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PROCEEDINGS

MR. BERNARD: Call to order. We've got a quorum.

Approval of the minutes of the June 10th meeting.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: So moved.
MR. HILLBERG: Second.
MR. BERNARD: All those in favor?
COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
MR. BERNARD: Opposed?
(No response.)
MR. BERNARD: Bond Oversight Audit Updates.
MR. JABOUIN: Good evening. My name is Joris Jabouin, I'm the District's Chief Auditor. My office has the responsibility this year to audit the program manager.

MR. BERNARD: Talk into your microphone a little more, please.

MR. JABOUIN: Joris Jabouin, Chief Auditor. My office has the responsibility to audit the Smart Bond construction management, the quality assurance program and the program manager, and we engaged RSM to perform these audits under my direction.

The scope of the program includes the responsibilities of Heery and Atkins in accordance with standard operating procedures as well as information that is in the request for proposal when they were awarded the engagement. So this is the first of many reports that will be compiled by RSM for us that we've already presented to the audit committee and the School Board and now we're presenting to the Bond Oversight Committee.

As we proceed and do more audits we will intend to follow up on issues that are noted in this report and future reports as well as perform audits of the projects as they get completed.

So in your package is an audit report that's identified four different observations. One of them appears on page 4, the first one is project reporting. So RSM met with Heery and Atkins back in August of 2018 and there were nine reports that were to be prepared as part of the program, two of them by Heery and seven of them by Atkins. You can look at the chart in the report and see that Heery had prepared the two reports that we requested. Adkins had prepared most of the seven reports. One -- one of them as it pertained to
the RFI aging was later provided in February and it dealt with the project completion reporting that hadn't been provided yet.

It's important to note that not many projects had been completed at that time and during the discussions that we had with Atkins they indicated that they were trying to determine the format of the reports.

Mr. Jardine and Ms. Carpenter are here to add comments that they may have with respect to this finding.

MS. CARPENTER: Sure. Ashley Carpenter with Atkins. So the post project completion reporting has been developed and reviewed with the district and Heery and it has been submitted since -April, I think, was the first month this was in our packet and it's been submitted each month since then. It's sort of a financial accounting of each project. And then as Joris said, the RFI report, we did submit the January one as well and that's also part of the monthly reporting that we do.

MR. JABOUIN: The second finding appears on page 6 of the report. Basically, the chart that's in the report tracks the five different
projects from the approval of the School Board of the professional services agreement to the delivery of the authorization to proceed to the designer. You can see as the project moves along that there are different portions of delay but the total delays are on the far right column. One of the -- during the course of the audit we were informed that some of the delays were by design to be able to manage the projects -- the projects a little bit differently. We do have -if you can, please, provide some more information to the committee by Mr. Jardine or Ms. Carpenter?

MR. JARDINE: Good afternoon. Danny Jardine, Heery. As part of the process with the ATPs, last summer when they were coming out we intentionally slow walked some of these because of the workload and the volume of projects that were coming out and we knew that -- and we've all heard of the challenges that we faced last summer. We intentionally slow walked some of these so as to not keep compounding the issues that we've had with the design community. We now have -- we know who the PMs are going to be in advance of when the projects go to the Board so they're involved from day 1. And when a Board
item happens, now, we're turning around the ATPs in a much quicker more timely fashion.

MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. Observation number 3 appears on page 8 of the report. At this particular stage the projects have moved from the predesign to the design phase and it tracks them on pages 8 and 9 from the school violation to the schematic design, the design delivery, up until the 50 and 100 percent phases of the projects. The total delays are also on the far right column of the various projects and the -- and as we go forward we have received adequate responses on these findings and I'm told that the timeframes for future projects have been revised when the -when the whole program was re-reviewed last November.

I'm not sure if Mr. Girardi would like to comment further on that.

MR. GIRARDI: Frank Girardi, Executive Director of Capital Programs. You've pretty much covered it all. It all goes back to when we re-baselined everything at the end of November, beginning of December, that new baseline schedule. We don't have much more to add to that. That's what it goes back to.

MR. JABOUIN: And then the fourth finding deals with the documentation that is required to be on e-Builder. Much of the issues came from vendors that were not actually putting information into e-Builder. My understanding is that e-Builder was fully implemented in February, which was within the timeframe of this review.

And, Ashley, I'm not sure if you want to add anymore to that?

MS. CARPENTER: I think there's an ongoing effort from the team as far as document control and keeping all the appropriate documents in there and then backfilling any ones that were missed on the earlier projects. But, yeah, the -- excuse me. When Joris says about it being implemented, there are some work flows that the documents will automatically be created and dropped into the appropriate folder, you know, through the process. So those -- you know, there's no concern about whether they'll be there and they'll be under the appropriate name and they'll be in the right folder and all that, but there will always be, you know, a big effort for document control to be constantly uploading other types of documents and making sure they're there
and available.
MR. JABOUIN: So another report will be coming in the future and $I$ will return to provide the results of that report, which will include a followup of these four issues.

MR. BERNARD: Thank you. Any questions?
MS. AKER REECE: So the scope wasn't anything related to finances, just the timing of the projects primarily and --

MR. JABOUIN: Yes, just the timing of the projects and the different milestones that are in the PSA as to when the projects are supposed to be at certain -- at certain lifetimes.

MS. AKER REECE: Is there anyone who is auditing the invoices submitted?

MR. JABOUIN: So we do have that in the scope for the next report that you're going to see. So we will take -- eventually we'll look at different aspects of the program on the different reports.

MRS. MARTE: If I may? Judith Marte, Chief Financial Officer. I'm well aware that you know this, but, for the record, the -- the scope of our financial audit for the year end could and would include a sampling of pulling projects from
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of the district's quarterly report to you guys, but -- and I can wait until it comes up, but I want to discuss this issue of the PSA language with liquidated damage provisions in there, because the management response on page 10 says we're now going to start utilizing the language of the PSA to assess delay charges and through provisions that allow the district to go back two cycles. So the district could theoretically go back to January of 2019 and start assessing damages retroactive to then.

And I'd like before we leave here tonight to get a good understanding of how these new PSAs are different than the old PSAs.

MR. BERNARD: Do you want to do that one with the facilities report since it's one of their phases?

MR. NAVE: It's probably better. Let's save that.

MR. BERNARD: Okay.
Any other questions?
(No response.)
MR. BERNARD: Thank you, sir.
Okay. Technology.
MR. DUNN: Good evening, Phillip H. Dunn,

Chief Information Officer. Good evening. All information technology projects are completed with the exception of two. We have a project that will be closing for the server blade growth by the close of this month, as per the schedule. It's on time, it's on budget. And we have a second project, uninterrupted power supplies, that will be complete by September 2020. And that project is now in the design and discovery phase.

MR. BERNARD: Are these two additional from the money you saved from the other one?

MR. DUNN: I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?
MR. BERNARD: Were these the additional from the money you saved on the original projects that you came up to spend on these two?

MRS. MARTE: Nope.
MR. DUNN: By the fact that I see my colleagues shaking their heads, I don't believe that's the case.

MRS. MARTE: These funds were set aside. The 10 million that was saved was returned into fund balance, but these were anticipated, so the money was set aside.

MR. BERNARD: But was it -- was it originally
in the project?
MRS. MARTE: Yes.
MR. BERNARD: Okay. I thought it was added from the saved money.

MRS. MARTE: No, sir.
MR. BERNARD: Okay. Any other questions for technology?

MR. HILLBERG: Yes.
MR. BERNARD: Steve.
MR. HILLBERG: Last report the additional projects were 1.48 million. In this report they're listing the extra projects at 1.17 million. I believe one of them may have been completed, is that why the difference?

MRS. MARTE: Yes.
MR. HILLBERG: Thank you.
MR. BERNARD: Anything else?
(No response.)
MR. BERNARD: Thank you, sir.
MR. DUNN: Thank you.
MR. BERNARD: Charter Schools are complete so I don't know if we need to hear from charter schools.

