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MS. SIEGEL: Let's get this show started. Okay. We'll just skip right over the minutes and start with TaxWatch. If not, where? Oh, the comments on every section.

Okay. So up first, Section 1, Technology.
MR. BRADFORD: Good evening. Matthew Bradford, Task Assigned Chief Information Officer. As you see from the slides here, so far we've deployed over 83,000 devices, a \$10.3 million outlay. Our current ratio is approximately 2.1 as far as our student to device ratio.

Next slide, please.
So far we've encumbered 9.52 million with 1. 48 remaining as far as outstanding projects related to work.

Next slide, please.
There shouldn't be anything else here because from the charter school perspective --

MRS. MARTE: Hold on. From there we do it by sections.

MS. SIEGEL: TaxWatch?
MR. NAVE: Yeah, real quick. The District
had allocated about $\$ 11$ million for improvements at the technical support service center. As Mr. Bradford said, all but about 1.48 of that money has been spent or encumbered.

There are four remaining projects that are going to use that 1.48 million. And our recommendation was, that beginning with the next report, that the District include the schedule for completing those and just kind of keep the Bond Oversight Committee apprised of the status going forward on those four.

That's it.
MR. BRADFORD: So we've actually amended our response as far as that goes with that information to give you an idea as to when we're going to start the project and the expected timeframe upon completion.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Just one quick question. With the construction going on in the schools, is that hindering your ability to meet any deadlines in the schools on some of these projects?

MR. BRADFORD: We have not run into any issues in that regard that have hindered us in that regard.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Thank you.

MR. BRADFORD: Anything else?
MRS. MARTE: Do you want to make sure we can all hear Adam?

Adam?
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I'm here.
MR. BRADFORD: Thank you. As far as our charter school items, they are completed.

MS. SIEGEL: The next one is Music \& Art.
MS. CANTRICK: Good evening. Susan Cantrick, Director of Applied Learning.

So first slide, please? Thank you.
So, as you can see, we ordered all the instruments for all the schools with programs. We have 192 schools with programs. The instruments that have been delivered to date is up to 96 percent.

We have around 1,100 items that are outstanding. What we're doing now is reaching out to the vendors and finding out where they are and if they've been -- if they're no longer available or the wait. I mean, it's been -- it's been two years for some of these items. So the orders have been in there and some of the things were delayed. So what we're doing is following up with the vendor and following up with the
school. And should the school want to cancel and reorder something else or cancel, altogether, we're giving them that option. But we just want to get everything X'd out.

Next slide, please.
So kilns, we have 123 kilns that have been ordered and/or delivered. We have funding left for approximately 13 more kilns. We do have schools with need, so we will be ordering those additional 13 kilns. And then we'll be complete with kilns.

Next slide, please.
37 schools with theater programs, all of those schools have put in their orders. Most of the equipment has been delivered and installed.

We have three new programs. We are working with them on their orders and then we will be finished with theater.

Any questions?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Who were the three new programs?

MR. LUECHAUER: Apollo Middle School, Sunrise Middle School.

MRS. MARTE: Come on up to the mike. We can't hear.

MR. LUECHAUER: Hi, Joe Luechauer, Supervisor of Theater. Apollo Middle School, Sunrise Middle School and Village Elementary School, those are the three.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Thank you.
MR. HILLBERG: I had a question. The previous meetings there was going to be a survey of all the schools to determine if all the kilns had been evaluated and determine how many are --

MS. CANTRICK: Every single kiln in the district has been evaluated either initially by my staff or should it need additional evaluations by PPO. So based on those evaluations we've done a number of surveys with the school sites reaching out to see what their kiln status was at the very beginning of the Bond Program. And at this point all kilns have been evaluated, as I said, by my staff. And should they need further evaluation, then PPO was called in. So if there -- most the kilns were so old that they weren't up to par with technology and there were some safety issues. So we have replaced most of the kilns that were no longer working unless the program was done away with.

MS. SIEGEL: Okay. Thank you.

TaxWatch, you didn't have any comment?
MR. NAVE: No, we have no issues.
MS. SIEGEL: Athletics.
MR. CERRA: Good evening. Shawn Cerra, Director of Athletics \& Student Activities.

MR. BOARDMAN: And I'm Greg Boardman with Facilities.

MR. CERRA: Our status remains the same. We are completely done with all the track projects and 29 out of 30 of the weight room projects.

The only pending project that we still have on the books is Northeast High School. We're waiting for the work to be done with the building that is going to have some new construction.

MR. BERNARD: You said you have 29 out of 30 . For some reason you have 30 on page 67. You have 30 of them listed complete and you listed South Broward twice.

So correct that, please.
MR. CERRA: Absolutely.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Way to go eagle-eye. You caught that; didn't you?

MS. SIEGEL: Any other questions?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I'm good.
MS. SIEGEL: TaxWatch?

MR. NAVE: We had no issues, but I would like to ask the question, if Northeast is still on track to be completed by the end of September?

MR. BOARDMAN: I'm going to defer to Danny Jardine to give you a status of the Northeast project.

MR. JARDINE: The short answer is no. We're in the process of going through the descoping with the designer and the building department and we have to go through the GMP process with our CMAR. Until we've brought them on board, the plan is for the weight room to be accomplished and completed as soon as we can get the CMAR on board, but we are not to that point just yet.

MS. SIEGEL: All right.
Facilities?
MR. GIRARDI: Frank Girardi, Task Assigned Executive Director Capital Program.

MR. JARDINE: Danny Jardine, CBRE/Heery.
MS. CARPENTER: Ashley Carpenter with Atkins, Cost \& Program Controls Director.

MR. JARDINE: Over the last quarter we've seen a lot of progress. Since our December completion report several e-Builder workflows have been implemented and these continue to come
online just about every couple of weeks. Staff has been trained. We've had numerous Board members come out and go through the e-Builder demonstration. So this process continues to expand and has been utilized by the entire team.

We've committed to -- we talked about getting 8 LORs every month to keep the process moving. From January 1 through last Thursday we had received 61 LORs, which means we're ahead of schedule.

We are continuing to keep the two-projects-a-week bidding.

Over the next several weeks -- we currently have 43 projects that are currently in construction and we have 60 that are in the pipeline for the contractor procurement, going to bid, going to award, and then going to the Board approval and moving to the NTP stage.

Hopefully, within the next month, 45 days, we're going to be approaching about $\$ 350$ million worth of projects that have been vetted, awarded and under construction.

With this 103 out of the 232 total, that represents almost 44 percent of the projects in the program will now be in the implementation and
construction phase.
We have continued to try to make a concentrated effort on putting communications out to the community about the status of the projects. Garth Solutions team has now created another report that we have given to the Board members so that they can email it out and better keep their communities involved and informed as to the overall status and progress of the program.

