do is to get people to come into the buildings. Because there are many that are completed. There's new buildings. There are media centers. And we want to get people to come in.

So some ideas that we have is to have DAC and SAC and the PTA, have their meetings, invite them to have their meetings in some of our schools. We can host the community leaders, the Greater Fort Lauderdale Alliance, the Chambers of Commerce, have their meetings come in to see our buildings. Trade organizations, also, for example, the General Contractors Association, hold your meeting in some of our buildings. We'd like to invite Florida TaxWatch and the Bond Oversight Committee to perhaps hold a meeting in one of our buildings. And BECON TV, which streams live, can stream live from that building as well.

In addition to that, BECON is going to do a media tour. We're going to go from building to building and put together a package that we will then send to media so they'll be able to, through us, come into the building and then hopefully promote that on their station.

So we're looking for a little difference, a bit out of the box, but just really simple ideas to get the message, get the word out a little bit more than it has been in the past about what's happening with the bond program, the SMART Bond Program and what's happening inside of our schools.

So we're pretty excited about the strategy. They're not -- they don't cost anything. They just -- they just make us get out into the community a little bit more.

So that's -- that's what I wanted to share with you. I want to thank Yvonne for letting me have just a couple of moments with you. And, Yvonne, I'll turn it back to you. Thank you.

Thanks everyone.
MS. GARTH: Thanks, Kathy. That really summed it up perfectly. As you can see, there's a lot of effort happening behind the scenes beyond a lot of reports that you see. But people may not always see the results of the program. And in addition to the All About SMART campaign, we do continue to post messaging related to the specific schools on social media. We tag the schools as progress is made so that they can
celebrate at the community level accomplishments for their particular schools.

So that's the update we have for you. We're happy to address any questions you might have. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anyone?

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Yes. Good evening, Kathy. I do have a question.

How is it reaching parents? Because we still get a lot of questions. And we'll be glad to hold a meeting. If there is a PTA in a school that we do need to host PTA there, so we'll be more than glad to do that.

But we are still hearing from parents that they don't know what's happening. So how is this message getting to them?

MS. KOCH: Thanks for the question and I'll tell you what $I$ know and then Yvonne might want to jump in and then we can think of more if it's not working, but the information goes to the principals for their schools. The principals would distribute that to the parents. So that's the plan. That's the plan of how it works. So that's the conduit. So the specific school gets the information to be distributed to the parents.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Well, I think I've
addressed this before, that when it goes to the principals, not all principals communicate it to the parents. You know, that's for sure. And we know that about a lot of things, that it depends on the principal. So if we could find another way, because that's the audience that we are looking for because they're the ones most concerned.

So if we could find a way to get it directly to the parents, some way to, you know, make them go to social media. I know most of them are on social media, but there are tons of parents that are not. So if we could find a way to get it to them then $I$ won't get a lot of questions, which I still do, about where the money is, what's going on, what's going on at my school, and we direct them to look at the website, all that kind of stuff, but, you know, an easier way to get to them.

MS. KOCH: Got it. And just to respond to that, in addition, we do send information through the emails to our parents. But based upon what you said, I just would like to speak with some parents and some principals, which is kind of what we've been saying here, just go out to who
we're trying to reach and say, do you know what's going on? So I can tell you what we are doing factually, but if it isn't working then we just need to dig down a little bit more deeply and see what we need to change a bit. And it might just be talking to the same people who are supposed to communicate and make it simpler, whatever it is. Thanks for the question, and then we'll refocus on the parents, of course.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: If you want to focus on something or send me a link that we can put in the monthly newsletter that we send out to parents, you know, that reaches PTA. So we could do that. And, also, another question I had was, this presentation, this is easier to understand for a lot of parents. So if it could be made available to parents, you know, and I think I've asked before if this presentation could also be sent to us. You know, it's shorter. You know, if you sent the whole report out they don't look at it. So if this presentation was made available on the website or wherever, I think it would help a lot of parents go through it or we can direct them to it and they would understand a little better.

MS. KOCH: Thank you. Appreciate the input and the ideas. We're gonna jump right on those. Thank you.

MS. GARTH: If I may also add that we do have the SMART website and each school has its own web page. And one of the things that we do is, when we post social media for specific schools, we tag the school in all of the social media posts and that helps us to distribute the messaging. But I do want to mention Parentlink because that's been, you know, a great tool that we've been able to leverage through the Office of Communications, especially when it's district wide. It goes out to all of the parents via emails and text messaging and we get great response. Actually, we're able to track the hits on the website and through other platforms. We can see the hits happening when we do that.