Music \& Art.
MR. LUECHAUER: Good evening everyone. I'm

Joseph Luechauer. I'm the music supervisor for Broward County Schools sitting in for Susan Cantrick for Applied Learning.

We're extremely happy and pleased with the progress that we've made with our music equipment projects. You can see the numbers are growing, 100 percent of our items are ordered. 193 students -- or, excuse me, music programs in our schools, 96 percent have all been delivered to date. The -- the 96 -- the 4 percent that's still in process, not in process but hasn't been delivered, are items such as labs that are being constructed and built, waiting for parts to come in, and then those are on their way as well. We'll be very, very pleased with the next Bond Oversight Committee to see how that has -- has shrunk to almost zero. So we are in good shape with our music equipment projects.

MRS. MARTE: Through the Chair?
MS. HAYNES: Donna Haynes, Art Curriculum Supervisor. I'm here for Susan Cantrick with Applied Learning. Kilns are going great. We have 134 kilns already delivered or on order, which leaves us for the next Bond Oversight two more kilns, one's been ordered, we have another
one on the way and then we will be completed as well. And great news, we have a lot of teachers firing ceramics again for our kids, so we're really excited for almost being completed.

MRS. MARTE: Thank you, sir. Point of update to the Bond Oversight Committee. At the vote of -- I'm sorry, the DEFP hearing, the Board recognized that there were 20 schools that opted not to have music programs. Either the population of the school didn't want to have one, they opted for different electives, and we had about $\$ 1.3$ million sitting in that program unspent. The Board by vote voted to return it to the reserve fund balance for the SMART Program. And in the event that in the future one of those schools do decide to have the program, we would have to go back to the Board to reappropriate those funds back into the music portion of the program. But right now those funds have been added into the construction bucket. I just wanted to disclose that to the board -- to the committee.

MR. LUECHAUER: Joe Luechauer, again. With the theater equipment you see that we have 39 schools with programs which is up two. We have
two new programs which is a really, really good sign that through the SMART Bond Project in general we're increasing our programs both in music and through theater, which is very encouraging for our kids and our schools. So all 39 have -- have taken care of business as far as receiving their package details. 35 schools have placed their orders. The two new programs are in the process of doing so. And, again, this was as of the end of last quarter, so a lot has taken place then at the beginning of the school year. We will be very encouraged at our next Bond Oversight Committee with the progress of theater.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: I want to go back to the music, on the 20 schools. Who made the decision not to have the music program and could we get a list of the schools?

MRS. MARTE: The school community did and I will provide -- get a list. Some of them are special schools, like Wingate Oaks, and they were initially budgeted, but we can get you that list. Every school decided on their own.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Okay.
MR. HILLBERG: I have a question. On the kilns, maybe a terminology question. The -- at
delivery it says the kilns are delivered. Does that mean it's installed and everything has been checked out and they're running? It kind of concerns me that delivered isn't the same as installation. There's always -- with construction there's always the plug wouldn't fit, the kiln won't fit the space, the code requirements aren't met. So I would like to see something that says these are installed and we can move on to other parts of it.

MS. HAYNES: I can get you a list. You mean of all the ones that are completely installed?

MR. HILLBERG: As we've said before, I'd like it to say complete.

MS. HAYNES: Yeah, because delivered means some might be waiting on installation, but most that have been delivered have been installed. MR. HILLBERG: Okay.

MS. HAYNES: I mean, they go through the warehouse first when they get delivered, so there's a little bit of time to go from the warehouse to the school when they're switching out the kilns. But I can get you that information.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Thank you.

MR. BERNARD: Any other questions?
(No response.)
MR. BERNARD: Athletics.
MR. CERRA: Good evening. Shawn Cerra, Director of Athletics \& Student Activity. Today we still have 100 percent of our tracks completed, all 15. We also have 29 out of the 30 weight rooms completed. That's with the exception of Northeast High School. And I can defer to my colleague, Frank Girardi, that can give you an update on the rationale behind that.

MR. GIRARDI: Frank Girardi, Executive Director Capital Program.

With Northeast we'll give a quick update. There is an update later on in the report, but we should -- we received the revised permit for the de-scoping of the original project. So the new contractor, Pirtle Construction, and I'll kind of mention, we did terminate Gilbane Construction and we've already been back to the Board to approve Pirtle Construction who was the next one in line on the QSEC review committee. So we are looking to have that GMP to the Board by the end of the year. Once we get that they're putting it out to bid very soon to receive all the
subcontract bids. So by the end of the year we should be at the Board, get the GMP approved, and be able to start the construction project. At which time that is the part of the project that has the athletic portion, which is the weight room, so that will be one of the first areas started.

MR. BERNARD: Bob?
MR. NAVE: Thank you. In our recommendation, in our report, we noted the termination of Gilbane and our recommendation was that tonight that the committee be briefed on the reasons why Gilbane was terminated as well as where the district is in procuring a successor. So I'm interested in why was Gilbane terminated.

MR. GIRARDI: Okay. I answered some of that already. That's why I answered it in the report, but Gilbane was terminated because we couldn't come to an agreement on getting a GMP together. And the contract states that if we don't come to an agreement we can terminate without cause and move to the next successful person on the list, of which we did. I guess, does that answer?

MR. NAVE: No, that's fine. Thanks.
MR. BERNARD: I just have one comment. On
page 7 of the report in the second line it reads, with all zero projects having reached official completion. It should say all but one? It's just a scriveners error?

MR. CERRA: I can correct that. Thank you. MR. GIRARDI: I guess I could add just one more thing which I did mention earlier. We have procured Pirtle Construction to take that over. MR. HILLBERG: How much time was lost in the negotiations phase?

MR. JARDINE: We were not able to -- that piece of it was last August when the Board revamped the scope for Northeast High School when we did the elimination of the buildings 8, 9, 10, 11 and 27. They were demoed to build the new addition, so that became a separate new package. And we started the procurement process last fall. Pirtle was hired as the CMAR and that team has been working over the last six, seven months. We are currently at about 50 percent design phase on the new Northeast addition. Pirtle has been on board for the original GOB scope about two months now. And so they have been actively engaged as we've gone through and done the final de-scoping through the building department. That was
received about two or three weeks ago. They now have the permitted documents in their hand and they're putting together their sub bid packages right now and doing some value engineering constructability and doing their 100 percent cost estimate, and we anticipate that within the next several weeks.

MR. GIRARDI: I think we lost some time on it. Without having the LOR, which we just received three weeks ago, even if Gilbane was still on board, they couldn't start going out to bid either. So we did lose some time. It wasn't as bad as it could have been with the de-scoping.

MR. HILLBERG: Thank you.
MR. JARDINE: Okay. On the Facilities side, Danny Jardine with Heery.

Some of the key highlights, all of the single point of entry projects are now fully functional and operational as of the first day of school.

We have 75 schools that are in the process of doing the bid and award and contract and procurement.

We now have 60 schools under construction.
And we have four schools that have hit that milestone where we're saying they're complete.

We have a couple of others that are very, very close to hitting that milestone.

The -- we have five schools that are substantially complete. These are the ones that wrapped up over the summer for the most part. Coconut Creek Elementary, Cypress Elementary, Eagle Ridge Elementary, these were all projects that had been ongoing that with changes in the fire alarm system specifications that were changed, those have all now been completed. We're doing all of our final paperwork and getting those closed out.

McNicol Middle School and Silver Shores we finished up this summer as well and we're closing those two projects out.

The four that are complete, Manatee Bay and Indian Ridge, those are the two that got finished basically a year ago. We did -- their one-year warranties are now close to running out and we had two test and balance projects that were completed, cypress Run and Pine Ridge Elementary School.

And I think we're going to see a short video. (Video was played.)