And then we continue to look at things that we can do as a team to minimize the cost impacts. We know that the roofing is our biggest Achilles heel. We have been working with the building department and we've got a roofing contractor -a roofing consultant on board and now they're even going back and re-evaluating some of the roofs that are under construction, working with the building department. We're looking at what was originally requested of the designers and looking at what's been bid and we're finding out that the demolition of the -- the scope of the demolition does not need to be as extensive as it is, and we're finding significant cost savings impacts on certain projects. We anticipate
substantial dollars coming back to the District as a result of the change orders.

So we are looking at projects that are already under construction before we issue the roofing sub permit to the building department. We're evaluating those projects that are in the hire contractor stage. We're trying to catch those before we go to bid and then we're going to go back and start looking at all the projects before they get to that stage.

So the intent is to go and evaluate every roofing job that's going to be in the program to see if we can reap the rewards of doing this further evaluation.

Next slide, please.
Oh, there you go. Where did she go?
Going through the April 30th, and this is covered in your gap report as well, we're down to the last six projects where we're negotiating with the designers. Several of these projects we're looking at converting -- some of these projects that were originally scheduled as a hard bid scenario, we're looking at maybe converting those to a CMAR process. So we haven't issued ATPs. We've hired the designers, we're ready to
go, but we're waiting on a final resolution at the District level, and I think we decided recently that we are going to be pursuing some CMAR projects instead of Invitation to Bids. We're now down 123 projects that are currently in design, which is down from 136. Again, we see more projects transitioning out of the design into the hire contractor, moving into the construction, and we still have our two complete.

We are very close to wrapping up the next group of projects. We'll be completing -- we'll have three or four projects that we're really, really close to getting them finally completed and closed out. So we'll continue to see those numbers increase.

Like I said a minute ago, within the next several weeks we'll have 100 of the projects underway in the construction side of it.

15 projects completed, and this is the update from the end of March to April 30th. So during the month of April we completed designs on 15 more schools, which that would have been 15 LORs for April. Eight schools were advertised for bid, seven contractors were awarded. In
addition, six NTPs, which signifies the beginning of the start of construction.

Again, on the next slide you'll see the 43 schools that are currently in construction. And, again, we have 60 that are in the pipeline that we'll be pushing through over the next several weeks.

One good point I'd like to talk about is, with all these projects coming through the system, we're still seeing numerous bidders bidding on each one of the projects. Some projects we're still getting four, five, six bids. Others we may get one or two or three bids. We're finding that the pricing is, I would say, very competitive. We opened bids on a project a couple weeks ago, a $\$ 3.5$ million job with a $\$ 30,000$ difference between the low bid and the second low bidder, which is like a three-tenths of a percent spread. So we're finding that the majority of the pricing is coming in extremely tight. We can go back and compare it to the Atkins estimates. We're beginning to see some of our pricing, and I would say a large majority, coming in at or slightly under the Atkins estimate, which bodes well for
us for the long haul.
I think we're going to talk about the risk assessment in a minute and how that's going to positively help us on that front.

So we've got some slides here of just overall projects that are currently underway. Again, you'll see a lot of roofing projects.

Stranahan High School, this is where we're going to be going into the auditorium and putting in fire sprinkler systems over the seating, extensive fire alarm, new heating and air-conditioning in that area.

Next slide, please.
Blanche Ely High School, the Big 3 Primary Renovations, there's been a lot of good things going on out at the school over the last couple of months. And when you go out there you can see the evolution of the new outdoor dining area coming up. We continually do -- we started the media center renovation over the last couple of weeks. Hopefully, when the kids come back from summer vacation they're going to find their new fully renovated media center. The contractor is manning the job, working very, very closely with Dr. Johnson and his staff at the school.

The School Choice Enhancements, we are 71 percent complete. The laptops and the adaptors are on back order. And then the weight room/fitness facility has been completed for over a year now.

Northeast High School, we are still in the building department on the descoping based upon the directive we received last year. We had a little bit of an issue with the designer in regards to fire alarm systems. We know that we have to demolish Buildings 8, 9, 10, 11 and 27. The designer is saying I have to provide an operational fire alarm system in these buildings even though I may be tearing them down in a year and a half to two years. So we've now come up with a successful resolution to pass forward that will allow us to get the LOR and we can start the GMP process with the CMAR.

On the new classroom addition, staff actually met with the principal this morning, went through the new plans, talked about the football locker room/ROTC renovations that are going to be going on, air-conditioning Building 7, and then the demolition of those buildings that will be coming down after we get the new addition completed.

And we're on track, we're having a GMP approved December/January, start construction early -early winter or sometime late springtime of '20 and being ready for kids summer of ' 21.

And then once we get into the new addition then we'll do our demolition and site restoration of the buildings that are supposed to be demolished.

School Choice Enhancement, we're 94 percent complete.

MR. BERNARD: Can we start back here rather than getting all the way through, because we have questions?

MR. JARDINE: Yes, sir.
MR. BERNARD: Let Bob go on this section if he wants to go first.

MR. NAVE: On Facilities, yeah, what we've discussed so far I would call everyone's attention to Figure 1 in our report where we look at the status of projects this quarter compared it to the last quarter.

The good news is there are more projects transitioned out of the design phase into the subsequent phase. So that does show some movement through the design phase. The bad news
is that most of those projects coming out of design have additional costs associated with them. And the reason why that's concerning is that there's 136 projects in the design phase. MR. BERNARD: Couple issues on the project we have going. If you look back at the SMART Program Budget, it looks like there's -- if I added it up, on 34 schools there is 88,000 -- 88 million, excuse me, in increases already on additional work. That's not even on top of the risk assessment; correct?

If you add everything that's on that budget report over the proposed budgets, what the budgets are now, it's $\$ 88$ million higher than the proposed budget. That's only on 34 schools.

What's this going to be -- what's this going to be when we get to 232 schools?

MR. JARDINE: I'm going to let -- Judy's going to --

MRS. MARTE: So that $\$ 88$ million is funded with the additional --

MR. BERNARD: I don't care where it's funded. I'm just talking about, we're already 88 million higher than the original budget for schools. Some of these schools are 185, 150, 90 percent
higher than the original budgeted.
Who did the assessment? How can this assessment be that bad? You have a 200 percent, almost, increase over what you looked at for the original bond issue.

This is a travesty for the voters of this county. How could you put something like this out? And now this bond issue is probably going to be almost double what was originally approved by the voters.

I'm on this committee and I don't like seeing it, and I'm a voter and I think they've been duped. Because this is not going to the voters. All these increases are just what you're adding on to the original budgets.

MRS. MARTE: So if I may, sir? And I apologize if -- so my understanding of the original budget was we asked our taxpayers for $\$ 800$ million as part of the bond issue. But at the point the program was initially constructed it was the intent that -- at that point back in time, we had nearly 300 million in capital millage that was available in the capital account at that time. So the original program as constructed was 1.1, I'm using round numbers,
billion dollars, of which 800 is that.
MR. BERNARD: Well, I've been sitting here for over two years and you have been adding money every year. You didn't have the money already. You were saying in two or three years you were going to get the money. So the money wasn't there. It was down the line it was supposed to be covered.

