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CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: All right. Good evening. We'll call the meeting to order.

First order of business is approval of the minutes from the prior meeting. Do I hear a motion to approve the --

MR. HERBST: So moved.
MR. HILLBERG: Second.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: All those in favor say "aye."

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Wonderful. The motion passes. The meeting minutes are approved.

We move on to the quarterly reports. We move to the first aspect, which is Technology.

MR. SHIM: This is Omar Shim, Director of Capital Budget. But, nothing has changed with the Technology section and I think that section is closed out. So, that's just going to be ongoing. So, if there's any questions, I can bring it back.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I thought it was
closed out, I just -- it was on the agenda, so.

Was there something that you wanted to say, Bob? I saw your hand up.

MR. NAVE: Yeah. I just -- we noted in our report, on page 21 of the District's report it states that the Core Infrastructure Project is completed, but then, the last paragraph on page 24 identifies six projects that are to be scheduled.

And -- all right. Can you hear me now?

We noted in our report that the -page 21 of the District's report makes reference to the fact that this section is completed, but then it identifies six projects or activities that are going to be scheduled.

I notice that that language, that last language was in the Q1 report, but it wasn't at the end. So, it leads me to believe that -- that this is completed and that this was in their -- in error.

MR. NAVE: So, can the District
confirm that?
MR. SHIM: Yes. We'll confirm that in the response and send it out to the -- the Committee and to TaxWatch.

MR. NAVE: Okay. I'll take a verbal okay right now, but --

Okay. Mr. Chairman, if this section of the report is completed, one thing we can do in the next report is just kind of move this to the back of the report as an appendix and just say up front that it's completed, the summary is in Appendix 1. If that's okay with you guys.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Fine by me.
MR. NAVE: Then I don't have anything else on Technology.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Moving on to Charter Schools, that's complete, as well, which brings us to Music and Art. MS. CANTRICK: Good evening. Susie Cantrick, Director of Applied Learning.

All 195 schools with music programs have completed their orders. Percentage of instruments delivered to date says

99 percent, because we were missing one item at Northeast High School. That item has since been located by the school, it was delivered, so we now have all 60,076 items delivered to all schools with music programs.

Next slide, please. All kilns have been delivered.

Next slide, please. Thank you. And Theater Equipment. We have one school with which we're working because they needed additional wiring to be able to install their lighting and sound equipment.

We're working with Facilities to complete that wiring and then the items will be installed and we will be finished with Theater. And there we are.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any questions?
MR. HERBST: What's the timeframe on that completion?

MS. CANTRICK: So, it's actually -it's actually under "Facilities," it's not under Frank's job, so it's -- that would be under Sam Bays. I can find that out for you.

MR. HERBST: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anyone else?
Thank you very much for the report.
MS. CANTRICK: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: We can move on to Athletics.

MR. BOARDMAN: Good evening. I'm Greg Boardman from the Office of Capital Programs. The status of the weight rooms, we have one left.

We were able to get access from the contractor portal early and we installed the sports flooring for the new weight room early, at the end of January.

Our equipment is currently in production and scheduled for delivery in the second week of April.

We expect completion of the entire project the last week in April. The last week in April would be the completion of the weight room.

That -- that doesn't preclude the occupancy of the building. I'm not sure, maybe Frank can -- or Kathleen can give us an update on the occupancy of Building 12,
but we will be done with the weight room equipment in the third week in April.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Was there anything else, Bob? Anything?

MR. NAVE: No, no. I don't have anything on that.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Brings us to Facilities.

MS. LANGAN: Good evening. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Good evening. MS. LANGAN: I guess we're going to start with a -- a video.
(Video played.)
MS. LANGAN: There you go. The weight room.

So, we'll jump into the current projects, divided by phase. And as you can see, from the top looking down, the comparison of data reported last quarter, December 31st, are the smaller numbers, as consistently reported, and the top numbers.

So, we have 54 projects in design, 53 in procurement, 107 in construction and 22 projects in closeout. That's actually campuses.

So, let's start with Blanche Ely, the Big 3. The construction that's complete is listed there; the media center, outdoor dining, replacement of the chiller plant, which was a big part of that.

Building 1, interior chilled water piping, air handling units replacement, new ceiling installed in the hallways.

HVAC chiller improvements in
Building 4, ADA restrooms renovation in
Building 14, and then, in 15, the HVAC duct heater improvements.

The construction that's underway in Building 1 are roofing repairs; Building 2, the STEM Labs, restroom, roofing, the HVAC and fire sprinkler upgrades.

Building 14, wheelchair ADA lift expected to be complete by the end of December and HVAC improvements.

17 are ADA improvements and HVAC upgrades. 18 and 26, STEM Lab. And again, expected in -- to be completed at the end of December, 18 and 26. And then, locker room, ADA improvements.

The School Choice Enhancement is
complete, as well as Athletics. The weight room renovations and track upgrades are also complete.

Northeast High School, moving to that with construction underway. Building 1, HVAC improvements; Building 4, media center; Building 5, roofing and HVAC and restrooms; 6, the STEM Lab, HVAC and roofing.

Building 7, roofing and interior work; Building 9, restrooms and fire sprinkler; 13 and 14, HVAC improvements.

Building 17, the gymnasium and the HVAC is being done there; Building 20, STEM Lab renovations; and Building 23, nursing lab and classroom egress improvements.

The new classroom addition, that design is in process and they're currently in the Building Department being reviewed.

The School Choice Enhancement is 94 percent complete and the weight room is contingent on the SMART primary renovations, as mentioned before.

And then, closing with Stranahan High School, you can see the list of completed
construction there; the media center, outdoor dining structure is complete, the replacement of the chiller plant.

Building 1, Building 4 are all HVAC, chilled water, air handling units and new ceiling installed in the hallways.

Building 14 is ADA restroom renovation and Building 15 is the HVAC duct heater improvements.

Construction underway. A lot of roofing out at Stranahan. Building 1, Buildings 2 and 3 to including HVAC and electrical. Building 4 is under -- their roof is being replaced.

Building 8 is roofing prep; 13, 14 and 15, all going -- roofing going on; Building 17, renovations are ongoing; and Building 20, the STEM Lab renovation and roofing repairs.

93 percent complete on School Choice.
The cafeteria is in progress in design and the documents are in the Building Department.

MR. HERBST: Can I stop you there?
So, when we say it's --

MS. LANGAN: Please. Yes. I'm sorry.
MR. HERBST: No problem. So, "in design," help me understand what we are designing at this point. Because, I know there's been conversations, there was a community meeting, there's going to be another community meeting tomorrow.

So, until all the community meetings are -- are done, what are we designing? I didn't know that we had an agreement yet on what we were designing. So, just help me understand where we stand with that, please.

MS. LANGAN: Sure. I don't know, Frank, if you want to --

MR. GIRARDI: Sure. I can step in on that. Frank Girardi, Executive Director, Capital Program.

The design has been completed for the cafeteria and is in the Building Department for review. What had happened, something had come up recently that we found out that the previous project manager had to cut costs, lower the capacity.

So, right now we're in the process of redesigning bump-outs on the existing
cafeteria or the new design to increase the capacity to what was approved by the Board of 790 capacity.

So, it's in the Building Department before it's permanent. The additional areas will not stop the permitting and we'll do a revision to permit to increase the capacity back to the 790.

Now, the original 790 was -- I believe it was around 704 were in the new building and there was a senior portable of approximately 86. This will now be all in one building. So, that's the only difference with the 790. It will be in all one building.

MR. HERBST: So, when we're having our meeting tomorrow, our community meeting tomorrow, is it just to relate that to people or is there ongoing discussions that are going to have any substantive impact on that design at all?

MR. GIRARDI: I believe at the meeting tomorrow it will be showing what the proposal is, moving forward, to get back to the 790 capacity. That's what's been
approved by the Board and that's what we're moving forward with to remedy that.

MR. HERBST: So, we're not taking any more community input, then, at this point, we're merely explaining to the community what we've already decided. Is that a fair assessment?

MR. GIRARDI: It's -- I believe it's in schematic form right now. So, there will be comments made and if there's anything we can apply to it we would certainly look at it. But, it's to get it back to the 790.

MR. HERBST: Okay.
MS. LANGAN: Okay. Just an overview of the School Choice Enhancement Program. 230 schools have been in the program and you can see 147 schools are complete, 70 schools are in progress and then 13 schools are in planning or design.

You had asked about the safety and security and so we wanted to provide a separate update for you on that.

The single point of entry projects are 100 percent completed and you all may recall that the Board did add money to those and,
in fact, escalated the work. So, that was an important element of this program and that was completed some time ago.

The fire sprinkler is 86 percent complete with 42 underway or completed. 86 total.

Fire alarm, 100 percent complete, 45 underway or completed.

MR. HERBST: Can I back you up on that one, then, too? So, the question with respect to that --

MS. LANGAN: I think's that's very confusing.

MR. HERBST: Talk to me a little about the buzzers being installed.

MS. LANGAN: So, buzzers --
MR. GIRARDI: The buzzers not being installed, John?

MR. HERBST: Yeah. If the single point of entry is done but we don't have the buzzers, how are we completed?

MR. GIRARDI: Some of the buzzers that were installed were done by School Choice Enhancement that the school wanted. The balance of the information or requesting
would have to come from Brian Katz. That is a completely separate budget and they are the ones that are performing the installation of cameras and buzzers.

MR. HERBST: So, if we're -- but, if we're talking about a project being completed, to me that means it is completed, irrespective of where the budget's coming from.

So, if we've got -- if all the buzzers haven't been installed yet, then are we completed? I guess it's a question of how we define "completed."

MR. GIRARDI: Okay. In this part "define completed" is that we have gone in with fencing and corralled anyone that wants to come on campus to the main front administration. That was the only part of the bond program that was in our wheelhouse.

So, the School Board moved projects forward, even gave us additional money to add more single points where we had gotten all done prior to school opening, I don't know if it was a year or two ago.

But, there is another phase now that
is cameras and buzzers that is done through Safety and Security and they are in the process right now of putting that together and starting to get that process moving. MR. HERBST: Do we have a timeframe for that?

MR. GIRARDI: I don't know. We have just given them a project manager to help. Their project management is more technical, I.T., and they were having issues with getting things to the Building

Department and permitting, so we gave them one of our project managers to work with them and to help expedite things like that. So, that will be a big help.

And timeframe-wise, I don't know.
That would come from the Safety and Security, Brian Katz.

MR. HERBST: Okay. Thank you.
MS. LANGAN: If I may, too, there's a mistake on these slides. It's not complete, there's a total. So, that "Complete" should say "Total."

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Tommy Demopoulos. Everybody can hear me, right? Tommy

Demopoulos.
Is there a way to find out what is complete? Like, the 45 underway is more complete? Is there a way to find out -MS. LANGAN: Sure. Sure.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: (Inaudible) -breakdown?

MS. LANGAN: We can follow up on that and get that for you.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Can I just give a point of order real quick?

MR. HERBST: Sure.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: To -- the way we're running it, just so everybody's not jumping in --

MR. HERBST: Sure.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: The way we've done it the last number of years is to allow the section to give their report, TaxWatch will then give their analysis, so to speak, and then we open it up to the section for the members of our committee.