And, as you can see, it was a very busy
summer. Of the 60 projects that we currently have under construction we have just over \$368 million worth of contracts that have now been awarded. The data through August $23 r d$ and through where we were the end of June, the data through June, we had 104 projects that were in design, but then as of August $23 r d$ we have reduced the number down to 89, which means they're moving forward from the design into the hiring contractor phase. We had five additional projects going into the construction phase between the end of June and August 23rd and we had one more project that got completed during that timeframe.

Some of the other key components that we're measuring, in June we had 31 projects that had completed design and now we're at 16. Seven schools advertised for bid, we had nine projects awarded to contractors and six schools -- six additional schools began construction since the end of June.

On the Big Three update, we're going to hit Blanche Ely first and you saw some of the video, you can see the outdoor dining area. I think it showed a picture of what the new renovated media
center looked like. The finished project looked great. I would encourage you to, please, get out and look at some of these schools where we've been able to get some of those pieces completed. The school's very happy. This summer we were able to get the media center done. We replaced the chilled water lines in Building 1 that's going to be for heating and air-conditioning. The outdoor dining canopy is under construction. We're getting ready -- we've done a lot of the demo for the student bathrooms and the new concession building that's in front of the gymnasium. They did some work in Building 14. And we've got some air-conditioning work that's currently ongoing in Building 15. So it's picking up speed. We're seeing a lot more progress out there.

School Choice Enhancements, we're 99 percent complete and there's just a little bit of money left to be spent, so the school is determining how they want to spend that. They may just turn the money back over to the reserve fund. And then the weight room, it was completed a year ago.

Northeast High School, again, the de-scoping
of 100 percent documents was approved on August 20th. Pirtle is now on board, putting their GMP -- putting the bid package together to put on the street. We're going to move forward with that. Again, we're anticipating having a GMP approved by the Board sometime late this -- late this year. We'll be working with the contractor and the school doing the phasing of how the project actually is going to get implemented. A lot of this is reroofing and a lot of HVAC and fire sprinkler work in Building 1, which is their primary classroom building. So we're going to take a section at a time and we have to work very closely with the school when we do these phases. I mean, you've heard this for a year now, the challenges of doing an active construction project in a building that's occupied by students. Again, the new classroom addition is coming along. We're at 50 percent document stage, we're working very closely with the design team and the CMAR on balancing the scope and the budget, which is always a challenge, and we're continuing to have meetings to make sure that the final product is within our price range. School Choice Enhancements, were 94 percent complete
still. I think all we're lacking there is, I want to say door wraps, but $I$ think that's the wrong school. But we're very close to having that one done. The weight room, as Frank alluded to earlier, it's in the de-scoping documents. In our preliminary discussions with Pirtle we've told them that this needs to be one of the first things that gets accomplished once we issue the GMP to them later this year.

And Stranahan High School, a lot of activity out there this summer. We completed Building 5. It's been turned back over and kids are back in it. We did restroom renovations and the fire alarm system, reroofing, changed out air handling units. This summer we started reroofing Buildings 6 and 7. We were in the auditorium building, which is Building 2 with the fire sprinklers and changing out the HVAC system. That all got accomplished and was ready for their big school opening event a couple of days right before school opened. The cafeteria portion is currently in redesign at 50 percent complete. We're having some challenges on making sure that the designer designs to the budget and the scope. We continue to meet with them and we're giving
them some more direction on what they need to do to bring the project back within the budget. School Choice Enhancements, we're at 84 percent complete. We have $\$ 16,000$ left to spend and the school is working on figuring out how to spend that. And then the weight room has been completed.

MR. GIRARDI: School Choice Enhancements --
MR. BERNARD: Why don't we let Bob comment on the primary renovations?

MR. JARDINE: That's fine.
MR. NAVE: Yeah, our report notes that since the last quarter there were 102 projects flagged for schedule issues, 19 flagged for budget issues. The 19 that have been flagged for budget issues will require an additional $\$ 61.6$ million to complete the scope.

Since inception that brings the total number of projects, primary renovation projects, that have been flagged for budget issues to 52 and the School Board has approved just under \$150 million because of that.

For the projects that are flagged for schedule issues, 49 of those were newly flagged and there are 22 of those projects where the
report makes mention of a remedy. And the remedy is that the district will start enforcing terms and conditions of the contract for delays and multiple submissions and resubmissions. So I think it's -- it would help us all if we took some time tonight and discussed these PSAs.

If $I$ understand it correctly, there is a new set of PSAs that have enforceable liquidated damage provisions in them. And that's \$100 a day for every day of unexcused delay. And then the PSAs that existed before that don't have enforceable liquidated damage provisions.

So we have some vendors playing with one set of rules, other vendors playing with a different set of rules and I just -- I was hoping that, and our recommendations would ask the district to brief the committee tonight on the status of these PSAs; what enforcement actions have been taken to date; and then just basically give us a better idea of what these two provisions are. Steve, you mentioned at the last meeting wanting to see this language and I referenced that in this report. Because I pay attention.

MR. GIRARDI: Frank Girardi, Executive Director Capital Programs. The earlier PSAs have
some teeth in it, but it didn't -- doesn't spell out exactly a penalty as the ones that were put out later on where we have the $\$ 100$ per day. Also they have the revise and resubmit clause in there, where the first two revise and resubmits are part of the contract, anything past that we go into a penalty.

We had a committee that was put together, we took data from all of the revise and resubmits and how many there were and we put a fee chart together that would be -- it wouldn't be a project by project, we had a fee chart. After your third revise and resubmit you got charged $X$ amount of dollars. After the fourth it was higher, after the fifth it was higher. So we have that chart now.

I'll get back more to the PSAs, themselves, but we have put out 20 letters or 60 letters to 20 consultants telling them what their fees were due to the revise and resubmits.

Again, we can't put anything together until we get a permit. Once we get that LOR or the permit the clock stops. Then we can determine what the penalties could be, should be, letters are put together and then, I guess you could
call, negotiations are done. We've had some negotiations with the architects that we have given them the letters and we found out that some of the revise and resubmits weren't on them. A couple of them were the building department, where, even though it was approved as noted, it was taken as a revise and resubmit. Approved as noted is approved. So the way it works out is how many disciplines are left over on the revise and resubmits. So if you have a revise and resubmit number 3 and then have five, that's going to be a higher cost than if you had a revise and resubmit with only one discipline. So a couple of disciplines approved as noted were charged.

So, that -- that's where we are with enforcing. It's not like we're just going to start enforcing now. We couldn't really do any enforcing until the projects were permitted, but with the revise and resubmits we drew a line in the sand back in December of '18, January 1 of '19, that anyone with over the revise and resubmits were going to start getting charged. Some of the projects were already complete, like the two that were completed a year ago, so we
weren't going to go back.
But with the language -- the language, the first, I think it was 44 major projects went out with the original PSA. I don't know if that's the exact number. Ashley could help me with that if I was wrong on that. Somewhere in the 40 range. Then the new ones did have specific language with the revise and resubmit and the $\$ 100$ a day. The earlier ones did have language, but it would be more of a termination. If we felt we were delayed to an extent we would terminate. And terminating really wouldn't get you anywhere other than more delays.

So any other questions on that? Hopefully, I answered your --

MR. HILLBERG: No.
MR. BERNARD: So, basically, what you said, as of January of this year, anybody that delayed is subject to the fining.

MR. GIRARDI: On the revise and resubmits. Everything else we can go back to.

MR. BERNARD: Okay. But just regular delays in time, anything after January you said you can go back two quarters?

MR. GIRARDI: Yes.

MR. BERNARD: Anything else, Bob?
MR. NAVE: Just one last thing. TaxWatch recommends going forward beginning with the next quarterly report that the district include a summary of enforcement actions taken to date so the committee knows that these contracts are being enforced, we know what actions are taken, we know why they are taken.

MR. BERNARD: And we put the firms on record.
MR. GIRARDI: Yes, we will do that.
I know one of the other recommendations was to bring forward to the committee anyone we're looking at. That one, our answer was that sometimes they don't know we're looking to enforce something until we actually enforce it. So I think when we enforce something, that's when we'll bring it to the committee. But we will go ahead and put a list together of all the letters, actually, all the architects that have been already put on notice.