MRS. MARTE: There was an additional 225 out of millage that was coming in over the next five years that hadn't materialized yet.

MR. BERNARD: But that wasn't told to the taxpayers that you were going to take that millage.

MRS. MARTE: No, sir, it wasn't.
MR. BERNARD: That's what I'm saying.
So you didn't tell them you were already adding 225 million to the 880 million you already asked them for.

MRS. MARTE: That's correct.
MR. BERNARD: And now we're going through every one of these schedules and keep adding on and adding on and then with the risk assessment on top of that, where's it going to stop?

Who's got -- isn't there a bottom line
somewhere that we're not going to go over this so the taxpayers, you know, have a say in what you're doing here?

MR. JARDINE: Well, the team is continually looking at the project scopes and the budgets. The biggest budget buster we're having is on the roofing.

MR. BERNARD: I'm not saying the roofs. But when you increase the budget 200 percent -you've been around here long enough to know, that if you increase the budget 200 percent, it's not just from the roofing cost. There's other things being added into these schools. The repairs and new things are coming up. When you're having these meetings you're re-scoping everything and putting new things there.

So, you know, this is above and beyond what was there to start with. If one school goes from 12 million to 24 million, there's something wrong on the assessment when you first looked at them.

When this bond issue was initiated, where did you get that -- you're going to take the school with 12 million and double it to 24 million? Did you stand in front of the people and tell them that? I don't think so.

MR. JARDINE: Well, we were provided the assessment and some of the data in the assessment we had square footages that were not correct and we had cost -- we had unit cost for square footage that was incorrect.

MR. BERNARD: This is not all -- it's got to be additional buildings being worked on. This is not -- every scope is the same you're telling me?

MR. JARDINE: No.
MR. BERNARD: The scope has changed.
MR. JARDINE: The scope is driven by the max and the assessment from that individual school. Max may say replace the condensing unit on the air-conditioning on Building 1. It may have five condensing units. But the issue is, I can't go and just replace five condensing units. I can put five new condensing units on, but if the refrigerant is not compatible with the air handler, I've got to change that.

MR. BERNARD: I understand. I guess someone didn't see that when the bond issue came up. So I guess they sold a bill of goods to the voters then. We just threw this together, whoever did it -- I'm not saying it was you, whoever did it, threw these numbers out to get someone in front
of them and then they said, okay, when we get to it we can spend double what we originally asked voters for. That doesn't seem right. And no one's out telling anybody this. Everybody just brings it to a Board meeting, they vote, and let's move ahead.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, we have been telling people with our continuing budget that shows -we have been showing what the numbers are. And with these new revised estimates, we're meeting those estimates. So our estimates are good.

The previous estimates from back when the assessment was, I can't talk to that.

MR. BERNARD: But you're the School Board. You're representing the school. Whether you were here or not, you've got that on your shoulder now. You took that on.

MR. GIRARDI: I know. I got it. And I'm here talking about it.

MR. BERNARD: So that's one issue. The next issue is --

MS. CARPENTER: Sorry, can I just say one quick thing on that?

MR. GIRARDI: Uh-huh.
MS. CARPENTER: You know, the directives for
our team has been to deliver the scope that was originally, you know, set out for each school. When there has been a change in scope we've gotten approval from the Board to do that. So you know, for instance, Northeast High School, there was a big change in the scope for the way all that -- so we had to go to the Board, get them to approve that before we came back and said, okay, now they're, you know, going to vote on that. So what Danny mentioned is just ancillary changes to deliver the scope that was promised in the bond. It is costing a lot more than, obviously, what was in the assessment.

MR. GIRARDI: And one of those items is, when we've got to put fire sprinklers in, no one took into account getting water to the building.

MR. BERNARD: Again, my issue is, the voters didn't vote on all this money.

Next, the architects, see this nice risk assessment telling us every year it's going up five percent. I'm still shocked that you looked at some of these architects' times it takes through these designs. Everybody has to know that inflation was going.

Who's watching them? Who's standing on these
people? That's the biggest clog in this whole program and someone is letting it get away. So some of these you've got to add in your new plan schedule two years, two and a half years on some of these original design times. You take that percentage out of a 10 or $\$ 15$ million job, that's a lot of money going out. Of course, no one stood on the architects. And that money is gone out the window.

But we all sit here and say, oh, we're moving ahead, we're moving ahead. This money, the risk assessment, all that money is gone that we can't recoup because somebody on the team -- Heery, you were on this team to start with. Your guys are part of the problem letting them get away with it.

And just let me finish. You're here going through this whole thing, but it's the taxpayers that gets -- it's not coming out of your pocket. We're not standing on them. It's coming out again of the voters of this county.

MR. GIRARDI: Which I'm a voter of this county.

MR. BERNARD: Everybody in here is hopefully a voter in the county. But the oversight, you
know, you guys don't get a free pass because you came in and just change the schedule. Atkins and everybody, they've been hired -- we paid them good money to watch this stuff and they're still sitting at the table.

MR. GIRARDI: And we've made significant changes since we've taken over.

MR. BERNARD: Well, just whitewashing the table is not going to make significant changes.

MR. GIRARDI: We're not whitewashing the table.

MR. BERNARD: Well, we had -- they told us when they were in here earlier, you know, don't worry about it, we have everything covered for the first year and a half I sat here, before you were even in the room --

MR. GIRARDI: I know.
MR. BERNARD: -- we asked these questions. And lastly, on the -- there's 30 programs in here that's got flagged for primary renovations that you have flagged the schedule --

MR. GIRARDI: Yes.
MR. BERNARD: On the construction side there's 12 more. You've got some in there, schools that are like Annabel Perry, eight
quarters to complete, six quarters are already passed and you've got 10 percent of it done. You're behind schedule.

MR. GIRARDI: Absolutely. We're going to pick that up.

MR. BERNARD: It's not marked. You're going to pick it up in two quarters?

If it's late, it should be marked.
MR. GIRARDI: Right. You've got to look at them realistically. I'm just saying what $I$ saw when I was going to the Board. You're absolutely 100 percent correct. If it's at 10 percent and you've got a quarter left, you're not going to make it.

MR. BERNARD: Then why isn't it marked?
MR. GIRARDI: It should be marked as a potential.

MR. BERNARD: I'm not saying you won't. You might have a chance to pick it up and you'll look like a hero. But if it's delayed we're asking for you to show us what's behind schedule.

MR. GIRARDI: I've got it on my notes here for the next report. And you did a great job picking that up. I picked it up and I was going to discuss it with the team that we can't look at
something and wait for it to be late to say it's going to be late. You've got to look at the potential of being late.

There's another one, I've got a list of two or three that are --

MR. BERNARD: Well, I've got 12 of them.
MR. GIRARDI: Okay.
MR. BERNARD: So, you know, we need to make sure, now that we're in construction, you've got -- you guys put in your new dates, your new plans and stuff in there, you've got it dipped in your whitewash and everybody said, here, put your new dates in and tell us you can meet those dates, so if you're not going to meet them, you've got to put it on the schedule.