So, absolutely, we'll look at other methods. We're always open to that. But I did want to mention, we do tag the schools, we do have a specific website. But in addition to that we're also working with Kathleen and the PMOR to do project update meetings, particularly for the schools that are in the design phase to update
them. So we go to the SAC meetings and provide updates that way.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Especially Stranahan. There's a lot of misinformation out there that, you know, Stranahan parents are really concerned about. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anyone else? Steve?
MR. HILLBERG: Do you reach out to the neighborhood associations surrounding the schools or in the district? I think that would grab a lot of people that have grown kids but they're interested in the neighborhood school or maybe they don't have kids that are ready for that school yet that otherwise aren't going to be reached.

MS. KOCH: Excellent. Thank you.
MS. GARTH: We've done it on a couple isolated projects. Especially like Olson Middle where there was demolition happening and there were surrounding homes, so we visited in that particular case but not, specifically, so that's a great suggestion.

CHIEF DEMOPOULOS: May I also add a suggestion with communications to some of the fire departments or cities. A lot of the
projects, when they're finalized, it would be a great opportunity for the fire departments to do a walk-through. If anything within the structure has changed, you know, before 911 is called it's nice to be able to go out and understand the flow of the building prior to an emergency. So some of that communication would be nice as well.

MS. KOCH: Thanks. We do send it to the cities but not specifically to the fire departments. And inviting them in would be just what we're talking about. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anyone else? (No response.)

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: No? Bob?
MR. NAVE: No, sir.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Okay. What do we have left?

Steve, the School Board Workshop briefing.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. I attended the School Board Workshop on October 5th. We brought five items to mention to the board. The first is a repeat of the request to implement the existing policy where the superintendent can award items up to -- approve items up to $\$ 25,000$. I left the meeting thinking that that wasn't going to
happen. I'm pleased to hear Ms. Langan report that they are trying to at least a compromised version of that. At one point one of the board members said, well, we meet weekly, so there is no delay, we don't need to have this expedited policy, it won't help. So I thought, okay, that's dead and I felt kind of foolish not knowing that they can meet weekly. And I kind of slunk out of the room with that idea. But I'm glad to see it's implemented. That's important.

And the second item is the committee requested the efforts to enhance the school safety are highlighted in the school spotlight pages in the quarterly report. I saw a table that $I$ don't remember seeing before, so I'm thinking that this report added adjustments, you enhanced it a little bit. I'm hoping that that's what that was. So that's progress on that as well.

The third item, the committee -- the committee is concerned that a few months after the schedule reset, about 20 schools were flagged with schedule issues that are late and the committee urges that the school board demand that the bond program stay on schedule and that was --
we can't really disagree with that. So they agreed and as we saw tonight there are efforts to constantly improve wherever possible.

The fourth item is the committee requests action on excessive permit review cycles, we've discussed that tonight. Progress is being made. Committee requests confirmation that the funding plan for the bond program is adequate to last until the end of the bond program which is now estimated to end in 2026, we've already addressed that as well. So we are looking into it.

So that's the end of the issue. Any questions?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: None.
The next workshop is actually February 8th. Somehow it's always a conflict for me. I looked at my calendar. I have actually a scheduled trial which actually could cause a problem for my attendance on March 7th because trials weren't happening, obviously, since COVID.

Is there someone else that's just dying to go to the workshop on February 8th?

John? Tommy? Anybody?

MR. HERBST: I'm checking.
MR. DEMOPOULOS: I probably can. I just would love -- I'll probably have to watch a few videos to learn the expectations of my attendance.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: It's not tremendous.
MR. DEMOPOULOS: Is it during the day or at night?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Yeah, during the day. 10:00. They schedule you so you can get in and out and not sit through for four hours.

MR. HILLBERG: We are working on kind of a procedure within the committee to come up with these suggestions to the board as a committee and present them, instead of one person kind of reviewing the meeting notes and things to kind of go up alone and not have the backing of the rest of the committee. So what I had done in the past was review the transcript of the meeting and the meeting minutes and then put together a list and send it to Adam and our liaison, Vicki.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Pick the highlights.
MR. HILLBERG: Just bullet points. You heard me, they're very brief, and then pretty much present them as is to the board and bring copies.

They stopped the first time I did it and waited until copies were made and handed out. So they'll want copies.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: I'll do it.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Excellent.
MR. HILLBERG: I would like to maybe as we go on with this, it seems to be successful that the voice of the committee kind of has some power behind it and a general agreement on things we want to say, that as we go through each meeting try to keep tabs and come up with suggestions and then as the last time we can just say does anybody got any ideas that we need to present? Certainly from tonight we want to see the funding plan.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: My only issue is I'm happy to have it circulated amongst the committee members as well, I just want to make sure that we're not running afoul of any Sunshine requirement.