So, keep your questions, this way it's not a free-for-all going back and forth. I'm just trying to keep order relevant
to -- trust me. You'll have your time --
MR. HERBST: No worries. No worries.
MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair --
MR. HERBST: I'll just start making my notes as I go, so. I'm very forgetful.

MR. JOHNSON: Is the time for
Committee members to ask our questions, would it come during Section $C$ or a different part of the agenda?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Well, the member of the section -- for example, Facilities is giving their report now. And if they will complete their report to us, Bob from TaxWatch will then ask his questions and then it comes to us, and we bounce back and forth between each section.

So, we will ask our questions after the individual provides their section report.

MR. JOHNSON: No problem. Thank you very much.

MR. HERBST: That's helpful.
Appreciate it.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: So --
MS. LANGAN: I think we're there.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob.
MR. NAVE: Okay. That's it? A couple questions. The first question, the reports, the numbers that you guys put up there, is that from the December 31st report or is that from the Gap Report?

MS. LANGAN: That's the Gap Report.
MR. GIRARDI: So, it's both.
MS. LANGAN: Yes. The bottom line. If you look if the -- if you want to turn it back to show them.

MR. NAVE: I just want to raise the issue of the Gap Report and what usefulness it has to the Bond Oversight Committee.

Because, the District sends you guys a report, they send me a copy of it, I review and analyze it, I write a report and send it to you, we come down here, I drive seven hours down here in the meeting and the District presents a set of numbers that aren't in the report that $I$ reviewed.

So, I just -- I want the Committee to think about the Gap Report. If it's useful to you guys, then I'll withdraw my concern. But, I just wanted to raise it.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Are you
suggesting that it's not useful?
MR. NAVE: It's not useful to me. I'm not going to speak for any of you guys.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Well, that begs the question of the Committee, whether or not the -- it should still be done.

MR. HILLBERG: It can be another confusing source of information that is juggled and adjusted prior to this meeting.

I would -- I was thinking today that, could we coordinate our meeting time closer to the production of the quarterly report so that there's a shorter lag? And maybe we don't need to have a Gap Report.

MR. NAVE: I think that's why we have a Gap Report in the first place, because we had a couple instances where -- a couple of years ago where we had trouble with a quorum, a meeting got postponed, rescheduled, a large period of time passed, and at that point it made sense to do a Gap Report update.

But, as we get better, as -- the chances of quorum issues are less now that
we have eight members instead of five.
I think if we can get -- I think what you're suggesting is the answer. If we can have the meetings close enough to the end of the quarter, then we don't need a

Gap Report, in my estimation.
But again, that's your call. I just wanted to raise the issue.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Omar, what do you think?

MR. SHIM: We can evaluate the closest timeframe. But, there are -- it is a rather lengthy report and -- you know. So, we'd have to look at that.

And the period of closing a quarter has to go on some time after the actual date of the end of the quarter. And I know, John, you're familiar with that, as well.

So, I just want to make sure that we can maximize that, we can take another look at that and maximize how close we are to the end of the quarter.

But, you know, there still will be some time afterwards that it would -- then it would depend on the availability of the

Committee and how soon we can get a quorum. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I mean, are we saving -- if there is no Gap Report, aren't we saving you and others a lot of work? MR. GIRARDI: Well, if I could chime in on that a little bit.

At one point, when the meeting occurred on one day and then the Board workshop was two months later, we did a second Gap Report because the Board wanted it.

And the last quarter I don't think we did a Gap Report for the Board and we kind of got chastised for that.

So -- but, it doesn't have to -- if
you decide that you don't want a Gap Report, then we would just do another Gap Report the week before the workshop for the Board. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Yeah. I think that leaving it to the Board's discretion is probably the better call.

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair?
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Yes.

MR. JOHNSON: So, if the minimum
requirement of the Committee -- this is, I'm
sorry, Brian Johnson -- is that we want, to Bob's point, a report that is focused, consistent and reliable, meaning that it's as up to date as possible, then, instead of presenting the Gap Report as a separate document that runs the risk of contradicting the quarterly report, where there is or are places in the quarterly report that need to be updated because of a lack of time, can we not just add, like, this quarterly report instead of a separate report?

MR. GIRARDI: I would like to ask Omar to talk about that, because they know how to (inaudible).

MR. GIRARDI: Well, we can issue it as a -- into a quarterly report, but the presumption would be, this is a continuation of the one report.

So we're trying to read one report and whatever you all give us to update that report, as opposed to us reading one report and then have to close the book and go on and read a whole separate report.

MR. GIRARDI: Yeah. I think we're kind of there, because, on this one right
here, this is part of your report, we had the updated information on the bottom. So, this has both the December 31st and then -- I don't remember what the Gap Report was through.

MS. LANGAN: It's January.
MR. GIRARDI: Through January.
MR. JOHNSON: So, as a new committee member, I'll admit that $I$ spent a lot of time on the quarterly report and then I realized another email that was a separate report, a Gap Report.

So, I spent a lot of time on the quarterly report, didn't realize there was a second report to review.

And I'm saying, therein could be a problem if you disconnect the information as opposed to combine it in a way in which it still serves as a linear progression of information, as opposed to two different presentations.

MR. GIRARDI: Understood. I would think it's just something we have to look at and see what we can do to remedy that.

MR. SHIM: And let me just comment on
that. The requirement for the quarterly report is in the resolution. And so, you know, that's sort of why we're sticking with the quarter in the report.

And I think that the Gap Report came about because of the length of time between the end of the quarter to where it's -We're in the beginning of March now and the quarter ended in December. So, a lot of question arose about where we're at now versus the quarter.

But, the information in the quarterly report was made to be consistent and comparable. Because, you can compare, quarter by quarter, where you're at and how far you've gone.

The Gap Report is to say, okay, but, however, you might have heard this out in the community or wherever and you'll know that that has been done since the quarter has been completed.

So, I think that that's the difference in the utility of the Gap Report versus the quarterly report. And I'll just leave it at that.

Because, you know, the only place where the Gap Report is being provided by is Facilities to provide that level of --

MR. HILLBERG: It's not as easy as it looks.

MR. NAVE: I didn't hear that.
MR. HILLBERG: Not as easy as it looks.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: That being said, Bob, have you completed your report? Please.

MR. NAVE: No, sir. We've had, the last three or four or five Bond Oversight Committee meetings, the issue of the accuracy of the schedule has come up. We've seen more and more projects slipping further behind for longer periods of time.

And there was a December 17th workshop with the Board where a new schedule was considered, and then there was a workshop on February the 9th and there were more discussions on the schedule.

The report that ended December 31st has the new schedule in it. So, there are no projects flagged for schedule issues, no
projects flagged for budget issues.
But, I was just wondering if the District is going to discuss the new schedule tonight.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anybody?
MS. LANGAN: We are proceeding managing to the new schedule. So, we have presented it twice. So, December 17th we presented -- the Board wanted us to look at areas where we could reduce the time.

We went back and spent three weeks and basically spent that time meeting with additional contractors and roofing firms to talk about strategies of how we could improve the schedule.

We did come back and reduce the schedule on a number of projects and specifically now the February 9th schedule, which is what we are managing to, finishes the end of October of '25. So, we were able to cut off about six months or so.

And then, if you look at particular projects, some we moved up, some we moved back, depending on the plan years. But, we did a lot of work to make the adjustments
that the Board had requested.
At that February 9th meeting the Board did not ask any more questions about reducing the schedule, so we -- we are proceeding with managing to that schedule.

The only exception that was -- a question was asked was on our resource planning and roofing firms. And we are preparing a response to the Board member for that.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob, I thought you were shaking your head.

MR. NAVE: It wasn't clear -- it wasn't clear to me whether it was the schedule presented at the February 9th workshop or the one on the December 17th workshop.

Because, there is at least one project in the School Spotlight that goes over through the first quarter of 2026 , so.

But, the important thing is, in the TaxWatch report we do the comparison of the 2018 schedule to this new schedule, this Y21 schedule. And what you'll see when you look at our report is that the schedule is
flatter and extended over a longer period of time.

We also went through and looked at every project, every -- each of the primary renovation projects for their completion schedule under the 2018 schedule and we compared it to the new schedule. And there are a lot of projects that had been pushed way back.

So, if you haven't read the report, I highly recommend you read it.

MS. LANGAN: If I may, maybe I can help with some of those changes to the schedule.

So, we, during our 120 days of when we first came onboard, we spent the last 30, 35 days trending the 2018 schedule and why was it delayed the way that it was.

And we identified probably ten elements of delays that was -- that pushed the 2018 schedule and the staffing plan that the previous (inaudible) was using and was it going to work or was it not going to work and why.

So, we laid all that out to the Board
in the December schedule meeting presentation and we have actually looked at the trend and added those trends to the master schedule of what the Board sees and what you all see.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Which raises a question in my mind as an attorney. In looking at the analysis that you deducted identifying a variety of causes of delay, are they attributable to anyone or anything such that there could potentially be, you know, a recruitment of any expense or increase of expense associated with the project initiative?

MS. LANGAN: We identified -- I can give you five major issues. And they are surrounding the processes and the Governor's issue that the District has employed and applied in their effort to control the program.

So, for example, even going into e-Builder, it's a 192-day duration from the time an RFI is submitted to an ASI -- you may not know all these terms and I'm happy to try and explain it.

But, in moving through the entire change order process, 192 days. So, you can imagine, that's one ASI, one RFI.

So, we took a methodology where we created large, small and -- large, medium and small projects and made assumptions on the trend that a large project would have 20 ASIs.

Every time you have an ASI you have to go to the Building Department. You might have to go two, three, four times to the Building Department before you can get that approved.

Then you go through a process of finalizing appraisers with a contractor and the architect and then you go to what we call CORP.

CORP is a committee that was formed to review and ensure that change orders were fair and reasonable to the District and to identify reason codes for those change orders, whether it's errors and omissions, whether it's owner request and so on.

This was developed to further ensure that the District would get money back if it
was errors and omissions.
Once it goes to CORP, it could go once, it could go three times, depending on what the CORP committee determines from that change order. Once the CORP committee approves that change order, it then gets scheduled to go to the School Board.

So, that's just one instance of a trend.

We have additional trends where weather calendars were never taken into account and in the South Florida/Fort Lauderdale area you lose, during parts of the year, 40 days of weather -- rain occurs within a 100-day timeframe.

So, again, there is a series of -- I can, you know, reissue them to the Committee, but there's a series of those types of delays that we trended. And that's just -- you know, those are two. We go through them and design and so on, so.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anybody have any questions about that commentary?

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Yeah. This is Tommy Demopoulos.

So, what and how can we narrow that 192 days? I know if it happened in my city I wouldn't have a job anymore.

But, how -- how do we get the Building Department or the Fire -- not the Fire Department, but the Safety --

MS. LANGAN: No. Fire is appropriate to say, as well.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Well, not Fire Department, but --

MS. LANGAN: The code reviewer.
MR. DEMOPOULOS: Right. You know, how do you get them involved in the design phase so that way they have their input and their comments initially in an effort to reduce some of that change order?