MR. GIRARDI: Castle Hill is one of them. Central Park's another one.

MR. BERNARD: Flanagan, Dr. Martin, Forrest Hill, Griffin, Lauderdale Lakes, Miramar Elementary, Quiet Waters, Chapel Trail, Stranahan --

MR. GIRARDI: Okay. You're right.
MR. BERNARD: That's all I have for that section.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I've got a question for
you. You talked a little bit about the fire alarm system. Have you been able to work with the School Board's fire marshall and have them take buildings offline so you don't have to have a fire system active because the building is not occupied and then allow that system to be temporarily down for that period of time so you don't have to squeeze in -- have they worked closely with you on that?

MR. JARDINE: Yes, sir they do. Like at some of the schools if we're in a stand-alone building where we're doing major work, the fire alarm system does not have to stay 100 percent fully functional because that's now a construction area and not an occupied student space. But we continually work with all the departments within the school district to make sure we can continue to try to move these projects forward.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. You're not getting any hold up there?

MR. JARDINE: We continually work through the challenges that we encounter.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. How about your contractors; anybody willing to work 24 hours? We built a $\$ 1.7$ billion hotel in just under
almost two years because they're working 24 hours a day and they're still running the business at the same time.

So has that been a consideration at all with some of your contractors, especially during the summer?

MR. JARDINE: During the summer, when we come up with those critical projects that impact student seats, you know, if I'm doing a roofing project and it's supposed to be finished August 2nd, if it's a couple weeks late it doesn't impact my ability to put kids in the seat or an instructional space. If we go through when we're looking at the new construction projects, you know, there's language in the contract that allows us to enforce the contract prerogatives, and if that means they have to go multiple shift, whatever they have to do, that's -- we have that prerogative that we can force them to do that. We're not to that point yet in any of the classroom additions because we're tracking on schedule for the classroom additions.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Well, Mr. Jardine and Mr. Girardi, I think it's time to put those in place and catch up on some of these projects that Mr.

Bernard's talking about. Believe me, that's the only way you're going to catch up. Otherwise, you're going to keep falling behind. And now is the best time to do that. And even when the kids are back in school, except for, you know, the clean up, it takes a lot of work to go back in and get the school ready, so I understand that's an issue. But now would be the time to put that in place and give yourself a break.

It seems to me based on Mr. Bernard's comments and some of the others that the tail's wagging the dog here. And I think it needs to be the other way around. The taxpayers are paying good money, they're expecting performance.

And, you know, I get it. At this time there's a lot of construction work out there, it's hard to get contractors. I get it. We've talked about that in the past. But I think it's time to put the pressure on them.

They're getting paid well. I think the maintenance and management fees are on the higher end of the scale here. So it's time to put the pressure on your contractors. If they want to have more work with the School Board, that's what they should be doing. That's what we do. And,
believe me, the job gets done on time and most of the time they're pretty near budget or under budget.

So I think you can do the same thing for the taxpayer that we do for the gambler and the other people and the tribe.

We have to make the money while we're doing that so it's harder to do that than it is what you're doing.

So I understand your situation, and we talked about this before, but $I$ think you need to put your contractors on notice.

That's my recommendation.
MR. JARDINE: Thank you.
MR. HILLBERG: So a couple slides back there was a summary sheet of the overall program. I just want to confirm that we're seeing the end of the process; there are no other projects out beyond zero that haven't started yet?

MR. JARDINE: That is correct. Yes, sir. Every part of the primary renovations as part of the SMART scope are now underway.

MR. HILLBERG: Thank you.
MR. JARDINE: Thank you.
MR. NAVE: Yeah, I would point out that the

District identified 16 projects that were flagged for scheduling issues, eight for budget and two that had both scheduling and budget delays. Most of the delays were for one quarter or so, but, again, we've just re-set the schedule for the second time and projects are starting to be delayed. So that is a concern.

The other concern is the 10 projects that required additional budget required about \$32 million in additional money.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Mr. Nave, in your -- can you hear me? Okay.

Mr. Nave, in your comments there are 407 days on average that were delays in each project in the design and construction phase by your notes on page 11, line 23 -- the second and third points down on the construction schedule.

Each one of those projects you said in the design phase were on average behind schedule over a year?

MR. NAVE: No, this was information that the District provided a couple of reports ago when we first started talking about re-setting the schedule. And I would defer. Mr. Girardi can probably explain it better, but this was what was
laid out in the Board Workshop and was presented to this committee probably a couple meetings ago to just kind of give you guys a better understanding of how each phase was going to be stretched out with the new schedule.

Frank, is that right?
MR. GIRARDI: Yes. We know we've had challenges from the beginning with the designers and the schedules. Some of the things that we've just put in place is we've developed fee schedules for revise and resubmits. Part of the contracts with the architects, in addition to delay costs, is a cost for revise and resubmits. Some of our projects are going six, seven revise and resubmits, which is not acceptable.

In their contract they've got two. Once they get past that two then we have the right to go ahead and charge a fee for every single review that the building department does after that fact. And we have developed that schedule and we are now bringing architects in and telling them what -- what it's going to cost them by the delays that they have been having moving forward. It's hard with the 300, 400, I've seen some 500 days delay in the design. You can't go back and
get that back. We really can't do much with an architect until we receive a permit or the LOR because that's when their time clock stops.

And I can tell you right now on some of those delays we're not totally clean. There are some delays that we may have caused as a district.

So we're evaluating all of those and bringing the architects in.

Our biggest push right now is for the revise and resubmits and some architects are taking, they have 14 days and they're taking a month or two to get the revisions in. So there's another opportunity with delays. We can sit there and just fee them to death, but what we need to do is just get them past the finish line and get the permits. So we are working on it.

MS. SIEGEL: So you mentioned that some of the delays are based on the School Board. What are you doing to make sure that this doesn't continue to delay the process?

MR. GIRARDI: I think we've eliminated the delays. It was just some decision making, getting specifications correct. There were things on the design side that could have delayed things. Not getting things back timely to the
architects. Well, we can't blame them if we're not getting it to them on time. That's why it would really take a really good analysis to see of all the days late who is really to blame. I think everybody's got a piece of that. There's no one that's clean in the delays.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Based on Mr. Bernard's comments, and $I$ know he was talking about the finance piece, but I'm concerned about how long it's going to take. Even in the report Mr. Nave provided we're talking about not even getting close to completing projects in a seven-year span versus a five. We talked about this before.

So what is our true timeline that we're looking at? Are we looking at -- if it's greater than seven, what's it going to be.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, the original was five years plus two. So it was seven years. When this first went out it was saying it was going to be seven years. Now it's more likely that we're planning on, per schedule, if we keep everyone in line to get the projects done, we're talking the end of 2022 beginning of 2023 to complete the projects. And once we can get them into construction then we'll have a better idea.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So there's no point where we're going to be able to move these things differently because you can put pressure on somebody? We're just stuck is what you're saying?