MR. BERNARD: Okay. Steve brought up with the other projects, like the kilns, when you say the project is complete, what do we mean by complete? Is that contractor off-site; everything's gone; or we still have more work to
do; paperwork's not done? When is complete complete?

MR. GIRARDI: There is two completes that were on the presentation.

MR. BERNARD: No, when is complete complete?
MR. GIRARDI: That's what I'm getting at.
MR. BERNARD: It's not a hard answer.
MR. GIRARDI: Complete is it's gone to the Board for approval to close the project.

MR. BERNARD: Are they off-site?
MR. GIRARDI: Yes, off-site. Retainage is the only thing left.

The other one that was substantially complete and they're doing final punch lists, they're still on the site doing punch lists, but until it goes to the Board for final approval to close it it's not officially closed. Once the Board votes.

MR. BERNARD: And, as you said, we're up to four schools complete?

MR. GIRARDI: Four complete and five in the closeout process.

MR. BERNARD: We have 15 quarters until Q1 2023. That means you have to complete an average of 15 projects a quarter. We've been here how
many years and you've got four. That seems like it's going to be quite a task to get 15 projects a quarter completed with some of these big projects coming down the line. So, you know, that's our schedule that everybody says, oh, we're going to be right there. But from past experience we've had here and the history, there's no way you're going to do 15 projects a quarter. You haven't come close to doing that and you've been here a year starting here right now.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, we are getting to the point --

MR. BERNARD: And, you know, hopefully there's going to be something coming down the pike that's going to tell us how you're going to catch up and make sure you stay on a schedule of 15 projects a quarter. Because that's way -- you know, that's pretty good if you can do it. That's very optimistic but it's really an unrealistic number based on past performance.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, now that we're closing projects out and finishing them we can get a feel for where we're going and we'll take a look at that.

MR. BERNARD: Well, that's the number.
MR. GIRARDI: I know.
MR. BERNARD: You divide the 226 you have left by 15 quarters, that's what you get.

And right now you're just showing, oh, we've got five more in substantial. That's still 10 short of what you needed for next quarter. For every quarter you don't hit 15 you're falling behind. So should we wait another year until you reassess?

MR. GIRARDI: No.
MR. JARDINE: We can run some reports and we can look at where the completion dates are and get you some good data.

MR. BERNARD: I'm just telling you, that's what you have to have here. I don't care where the dates are, you've got to meet that 15 a quarter.

MR. JARDINE: Right.
MR. BERNARD: And I don't think in the last quarter you're going to show 45 projects completed.

MR. JARDINE: That's not the intent. And I don't think that's how our schedule's laid out, but --

MR. BERNARD: Well, your schedule's laid out that you're so far behind in projects right now, you know, getting ahead on the renovations is even more behind. If we're not up on renovations time-wise, now, how are we going to make sure we get to the number?

Also, going through the book, school by school again, there's 20 projects, 20 schools within the spotlight that are missing flags and comments because the schedule stages on the process chart are not being listed on the spotlight pages but were on the new renovation pages. You know, the new projects, the new renovations that were flagged, you had them on the flagged page but you didn't flag the schools on each school.

There's 13 of them on the construction phase that are behind schedule. There's another three in hiring the contractors that are behind schedule. And there's four more that weren't flagged -- that were flagged under renovation, new renovation flags listed, but no flags or comments on the school spotlight page.

It's nice that $I$ have to go through this every -- I don't like going through this every
quarter and having to bring the same things up over and over. I thought someone was getting paid on that side to put this stuff together. I'm not getting paid. That's 20 -- that's 10 percent, again, of stuff missing that you guys tell us are going to be caught up every quarter. If $I$ can find it, I'm sure someone on your side can find it.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Keep going, please. I don't want to stop you. You're on a roll.

MR. BERNARD: That's all I have on that one.
MR. JARDINE: Again, the flagging report is -- as Mr. Bernard just pointed out, we've got to go back and we'll recheck and we'll do a better job next quarter when you get the information.

MR. BERNARD: You can have my notes if you want them. I'll make it easy.

MR. JARDINE: I would love to. Thank you. Because we try to go through and do what you actually did, and, obviously, we're missing it somewhere, so I'd love to get that from you.

One thing that we are doing and we have implemented over the last, I guess it's been five and a half months is, we all know what the
roofing is doing to this program with the roofing costs.

We have now implemented a program, we'll be going back and doing a roofing reality check on every one of our jobs to go back and identify, what is the real simple work? We have numerous projects where it was said we needed to remove the existing roofing system all the way down to the metal deck and rebuild. We're finding out now that we don't necessarily have to do that in every case.

So we have implemented what we call a reality check where we have a consultant that's going out, opening up the roof, testing the moisture conditions of the existing lightweight and we're finding many schools that we don't have to go back and remove the lightweight concrete when the original drawings called for us to remove it. So we're finding a great deal of potential savings where we're not having to demo.

Conversely, we had some projects that said the lightweight was in good condition. When we go out and do our roofing check, the lightweight is like pudding, so we're now going to have to go back and change the lightweight out.
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There's 144 schools that are identified to have roofing. We've checked approximately 60 of those schools, we've done our roofing reality check on. We're focusing on, if a project has been awarded and is getting a roof sub permit, that becomes our highest priority to have checked. So we're checking all those schools and we're checking projects that may have been awarded but have not gotten a roof permit. Those are the next.

And so we're working our way back down and eventually, within the next month or so, we anticipate being to the point where it will be checked, doing roofing reality checks on projects that are in the design phase. So we don't have permits, so we can make sure that we can address the appropriate comments in addendum before it goes out to bid.

We have -- we know we're going to see substantial savings on quite a few projects. This is something that we're working very closely with the building department on. We meet weekly and we go through this and we think that it's going to have a lot of value and benefit to the school district.

However, conversely, that has impacted some of our processes and moving projects through the design phase. We're not going to put jobs out on the street until we've done the roofing reality check. Because if we go out and we award the job and now we find out after we've had a contractor approved, that if we don't have to do 150,000 square feet of lightweight concrete, we probably won't get the right credit back as if we made sure that it was in the drawings in the first place.

So that has been some of the issues that we've faced over the last couple of months, but we think the money that it's going to save the district is going to be well worth it over the long haul. And we think we're going to catch up on that fairly quickly.

The team meets every week, and once we get the information back we're meeting with the building department and the design team to make sure that everybody's on board with, and we're addressing it either as a deductive change order once the job is under construction or we're going to address it before it goes out to bid so we make sure we get the right -- the appropriate
credit back for it.
MS. AKER REECE: So once you open the roof isn't that a problem?

MR. JARDINE: No, because we open it up, we do a small sample and he does some nondestructive testing, some pullouts. That guy we have is a 45-year veteran of roofing, and he can look at it, he can test it. We do a pullout test and we do it in the obvious places where you're likely to have a failure, which is in the low spot of the roof and around roof frames. You know, you're going to have a roofing problem, you're going to have a moisture problem at the low part of the roof, not at the high part.

So, you know, when the designers did their nondestructive testing, you know, we got some -we got information, but when they do -- you know, some of them thermal, some of them are doing moisture tests that shows high moisture, but they're not determining, is the high moisture in the roof themselves, in the membranes, or is in the lightweight insulating concrete? And what we're seeing is most of them have said, well, it's at 25 percent, the roof's no good, replace it.

That's why we're doing reality checks. We're finding that the moisture is in the top two or three layers of the membrane and not in the lightweight concrete, so we don't need to replace it.

So that's the whole purpose of us going forward with this, trying to make sure we get the best bang for the buck.

MS. AKER REECE: And just from a very basic standpoint, because I don't know that much about roof construction, like what's the difference in price? Is it like a 25 percent savings or a 50?

MR. JARDINE: The numbers that we have heard if we don't have to -- I don't want to throw out a number because somebody is going to hear it.