Again, the School Board -- you know, who do you have to get to the table in the beginning so you can minimize the --

MS. LANGAN: So, we do that. We do that. Now, we haven't been the program manager for the bulk of the designs. You saw how many projects are left in design. There are about 50 percent right now.

But, nonetheless, they are very well
engaged and there are certain phases of the design where the architects finish that, that set of drawings goes into the Building Department, they review them, they provide comments.

Then those comments are tracked and looked at to make sure that they're changed for the next design submission, Broward County reviews them. There's a whole process that we follow through design.

I think it's more that we've identified all of these issues and we have made recommendations to the School Board on areas where they can reduce time.

For example, we came back to the Board and said, "You've got a policy where the Superintendent of Schools can sign change orders up to $\$ 25,000$ per, you know, submission." That is an existing policy. Some of the Board members were not clear on that policy.

We have made recommendations that are in Legal right now where the Superintendent would be able to sign those change orders up to 25,000. That's just one item.

So, we have submitted, I think, six or seven through the State of the Program, and we're working on those now with Frank's office, we're recommending changes to the language and policies to be able to move the -- move the processes forward faster. We're -- we've made recommendations to CORP, we've made -- to change and modify and tweak that process. We've made recommendations from the roofing manufacturer on how we might be able to work with a manufacturer and the Building Department to coordinate finals and warranties.

So -- wow. I can -- there's a -there's a lot of work that's gone into looking and determining, you know, when we can finish the program. And we will always look for various times that we can reduce. Always.

But, to think that the program hasn't experienced those things or not to take them into consideration would not be, you know, the professional -- what we would recommend professionally.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Still Tommy Demopoulos.

Now a follow-up to that. I know you recommended these changes, but where does that go? Does that end up -- who's the final determination? Is that a building official? Is that the Board?

MS. LANGAN: The Board. Yes.
MR. GIRARDI: These are policy changes that -- there's a procedure to go through for policy changes. So, they do go to the Board, the Board adopts them, then they make a change.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Well, you said there was already an existing policy that the Superintendent can sign up to 25,000 whether or not executing that right or executing --

MS. LANGAN: Right. The Board indicated at the December 17 th meeting that they had given verbal direction to the Superintendent that they did not want him signing any change orders at all. So, he's been following that direction.

It takes six months to change a policy. So, for example, the policy on
change orders, we -- the Legal department was -- said, okay, we can take -- you have to go to the public two times and present the policy change. So, for example, we're taking the State of the Program as the first public meeting.

So, there's a policy on how to change the policies, but it is a six-month process.

MR. HERBST: So, back to that 25,000. I'm just -- I'm very surprised. I mean, the City of Fort Lauderdale is obviously a lot smaller than the School District and we have authority for $\$ 100,000$ from the City Manager so that we can expedite things.

So, irrespective of the size of the project, so, if you're talking a $\$ 20$ million building replacement, a $\$ 25,000$ change order, that has to go and get Board-level approval? That's how that works?

MS. LANGAN: \$100.
MR. GIRARDI: In addition to that, I believe this coming meeting on Wednesday we have a $\$ 330$ change order come before the Board.

MR. HERBST: The cost to process that
in staff time far outweighs any value or benefit to that.

I can't believe this is something that's been in place and in practice for however long you've been working with us. That's absurd. You can't get out of your own way at this point.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: You should have been here three years ago if you want to hear absurd.

MR. HERBST: I was on the Audit Committee then.

MR. GIRARDI: And if you look at the change orders asking to give an analysis to the re-builder, I believe 25,000 and below is 85 percent of change orders.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Right. Right. And again, you know, from the audit perspective, we know the $80 / 20$ rule, you know, that 80 percent is not worth looking at. Your problems are not in anything that's that insignificant. It's -- and again, using audit terminology, immaterial.

MR. GIRARDI: And we understand with a very large change order.

MR. HERBST: Well, what can we do to help facilitate that? I mean, again, one of the things that we do in all of our committees for the City is, they give communications to the Board every time where we tell them, "This is what's broken and this is what you need to fix."

I don't know, within your process, how we get to have some impact on that, but certainly, if we do, that's something --

MR. NAVE: Might have to go on the next workshop.

MR. HERBST: If I can ask one other question, though. This is one of the things I had read in Bob's report, where he's talking about your running list of financial penalties. And apparently there's a discrepancy between the two reports.

I was wondering if you can address that. Is that -- I've been reading through Bob's report --

MR. NAVE: All right. I'm going to let Ashley Carpenter Atkins explain that one.

MR. HERBST: I'm never happy when I
hear discrepancies.
MS. CARPENTER ATKINS: Absolutely.
MR. HERBST: Bad word for me.
MS. CARPENTER ATKINS: Ashley
Carpenter Atkins. I'm happy to explain that.

So, there is -- this is my fault, really. But, the -- the page that listed the credits that we've received this quarter for design delays, we listed it correctly, however, the total was incorrect.

We kind of have reworked the page. What we've being doing for the past several quarters was listing all the ones that we've received from the beginning of time, but that was getting a little cumbersome and so we reworked the page to only list the ones that are in the quarter.

So, what we're going to do -- and I was explaining this to Bob, also, before the meeting. But, what we're going to do is list the total of the ones -- like, in this quarter we received four credits for design delays totaling $\$ 118,800$, total receipt to date is 441,600.

So, that total should have been on there, as well. And we'll rework the paragraph so that it explains a little bit better.

But, yeah. That was on me. It was just because we changed the way we were reporting it. So, sorry about that.

MR. HERBST: Thank you. Appreciate it.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Tommy Demopoulos again.

To go back to change orders, I see in the report change orders at 33 schools require $\$ 3.16$ million. I might have done some bad math, but that's roughly $\$ 95,000$ per project or out of those 33 schools we've seen.

How does $\$ 95,000$ get missed or have a change order in some of these schools?

MR. GIRARDI: Well, I think Ashley can explain some also, but let me start first.

There's, right now, skimming through this report, change orders have totalled -below 1 percent?

MS. CARPENTER ATKINS: Yes.

MR. GIRARDI: We are below 1 percent total. So, I don't -- I'd have to look at what you were looking at to see that number. But, overall in the program, we are well, well below industry standard on change orders.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Yeah. I apologize. So, this is page 11 of the quarterly -- of the TaxWatch report talks about, "Change orders at 33 schools have required 3.16 million to be transferred from to unbudgeted contingencies."

I'm was just wondering --
MS. CARPENTER ATKINS: So, I can add to that a little bit.

So, the total, if you're adding the 3.16 and the 417, that was a credit. Now you're at, whatever, 2.7 , let's say, right?
2.7 million as a percentage of the active contracts for construction, active or complete, like Frank was saying, that's less than 1 percent overall change orders on the program. So, that in itself is a pretty good number.
It does say here that it's from
unbudgeted contingencies, which I take a little bit of issue with, because I think they were budgeted.

You know, in every project budget there is a contingency line item for change orders, because, of course we would expect there to be some change orders.

However -- I mean, I can go back and look at the data behind it, but we also analyzed the completed projects to see where we end up at the end of the job, because, you know, obviously, when $I$ say less than 1 percent, I'm including even projects I might have started two months ago where we haven't had a change order yet.

But, for the completed project it was running at about 1.15 percent, which is also great. I mean, that's a good number.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: I do appreciate that. I was mainly asking if there had been any trends that take, you know -- for taking some of this information for change orders and applying that to some of the projects that are still in the planning phases and what are we doing to try to stop that from
happening on future projects.
I'm going to ask one more question.
On page 10 of that same report it says -there's a line -- "identify actions taken to enforce the terms and conditions of contracts." I think we did talk about that.

But, I know if we go over on some of the construction or go over on some of the conditions of approval, if you will, what is being levied for that contractor or who is paying some of these penalties for being late on whatever issues it may be?

MS. LANGAN: For construction?
MR. DEMOPOULOS: Correct.
MS. LANGAN: Okay. So, you know, it depends on what the delays are that have been experienced. So, we could talk about this for awhile. This, again, goes to speaking about trends.

Some of the major issues, as I mentioned, just getting the change order approved and you not being able to give the contractors notice to proceed until you get the change order approved, that is a time-consuming process which can delay the
contractor.
So, obviously there are, in the contract, no damages for delays, meaning that they -- if the owner has delayed or if the contractor has delayed or they're both delaying, then no money changes hands. It's a concurrent delay and so no money is involved.

If the contractor is delayed by time, whether it be by owner, by weather, those types of events -- COVID is a great one, because we were significantly delayed on projects because of COVID -- the schedule gets extended, but they get no funds to pay for their extended general conditions.

If a contractor is solely responsible, which, I don't think we've seen contractors solely responsible for damages, that would be applied. That would be looked at. If they are solely responsible.

I'm sure you all have heard about some of the roofing challenges that we've had in getting roof finders approved; 16, 17, 18 submissions.

It's a combination of the roofers
coming into Broward, not having worked here before, understanding the expectations of some of these things. We put a Wednesday afternoon training program on to help them do better in preparing their roof finders.

So, there are a lot of issues like that.

Another example is of a policy change that we're trying to submit to get changed in advertisement for bids. You know, why does that have to go to the School Board? They've already approved the DEFP.

Another one that we noticed very long trends on, and this would speak to contractors and owner delay, is getting a notice to proceed.

So, from the time that the Board approves a contract award to issuing the notice to proceed we've trended over 70 days. So, that's over two months of time.

The basis for this was that the teams were requiring a detailed schedule of values and a detailed schedule. We recommend -it's not in the contract anymore that they
have to do that.
We recommended that we get a milestone schedule and that we have a bid form that's due 72 hours after the bid. There are some bids where the contractors have to break down as we've defined and we can get started on the notice to proceed faster.

So, we would have to go through and sort of analyze the -- all of the delays to be able to -- I don't think we've had those charged, damages --

MR. GIRARDI: No. We haven't charged that. I don't even remember any of that happening here, where we charged them.

What we would do is, it would be at the end of a project to do an analysis and see if there was anything.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anything else?
MR. HERBST: Yeah. Just one final comment, though.

Understanding that the process is impeding progress, I get that. But, the District also has a long history of doing projects and knowing that the process is an impediment. So, it just suggests to me
that, when the timelines were initially developed, that should have been taken into consideration.

Again, this is -- I'm not trying to, you know, say that anybody's to blame. I'm just saying that, if you know it takes three months to get anything done from the word go, you know, to your point, I agree with you, 1 percent change orders is great. We ran at 6 percent on -- when we did our Water Works 2011 project. So, we get that.

But, if you know that you're going to, in -- in the course of it you're going to experience certain change orders that you have to do.

When we're doing our timelines it seems to me that they were built on best case, just as we look at it, and we've got enough experience in doing projects around the county to have planned a little bit better for all the delays that unfortunately are built into the process. And it's just a -- that's just a -- that's a planning problem, you know.

MS. LANGAN: That's exactly right.

And that's why we took all those into consideration when we did the 2020 reset. That's exactly right.

So, we may still, you know, analyze a job. And the way that it was originally set up was, every job was assigned -- I think it was 365 days as it went through design.

Once they were getting ready to go to bid, then the project manager would evaluate that and say, "No, maybe it's going to be 500 days."