MR. GIRARDI: The other thing is --
MR. JARDINE: The ability to fund them, we're having to work very closely with Ms. Marte and her team on how we sequence and when the projects come out. If we see some opportunities there, that last group of projects that we bid, you know, we may be able to pick up several months on the back end of the job.

If we continue to see the risk assessment get tighter, if we're able to realize some of the savings from the roofing stuff that we're doing, it may help us finish up that last -- the last years of the projects a little bit quicker.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay.
MR. BERNARD: In other words, who oversees the construction portion of it?

MR. JARDINE: I'm sorry?
MR. BERNARD: Who oversees the construction portion of the project?

MR. JARDINE: We have our project managers.

MR. BERNARD: Who, Heery?
MR. JARDINE: Yes, sir. And with the team; yes, sir.

MR. BERNARD: So right now we have 43 schools that you said are in construction. MR. JARDINE: Yes, sir.

MR. BERNARD: And over 25 of them are already behind schedule; correct?

MR. JARDINE: If that's --
MR. BERNARD: 25 percent are behind schedule right now. So if we go to get 100 of those schools there are going to be a lot of schools behind schedule. If we can't stay up with 43, how are we going to stay up with 100?

We're falling behind now. And, again, this is on your shoulders, your people's shoulders. You keep coming in here and keep telling us how good it looks, but it's still not looking good. We just got into this -- we only had, what, eight months or nine months of some construction going on in the schools and we're already over 25 percent behind schedule on the ones that we have under construction.

And you can talk about this and that and say this and that, you still have to be overseeing it
and be on top of it. And like Chief said, if you've got to work more hours to catch up, you've got to catch up.

There's no excuses. You guys have had five years of excuses here. Your company has been sitting here for five years giving us all the excuses. Now it's time to put up and get something done.

And already I'm worried about what's going to happen in the next six, eight, nine months with the news coming out that you're already 25 percent late. You can't keep trying to catch up. You've got to get ahead somewhere in this stage.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Are you saying the money is holding you back? I thought I heard you say that some of the funding holds you back or did I misunderstand what you said?

MR. JARDINE: We have to be very cognizant of the ability for the District to work construction contracts. We have X amount of dollars in the reserve that we can -- we can't spend money we don't have. Is that correct? Is that --

Judy, help me out with some of the terminology.

MRS. MARTE: So I'm -- so until this point
there is not an issue with money. I have been asked by the Board, and I'm going to get a little ahead because I'm being asked the question now so I might as well answer it. Where is the money going to come from to meet the 433? In the next two years the DEFP -- so let me back up. The 433 is made up of two numbers, the 225 that was already identified from DEFP as being additional needed funds, leaving a balance of 208. That's based on a 70 percent risk range of $\$ 433,000$. So what I needed to come up with was a strategy to fund the $\$ 208$ million additional ask at this point in time.

I do want to start by saying, I apologize if this answer is a little bit long, but we've got a recorder here and I want to make sure I get to say exactly what I need to say. Having been here for 20 months, not being involved in any of the things that got us here, I'm being asked, where is the money, and I'm going to obviously do my very best to make sure that the taxpayers, and, again, $I$ am one of them, in this community get what they committed to when they trusted the Board with an $\$ 800$ million referendum.

So we need $\$ 208$ million based on the current
expected completion date of March 2023. So in the DEFP the next two years we have available an additional 52.8 million that's not allocated that could go towards that additional need of 208. In addition to that we have an additional 60.3 million identified as millage to be potentially shared with charter schools. The last two years the legislature has filled in that gap with PECO funding. We expect that will continue. Potentially those funds could go to fill that gap.

I think Mr. Jardine spoke a little bit about the contingency built into these projects. It is very early. We've only completed two projects, both of which returned money to the reserve. Not a lot, but they returned money to the reserve. We have a third one going to the Board June 25th as complete, which will return a half million to the reserve.

So we need to watch this closely to see what opportunity there is to adjust those contingencies if we continue to have projects come in favorably.

In addition to that, in years 2023 and 2024 in the DEFP we have unallocated reserves of
\$141.6 million. In the event that the risk assessment materializes as predicted today, we could issue RANs, Revenue Anticipation Notes, in anticipation of the availability of those funds.

So the notion that money is somehow slowing the process down, quite frankly, doesn't have any basis in foundation. Because at any point that I see that there's an issue we could go to the Board and ask them to issue a RAN. I've already had initial meetings with Treasury Advisory. Like me, RAN is not our favorite thing to do. It's not the nature of finance people to like RANs, but given the current market and the fact that the District's debt for our costs and other debt not related to the GO Bond project will drop off significantly in the next seven years, in fact, quite faster than most school districts across the country and the state, which is the reason why we have such good bond ratings, I started modeling what our RAN would look like, and I don't want to get too far into the weeds, but I can restructure existing debt to drop the debt, fill in -- in a nutshell, fill in that debt with a RAN and end up only paying $\$ 331,000$ more of interest over the next seven years based on
the net present value.
So I've looked at where the money can come from.

Additionally, we have vacant land that we're still looking and working on selling that we haven't booked. I continue to caution the Board and the team about scope creep, which I think you discussed earlier. We need to stick to the scope that we committed or have full disclosure. And we do, because we have to bring it to the Board. In my short time here, there's \$26 million of additional scope creep in the project during that time, which is over and above what we promised to the taxpayers.

I do also want to say, and I apologize for this, but the taxpayers committed to pay debt service millage on 800 million. That cannot change. The taxpayers will pay additional millage on that 800 million. What using other capital millage does is impacts our ability, and you know this, to do the maintenance we need to do on schools.

But I just think it's very, very important that I make it clear that it's not additional money we're going to ask of the taxpayers, but
absolutely it affects our maintenance program on our schools. I apologize. I think it's important to clarify that.

I will have a complete very concise written answer.

I also need to say it's a moving target. Mr. Shim and I look at this every two weeks when we have our meetings to make sure that we're aligned.

I work closely with Mr. Girardi. Every two weeks we sit down. I go through with Omar the details of this schedule that comes with the risk assessment and we're actually ticking and tying making sure that what they're saying is agreeing with all of the things we have scheduled in the DEFP so the money aligns.

Mr. Girardi's right. Right now the money is tight.

As we sit here today I've just informed the Board that we have $\$ 2.1$ million left in the reserve for the SMART Program. We have a Board Item in late June that makes that $\$ 500,000$ higher and then in July we get an influx of money from the adoption of the new budget cycle that puts us back on our way.

Next year is to be very tight. The timing of when $I$ issue RANs is very important. But if we keep up the pace that we've picked up the last few months, we stand ready to do that in finance. And I believe -- I know. I don't believe. I know the District can afford it. So I'm not worried about money being an issue around this program.

And, again, I apologize for a long answer but I needed to make that clear.