MR. HERBST: If you're circulating it after meeting, then you are. You can't have a reply-all kind of a concept.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: It can come from Omar.
MR. SHIM: Still, I would have to check with
legal. I don't think -- I think it comes through with me as, you know, anything that came from the committee members and we can circulate it that way, but I'm happy to check with legal. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Yeah, please do. Because it should be something that is the voice of the committee and not a single certain member's observation or evaluation of the transcript.

MR. HILLBERG: Because I'd be voting for refreshments.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I second that motion. MR. SHIM: Well, I think there is a couple of things. I mean, there is -- anything that you vote on, of course, is certainly something that the committee has, but also anything that you can discuss or recap or something during this meeting and then, as to what to send to the board. I mean, that's just a suggestion.

MR. HERBST: That's typically what we do with sitting boards and committees, if they have communications to the commission, at end the of every one of our meetings, you know, the chair would generally say, you know, are there any communications to the commission that we want to
take a vote on? And that's something that they usually look at. And to the earlier point, if it's a unanimous vote, you know, if it's a divided vote, that's very helpful for them in making their decision. When they realize that there may not be unanimity, you know, in the board, then they approach the issue a little bit differently then.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: But then the question is, ultimately, because not all of us are taking such studious notes --

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Well, now I have to if I'm going there.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: There's going to be a copy of the transcript concerning what are the salient issues. I mean I can tell you off the top of my head now what the general issues are, but is that something that we then should institute and discuss before we conclude this meeting this evening?

MR. DEMOPOULOS: I think so.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Okay. So let's talk about that in a moment. Let's get through the balance of anything else we need to discuss and then we can hit the highlights and then each one
of us can, you know, reiterate what they think are -- I think there should be no more than five points for the presentation.

MR. HERBST: Yeah, otherwise you lose them. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: We came up with -- the next issue on the agenda is proposed future meeting dates. I think that we kind of covered that in the last meeting. The other -- and I don't think we need to readdress it.

The next thing is the Bond Oversight Committee Membership. Because we started late, my fault, I'd say that we table that issue. Because I think that everybody's membership extends past the next meeting anyway, so I think we just table the resolution changes, membership terms, et cetera.

The only thing $I$ would mention is the ethics training. There's only one person on this committee, actually, it's you, John, congratulations, that's in compliance. The balance of us are not, including myself. I think we were provided the link to complete the training. So let's do that before the next meeting if we can. And then there's a verification form that we need to fill out.

Omar, you have something to say?
MR. SHIM: Yes. I'm sorry. We can certainly bring back the resolution for you to look at and look at the membership and decide what to do about that, but one thing that $I$ think $I$ would recommend is that when $I$ read the resolution today I wanted to make sure that, you know, everybody -- what I read about the terms is that when the resolution was done it was based on a five-year program and so we are well beyond that. So the board did -- we did an amendment to the resolution where the board recognized that it would go past five years. So they put something in the resolution to waive term limits. And I would recommend that the committee take a vote to waive the term limits so that, you know, there's continuity of membership.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Is there a motion to waive the term limit requirement?

MR. HERBST: Just a point of clarification, would that be indefinitely? So are we waiving them for a period of one more year, two more years or until such time as we are concluded?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Omar?
MR. SHIM: Yeah, I think it's until such time
that you are concluded. Because the idea was to give the committee continuity, unless, you know -- and so, that's the point. It was to -- there were a total of five years terms, so that was the length of the original bond program. So now that it's extended they put that in there.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Is there a motion?
MR. HERBST: I'll move it.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anybody want to second it.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: I'll second it.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: All right. All those in favor say aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: That's one of those things.

All right. Wonderful. And we'll come back to the points in a moment.

Let's get back to the agenda. The agenda required us to recess the business meeting and convene a public meeting. Is there anyone here?

No? No one's here? Wonderful. We can adjourn the -- we can adjourn the public meeting and reconvene this meeting.

And let's now have the discussion about what
the five points should be for Tommy to present to the board. Obviously, the first point would be that we made a recommendation that the resolution to be changed to waive term limits. That's an easy one.

I'll start with you Steve, since you take copious notes.

MR. HILLBERG: No, I just, the only one that really stuck out was the long discussion on the funding plan until the end of the program. But that was already covered in one of the items before so --

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Well, I think it's a little bit more detailed than that. I think there was a recommendation from TaxWatch that budgets be created for years nine through 12.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. A little more specific. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Yeah, a little more specific. I think that's a salient point that there is a recommendation from TaxWatch for a budget to be deducted for the balance of the life of the project years nine through 12.