And the evaluation, $I$ mean, he didn't pull it out of the air, obviously. He would have studied the documents, understood the scope and those types of things.

I don't think that they really considered all of the governance issues that happen in the -- I think it was, you know, as you said, best case scenario.

We still will require the contractor's schedule to be, not necessarily, the duration of the master schedule. So, it's like a budget. You have a project budget and then you have a construction budget.

So, we look at this the same way. We
look at the schedule as a construction schedule and a project schedule. Because, obviously there are still things that we, as the owner, have to implement.

So, we take in account the trends, we take in account those types of things and we believe that the 2020 reset schedule, as updated at the February 9th meeting, is a solid schedule that we work towards every day to try to meet, not fall behind.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anything else? Bob?

MR. NAVE: I just want to set the record straight. The reference to "unbudgeted contingencies" is an error. I should have said "budgeted contingencies." So, that was my mistake.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: So, thank you, District. Anything else?

MR. HILLBERG: I had a question on the -- regarding minutes. The reference to State of the Program presentation, is that something that we can access?

MS. LANGAN: Yes.
MR. NAVE: No.

MS. LANGAN: Why not?
MR. HAVE: No.
MS. LANGAN: It's online for the workshops, but certainly we can -- we can prepare that and get it out to you, as well. It's on the District site.

MR. HILLBERG: Well, if it's on -just give me a general idea where it is and I can find it.

MR. GIRARDI: If you go to the Board -- general items on the Broward School Board website, you can put in the date of the workshop and you can just put "State of the Program" and -- on the search and it should come up.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay.
MR. GIRARDI: But, if not, we can always -- we can send something through Omar's office out to you.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay.
MR. GIRARDI: I think that would probably be the best thing would be just getting to you and send it out.

MR. HERBST: You may have to for me. I'm still trying to learn my way around the

School Board's website.
MS. LANGAN: The recording of that -of that workshop was about seven and a half hours. I felt like I was on the Hill testifying.

MR. GIRARDI: That's the other thing. If you ever did want to actually view it -I don't know why, but some people like to -that's what's also on there. You can look at "Previous Workshops and Board Meetings" and you can look at it there. But, we'll get the actual presentation to you.

MR. HERBST: Thank you.
MR. HILLBERG: So, do you have set times for -- well, targets, I guess, for -so, if you're going through the schedule and you see, like, the step, "Hire Contractor," typically it was three to four quarters.

I did see some that were 30 or more and there was actually one, Falcon Cove, zero quarters. I'm wondering if that -- the CMR, I don't -- maybe that would affect how it's -- how it's hired.

MS. LANGAN: I don't know off the top of my head, but I'm going to guess that
that's -- or it's going to be a CSMP.
MR. GIRARDI: Falcon Cove is a CMAR project. Same with Cypress Bay. So, those would show zero because those are just getting -- once they receive the permit, then the contractor goes out to bid and puts his GMP together. So, there is no procurement on contracting. They start from the beginning.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay.
MR. DEMOPOULOS: Under the "School Safety and Single Point of Entry," just a question. I know that all single point of entry -- all single point of entry projects were completed.

Just to confirm, all -- all schools now have the single point entry, not just all projects, but does it mean all schools now have single point of entry?

MR. GIRARDI: All schools are secure with only one way to get into the campus once the school starts and everything's locked down. And that would be through the administration office. All schools have that.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Thank you. And then, secondary to that, there's a chart with a project expenditures table. Well, there's a balance of 44 million and a little more.

Is that balance -- is this -- is this dedicated balance for the fire alarm and fire sprinklers? Would you think that that's what --

MR. GIRARDI: Is that on the presentation?

MR. DEMOPOULOS: I'm still on page 12, sorry, of the TaxWatch. It just shows, all the way to the far right, that there's a balance. And I'm just wondering if that balance -- was there more room for me to get other projects in there.

MR. GIRARDI: Is that for fire sprinklers and fire alarms?

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Yeah. Just asking if that was the balance that could be used for -- I mean, the only safety and security thing that I've seen thus far that's pending is fire alarms and fire sprinklers.

MR. GIRARDI: The balance that's left is fire alarms and fire sprinklers.

MS. CARPENTER ATKINS: And just so you understand, because you're new to the Committee, most likely there will be more money spent on fire alarms and fire sprinklers because the budgets that were set aside, you know, in 2014 were not sufficient to cover --

MR. DEMOPOULOS: I just wanted to make sure that those two, fire alarms and fire sprinklers, for the most part, was what the balance is for.

MS. CARPENTER ATKINS: Right.
MR. DEMOPOULOS: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anything else?
Hearing none, we'll get to -- move over to the Budget. Omar.

MR. SHIM: Thank you. Good afternoon. Omar Shim, Director of Capital Budgets.

So, this quarterly budget activity report is for the quarter ending December 31st.

The total SMART Program budget increased by 13.7 million over the last quarter, from 1 billion 290.3 million to 1 billion 304 million, which is shown on
page 507 of the quarterly report.
A detailed list showing each project that has budget increases is shown on pages 511 to 526. So, this shows all the impacts from the beginning of the program that has increased the budget of each project.

From the total of 1 billion 304 million, 868 million is either committed or spent and the balance of funds that are not encumbered or spent is 436 million.

Expenditures through the 2nd quarter are 535.8 million, as shown on page 527. This is a $\$ 57.2$ million increase in expenditures from the previous quarter.

Now, this is the highest quarterly expenditure increase since the start of the SMART Program. This, of course, is -- this growth in expenditures shows the increase in construction activity, since most of the non-construction items, such as Art and Technology, have been pretty much completed.

Purchase orders in place are 332.3 million.

Now, in addition to the SMART Program
funding that's identified to Projects, to the Project budget, the District set aside reserves for additional costs identified in the Hopkins Risk Assessment.

As was pointed out, you know, there were dollars allocated in an earlier version and we are updating those budgets and we set aside funding for that.

So, to mitigate those risks that the District initially established, $\$ 225$ million was set aside.

The District also approved additional -- well, we approved the costs for 250 million and out of that we took 211 million to add to the SMART reserve.

Also, 47 million was added to cover program management costs for additional PMR services for the -- the timeframe that we're adding to the program.

So, this brings the reserve up to 483 million to cover those amounts identified in the risk assessment.

And now, recently, the District treasurer has successfully sold the third series or tranche of the general obligation
bond. Proceeds from the sale was 275.6 million.

Now, this is to align the cash flow, basically, to what the spendry of the facility projects are and the expenditures that we're expecting based on, you know, what we've seen in the schedules that are laid out.

And you know, the first tranche took some time to spend, the last tranche took not -- the second tranche didn't take very much time, less than a year, and then we expect this one to go fairly quickly, as well.

Next slide. So, planning for the District's fiscal year '21-'22 District Educational Facilities Plan, we have laid out several workshops at the School Board for the upcoming District Educational Facilities Plan and capital budgets to prioritize our -- our budget process and the District's needs.

So, this slide basically shows what the dates are where funding will be discussed that might impact the SMART

Program.
And I know that we talked a little bit about, you know, extending the program out and there was something in the TaxWatch report discussing, you know, about their associated costs with that.

So, we'll be discussing those costs and the future impact of those dollars in the District Educational Facilities Plan, coordinating, you know, what those expected costs would be with Facilities and the -the State of the Program.

And that work's already gone, so we're in the process of looking at what that -and updating our budget.

And just so you know, outside of the SMART Program, you know, reserves and SMART Program in general, the District has follow-on programs.

For example, in Technology, after we did the SMART Program we have a refresh program to refresh the technology. And that's outside of the SMART Program.

And we have Maintenance that's outside of the SMART Program and other programs to
support, you know, the District's infrastructure.

So, all these things are going to involve resources as well as the SMART Program. So, we're balancing what that is and the Board's prioritizing that to go year by year how to prioritize these funds for those programs. Thank you.

MR. DEMOPOULOS: Tommy Demopoulos. I think that's very important what you said.

And just to clarify, so, we're doing all these roofs, all these deals with all this music equipment where all these things have a lifespan, right? Hey, this flute lasts for seven years, you know, in seven years we're going to budget to replace these hundred items or whatever it is, that we're getting a good grasp on that budget.

That's very important to stress, we're not just finishing all these projects, but now the maintenance and replenishing them. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob?
MR. NAVE: Yeah. There was no discussion of the financial risk. The

Atkins risk assessment was done in January, it shows.

At the low end the risk is about 497 million, at the upper end it's about 575 million and the 70 percent number kind of hovers around 508 million.

As Omar said, they put $\$ 483$ million aside in reserve so none of the financial scenarios reflected in the Atkins risk assessment could be paid for with the amount of money and reserves right now.

So, one of the things we recommended was that the District explain to you their plan for meeting the additional financial risk.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Comments, concerns, questions, anyone? Omar?

MR. SHIM: As I mentioned, we are to the -- the gap between, what is that, the 70 percent mark, is it --

And again, this program is expected to last into the future. So, those budgetary needs will occur in the future and that's what the District Educational Facilities Plan process is about, to look at those
future needs and align future funding in the District with those needs.

And so, that's what we're in the process of, aligning not only the SMART Program needs, but other District needs together.

So, as you know, the District's very committed to completing the SMART Program and doing all that we can. So, we're -we'll be putting those needs in the District Educational Facilities plan, as well.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any other comments?

MR. HERBST: Just curious. What kind of interest rate did you get on that last tranche?

MR. SHIM: That's a great question. I'd have to double-check with our treasurer.

MR. HERBST: Okay. I'm just curious. As we see, rates are starting to move up strongly. It would make sense to issue more debt right now and try and take advantage of low rates.

They're not going to be coming down going forward, they're only going to be
going up from this point on. So, I think that could have a significant impact on the total cost of the program, if you looked at it. It just really goes down to how quickly we spend it down, obviously.

I also had a question, because you talked about -- you authorized the issuance of $\$ 250$ million of COPs, but I'm assuming that didn't get issued, you just got the authorization to go do that and you'll issue that at some point down the road?

MR. SHIM: We -- we did issue that.
MR. HERBST: Oh, you did. Okay.
Because, I see "Authorized," I didn't -- I wasn't sure if it was actually issued. Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anyone else?
MR. DEMOPOULOS: I know you
recommended -- Tommy Demopoulos. I know you recommended that the District explain. Was that a good explanation for you?

MR. NAVE: Yeah. I'm confident they'll make up the additional money. I just thought it would be good for you all to know what their plan is.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: All right.
Moving on to Diversity.
MR. NAVE: Before we do that, can I raise one other point?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: No. I'm just kidding.

MR. NAVE: Omar mentioned that the District spent $\$ 57$ million in the last quarter and that that was their -- their biggest spend to date.

TaxWatch went back and looked at the spend rate over the last four quarters and we figured out that the District has been spending an average of about $\$ 16.3$ million a month over that four-quarter period.

We note that the Y21 schedule is going to delay the completion of all the projects -- excuse me -- by as much as three years. So, we looked at how far that remaining balance could go.

And by the remaining balance, $I$ just looked at what is unspent. That would include moneys that were encumbered.

And based on that $\$ 16.3$ million spend rate, that would get the District to about

47 months, which isn't long enough to get over the finish line for all the planned projects.