MR. BERNARD: I agree. You know, I'm not worried about the money or where you're going to get it from. You have it laid out so the money's there, but you're taking from Peter to pay Paul. Something down the road is going to suffer because you have to keep putting money somewhere else, the maintenance of the schools -maintenance not just at the schools, you have the equipment and the laptops and everything that we're buying, all that's got to be maintained that we're putting in. And the 25 percent they're behind in schedules can't be money because that money is already allocated or into the construction phase already. So those POs or whatever are already in the pipeline, so there's
nothing in money there.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So back to my original question, you're saying that funding is not the hindrance at this point, not to you as a construction manager or project manager or to your --

MR. GIRARDI: No, the projects that are up for award are getting awarded.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: It's not a hindrance to your subcontractors or anybody at this point?

MRS. MARTE: So --
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I'm asking that question of them about --

MRS. MARTE: But once the contracts are issued the subcontractors aren't impacted. They're issued -- they're based on award and we have plenty of cash flow.

I apologize for jumping in but $I$ wanted to make sure we didn't go down the wrong path. Subcontractors are paid based on awarded contracts which we have to have fund balance to award. I have adequate cash flow for the program.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay.
MR. GIRARDI: To kind of answer your question
from us, I mean, yes, once we award the contract, that whole amount is encumbered. It's there.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I get it. So then if you were to expedite your contractors and make them work 24-hour schedules during the summer to catch up on time is that going to change your funding? That's what I'm trying to get to.

MR. JARDINE: No, we have the money -- the money is set aside for us to pay the contractor.

MR. GIRARDI: And it's all on percent complete.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So regardless if they work eight hours a day; 10 hours a day; 12 hours a day?

MR. BERNARD: With contingencies.
MRS. MARTE: I have the cash flow. If they were to ramp up quickly I have current cash flow set aside for this. In addition we're going to the Board for a TAN, which is Tax Anticipation Note, to make sure that we have adequate funds to get us until November when our big tax collection happens like it does with every government. It's not an issue of cash flow to pay them if we indeed can expedite the existing contracts.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Right. So to get to my
point, my point was very straightforward, money is not holding up construction. If you
accelerate the construction schedule for some of these subcontractors, you get them in there working more, is there potential to get some more of these across the finish line much quicker; yes or no?

MR. JARDINE: Yes, the cash flow does not hamper us once we go into construction.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I'm being told that's not an issue or at least that's what I'm hearing.

A contract's a contract.
MR. GIRARDI: Right. If they go in there and they're 15 percent complete and they start work double shifts and they're at 40 percent complete, they'll get their check for 40 percent. The money's there.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. But where is the incentive for them to finish early or to get out of there on time?

MR. JARDINE: Their general conditions and their overhead profit. We all know the sooner you finish you're not spending money on superintendents and overhead.

MR. GIRARDI: If they've got general
conditions for 12 months and it takes them 14 , they're working free for two months.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. I get that because I know that part of the business. But my point is, they're going to want to get in there and get out of there and get their money. And the summer time is the best time to do that. Although it's vacation time for everybody. Have you talked to your contractors about doing that? Are they willing to do that? Or is that something you have to force them to do?

MR. GIRARDI: Well, I think of lot of them are already doing that because everyone knows the school system pretty much shuts down over the summer. This is our busiest time. We try and get -- we have two months, we try and get four months worth of work because we don't have to worry about students on campus. If we have 40 projects that we're doing this summer, the schools know, no camps. Depending on what it is. If we're shutting down the whole HVAC system you can't have a camp where you've got to feed kids in the cafeteria because there's no AC. So this is -- this is the busiest time for all of us, even overseeing what they're doing. So this is
where we try -- this and two weeks at Christmas. We try to get four weeks worth of work done at Christmas. So whenever we have students not on campus, that's when we have to go in there and get the most bang for the time.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: All right.
MR. JARDINE: Next slide, please.
We'll go to Stranahan High School. Again, the primary renovation project is still underway. We have encountered some problems on building number 5. We have identified some electrical issues that the team has been working on. We've gotten the change order approved and CTP approved for that. So they'll be able to start making those final adjustments for that. They've moved into, as you saw earlier, Building 2 starting the sprinkler pipe installation and the HVAC on that building. The contractor has shut down the air-conditioning. He's changing out the chillers and the cooling tower this summer and they started prepping for work on Building 6 and 7.

That job is going -- you know, are we happy with the overall performance? We're not as excited as we'd like to be. The contractor has made some new commitments so we've gotten a new
updated schedule working very closely with administration and the staff on pushing the project forward. The contractor continues to make improvements on the job. But is it where we want it to be? Not yet.

School Choice Enhancements, we're approximately 84 percent complete. And the weight room/fitness facility was finished up a year ago.

In regards to School Choice Enhancements we had -- 73 schools are completed and installation of all the enhancements are done.

There has been 1,058 items that have been delivered to the schools.

And, again, when you look at some of the popular choices, laptops, playground equipment, we're doing some enhancements in regards to security systems, music, office and marquees.

From the school flags, I think we've already talked about these earlier, there's 68 school choice flags and most of those are in the -there are several in the designs and the digital marquees. And if we're not 100 percent spent out on a project it shows as a schedule flag. Even though we might have $\$ 500$ left in their $\$ 100,000$
account, it still shows as being a schedule flag. We've talked about the 21 schedule flags on the major projects.

The one last thing we did want to -- I'm sorry, the next to last thing on the report is change orders. I know we hear a lot of different chatter about the amount of change orders, but right now we're tracking at . 1 percent of change orders of the total construction value. The unforeseen conditions continues to be the biggest driver of those. We've -- we've had -- I'm sorry. I just had a brain freeze.

On the change orders, the major categories, the unforeseen conditions, the errors and omissions, owner requests and tax savings on the projects, the major projects we are receiving a sales tax savings back that gets incorporated into change orders. It will be incorporating those as we finish the projects up, so we'll see a big credit change order coming back on those items.

As we've wrapped up this -- you'll see a couple of items on the Board agenda tomorrow, we're finishing up a couple of the projects so we have two to three change orders on the two
projects that we did complete earlier. We had less than -- I think it was less than 3 percent change orders on those projects.

So we don't -- you know, we're still early into the program, but we're not seeing significant cost increases due to change orders.

MR. BERNARD: Excuse me. Do you delineate differentiating between change order and scope adjustment?

MR. JARDINE: Change order is a change to the awarded contract amount. If there's a scope change that gets identified when the recommendation is -- when the project is awarded by the Board.

MR. GIRARDI: If there is scope change that's an owner request, usually, and that's where you would see that on these change orders. If you started seeing large owner requests.

MR. BERNARD: Just clarifying.
MR. GIRARDI: Yeah. So am I.
MS. CARPENTER: I'll speak to this slide, the hard and soft costs. You know, one thing that I just wanted to mention because I know, you know, Bob had a couple of recommendations on this, these percentages that were in the communication
that was delivered to you that are shown here in orange, those are really budget or planning percentages that were put together at the beginning of the program. And because we've seen such overages in budget on the hard cost side, the hard cost percentage has been going up and the soft cost percentage hasn't been going up as much.