MS. CARPENTER: Don't throw out a number, but I think your order of magnitude is a pretty good guess.

MS. AKER REECE: Like 25?
MR. JARDINE: Uh-huh. Somewhere in that neighborhood, just maybe.

MS. AKER REECE: Awesome.
MR. JARDINE: Next slide, please.
MR. HILLBERG: Can I go back, way back? It's on the single point of entry projects.

MR. JARDINE: Yes, sir.
MR. HILLBERG: We glossed over something I think was a good moment for the team, that you did accomplish what you said you were going to accomplish, and that was to have all those projects completed by the start of school. And I think that needs to be acknowledged, that if the team needs to do something, they can do it, they can mobilize and get it done. And I was looking at it and I was dreading turning the pages saying, how is this going to go?

But, congratulations, you did it, and I'm sure there's lots of sighs of relief.

MR. JARDINE: A few. Yes, sir.
Thank you very much. But it's a team effort, so --

I'm going to go on and talk while Denise is trying to find the slide.

The change orders, you all asked for information about the change orders, we're providing that. We can give you more detail as we need to.

Right now we're at -- well, I've got it in my book, I can tell you what the numbers are. But the total adds and deducts were just over 200 --

I think we're around $\$ 250,000$ total of approved change orders to date, which is less than -- it's about a tenth of a percent.

But we're still early into the program; okay? And the biggest culprit are unforeseen conditions. And what we encountered this summer when we were doing bathroom renovations or heating and air conditioning renovations, you get above the ceiling and you find walls, smoke walls, fire walls that aren't smoke walls and fire walls. You get into a bathroom that shows a certain type of backing, you get in there and it's deteriorated and it was wood studs, it's rotten, there's nothing there.

So we continue to run into those kind of issues. We're addressing them. But all in all right now our change orders have been very reasonable to date, I think.

Any questions on change orders?
(No response.)
MR. JARDINE: Thank you.
MR. HILLBERG: In my work with the city, the operations group, we work for them, and they are always changing the scope of the project or trying to, and so that's sort of a thing that we
have to be on watch for. But $I$ kind of put that in the block of not having a whole lot of control over it.

MR. JARDINE: We really -- owner requested changes is not a good thing here. The owner doesn't like it. What you saw on the owner requested the 59,000, those are primarily the single point of entries that we closed out on Northeast and Stranahan and maybe Blanche Ely where the contractor had an allowance or had some contingency built into his number that he didn't hit, so we took that money back out as a deducted change order. So, you know, we try -- you know, we just don't let them go and do things after we've awarded the contract from the owner's perspective unless it's a critical item that is due to, you know, safety and security. Fire alarms; yeah.

We're going to see some change orders regarding fire alarms on Eagle Ridge and Coconut Creek very, very soon. That's been something that we've been working with for months trying to get that finalized.

Thank you. Next slide, Budget.
MR. BERNARD: School Enhancement?

MR. JARDINE: Well, we skipped that slide. Can we go back?

School Choice, 75 schools have received all of their enhancements and there's been 1,146 items delivered. I know on the Year 5 projects, those are all underway. There has been a great deal of activity over the summer, and I think there is a detailed report in your presentation or in the book that shows what every school got, how much they still have outstanding.

Again, we've talked about the schedule flags and we can all agree that, if the school has gotten everything that they originally ordered, we're going to show that as 100 percent. If they haven't spent all their money yet, when I looked through the report this weekend I think there was one school that has -- I think the lowest number I saw was $\$ 3.10$ remaining in their bucket.

So schools are also finding that when they do their original order placement, we're able to save money on either the bulk discount of what we're buying, and so they may have originally thought we were going to spend $\$ 90,000$ when we wrote the purchase orders and got it all procured and it was 80,000 , so now they have $\$ 10,000$ that
they can go back and spend. So Marlene and her team are working with the schools on making sure that they get the maximum value of their $\$ 100,000$.

MR. GIRARDI: And also with the School Choice, when we first started the program schools were spending every dollar. And what was happening is, if something cost more, they didn't have the money. So we recommended to them to go with a 90 percent procurement and leave 10 percent for any unforseens, where if they didn't include electrical on something or whatever it was. Because then if it went over the 100,000 the schools had to pay. And some of the schools just can't come up with money. So that's why what I suggested on this go-around was if the schools got everything they voted on, we show it as finished, as complete, and then there's another section, which starts on page 144, that shows all the schools that are completed with their balances. So that shows -- that's where Dan had gotten his $\$ 3.10$ cents is at MLK, where they've got that amount left over. So they can buy a couple pieces of bubble gum with it.

So what we're doing is, on the flags, the
flags are anything that's late on the voted. And once they receive all their voted items we're showing that as complete. And then it goes to the other section which shows dollar amounts left over. And that's all in the timing of the school to purchase whatever they want with that balance approximate.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: You mentioned some schools went over and they didn't have -- they had to go into their school budget for the extra money.

MR. GIRARDI: They didn't go over. We recommend not spending to the penny.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: So we don't have any schools that went over; is that what you're saying? Everyone stayed within --

MR. GIRARDI: There were -- some may have gone over, but some may have gone over by design, because some of them said we want to order this, this and this and our PTO will come up with the extra money.

There were some schools that we went to the Board for approval. And what that was for was on marquees. Three of the schools we terminated the marquee vendor and when we got the new prices from new vendors there was an overage of about

10,000 per school. I think total we went to the Board for $\$ 21,000$. We brought that forward to the Board because that was not something that the school did wrong. It was, we had a problem with the vendor, we terminated the vendor, and when we got a few vendor in, it cost a little bit more money. That's why we took a Board item to the Board for approval for these three schools. And I think it totalled about $\$ 24,000$ for the three schools.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: So the schools that went over and said their parent group would come up with the money, how many were there? And what if the parents group did not come up with the money?

MR. GIRARDI: The thing is, they would have to know ahead of time that they were going over. Because some of them spent more than the $\$ 100,000$. If they wanted a marquee that was better than most marquees, they put in the difference, whatever the added cost was. The money had to be in the account before we moved forward.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: What I'm trying to get at is, if they were depending on the community to raise that money, whichever way they went, where
were these schools? Some communities just can't raise that money. Where were the schools that went over and depended on the parents to raise the money?

MR. GIRARDI: They didn't depend on the parents. It was a planned overage. They decided to spend more than $\$ 100,000$.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Well, you mentioned that they said the parents would come up with the money. Because the PTA or PTO --

MR. GIRARDI: Yeah, if they wanted to spend $\$ 120,000$, the PTO would have $\$ 20,000$ that would be put into the school's account to cover it before we moved forward.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Could we know what those schools were?

MR. GIRARDI: I'm sure we could find out.
MR. JARDINE: We can get you that list.
MR. GIRARDI: Yeah, it was all planned. It was planned overage.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: But the point is that PTAs, technically, I don't know about PTOs, are not supposed to do that.

MR. GIRARDI: PTAs, no. PTOs, yes. That's why I was trying to say PTO.

MR. BERNARD: Okay. We're still on School Choice Enhancement.

In your third quarter report it showed that 22,031 items to date have been delivered and installed. The fourth quarter report says only 1,092 items have been installed district wide.

Who gave away the other thousand items?
MR. JARDINE: I don't know. I'll find out.
MR. BERNARD: This is your third quarter report. Fourth quarter says a thousand items less.

Next, TaxWatch gave us a nice little chart in here showing you what's happened in quarter 1 2018-19 through quarter 4 2018-19 and there's approximately maybe 20 school enhancement projects have been completed. Here we go again. We have 15 quarters, we have 156 schools still not complete on school enhancement, at whatever stage they're at. That's 10 a quarter, again, need to be done to be complete. Falling behind.

The biggest issue I have with this is that schools who were -- whose choice enhancement projects were scheduled in group year 14-15, still not completed. That's five years ago. They were scheduled -- that was their schedule
year. You've got some of them that did their implementation in '16, 10/15, 1/16, 5/16. We're talking three to four years to spend $\$ 100,000$ and get it completed.