So, our recommendation was that the District take a look at that. Are we looking at that properly? Is that right? And if it is right, then what is the plan for making up that shortfall at the end?

MR. SHIM: Well, I mean, I'd have to really look at, you know, specifically. But, we're looking at the projects' budgets and, you know, they have different spend rates.

So, the expectation that the even spend rate, $I$ think we -- we would have to look at the timeframe of how the program will wind down. And so, the expenditure curve may change from being, you know -- so, the program is not --

We are definitely -- we definitely need to add money to the program, okay? And I think that that is based upon the risk assessment and what we believe, project by project, it's going to cost.

And so, that's really our approach to
looking at additional costs of the program. You know, I'll evaluate and probably talk a little more about how we came to that conclusion so that we can compare. That's all.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any other questions? No? Now we'll move to Diversity.

MR. BALLOU: So, in the interest of time, we're going to talk about two slides today, this one and then slide number 38. This is slide 32 in your deck.

553 firms in total that are certified, 19 of which are certified and pre-qualified African-American suppliers that do work on a SMART Program project, and 13 of those 553 are women -- Caucasian women-owned companies that could do work on a SMART project.

So, I just wanted to scope that, that we have 19 African-American pre-qualified, pre-certified firms and 13 Caucasian women pre-qualified, pre-certified firms that are available to do work with us.

Slide 38. That then ties us back to our gap. Where we, as a district, are
exceeding our stated goal of 30 percent, our M/WBE inclusion we're at 32 percent, we are missing the bar on African-American.

And on this line where it says
"Women-Only Business," it's Caucasian women specifically where we need to double those numbers aggressively.

So, what did we do from the last meeting?

So, since our last meeting we went out with a new CSMP project. That was a -- is a Construction Services Minor Project that was tailored to those specific companies in terms of size and scope. And that was, for the record, ITBFY21-115.

And many of those companies availed themselves and bid on that project, but it happened in October, so there's no numbers to report in this quarter, in the quarter of October, November, December.

In addition to that, we expanded our efforts to launch a pilot program that had a stated (inaudible) for African-American and Caucasian women-owned companies of 8 percent, a 16 percent target, if you will,
that we piloted during the quarter.
We had limited success when we initially tried it, because we have a language issue, so we had to redo it. And so, now we believe we have the language right, so that will be an API that we will use in a go-forward process.

So, in addition to that, we also met with our Goal Setting Committee in October and the Goal Setting Committee approved us to do more segmented goals like the pilot so that we can do some additional targeted marketing and setting aside projects for African-American and women-owned companies so that we can effectively change that number.

Because, we agree with the statement that we need to grow the -- grow this in. There's an argument on whether or not there is a wealth of suppliers to deal with; as I remind you that we have 19 and 13. So, depending on your view of wealth, that could be a limited pool of candidates. So, that's what we did in the -during this quarter of October, November and

December, which yielded these results, which are still minimal.

So, we have additional work that we will be doing in the future, but $I$ just wanted to pause here for questions in the interest of time.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob?
MR. NAVE: No. I don't have anything. Thank you.

MR. JOHNSON: So, Mr. Chair, this it where I've been awaiting patiently, because I am going to illuminate this particular part of the discussion, frankly because heretofore there's been a -- and I'll use these words, for lack of a better word -- a profound level of underperformance that have been both underreported and underemphasized in your Bond Committee reports up until this point.

And I want to thank TaxWatch and Bob for the last two reports of being more specific in terms of illuminating exactly where we are.

And as you see -- well, I'm sorry.
Let me put that last slide back up. So, I
understand that heretofore, for the last few years --

MR. BALLOU: Could you put up slide 38?

MR. JOHNSON: So, I understand that heretofore this committee has, and I would even say rightly so, been focused on the speed and the cost of the program.

But, this particular program was crafted with an additional variable, as identified by the Disparity Study, and adopted a whole program by the Board, so speed plus cost plus fairness were all based into this program.

And what it looks like is that, even if there were some concerns and complaints, criticisms about the program being slow, and even amongst the concerns and complaints about the program being costly, we haven't heard, as loud concerns and complaints, about the program being possibly discriminatory. And these numbers suggest that that may be the case.

So, I -- I want, for the benefit of the record, to reflect, A -- I do want to
give credit, because I failed to mention this last time. Mr. Ballou and I have had some conversations, Mr. Chair, and I'm here at his recommendation, as a matter of fact.

And for the benefit of the public, my emphasis on this particular part, not just because I represent minority contractors, but if you read the record, I actually chaired the Disparity Study Work Group, giving 52 to 55 hours of my own time helping the District come up with solutions to the problem that was stated in the Disparity Study.

And so, it's a bit disheartening knowing how much time and effort that was spent over the last six years to help provide solutions and to see these level of results.

So, for the benefit of the record, I want to just read in a few questions.

Mr. Chair, with your permission can we ensure that these are in the minutes and perhaps ask Staff to include answers in the next report?

First question: Why is it so
difficult to achieve optimal inclusion for African-American and women contractors?

Second question: What tracking systems are in place to know the following:

The number of African-American and women businesses responding or participating in solicitations.

The number of African-American and women businesses selected or awarded here as a prime or included as subs.

The number of African-American and women businesses pending contract execution or notice to proceed.

The number of African-American and women businesses whose projects are either in progress or completed.

The actual dollar value of payments made to African-American and women businesses for projects under construction and/or completed.

And finally, what list of the remedies that were attached to the adopted policy 3230, what inventory of those remedies have we utilized up to this point, i.e., forecasting and segmenting those?

And then, finally, what structure does the program manager at $A E C O M$ and the District have in place to meet or exceed the target goals of 19 percent for African-American and 17 percent women?

The problem that we have is that we, again, saw disparity, complained about what disparity does, we saw it documented in the Disparity Study, we participated in helping to fix the problems, hoping the bond would not repeat them, and yet, here we have a situation where the operation was successful but the patient's still dying. At least when it comes to African-American and women contractors.

So, I thank you, Mr. Chair, for allowing me to read those questions in the record and I ask that, as a Committee, that we have consensus in expected answers to those questions in the next report.

MR. BALLOU: Friendly amendment to the query?

CHAIRPERSON RABINOWITZ: Sure.
MR. BALLOU: When you say "women-owned businesses," I think the issue is
specifically with Caucasian-owned companies, Caucasian women, not women in general.

MR. JOHNSON: That's correct. But, by that classification we're talking Caucasian women. But, $I$ do want to make a point that, amongst the African-American, African-American women are even worse off.

MR. BALLOU: And just, if I were to report just women-owned companies, though, the women number goes to 30 percent.

MR. JOHNSON: Correct.
MR. BALLOU: So, I just wanted to be -- there's a point of clarification that the issue is with Caucasian women at less than 10, not all women.

MR. JOHNSON: And then, the last point, because you did make a statement, Mr. Ballou, through the Chair, about the -what could be considered -- whether or not we consider 19 African-Americans or

13 Caucasian women have a substantial inventory of available firms.

As you well know, because I said this to you, as we communicate, I would be satisfied -- we would be satisfied if,
amongst the 19, 13 are actively bidding and participating and we've maximized our participation of them.

Of the 13, 9 are actively bidding and we've maximized our participation. But, as we know, there's been a substantial amount of efforts from those 19 firms to get involved and still they have not been.

MR. BALLOU: Well, we will -- we will report -- we accept these recommendations, you know, and we will respond accordingly. So, there's no -- for the record, there's no hostility or animosity from the question to the answer. So, just for the record.

So, yes. We -- we've already done the work and we believe there's 12 AfricanAmerican companies participating, but we want to work with the Minority Voters Committee as well as some of the other groups before our next meeting so that we will be perfectly aligned for the numbers.

But, there is -- the fact is, we are definitely not max -- we do not have a case where we maximize all the capabilities of our African-American suppliers.

So, there are more opportunities that we could spend. So, for the record, the numbers are small, but there's still more that we can do with the numbers that are available.

And then, we'll work to -- we'll report on that and make this a continued dialog.

MR. JOHNSON: And to that last one, Mr. Chair, and I promise this is it, I do want to give Mr. Ballou additional credit because, when he says that we are working, he has, after the last meeting, come before at least the Minority Builders Coalition to talk about what the District was planning to do and he will be before our group on this coming Friday talking about the progress hereof.

But, for the benefit of this committee, it's important to me to highlight, for the record, how far we've come and how little we've achieved so that if, collectively, we can press the District to catch up, because you can see we have a long way to go, that would be helpful.

Otherwise, we're very, very concerned about the underutilization of AfricanAmerican, which include both men and women, as well as Caucasian women.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: You're welcome.
Anyone else?
MR. HILLBERG: Yes. So, I -- in my role with the City $I$ work with a wide variety of contractors and subcontractors and I know of one that was looking for work and it was in the minority category. So, how do I facilitate putting you two in touch?

MR. BALLOU: I will give you my contact information and that would be the easiest way to contact me.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. This happens all the time. We have projects, we move on and we close the project and the team gets scattered to the four winds. But, certain --

MR. BALLOU: So, obviously they need to be certified with us and pre-qualified. But, we will work with them.

I have a team of people, AECOM supports a good number of that team, that -so, that's their commitment in this.

And so, specifically in this project we would get them in touch with Vincent Jones, who is our outreach coordinator for these type of construction projects.

MR. HILLBERG: I imagine it would first start with a conversation and then --

MR. BALLOU: That's what Vincent does --

MR. HILLBERG: -- terms and does it fit --

MR. BALLOU: Vincent is very good at facilitating that --

MR. HILLBERG: Last name, Vincent?
MR. BALLOU: Jones.
MR. HILLBERG: Okay. He's the outreach coordinator?

MR. BALLOU: Yes. For specifically construction projects.

MR. HILLBERG: And you also need suppliers.

MR. BALLOU: Hmm?
MR. HILLBERG: I believe you need
suppliers, as well.
MR. BALLOU: We need suppliers in general, so -- bear with me here. I'm trying to keep the conversation on SMART projects and not extend to overall.

But, yes. We need suppliers for the 11 commodities that the District buys for, construction just being one of them.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anything else?
Hearing none, we'll move on to Communications.

MS. GARTH: Good evening. Yvonne Garth with Garth Solutions, communications liaison for the SMART Program.

For this quarter we continue to focus on posting social media messaging, especially as it's related to the individual progress of specific schools.

We use both Twitter and Facebook to do that. And I think we did around 92 posts just for January and February alone and, of course, ongoing.

Next slide. We -- just a point of clarification. Our focus has been
on specifically reporting on progress at individual schools.

That's been a little bit deliberate in that we have been, as we waited for consensus to be reached on the schedule reset, we wanted to make sure that once that -- those have been accomplished and we can go back out into the public, they really do a major push to update the information as far as schedule's concerned for each school, but also in general about the program as an opportunity to go back and almost heed that expectation, if you will, and be able to make public the intent of the program and where we are.

As far as the website is concerned, it occurs to me that perhaps some of the newer members of the committee may not be aware that there is a website completely dedicated to the SMART Program that we developed.

There's a lot of good information on the site. There's an individual page for every single school. We will share the link to that website and welcome any feedback that you might have.