Also, as we close out the project, because these were closed out, anything that was remaining in a contingency, you know, a soft cost contingency, it's swept and put back into the program and then, again, that reduces the soft cost. So both of those things really have the effect of the hard cost percentage going up and the soft cost percentage going down. And that's why these are not in the range that you saw in that communication which is more of a planning the way we set up the cost model at the beginning of the program.

So I wouldn't anticipate that really the soft cost of the completed projects will ever be in the 30 to 35 percent range, but I also don't want to say this is the trend. I mean, it's just two projects. You know, we'll see as projects
continue to complete, but, you know, we'll see somewhere in between.

MR. NAVE: Just one quick question.
We -- TaxWatch addresses the change order issue on page 18 of our report and $I$ just wanted to ask if that discussion falls within the District's definition of chatter.

MR. GIRARDI: Can you repeat that point again?

MR. NAVE: No, I'm sorry. Mr. Jardine talked about chatter with regard to change orders and I was just asking for clarification whether our discussion of change orders on page 18 falls within your definition of chatter?

MR. JARDINE: I was not referring to anything in the TaxWatch report, if that's what you're asking. But there are other people out in the community that make comments off the cuff in meetings about change orders. I was not referring to your report.

MR. NAVE: That's exactly what $I$ was asking. Thank you.

MR. BERNARD: Do you have anything in the hard/soft cost, Bob?

MR. NAVE: No, I had a chance to talk to
staff the other day and I have a much better understanding of why they limited that issue to two completed projects. It made sense to me, so I'm good with it.

MR. BERNARD: All right. I'm looking at it -- are you telling me you got the architectural cost in there and the engineering cost in there and the building cost in there, the building department costs? There's no cost when you send stuff to the building department?

MR. GIRARDI: No, that doesn't get charged to a project.

MR. BERNARD: It doesn't get charged to the project? It gets charged to where?

MRS. MARTE: So the staff in the building department has been part of the capital budget that precedes SMART, so they were funded out of capital to begin with. So it's not back-charged to the project. It certainly could be, to your point.

MR. BERNARD: Why wouldn't it? If they're spending time on this program, that's a soft cost. After all this reviews and all this six, eight times, that money should be shown as a hard cost here -- I mean soft cost.

MR. GIRARDI: What is shown -- well, what isn't included as a soft cost is, we do have in the program as part of a soft cost if we have to go out to an outside agency for assistance, for inspections, for review. If the building department gets bogged down and they go out to one of these companies, that is part of the cost of the bond program.

MR. BERNARD: Is Atkins and Heery's cost within this?

MR. GIRARDI: Uh-huh.
MR. BERNARD: How is that determined in each property? If you break down the five percent of this budget, it works out to \$194,000 a school.

MS. CARPENTER: The way the project budgets were initially set up is that the -- what we call PM fees, which is Atkins, Heery, the consultants that the building department would bring on, if needed, and as well as the builder's risk insurance, all of that is initially set at 7.8 percent of the total budget for the project. And we have been maintaining that.

Now, if a project budget goes way up it's possible that that $P M$ fee doesn't then match the 7.8 percent, but we're trying to keep it in the range.

MR. BERNARD: So you have 7.8 percent per project?

MS. CARPENTER: Between 5 and 7.8 percent.
MR. BERNARD: Okay. Architectural, that's 6, 8 percent?

MS. CARPENTER: More usually; yeah.
MR. BERNARD: How did you get only 12 percent? You've got furniture, you've got everything else that goes in. Everything else has got to be in that soft cost.

MS. CARPENTER: Furniture is part of the hard cost.

MR. BERNARD: Soft cost.
MS. CARPENTER: Well, neither of these have furniture.

MR. BERNARD: Well, there's other things, IT and all the other stuff we're talking about. It cannot be that low. If you've got 7.8 percent, the engineering fees are 4, 5 percent, the architects are 6 to 8. Your fees alone are 15, 18 percent without doing anything inside the building. And you've got 12. There's no way.

MS. CARPENTER: So one of the issues is also that, as the hard costs have gone up, the
architectural fees have not necessarily gone up with that. So --

MR. BERNARD: But just on the base on these two projects, there's only a $\$ 2$ million project, there's no way you're getting those 12, those numbers are -- you know, they're not right. And they need to be changed. And the School Board said they want to see these, and you guys were there, and if I go back in front of them I'm going to tell them they're wrong numbers again, you're not doing what you were asked to do.

MR. GIRARDI: We'll look into them. We'll verify them.

MR. BERNARD: Just the numbers alone. It's going to be over 15, 18 percent just with the -those guys and the engineers and the architects. I see these every day.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, not to say that -- not to -- I'll try to put this the right way.

On these two projects there was no -- very little or any IT, there was no furniture. All you really had was architect.

MR. BERNARD: Architect and then you're over 12 percent. They're 7.8.

MR. GIRARDI: Okay.

MR. JARDINE: We'll verify.
MR. NAVE: It looks like we're getting ready to go into budget activity. And before we do I want to raise the issue of single point of entry projects. The District's report said they're not going to talk about single point of entry projects. Two reports or so ago the Bond Oversight Committee received very detailed information on the projects of every single point of entry project on every school. In the last report there was no reference to schools, schools were numbered, but there was still a summary of the status of the projects.

And in our report we have said that we believe that the public, at a minimum, deserves to know the status of those projects. Because in the last report the District committed to have all these projects done before the students returned to school in the fall. Now the District said we're not talking about single point of entry projects at all.

And I think that bears some discussion.
MR. GIRARDI: On our part we have handed out to the committee members the reports. Probably one should have been given to you so you can see
it, too, but we have that.
We have been told by legal that we can give it to the committee because this is something that they need to complete their job as a committee member but it is something that we cannot discuss in detail in the meeting.

What they've got is there's 21 projects left and they will all be completed before the start of school.

MS. MYRICK: Frank, you can give the gentleman from TaxWatch a copy. You just need to get it back.

MR. NAVE: No, it's okay. I don't need to see it. All I'm saying is, in the last report the District committed to have those projects done and I think that the public, at a, minimum deserves a summary of when those projects are done. So that when children go back to school in the fall, they go back knowing that all the single point of entry projects have been completed or not.

I can't believe legal would have a problem with something that -- that type of summary.

MR. GIRARDI: And what that summary would be is, we do have a summary sheet showing how
many --
MR. NAVE: But the taxpayers won't see that summary sheet. You handed them out to the committee and you're going to pick them up when they leave; right?

MR. GIRARDI: Right.
MR. NAVE: How'S the public going to know the status of those projects?

MR. BERNARD: Well, someone on the mike needs to basically read off the report, what's been done or the School Board facilities reps can read off what has been done and what's remaining to be done.

MR. NAVE: The question I would have of counsel is, what is wrong with including a statement in the next report, if it's true, that says all the single point of entry projects have been completed?