We have schools here that had $\$ 20$ million projects you're telling me is going to be done in two years and we can't get a school enhancement project done for $\$ 100,000$ in four years?

MR. GIRARDI: We can't control all of it.
MR. BERNARD: It's implementation. Four years ago it was implemented, not voted on. Voting was done. That gave you four years to complete the project.

There's 32 schools from the year '14 to '15. Two of them haven't even been voted on yet. I don't know who makes the list up. You guys -this is your book, not mine.

But, again, it's only a $\$ 100,000$ project. If we can't get a $\$ 100,000$ project done in four years on a school how should we have confidence that you guys can get a $\$ 20$ million job done in two years?

I'd be embarrassed to look at numbers like that if you had someone assigned to get these things done in a couple years for $\$ 100,000$.

That's a long time to wait when someone votes four years ago and it's still not completed to date.

MR. JARDINE: We can get you a list.
MR. BERNARD: I don't need a list. I went through your list in the book. And if that's not right, then it shouldn't be in the book. I can read the numbers just like you can read the numbers. It's very simple to see why something that's four to five years old has not been completed, a minor job. Not a major job. These are small jobs. You should have people assigned knocking these out way up front, not waiting until the end of the project. You're talking seven, eight years down the line some of these are going to be done. That's ridiculous.

And these are the ones they choose. Kids aren't even in the school that chose these projects four years ago and we're not even done with them.

Do you go back and revote now that there's new kids there, new parents?

They're stuck with what was voted on four years ago that they never even voted on. MS. AKER REECE: I'm uncomfortable with how

Bruce is talking to staff.
MR. BERNARD: I'm uncomfortable with what's in this book.

MS. AKER REECE: Well, I don't believe that this is how we should operate.

MR. BERNARD: How would you like me to talk to them?

MS. AKER REECE: Politely, with respect.
MR. BERNARD: They're asking me to give me a list. I went through their list. It's in their book. I'm not pulling these numbers out of the air.

MS. AKER REESE: I'm just giving my opinion.
MR. GIRARDI: One comment on that. The School Choice Enhancement is very hard. We're dealing with community. So there are some things that may be late. I know I started the program five years ago before $I$ became a director. So it could be just some items that are being held up. And I know one item which has been a thorn in everyone's side is playgrounds. So there are issues there.

What I'm going to do is we're going to go school by school and see what is remaining and why. So, to tell you the truth, I could see us
getting all these School Choice Enhancements done quicker with what you were just saying as a number, 15 major projects a quarter, I can see us getting -- we could knock out a lot of these. It's only some miscellaneous items. I can't tell you what those items are, but I'll find out. MR. BERNARD: That's all I'm asking. If we're going to make some progress here, we have to move forward. Because these, like I'm saying, were voted on three to four years ago by the parents that were at those schools and they're not even there now. That might not be what they want. There should be some division that you have that's earmarked just on school enhancements and --

MR. GIRARDI: We have a division that is doing strictly school choice. They're also doing our school-based projects now. And a school-based project is when a PTO decides they want to do something at a school. Before, they used to just go hire a vendor, not get permits, not get inspections, until we started a new program where it would all go through Facilities. So they're doing that, too.

That's not an excuse for this, but we do have
a team that's doing strictly these school small items.

MR. BERNARD: Well, this whole program is 2.3 million. And to say it takes this long to do 2.3 million worth of work --

MR. GIRARDI: 23 million.
MR. BERNARD: What?
MR. GIRARDI: 238 schools times a hundred. It's 238. It's 23 million.

MR. BERNARD: Okay. But I'm saying it shouldn't take this long --

MR. GIRARDI: 2 million, 23 million, it needs to get done.

MR. BERNARD: It's all numbers. But I'm just saying, there needs to be a concentration on these to get these out. Because that, to me, if we had 180 of these completed it would look like there's something there that the parents can see as its going along.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, we need to first look at the report and see where the lost items are. We need to relook at this whole report on the school choice.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: I have a question. Did those School Enhancement Choices that they made
five years ago, did they change?
Did the schools change as they went along or did they stick with their original choice?

Because that may cause some of the delays.
MR. GIRARDI: Some have. Right. We've had some -- I know one year one school that may not have even voted yet, we just could not get the school to vote. Just could not get it. It's their money. If they don't want to use it, we're not going to go sit on their doorstep and say you've got to do it now.

But there are some other schools that stopped what they were doing and after February 14th a lot of these schools stopped. Because they went and revoted. What was -- what they were purchasing, they decided to take it away and instead of getting a nice marquee they got security.

So a lot of the delays -- and that's why I said, I'm not going to speak to what is truly happening, because I don't know what's truly happening, I would have to look into it, but that was one of our biggest delays, where they stopped, had committees come back -- they started from square one. Where they decided to use the

100,000, they were going to get a marquee, they were going to get a few other items, they decided, no, we want to get special locks, we want to get door buzzers, we want to get cameras; all different things.

So that could be a reason. I don't know what the reasons are, but $I$ will find them out. But I know there are reasons on why some of them are taking so long.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: So did the $\$ 100,000$ go into the principal's budget or --

MR. GIRARDI: No.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: You're holding it?
MR. GIRARDI: We hold it.
MRS. MARTE: I'm holding it.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: You're holding it?
MR. GIRARDI: Yes. I spend it, she holds it.
MRS. MARTE: I watch it.
MR. GIRARDI: Any other Facilities questions?
(No response.)
MR. SHIM: Hello. Good evening. Omar Shim, Director of Capital Budget.

The first slide provides that the total SMART Program budget increased this quarter by 61.5 million over -- and that's from 1,064.8 million to $1,126.3$ million, which is shown on page 509 of your reports.

A detailed list that shows each project that had budget increases are shown on page 513 to 521 of your report.

The current balance of funds that are not expended or encumbered is 581.9 million.

On -- yeah. As you can see on page 522, expenditures through the fourth quarter are 266.4 million. This is a 35.7 million increase in expenditures from the third quarter.

Purchase orders in place are 278 million. This is an increase in purchase orders of 110.5 million from the last quarter.

And this growth in expenditures and purchase orders show largely that there has been an increase in construction activity since most of the nonconstruction items in the SMART Program have been completed.

And as far as the reserves go, in addition to the SMART Program funding that's identified in the project budgets, the Board also set -- as you know, set aside 225 million for projected cost increases, which the district has used to cover overages. And in identifying, out of 225
million, we have used, as Bob mentioned, around 1 150 -- 150.3 million, and currently there's 74.7 remaining out of that 225.

Now, to address additional future program risk the district could use up to 73.5 million in millage, but those funds were set aside for charter school capital outlay. And if the state doesn't make us have to pay that, then we can utilize those funds.

The district also has additional unallocated funding. In 2020 we have an additional 40 million in unallocated and another 223 million in the outer years of the DEFP.

So that concludes our presentation. I'll take any questions.

MS. AKER REECE: So the 73.5 million you're talking about with charter schools, when will you know if they're going to --

MRS. MARTE: Year by year. So the first -the last two years the state fully funded charter schools through PECO. So we've not actually shared any millage so far with charter schools at all. We don't have --

I'm sorry. The first year we did.
The expectation at this point, we haven't
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heard if they're going to go back to that, so we expect --

MS. AKER REECE: So in July with their budget?

MRS. MARTE: We would know when session ends, which this year is March. Right, Barbara?

MS. MYRICK: Yes.
MS. AKER REECE: So you know for fiscal year '20 you're not going to be charged?

MRS. MARTE: Yeah, the other good news for fiscal year ' 20 was we did some significant refunding of existing costs. Without extending the debt, the rates were so favorable, we -- the yield on that was about 6 million. So I saved 6 million last year, as well as had to reduce my debt service by 6 million next year, so that resulted in a $\$ 12$ million favorable turn, which is part of the $\$ 40$ million unallocated.