I'll just mention that we are actually in the process of updating the content so that it reflects the new schedule reset. That's our initiative and goal for right now through the month of March. And as we do that, we continue to identify new features that will help to enhance the experience for the general public.

This will essentially serve for us as the hub for all information SMART related, including reports, updates on the whole community, updates on what's happening at the school and just bond information in general.

One of the new features we've added is an event feature where, as we go out into the public and the community to offer updates -- so, for example, when we go to staff meetings or we conduct project update meetings, they will be featured on the school website on the school page.

And it's -- it's for members of the public that were not able to attend the meeting, they can access the information and download the communication that was given
directly from that page.
And it also gives them a feature to provide comments. The comments are not -on the back end and we submit them, we collect all those comments and we submit them to whoever is appropriate to address them.

So, we are, again, working to update the website and hope to have that done shortly, and as we do that we'll look for input.

Just one last note is the -- as we've done that, we wanted to make sure we continued to push information out into the public and "SMART at a Glance" is essentially a newsletter that we could be able to do that.

There is one that is countywide that is just getting disseminated and then we also produce one for each district that we arm the board members with so they can go out in their respective districts to communicate accordingly.

That is all $I$ have at this point and I'm happy to answer any questions you might
have.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob?
MR. NAVE: Just to piggyback on what we talked about at the last meeting, we all recognize the need to reset the taxpayers' expectations, given the new schedule and escalating budget and things like that.

So, I think -- I think Yvonne understands the challenge that she has ahead of her.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Questions?
Comments?
MR. JOHNSON: I have just one. If -it is the case, as I mentioned earlier, that the bond program was cooked with three very important ingredients; speed, cost and fairness. And apparently, in the website, speed and cost are readily communicated, but fairness isn't.

Is it possible to include progress on supplier diversity such that that can be readily available, as well?

MS. CANTRICK: Yes. Actually, we have had conversations with Mr. Ballou and his team on how we can, moving forward, as an
added feature, include information there.
I know that they're working on their website and we're working to make sure that that's prominently featured on this website and folks can access information readily and easily.

I will also mention that, in our social media post, we do make sure, in the messaging of our content, that we push out information on opportunities -- that opportunities and other information are available to the small minority business community and we work with Mr . Ballou and his team to create that content.

MR. JOHNSON: For the record, prior to this meeting I, as I normally do, attempt to get feedback from the contractor community such that $I$ can bring input from front line, those people affected, into this meeting for the benefit of the Committee's consideration.

But, as of now, the website for both the Bond Oversight Committee and the Disparity Study is not working.

So, I'm not exactly sure why, but I
just want to put that out there. Right before this meeting and up until this meeting I have been trying get people to take a look at the Bond Oversight Committee reports, compare it with the Disparity Study. Neither of those two were working today.

MR. SHIM: I know that today there were technical difficulties with the District's -- you know, we had several outages. So, there could be some technical problems today, so hopefully they'll get that fixed.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There are some members, virtual, that want to ask a question.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: One of the members wants to ask --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Latha Krishnaiyer.
Can you hear me?
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Who is it?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Say your name again, please.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Latha Krishnaiyer.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Go ahead.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Okay. My question is, I know there's been a lockdown in communications over the last year, but what kind of feedback are we getting? Is there traffic on the site? Is the message getting out?

Because, there's still a whole lot of questions out there and I'm wondering how successful the effort has been to add all of these communications to -- so that the general public, especially in some of the schools, know what's going on.

But, I just want -- what I'm asking is, how has the traffic been, what's the feedback, what are we getting?

MS. GARTH: That's a very good question. Thanks for asking that.

We have seen, since we did our (inaudible) soft launch. But, since we did the soft launch on the website we have seen an update in traffic, especially from social media. I don't have those analytics in front of me, but I'd be happy to share them
with you.
I will say that, again, that we have not made an aggressive push to promote the website. And again, that's been on purpose, because we want to make sure that, when we do make that push, the information that is on the website is accurate and reflective of what's happening.

So, we're looking forward, when we launch that promotion campaign, to track those analytics. But, what we can do in the meantime is share with you the uptick in numbers that we see over the course of the last three to six months.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any other questions? Hearing none, we can discuss some of the School Board workshops related to the SMART Program.

MR. SHIM: So, I provided -- will provide the workshop schedule for the District Educational Facilities Program. Again, that's not strictly SMART Program, it's just really our budget project.

But, that -- those upcoming workshops
in March and April will probably contain elements of funding for the SMART Program. And I don't know that there are any other facilities, workshops.

MR. GIRARDI: This is Frank Girardi.
We do have a workshop coming up next
Tuesday, I believe it's March 16 or 15.
We're bringing forward the Roof Asset
Management proposal to the Board, then we're bringing in a company to start doing the inspections and the maintenance of the roofs. So, that will be a week from tomorrow.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
Obviously there's reporting on the last workshop, Steve, you attended.

MR. HILLBERG: I attended, but I did not get into the ability to make -- room to make my report. I came at noon and waited until 3:00 and at that point $I$ had to go. So, I did not make the report.

MR. SHIM: Yes. First of all, I would
like to apologize for that, because, you know, typically we schedule the Bond

Oversight Committee report to the Board
workshop first.
We just recently had a report or a scheduling format change in which there's a small meeting that occurred before the workshop and that meeting ran over.

And I'm working with our chief of staff to sort of schedule it to where we can have a time certain so that that doesn't happen.

So, I just really, I'd like to apologize to Steve for that and the Bond Oversight Committee and thank you for your time and everything that you put into this.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Did the Board know that Steve was sitting there?

MR. SHIM: Yes. I guess they were just very deep into other issues, so.

But, you know, typically that can happen. And that's why we have always tried to put the Bond Oversight Committee first on the agenda so it's the first thing they discuss.

So, you know, sometimes it's different when Scott is there, but certainly we don't want to have somebody from this committee
sitting there for hours and hours like that.
So, we -- in order to really avoid that, we've always put it first on the agenda.

So, I'm going to make sure that we have a time certain for that and that our chief of staff, going forward, at least we understand that, so. I apologize for that.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I mean, I'll be honest, I would have sat there maybe 30 minutes. So, thank you, Steve. But, that shouldn't happen. I know that we work with the Board, but we're here on our own times, we're working, too.

MR. HERBST: You've never been to any of the City Commission meetings, have you?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: No, but --
MR. HERBST: We do the same thing to them, unfortunately.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I wouldn't wait three hours. I don't have the time or patience.

MR. HILLBERG: I'm only probably
15 minutes away so that if there was something -- there was a schedule sliding
and you could predict the time I was coming up, then I could come back or --

I mean, $I$ didn't take enough time off, so I had to go back. So, next time I'll just take the whole afternoon and that will be --

MR. SHIM: No. That's not our desire. That's not -- you know, that was never our intent. Just that meeting that occurred. I don't think it's happened before in any of our meetings.

So, we'll -- we're definitely going to try to make sure that this gets scheduled correctly and that that doesn't occur again.

But, in that meeting they didn't end up having that briefing on the Bond Oversight Committee, because they combined the two events and they discussed mostly the State of the Program, which was sort of their update on the program, so.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Which leads us to the next topic, which is, the next budget workshop is 4/13. Right?

MR. SHIM: Yes.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: So, is there an
expectation that somebody from the Committee will attend that?

MR. SHIM: Yes.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I mean, since Steve is so eager.

MR. HILLBERG: Sure. I want to discuss what we will present, though, at that meeting. I don't really feel comfortable sitting as the voice of the Board without having the formal approval of the Board. I would like --

Is there a way that we can do this through email, maybe a copy through the School Board so it's out in the public so that we can develop what are the priorities we want to talk about and list them so that it is a Board statement, Committee statement?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: What used to happen is that the -- we used to be provided, essentially, a very brief syllabus of what happened at this meeting and whoever was going to go before the workshop would then have that and we would know. For some reason that was scrapped.

MR. HILLBERG: We get it. Well, at least, as I present and I'm -- as I'm presenting and walking into the room, I'm handed a --

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: No. It was distributed before we ever got there, so you knew and you could agree or disagree about what the narrative was about what happened today.

MR. HILLBERG: All of us?
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Well, we didn't draft it. The -- you know, it was done by Staff for us to recap what happened today. So, in other words, you don't have to get a copy of the minutes and read them all and then summarize them yourself.

I had no problem with that. But, for some reason $I$ think that Staff did. Omar, if you want to enlighten us.

MR. SHIM: Yeah. I mean, basically, you know, we take notes. And we also have detailed transcripts. And of course you don't want to read whole the detailed transcripts and our notes are convenient.

But, that's not the way I understood
what Steve was saying. I think he was saying what direction or specific recommendations to take to the Board.

Now, that wouldn't necessarily be in our notes the way that you wanted to --

MR. HILLBERG: I think we --
MR. SHIM: Yeah. So, that's what I think I understood Steve to say.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: So, now -- and I have no problem with recommendation, Steve. If you want to prepare, you know, a bullet point email and then it can be provided to Staff or disseminated amongst us, then we could, you know, via email or a short phone conference before April 13th, I have no problem doing that, either.

MR. HILLBERG: Good. Done.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Okay. There you have it.

MR. SHIM: I don't see anybody from General Counsel here, but I just wanted to remind everybody about Sunshine and, if we do, there are some restrictions in doing that.

MR. HILLBERG: If I were to include

Victoria on the email, would that comply or meet the requirements?

MR. SHIM: I'm not going to pretend to be an expert on Sunshine and I would just have to run it by our General Counsel and make sure that they're okay with whatever's proposed.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: So, Omar, can you please run it by the G.C. and then send us all an email suggesting the parameters by which we should do this?

MR. SHIM: I will.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: That would be great. Thank you.

MR. SHIM: You're welcome.
MR. JOHNSON: Wouldn't it be as simple as sending the information to Miss Miller, then having her to be the person who was doing it? Because, Sunshine said we can't talk to each other, we can talk to her.

MR. SHIM: Yes. And that's how we've being doing it. We've been sort of collecting information and sending it out to the Committee to avoid, kind of --

MR. HILLBERG: Okay.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Just don't write War and Peace.

MR. HILLBERG: No, no. Bullet points.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I'm glad you appreciate my humor.

Is there any other business? None?
MR. HILLBERG: Sorry. I think we have to talk about, you know, that one of the schools had a roof collapse. It was in the newspaper.

I don't know what our role is regarding that, but it's got to be mentioned. It's a concern. One of the first -- part of this program is safety.

I'm sure there will be an investigation and findings. I don't know whether it's for us to weigh in on and whether those findings are adequate.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I think it only matters if it concerns bond dollars. If it doesn't concern bond dollars it doesn't concern us.

MR. HILLBERG: It's a bond project. Money was spent on it. So, I think it would be --

MR. GIRARDI: I didn't hear the beginning of the question. What was it?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: It was about the roof collapse.

MR. GIRARDI: Okay. All we can say on that is that we've got engineers going in and there's an investigation going on and, until we get that investigation completed on what the cause was, we don't want to speculate.