Anyone else?
MR. HERBST: I would agree with that.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: So we have at least two
points. I mean, let's -- we've been here for it will be almost two hours, so what else did --

MR. DEMOPOULOS: What about the policy change for the 25,000 to 15,000. I think that's going in front of --

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Steve already, you know, had that conversation at the last workshop with them.

MR. HILLBERG: The last two workshops. I think that one's retired.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Until it's changed and acted on $I$ don't know that it's retired. Especially if there's not any other -- if there's other pertinent information to report, if there's not, then $I$ would add that as being redundant.

MR. HILLBERG: I don't think it would be received very well if you keep bringing up the same items.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: But if they don't fix it, I don't know --

MR. HILLBERG: They're making progress. We have a compromise.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: How long does it take to make a compromise?

MS. KRISHNAIYER: When is it going before the
board, the 15,000 recommendation?
MS. LANGAN: It should be going in tomorrow's meeting, but it's a process. It's a long process to change a policy in the district. So this is just one of many meetings that has to occur, so --

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: You address it at your own risk.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Is there a way to find out after tomorrow's meeting if that went through successfully or didn't?

MS. LANGAN: Sure. Absolutely.
MR. DEMOPOULOS: Because if it didn't go through successfully, I would imagine that should be a topic brought up.

MS. LANGAN: They don't vote on it the first time. There's a process to it. So I don't want to imply that it's going to be resolved tomorrow. It's a process that you go through. It actually is about a six-month timeline from the time that you have the first public meeting until the time that you can enact the policy change. So, you know, we can report on it next time. We can, you know, let you know how it was received, but I just don't want to give the impression that
there's going to be vote or something.
MR. HERBST: Could we make a recommendation about the time it takes to change a policy?

MS. LANGAN: There is a policy on that.
MR. HERBST: Of course there is.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Lathia, I know you take notes. Do you have any other highlights?

MS. KRISHNAIYER: I think a couple of us brought up the low risk versus the high risk. Maybe we should bring that out. That was your question also.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Yeah, yeah. So clarification as to the reserves that they're putting aside in the future?

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Uh-huh. Uh-huh.
MR. DEMOPOULOS: So just for my clarification, the reserves that they're putting away in the future are at the 70 percent mark, not at the high risk mark; correct?

Just so I sound somewhat intelligent, maybe, when I talk.

MR. SHIM: Yeah, I think that what was identified is that since there was an increase in the risk that the reserves need to at least catch up to that.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Keep in mind you'll have a copy of this transcript, so whatever you don't remember in addition to your personal written notes, you'll have --

Anything else from anybody?
MR. HERBST: Like you said, I think keeping it brief is --

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any other discussion for this evening?

MR. HILLBERG: Sorry. One very small item. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Please.

MR. HILLBERG: Just like almost a typo. I saw through the review of the big report, quarterly report, that a lot of the closeout phasing is occurring, the dates are occurring before the end of construction. So I think that's just a glitch that needs to be fixed. I saw it probably half a dozen times.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: The only other thing that $I$ would mention is, and it wouldn't be a bad thing to do, on a positive note, to the extent that the board hasn't seen it or is not patently aware, is the success of Communications in disseminating information. If the board already has it there's no reason to reiterate it. I
mean, I just -- I ask Communications if they already have it or if they don't have it should be something we mention.

Are they aware of metrics that you provided to us?

MS. GARTH: They are aware of the campaign but perhaps not the level of detail with the metrics so I certainly think it would be helpful to bring it up.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Maybe finish it with a positive to wrap it up. Thank you.

MS. GARTH: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: You're welcome.
MS. CARPENTER: Can I just ask a clarifying question? Steve, can you tell me again what you just said?

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. In the School Spotlight, the little table that's in the middle, not the budget table, the one on the right that shows all the phases. Many times the phase for closeout shows, even the same quarter says Q1 2022 and then finishes Q1 2022, which they finished in one quarter which I suppose could happen, but a lot of times the construction above it finishes in Q-something 2023. So the closeout
is happening, the date, before construction, so that's a --

MS. CARPENTER: I see what you're saying.
MS. LANGAN: Yeah, we'll definitely take a look at that.

MR. HILLBERG: Just a review and edit, just check. That's all.

MS. LANGAN: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anything else?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Hearing none, we simply need a motion to conclude the meeting.

MR. HILLBERG: So moved.
MR. HERBST: Second.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: All right. We're concluded.
(Meeting was concluded at 7:53 p.m.)
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