In addition to that we earned about 4 million more on investments than I expected -- or than I budgeted, because I'm extremely conservative, which is my job as the CFO, and our investment portfolio grew in value by $\$ 2.1$ million. So we had a favorable yearend close in capital of about $\$ 22$ million. So that money is set aside in
unallocated that the Board can put in the SMART Program if they so choose this year.

MS. AKER REECE: Okay.
MR. HILLBERG: Does it show the money returned to the program, the excess funds from projects that are completed?

MRS. MARTE: So the 1.3 million that I spoke of earlier, these are -- we're always behind a quarter, so those were done last month. And the running total on the reserve does show that in the current reserve; yes. But you won't see it here because I think at the end of this quarter we might have only closed out one project.

MR. SHIM: Through the Chair?
And, of course, you know that we show in -we have a table in the section that shows each transaction. Whenever there's money that comes out or money goes in there is a table in there that shows every -- every quarter all those transactions that are Board agenda items approved by the Board.

MS. AKER REECE: At what point do you put the money -- additional money -- let's say a roofing project, for example, if you went and did the assessment and now you know it's actually going
to be more, at what point would you go to the Board to put more money in the project; when you award it or --

MRS. MARTE: At the point of award.
MS. AKER REECE: Okay.
MRS. MARTE: An award is through a Board vote.

MS. AKER REECE: Okay.
MRS. MARTE: So every single cent in the Bond Program from millage has -- on the schedule we give the Board has the actual Board vote dates that made those allocations.

MS. AKER REECE: Okay.
MR. BERNARD: No other questions?
(No response.)
MRS. MARTE: So I will share with the committee that we have a meeting scheduled, actually an extensive meeting, for next week, aside from my colleague from TaxWatch, the group in the front row here, along with our staff, and we are going to take and go through every single project scheduled and re -- redo the cash flows and lay them all out. So once we share that with the Board we'll share it with this committee.

MR. HILLBERG: I did have a comment. With
these long-term programs, the number -- the initial number, the initial budget number, let's say it was $\$ 800$ million that sticks in people's mind, and there' no way that with the realtime growth of money that it's going to be $\$ 800$ million at the end of the five or seven-year part. So I think it would be helpful for us to show that what is today's dollars versus 2023 dollars. I know it's difficult because it's so broken up. And I'm assuming there's a model somewhere that can give us a -- you know, there's a lot of assumption involved in what next year's rate of inflation is, but $I$ think -- because that is detrimental and I've been in the situation where the initial number was 10 years old and people were saying this program is over budget, these costs are going through the roof, and they weren't. It was just that a two percent increase over 10 years and the numbers change so much. So I think that would do the group a service to know that 800 million today is 950 by the time we get done or something like that, just to show that there is a time growth of money component that we can't control that's everywhere. And over a long period of time it's going to happen.

MRS. MARTE: We certainly can try to do that. I mean, there are many moving factors, the cost of construction as far as the volume of construction being done in Broward County. We're closely looking at recession indicators, which for finance staff like me and your colleague to your right are very concerning because we rely on our tax rolls going forward. So we are watching all those things.

I dare say it will be fairly difficult for me to -- I certainly can try by looking at the rate of inflation over the years that the program has been in place, to look at that, but there are so many moving parts that $I$ don't want to make a statement in isolation that may be misleading.

The other issue that predates all of us as well is the fact that there were flaws in the initial assumptions around the 800 million that make it difficult to pinpoint one factor, but I certainly will look at it.

MR. HILLBERG: That sounds like a lot of work with little gain. Just start with the 800 million and say that's 2016 dollars and let people make their own conclusion because people will latch onto that and say you're wrong.
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MRS. MARTE: I'm always wrong.
MS. AKER REECE: Right?
MR. HILLBERG: Thank you.
MS. COKER: Good evening, everyone. Mary Coker, Director of Procurement \& Warehousing Services. Our first slide talks about our vendor onboarding that we went live on our automated system. And from the numbers shown you'll see that we have increased our registered firms as well as improving in our vendor registration amounts.

We have our Supplier Tech Talks which support our vendors and training and we're actually now increasing the training through the Supplier Diversity \& Outreach program to ensure further training for small businesses in how to obtain business.

Next slide, please.
So this slide you will see that we have total certified firms of 724. From looking at the previous quarter, and I always like to give a comparison from the previous quarter, you will see that we had an increase from the prior ending of Q3. But $I$ want to make sure that for the record we do state that, although we have an
increase this quarter for the entire year, you'll see that we do have a decrease for the entire fiscal -- for the entire year, 2019, as our quarter ending 2018 was 797 firms, and we're at 724 firms. This -- this decrease was basically on two factors, per the change in our policy that was supposed to increase tri-county inclusion, this eliminated a lot of business owners and certified vendors that were in Tampa or other places in Florida. So right there it demonstrated that we had a decrease in certifications as well as a lot of expired -- a lot of expirations of certifications, as our certifications before used to last longer than what they last now, which is two years.

So that's a particular reason why you might see a reduction in certification, but in future -- in further slides you'll see that we actually did increase in the amount of applications that were processed which goes to show the amount of outreach that continues to be done on supplier outreach.

We had an increase in outreach events, as I mentioned. And our commitment overall for S/M/WBE is 32 percent. Again, a decrease from prior quarters, which we've had 45 percent, but, basically, as Mr. Shim said, we have an increase in construction spend, we continue to move into the construction phase which shows a larger spend, therefore, you will start seeing a little bit creep up, the non $S / M / W B E s$ are being awarded business. So that's why the spend for S/W/MBEs or commitment continues to go down.

The next slide, please.
This is just a breakdown to show that basically almost 50 percent of our certified vendors are women. This is a really big increase for us, significant to what Florida TaxWatch had made recommendations very early on a few years back. We continue to ensure that women business owned continues to be included. So it's just basically a slide that shows 49 percent women and 51 percent male-owned firms break down our certified vendors.

Next slide, please.
Here it talks about the increase in applications processed. But when you really look at the amount of applications approved you have 196, which talks about -- demonstrates what I said earlier about our reduction in
certifications. There's a lot of backup in the -- in the section where we describe the introduction and executive summary of our report that you can see some of the significant business presence, some of the reasons behind the shortfall.

But, again, as I'm trying to keep a positive outlook, we have increased in application processing, which, for me, demonstrates that we continue to reach out -- do outreach and continue to try to gather more local businesses to certify and have opportunities to bid.

Next slide, please.
So our professional services, you'll see on the Q4 2019 we have zero commitment. There has been no activity, obviously, because we're done with professional services on the architecture side. QSEC, we're not having QSEC. We basically finished the design phase. So that's why there's a zero commitment for Q4. No activity, basically.

Next slide.
And you'll see also on construction, now you'll see that there's a larger commitment. As we continue year over year you look at the slide
from Q4, we have 32 percent commitment of $S / M / W B E$ versus Q17 and then Q4 -- I'm sorry, fiscal year '18 we didn't have any.

If you look at the left-hand side of the slide you'll find the construction, 87 million total contract, total awarded, and thus far 32 percent commitment. It talks about 32 percent of growth earlier. That's total S/M/WBE commitment for this quarter.

Again, as Mr. Shim stated, increase in construction continues to demonstrate as this number has gone down and we continue to focus on outreach to make sure that we do have the vendors available in order to continue to meet the demand of the construction solicitations that are being -- that are out to bid.

The next slide, please.
This is the same. These two are broken down between Heery and Atkins, owners rep. I'm happy to report that both Heery and Atkins have demonstrated consistent S/W/MBE commitments throughout their contract. So that's great news. I know that the original commitment was a little bit less, but they continue to make strides to ensure that $S / M / W B E$ inclusion is in there
business and contracts.
The next slide?
This is a breakdown for prime. This is by spend. So this is prime vendors, specifically S/M/WBE primes, where we show utilization of 21.58 percent, which constitutes, at the beginning of our introduction, the $\$ 111$ million spent. So this is what we paid out fourth quarter only to prime vendors, again, 21.58 percent.