MR. HILLBERG: Thank goodness no one was significantly --

MR. HERBST: Just for my
understanding, was that a roof that was recently replaced? So, is that something that -- you said, you know, bond dollars were spent on it. So, is this a new roof that collapsed?

MR. GIRARDI: I wouldn't say the roof collapsed, there was structural failure. But, it was on a new portion of the roof.

MR. HERBST: So, I don't understand the difference. I'm a CPA, not an engineer. So, tell me the difference between structural failure and collapse. They both
sound like they came crashing down, so.
MR. GIRARDI: It came crashing down and that's what the engineers are there to determine.

MR. HERBST: But, so, is that a roof that we have replaced within this program, I guess, is my question. So, help me understand. Is that one on the list to be replaced? Is this one we had replaced? Is this one we had done partial repairs to and not replaced?

MR. GIRARDI: That area was replaced.
MR. HERBST: Okay. And it's presumably a contractor that we have working on other roofs or is this somebody that we're not using going forward, somebody who's in the works with another project at this time?

MR. GIRARDI: It's someone who we've used on other roofs. Other than that, I'd really rather not talk about it anymore with the investigation going on.

MR. HERBST: On. I'm not looking for an investigation, $I$ just want to understand the current status.

I think, you know, the point is, it behooves us to understand that if somebody that's involved in the work that we're doing right now did work that was related to this, I think it would be -- it would be inappropriate for us not to at least ask some questions about it.

MR. GIRARDI: (Nodding.)
MR. HILLBERG: There was also a second article. It was called an audit. It was in the Sun-Sentinel about an audit -- I believe it was maybe the entire county, if not just the School Board.

Towards the end of the article it said the audit of the procedures of the School Board found that the Building Department -it used very strong language. It was -- I don't know if they used the word, "obstructing the progress," but words even worse than that, I remember now.

But also, they said the recommendation that we have would be to disband the Building Department -- it's that harsh. And to go to private -- the jurisdictions in charge, municipalities or county areas,
things like that.
That I thought bears mentioning, an indication of the difficulties in getting the projects through, that it's being recognized on an audit-type level. Other than that, $I$ don't know what to make of it.

MR. GIRARDI: I saw the article, too. No comment on it.

MR. HILLBERG: So, let us know if the Building Department gets disbanded.

MR. JOHNSON: Absolutely would know.
MR. HILLBERG: That's it.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Is there any member of the public that --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There's a list.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: All right. So, we recess the business meeting and we convene the public hearing. And there's a number of people here that would like to address us.

I don't recall how much time we provide for each member. Do you remember?

MR. SHIM: It's up to the Chair and the Committee to decide, but I think the typical is three minutes, is what the --

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Fair enough.
Ms. Brennan?
MS. BRENNAN: May I begin?
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Sure.
MS. BRENNAN: My name is Esthel
Brennan, I'm the President of the Riverside Park Residents Association. We are the neighborhood that's proud to call -- that Stranahan is able to call home. I'm here today on the community's behalf.

Stranahan High School, as you know, is part of the Big 3, as you were speaking a little bit earlier today.

The neighborhood is very aware of the fact that there was a point in time when Stranahan High School was slated for a brand new school, a brand new build.

It's my understanding that those architectural drawings have been in the hands of those that needed to, from leadership all the way to the top, dating back from the 2008 to our current administration and Superintendent.

Also, our kids were used as poster children for the current SMART bond. It's
become evident, through a lot of research and the information that's come before us, that these children were good enough to be the poster children, but it's sad that we're here today and have to think that the Big 3 are the reason we're here, the children that were supposed to directly benefit the most from these funds, and here we are fighting for that money.

While there are many other buildings of concern, including Building number 6 on campus at Stranahan High School, I'm here today specifically to speak towards the cafeteria.

It was extremely concerning to listen to the prior conversations in the meeting and that the Executive Director of Capital Programs failed to mention that the community has repeatedly asked for cost differentials of what it would cost to replace the Stranahan cafeteria.

It is an elementary school cafeteria built in 1958, so over 60 years old, that was originally built to only handle 300 elementary-sized students. Today they
were (inaudible) renovation for 798 students.

Unbeknownst to the community and those involved, on January 21st at the Facilities update meeting you were informed that that had been cut down. We heard earlier that something came up and that costs had to be cut.

We're talking about human beings here, young adults, trying to get their education. The community was, needless to say, furious.

At the February 10th Facilities update to the community the Superintendent was in attendance in the beginning, proceeded to call this "Something came up," "A bump in the road." Over 120 students, young adults, being called "a bump in the road" by our Superintendent.

At that meeting we were also given, due to a lot of requests from the community, some other options on how we could get those students back. Again, the Superintendent made us want to feel supposedly happy that we were being given back 120 seats that we have already been promised.

The community was enraged. We want to see those cost differentials on what it would cost to the replace that cafeteria.

There was a project charter meeting in September of 2015. The prior principal requested that the cafeteria be replaced at the time.

And Mr. Girardi, I'm sure, remembers me from in-person meetings and I would dare anybody that attended those meetings to say that I have not been asking for prices on replacement cost since as early as 2017 into 2018 .

I believe that it doesn't take much to see that there's a lack of forethought and a lack of foresight.

Stranahan enrollment has increased at least ten percent in the last few years. The current (inaudible) a little over 700 seats or close to 800 only takes into account what they predicted back then.

Stranahan is capping at 2300 students. The current cafeteria would only be able to house almost 800 students per lunch. The enrollment is increasing. Where are those
other 800 students going to eat?
A full replacement would allow for us to plan for the future, take into consideration, do this project once and do it right.

So, again, I want to reiterate my concern that there was no mention earlier that we will see any sort of cost differentials from the meeting on Wednesday in regards to a full replacement and that I feel that now is the time for this committee to take a look at things and steer the ship straight.

I also want to say that students, for the past better part of the decade have been eating under tents that are often dilapidated, they're getting rained on.

What does that tell a young adult about their self-worth when they're going there to learn, be better humans and future leaders of this community and world?

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
Ms. Lynch-Walsh?
MR. HERBST: Mr. Chair, just a
question. So, are we going to give responses to these questions? Again, since I --

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: We literally haven't had anybody come to these meetings in years?

MR. HERBST: So, this is sort of a new one.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: We've probably had one or two people over time. Some of these are addressed directly to the Board.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Dr. Natalie Lynch-Walsh. I am the current Chair of the Facilities Task Force, which is a

Board-established group that was revived after the 1997 grand jury investigation.

For those who mentioned an audit, it's actually the District's fourth grand jury investigation that is wrapping up. I believe they wrap up their work next month.

I wanted to share with you some of the issues that have come up at recent Task Force meetings. Since Esthel ended with Stranahan, I'll start there.

I attended a community meeting on

February 10th. That evening I sent a letter to Atkins on behalf of everyone that was there requesting an estimate for cafeteria replacement.

Last Thursday we had a Facilities Task Force meeting, no estimate was provided. At the meeting Atkins said they would provide an estimate, today no estimate.

My request to you is that you ask the Superintendent why he is not letting Staff provide an estimate. It is not being provided to me. As you heard, it's the community. I am merely providing an extra voice for that community as part of the Facilities Task Force.

I would tell you to ask Mr. Girardi, but I would like to think that we would have had an estimate had he been the only person objecting to providing one.

Building 6 is an example of what's gone wrong with this SMART Program. I was at Stranahan recently and I looked at Building 6 and I actually took a picture, because I could not believe that that is the condition that building will be left in.

When I looked at the list of deficiencies, it suggested that building should be replaced. They have slapped a new roof on it, presumably are working on HVAC and the windows look as old as they are. It is unconscionable that they would be left in that condition.

The kiln room is falling off the building and has to be dealt with, the terrazzo floors are damaged, pretty much everything in that building was damaged. And yet, to cut costs and cut corners, that's what they're leaving them with.

The cafeteria, it's insane that that was being used for a high school. It just is. These are the realities of this program.

There was no planning at the beginning, they had to fight to even get the capacity addition. And now they have to fight for what should be happening for long-term planning, a replacement for the cafeteria.

So, I can't get anywhere with an
estimate. I will be at the meeting on Wednesday. They should have an estimate. So, if you guys can please request that from the Superintendent, that would be great.

That capacity reduction was done without Board approval and refusing to provide an estimate is making decisions for the School Board.

The estimate for replacement should be brought to the School Board. They can decide. That is their job. It's not the job of the Superintendent to do that.

Blanche Ely. We did get an estimate to cover their bus loop. Why did their bus loop need covering? Because they demolished buildings in 2015.

The buildings were on campus in 2014 when they did the needs assessment, so, since they were demolished in 2015 they weren't listed as a deficiency.

The buildings are important because they provide a cover for the bus loop. So, now the kids at Blanche Ely, if they're waiting for the bus, they are under the same type of canopy that the Stranahan kids eat
under.
There's no plan to replace the covering. It's about 544,000 currently, but that doesn't address the bus loop itself. So, we'll be following up on that.

Asset management is not just about roofs. For several months the Facilities Task Force has been asking to see a cost benefit analysis of each alternative, whether it be inhouse or outsourced. Until you do that, you don't know if you're making the most cost-effective decision.

Realistically, of course, there is no infrastructure in this district to do asset management inhouse. So, you would want to outsource it, most likely, but you have to plan for long term. We have to stop letting this be short-term planning.

The Audit Committee recently passed a motion to have the District look at the equitable prioritization and deliver the SMART Program.

Because their -- the reality is, it hasn't been just a problem for the vendors, it's been a problem for the schools in terms
of who's gotten what and when they've gotten it.

The statement that AECOM is determined that the timelines were no longer realistic is not entirely accurate. Let's be truthful, the timelines were never realistic.

The ones that were set in 2018, how do we know? When I analyzed the slippage on a quarterly basis, all of your 1, 2 and 3 projects slipped an average of 100 days during that timeframe. And it did not improve during COVID.

The buzzers that were mentioned earlier, I sent two emails to the Security Chief. The current grand jury investigation is about safety. So, you would think that this District would be moving everything on earth possible to get buzzers in schools so that the people in the front office, for two reasons, one for safety and security, the other to not help the spread of COVID.

They can't communicate without a buzzer and an intercom and a camera. They have to go to the door and physically open
it. And yet this bid was delayed and put back out on the street because somebody left off the EDC requirements from the first RFP. So, it seems like it's probably been delayed at least six months.

And there has been crickets in terms of the schedule to install the buzzers at all the schools. We don't need to know the names of the schools, but if we could request an actual schedule, because I'm getting crickets.

And this is a priority -- this is a thing that jumps -- safety is what jump-started this last grand jury. So, this should be done, but they won't even answer when they expect it to be done

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you. I can't read the handwriting very well. Maybe it's Mohorne. Kimberly.

MS. MOHORNE: Good evening. My name is Kimberly Burke-Mohorne and I'm part of the -- a parent of Blanche Ely High School.

My daughter is a bus rider and this loop, this bus loop that we're fighting for,
there was a meeting on September 28th, 2016 and there was an estimate that was given that we cannot find. But, just recently, March 4th, we got a new estimate. We need this bus loop, but in this estimate we did not receive the asphalt added to it. It is not included. And we need new asphalt. Because, I believe it's the asphalt that we had when I was at Blanche Ely, and I graduated in 1992. Okay? So, we need new pavement for that bus loop along with a covering for the bus loop.