Next slide.
This is by -- just broken down by gender. You have 64 percent men, 35 percent women. And as we continue on the construction side we are starting to see a little bit more increase of business being awarded to men, just, basically, on the amount of business that's -- the commodities that are being solicited, there's more business male owners than women owned. Maybe roofing companies might be a good example.

The next slide. Also, this is based on purchase orders, just kind of a little bit of repetition of the 21.58 percent that we show on S/W/MBEs and the 78 percent non-minority.

The next slide you'll see a breakdown, I know
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it's a little bit small, but you'll have a bigger graph if you wish to look at it in the report. We are trending to continue to increase on the cumulative spend here if you look at that bar.

And, again, the reason for the reduction that we continue to see is the increase in construction spend and that's why we're putting our best effort to ensure that either we work with the inclusion team that Heery has as well as the SDOP team to try to find ways where we can identify projects that there's opportunities for additional inclusion that might not have been identified previously so that we can then bring those numbers up.

Next slide?
We have 98 certifications processed. We've done two mentor protégés as a part of some bid solicitation. We've evaluated 133 solicitations. Supplier Diversity Outreach Program continues to -- its effort to be in the forefront of the time of solicitation, evaluation and even early on to try and identify where opportunities are available for projects that may not have been possibly packaged at this point, but to see how we can continue to increase minority inclusion.

Something that we've also done that we're recently doing, we've done some email blasts where we have -- where there is a bid solicitation, it's on DemandStar, we've created a database of vendors where we're reaching out to them letting them know that that's available on DemandStar. So, again, we've increased a lot on the outreach through different media outlets, a lot of ways to try to get to those certified vendors and getting them engaged to respond to our bids.

And on the right side of the slide you'll find contract compliance, working with the inclusion team, have a monthly utilization report, we're making strides on trying to continue to look at tier 1, tier 2 subcontractors and how we can ensure that we're monitoring that sulb spend, enforcing their commitments, the primes, enforcing the commitment with the subs, ensuring that they are, indeed, giving them the work, paying them on time, et cetera.

The last slide.
Just a highlight of some of the events that we've attended and we continue. I do want to highlight the last slide, the last three events
that we've held. One of the things that we've taken note is working more with the community and getting -- we create these focus groups where we've initially started them and we've broken them down by ethnicity and gender to try to target different reasons why you may have a more response rate from a Hispanic American community versus, I don't know, an African American community. So we've taken a lot of that feedback back into our business practice and we identified areas that now we are actually going to meet with larger organizations to make sure that we can connect the gap between those large organizations that may not be working with those small businesses.

We heard loud and clear from the small businesses what their issues are and now we're going to talk to the primes, the big vendors, to try to eliminate those gaps.

And, again, our main focus is to track and enforcement of the contract compliance and the commitments of primes with those subs, but ultimately we've got to continue to reach out to the community through outreach and ultimately be able to bring a success rate for what I call an

ROI, what's that conversion rate from the time that you touch that potential certified vendor to ensuring that they have been awarded business? So we continue to do that. And I think the numbers continue to show that and we'll continue through the path as the Bond program is now in a more exciting phase.

I don't know if you have any questions for me.

MR. BERNARD: Questions?
(No response.)
MS. COKER: Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: That completes the reports on the SMART Program.

Communications? I apologize.
MS. GARTH: Okay. I'll make it quick.
Good evening, everyone. Yvonne Garth, Garth Solutions. We're your communications liaison for the SMART Program.

Just some quick highlights. So the end of quarter -- the quarter ending June 30 th was essentially the last two and a half months of school, so we tried to make a big push at the end there with project charter meetings and going out to the schools. We did 31. And letting schools
know what they can anticipate, what projects are coming up. We do continue to leverage social media as much as possible to keep folks up to date. We had a little bit of a lull over the summer, obviously, with project charter meetings, but we tried to take that opportunity with all of the summer construction work that you saw previously, to capture as much of that work as possible, visually, through photographs and video, as well, and we're in the process now of launching some new things --

Next slide, please.
-- communication materials to let the public know on a broad-based level county wide but also specifically at the school level of what's happening. Some of these materials, including the SMART-at-a-Glance, which we actually distribute these right after this meeting, right after the BOC meeting, we take the information and we divide it by district and we try to push that information out to municipalities. Each Board member has a chance to send it out to their constituents.

Next slide, please.
Moving forward we are -- we do continue to
make a big push to leverage social media. One, it's a great way to get information out in realtime and very quickly, but, also, it's an inexpensive tool to use rather than printing a lot of paper. So we're trying to leverage social media as much as possible to push out updates through Instagram, Twitter, we just established a new YouTube account, and Facebook as well.

Next slide.
So, just really quickly, a look ahead. Building on the social media, we've been working collaboratively with the district communications team as well as the district technology team to find tools that the district actually already has in place that we can leverage to push out information. Particularly, I'm talking about Parentlink and actually we're excited to announce that, hopefully, tomorrow, but certainly by the end of this week, we're launching a digital version of the SMART Update, which is a monthly newsletter that we intend to put out. It's digital. It will be distributed through Parentlink to all parents that are in the database, and it provides a district-wide update on what's happening with the SMART Program.

Shortly thereafter, our target is in October, we will do the same but for each school. So the idea is that each school through Parentlink will get an update on a regular basis, not monthly, I don't think that's realistic for 232 schools, but certainly at least quarterly get an update on what's happening at their particular school. We're also going to use that tool to offer alerts. So if there's construction mobilizing at the school or, I don't know, there's a pattern change in terms of the drop-off line, it's a great tool to keep the public involved.

So that is our update. I'm happy to take any questions you might have.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Any questions?
(No response.)
MR. RABINOWITZ: Now that conclude the SMART Bond Report.

That brings us to Bruce, Workshop Review. MR. BERNARD: I went to the workshop June 19th. The School Board thanked all the members for their working on this committee. They discussed -- the long discussion that we talked about was how much money have they spent? The Board members were engaged in the discussion
about the additional funds that have been requested to complete the schools.

And they also had a big discussion with Frank on the roofing issues that were going on.

The School Board members discussed or talked about that we had talked about the management of the programs. The School Board members also would like to see, you know, an enhanced going forward better management of the program and schedule monitoring.

They talked about hard and soft costs. The School Board also wanted us to make sure that we keep hard and soft costs within the discussions, and just a little -- the School Board talked a little about the diversity breakdown and that was it.

MR. RABINOWITZ: The next School Board Workshop is on October 8th, 2019.

Bruce, do you want to go again?
I can cover it this time. I may be in trial, but as of right now I'm not. So I think I can cover that.

Our next meeting is scheduled for December 16 th 2019 unless there's any issues. Then I know I'm scheduled to be in trial, so I may not be
here on that day.
Is there any other business?
MR. SHIM: Yes. And so we -- this is the final report for this year, so we made it through another year. And, having done that, we just want to tell you that we appreciate all the hard work, and like we said, you guys do it for free, so the least I can do is give you a certificate of appreciation and thank you very much.

MRS. MARTE: From Superintendent Runcie.
MR. SHIM: Yes.
MRS. MARTE: I would have handed them out but I have a horrible cold and you don't want to be near me.

MR. SHIM: Thank you very much.
MR. RABINOWITZ: I guess that is all the other business. We'll break from the business meeting and we'll convene the public hearing.

Is there anyone from the public that was going to be addressing?

No? None.
Well, adjourn the public meeting, reconvene the business meeting, and is there anything else left to discuss?
(No response.)

MR. RABINOWITZ: Hearing none, I'll take a motion to adjourn the meeting.

MS. AKER REECE: So moved.
MR. BERNARD: Second.
MR. RABINOWITZ: All those in favor say aye. COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. RABINOWITZ: We are concluded. Thank you.
(Meeting was concluded at 7:25 p.m.)
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