On days that my daughter, if they have -- it rains, she has to call me and I have to go and pick her up. Because, if not, she would have been soaking wet. So, we need a new bus loop for Blanche Ely High School. Thank you. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you. Next is Mr. Whatley.

MR. WHATLEY: Hello. My name is Warren Whatley. I am a retired university professor hailing from the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, where I taught for 35 years. I was a dean of the graduate
school.
I moved down here maybe seven years ago after retiring. Four years ago I moved into Riverside Park.

Upon first arriving, the most obvious observation was Stranahan High School. I could not figure out and I would go to all of my neighbors and say, "What is the deal with Stranahan High School? Why does it feel and appear to be neglected?"

All of the information that $I$ could gather from my residents from becoming vice president of our resident association so that I could better understand what was going on, from my conversations with within the school, when I went in to help teach introductory economics and to administer some national exams, was that ever since 2007, if not before, this school has been shortchanged over and over and over again.

Now I'm sitting there in facility meetings and I'm trying to figure out what's going on in this facility meeting with this bond proposal that's supposedly supposed to be fixing things. And even there I see them
being shortchanged.
There was some discussion earlier today about 790 capacity and a cafeteria where students are eating with their faces up against the wall.

The 790 number is too small. It's pretty obvious to everyone that it's too small, that the cafeteria needs to be redone.

And I guess this is why I'm standing in front of you, bringing my concerns about what I think is really a systematic discrimination of this high school, be it for racial income, whatever reasons. But, it's pretty obvious what's going on.

But, this cafeteria is just another example of an oversight where the failure of the school is by design. It's by design.

It's like -- it's like, okay, you don't have the enrollment, so we're not going to invest in increasing the capacity of the high school cafeteria, so the high school cafeteria is a dump, so students don't go there, so we're justified in victimizing the school for the failure of
the School Board to do the right thing.
It happens over and over again. I'm not here to chastise you or anything, but this is the way things -- the way things happen, especially around education and healthcare, public goods that are subject to political decisionmaking.

And I would imagine in states like Florida, where the public sector is really not committed to education, that you could easily justify political decisionmaking to reallocate and allocate funds. It's been done in the South for generations.

All you have to do is ask for less money than you need, manufacture a shortage and a tight budget constraint and then do the dealings, you know, on the side. But, the students are the ones that suffer.

And you know, when I go into the school, man, and then when $I$ hear a committee task force and all they're talking about is details of the budget and how to allocate seats and air-conditioning, you know, it's pretty depressing.

I have my view about what's going on
and all the evidence that I've seen thus far that's been presented to me suggests that I'm right on this, that it really is a reallocation of funds from all the sources that come into the County, you know, to meet political needs.

I'm convinced -- I'm hoping that's not true. But, I will tell you that I'm not seeing any evidence to convince me otherwise thus far.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you --
MR. WHATLEY: And I bring all this to your doorstep because there's -- it's pretty obvious that this cafeteria issue is precisely this issue.

CHAIRPERSON RABINOWITZ: Thank you, Mr. Whatley.

MR. WHATLEY: Thank you. You're designing failure.

CHAIRPERSON RABINOWITZ: Last up, Ms. Grooms.

MS. GROOMS: Good evening. My name is
Desiree Grooms, I'm here on behalf of
Blanche Ely High School. I'm a community
member as well as an alumni and I have
several relatives that are now at the school.

I'm going to touch on the bus loop a little bit and I have another question, as well.

I was there to tour the school a couple weeks ago, along with my community members, and the bus loop area is deplorable. Any day we're looking at maybe an accident happening to one of our kids in that area. Not to mention the covering on the elements. In South Florida coverage from the elements is extremely important.

There's also an area between the bus loop and the main building. There's a huge empty area right now. And there's hazardous things there, as well. And I don't know what the plans are for that.

When we inquired about it we were told that, at this point in time, there are no plans for it. So, that's something else I would like to be looked at and maybe planned on.

As you see the pictures that we looked at from the Facilities meeting, it just
looks like a big open maybe miscellaneous area for any type of construction or construction materials is going on in the area.

As well as that, we also looked at the outdoor dining area. I heard earlier in this meeting that the outdoor dining area was considered complete.

If that's so, then (inaudible) for the outdoor dining area as of this moment, because we were advised that the students were not allowed to use it at this point in time. And that's the students that are on campus.

And my final thing is the painting of the buildings. That's also in -- it's not good. We have got 15 different colors going on and some of it's very, very old and the building just looks dilapidated. And Ely is beginning to look like a empty building or a building that's going to be removed at some point in time.

So, again, those are the questions
that I have. I don't know what your protocol is on answering those questions,
but I would like to have answers on those. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
I can't speak for everyone on the Committee, but $I$ think it would be at least somewhat helpful at, maybe, the next meeting, Frank, if we could at least touch on the issues that were brought to our attention tonight.

I hear at least two issues from the public members that came before us. Number one, obviously, there's the bus loop; number two, the insufficiency of the cafeteria at the high school.

If there are specific other issues I welcome them, and Frank or someone, School Board and Staff can address those to us briefly.

MR. JOHNSON: Chair, if I may, actually, 2010 to 2014 I was a Stranahan parent at the time and $I$ recall being in meetings talking about that same cafeteria. So, I'll bring personal sensibility to that particular issue and certainly echo the concerns raised about that issue.

Also, the issue regarding the bus loop at Ely High School, a number of community meetings, two of which I attended a couple years ago, where parents and community leaders were complaining about the bus loop. It was actually two things at the time, bus loop and single point entry. Single point of entry has been addressed, but bus loop, apparently, is still the issue at Ely High School.

So, again, having attended those community meetings, I bring that sensibility.

And last -- third thing which I add to your list, Mr. Chair, that Dr. Walsh mentioned is the equitable distribution approximation where, in my community, for example, as a former Vice Mayor of West Park, there's been some concerns on the southern part of the county as to who is getting schools repaired first and how are they chosen. And why or if there is a disparity in the speed at which some schools are being prioritized versus others.

So, if I was on the City Commission I
would ask the City Attorney for some guidance as to what our authority is, what the guide rails are to our authority as a committee.

But I certainly would like clarification, one, on what can be done as a committee to issue recommendations to Staff on issues like this that come of concern, and then, once we get that kind of clarity, I would certainly like to make motions or whatever $I$ can on those three issues, frankly because of personal experience with those, that I would echo the fact that the frustration is really been high.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: And I'm not tying to protect Staff or the Board in any regard. I think that the report back to us relative to these issues is isolated to bond dollars. So, to the extent that bond dollars are utilized for those specific projects, it's appropriate to report back.

Generally speaking, I don't think that we can ask them about that. But, to the extent, Frank, there are obviously bond dollars being utilized for these projects, I
think it is incumbent upon you to provide us some kind of information about them. We'd appreciate that.

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, just real quickly on that regard.

So, if you recall, in the development of the (inaudible) for each school, there were public input meetings.

And so, if, when Mr. Girardi is giving input on bond, if there was an issue that were identified and the public strongly suggested it, but it didn't make the initial list, do we consider that a bond issue or not?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I can look at it as, if bond dollars were utilized for a project, then it's within a purview. If it's not a project that was underwritten with bond dollars, it's not.

MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
MR. HERBST: So, one thing I'll say, having sat through this, is, when the administration came to the City of Fort Lauderdale to get our support, we went out there and we were asked to lobby on
behalf and to be supportive, pass a resolution, and there were certain things that our community was promised with respect to Stranahan.

My daughter is not enrolled at Stranahan because $I$ went there and looked at that facility and thought, I don't trust it, I don't think it's safe. So, she goes to Fort Lauderdale High. I like the program there; took one look at their school and said, "Not a chance."

And we were promised as a city, as a community, as a City Commission, that for our support for that bond issue that we were going to have improvements made.

And the expectation that we have, and I talked to my City Commission, is that those are going to be done.

And when I hear that they're not, when I hear they're going to, you know, erect a cafeteria that's not designed for the use that it's being used for sit in that community, that community has been neglected and they expect to receive the same benefits that we have on the western part of the
county.
The problem with Fort Lauderdale is we have been an a donor city, as far as the School Board is concerned, all along. We don't get back our proportionate share of what we pay in taxes, which is what supports the, you know, the debt service.

So, we've been shortchanged and that neighborhood has been shortchanged. And I'm telling you, I'm hearing it over and over and over again that "We're tired."

And this is a bond dollar issue. We were promised certain things and we're not getting what we were promised. And once again, Fort Lauderdale and our at-risk communities are being shortchanged.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Frank.
MR. GIRARDI: Well, I could say something of what Brian Johnson mentioned, that I'm also a Stranahan parent. So, my son graduated in 2005.

MR. JOHNSON: You saw frustration, too.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, I see what they are. These other items, we'll get on them.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: For the members of this committee that haven't been here since day one, like myself -- I look around this room and there's only three or two of their faces that have been here since day one.

And I'm not even talking about committee members, I'm talking Staff, as well. It's not a secret why the report has -- I asked for it from day one.

If this was such an issue and such community outcry about what was happening at these facilities, there would need to be itemized, you know, identification of the progress of lack thereof, you know, for each time we came together.

And I can tell you that the frustration was high then and the frustration today is the same. Some things never change.

MR. GIRARDI: There's one thing I will bring up. I've had, recently, a chart made up of all the physical cafeterias in the District and their capacities, and what we've done is, we went into the state
drawings that give you the square footage of the dining areas and there are 15 square foot per person, and when we're done, Stranahan will have the largest dining capacity in the District. We've got West Broward that has less. Cypress has less than 790.

So, when this is all said and done, they're going to have the largest capacity in the District. And we're finalizing that now.

The only one that's had a little bit more was Piper, but that's an airplane hangar and it's a larger building.

MR. JOHNSON: For the Chair, Frank, will you clarify, when you say, "When this all is said and done," it suggests when the renovation versus a new cafeteria --

MR. GIRARDI: Renovation. When the renovation is done -- when we say "renovation," we're talking renovation of the existing kitchen and dining area, which will be your food court and the dining. All new windows, everything is being stripped down. It will be a complete remodel.

Then we have a brand new dining area that would be the addition. And that dining area is -- that will have the 790 capacity. MR. JOHNSON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any other comments, questions?

MR. DEMOPOULOS: I mean, I have to mention, $I$ forgot who said something about safety and security being the biggest part of -- or whatnot. I share some of that frustration. I know that bond dollars are the primary reason why we're here and we can maybe only control or speak about that. But, offline, I am trying my best to speak with -- getting the Fire Marshal and the Chief of Security to try to get some other projects and other safety concerns addressed.

So, we are trying to work behind the scenes at our chief locations to address some of those items.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anything else? We can adjourn what was the public meeting, we'll reconvene the business meeting. Although we got into the business
meeting while we were discussing * Is there anything else for this Committee to discuss?

Hearing none, do we have a motion to adjourn?

MR. JOHNSON: Moved.
MR. HERBST: Second.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: All those in
favor say "aye."
COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you, everyone.
(Hearing concluded at 8:13 p.m.)
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