MS. MYRICK: There's nothing wrong with doing that. The report that they gave them gave the 21 things -- the issue is we do not want the names of the schools that have not been completed in the public because it's -- first of all, it's exempt from public disclosure under the public records act because it has to do with security,
so we don't want to be out there and say, you know, the KC Wright Building doesn't have a single point of entry so that's why you could get on the campus. And so that's why we are not giving out names of individual schools that have been completed or not until they are all completed.

MR. NAVE: And I appreciate that. And at no time has TaxWatch asked that the schools be named. The only thing we recommended is that there be a summary of the status of the projects.

MR. GIRARDI: I will discuss it more with legal.

MR. BERNARD: I think all Bob is asking is, we have 21 left, by what they've given us everybody should know that I'd say 17 of them, of those 21 will easily be done by July 31st. There's four of them, two of them are halfway there and two more, you know, have a lot of work to be done and, hopefully, they'll make it by the end.

MR. GIRARDI: And going back to the summer work and the added shifts, they are doing that on those few handful that you just mentioned.

MR. BERNARD: So, hopefully, it looks like
they will be darn close to being done by the date we have of 7/31.

MR. GIRARDI: Yeah, the next report will not have everything is finished because that quarter ends June 30th. At that point, hopefully, we will have, if $I$ remember correctly on the count, there should be about 11 left after June 30th that will finish up by July 31st.

So on this next report we'll see what we can put in there on what is, of the 21 since we've just announced that, what finished by June 30th, what will be left, and I'll discuss that with legal. And then the report after that in nice big red bold letters will be complete.

MR. NAVE: Just read what we wrote and take our recommendation on its face. Don't read anything more into it than that.

Thank you.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: This is a question for the Board -- for the Board staff. If school starts right around mid August.

MR. GIRARDI: August 14 th is the first day of school.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: It seems like it's getting earlier every year.

MR. GIRARDI: Which gives us less construction time every summer.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So does the school security, they have a new security head for the School Board, has that played into the completion schedule for these? Are they putting in an alternative plan for those students?

MRS. MARTE: Yes. So I work with -- I'm Judy Marte, I'm the Chief Financial Officer, I work closely with Mr. Katz. And, again, high-level discussion because it's based on security, we have significant resources available to us this summer based on the generosity of our taxpayers as it relates to school safety. As we deploy those resources schools that potentially wouldn't have single point of entry completed, although the expectation is that we will, but the way the program is being designed is that there would be a certain number of staff that would fill in for absenteeism. But as school opens absenteeism is very small. And those staff would be assigned in the event, in the rare event, that Mr. Girardi does not make the single point of entry commitment that is actually well on its way to being done.

But there is a plan for that, sir.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Thank you.
MS. SIEGEL: Good. Okay. Let's move on to budget.

MR. SHIM: Thank you. Good evening. Good evening. Omar Shim, Capital Budget Director. This quarterly budget activity is for the quarter ending March 2019.

The total SMART Program budget went up by 32.2 million, $I$ think as Bob mentioned, over the last quarter and went from $1,032,600,000$ to $1,064,800,000$, which is shown on page 487.

A detailed list that's showing each project that had budget increases is shown on page 491 and 498 of the report.

Now, the current balance of the funds that are not expended or encumbered are 666.6 million. Evil number, I hope we can -- also, after the quarter ended the Board approved realigning the funds for re-baselining projects that were discussed. It is essential that we keep the same funding for the SMART Program -- essentially we kept the same funding for the SMART Program but utilized funding identified in the years projects were going to be awarded, which freed up funding
that was tied to those projects in this year's budget.

So essentially we aligned the funding to what was -- to what the schedules were, you know, showing.

So -- and that was done after the quarter ended. So you'll see that more when we do the next quarter as well.

The next slide?
As you can see only page 499 of the report expenditures through the third quarter are 230.7 million and purchase orders in place are 167.5 million. This is an increase in purchase orders this quarter of 36.5 million from the last quarter.

Finally, in addition to the SMART Program funding that are identified in the project budgets the Board set aside 225 million for projected cost increases, which we kind of talked about a little bit.

This district has used to cover unidentified risks in the program so far, out of the $\$ 225$ million, 109.7 has been used on projects that have been awarded and 115.3 remain at the end of the third quarter.

That basically gives you a status of what we have in reserves.

And that's pretty much it unless there's anything you wanted to add, but I think we already covered it.

If you have any questions, let me know.
MR. NAVE: The issues we had revolved around the risk, which we've discussed, and also the District's plan for coming up with the additional reserve which the CFO has explained. So I don't have anything else to add.

MS. SIEGEL: Anyone else?
(No response.)
MS. SIEGEL: Okay.
MS. COKER: Good evening. Mary Coker, Director of Procurement \& Warehousing Services.

So I'm happy to report this quarter we had a huge increase of total registered firms in our -as part of our supplier on-boarding process for -- for our vendors. We went from 1,529 to 2,711 this quarter.

We also increased our Supplier Tech Talks. This is our training and support for vendors who want to do business with Broward schools. We have 859 that have started the registration
process. This is a huge -- huge opportunity as we are targeting outreach.

The next slide, please.
We have also increased our total certified firms. We went up from 42 percent to 45 percent M/WBE contractor commitment.

And we did go down on the outreach events from 13 last quarter to 9 this quarter. And it's mostly because we're trying to do targeted outreach, not just necessarily have just outreach for the sake of having outreach, but more targeting aligned to the work that's now coming down the pipeline. So if we're looking at roofing work we're doing more outreach that's targeted to roofing vendors et cetera. So it's not about having more, but actually having more of a quality of outreach to vendors that we're going to be using, potential prospects that in turn will call and give us a conversion rate of how long does it take us from getting a supplier in to become certified and actually doing work.

If we go to the next slide, please?
So Professional Design Services which were originally the ones that we had been doing, architects, we had zero changes there. As we've
now moved into construction, the 12 contracts that we've had that make up the commitment of the \$31 million, which is the 45 percent M/WBE participation, these are commitments of the contracts that we've had this quarter.

Next slide, please.
There's no change. This is Owner's Rep, which is Heery and cost is Atkins, so it remains the same. We haven't gotten an increase.

Supplier Diversity, now this is spend for prime contractors and we've broken this down per ethnicity, per gender, per minority status for the next couple of slides. So you'll see specifically on ethnicity we have an increase for Hispanic-Americans. African-Americans remain flat. And we did have both decreases in both Asian-American and white females, slightly, 11 percent from 12 percent prior quarter. So those slipped a little bit. So we're looking at targeting more -- outside of Hispanic-Americans we're looking at targeting other types of ethnicity groups to ensure that we continue to have minority vendor inclusion of all ethnicities.

The next slide, please.

The gender, we have a slight increase of 1.6 percent from last quarter, not woman-owned. And we went down about one percent from last quarter on woman-owned.

The next slide.
We have not $S / M / W B E$ we have 73 percent. We went up from prior. So it's kind of a delicate balance. You're going to see that -- I like to always look at priors and compare just to see what the trend is going to be and we're like off a couple of percentages every quarter, a little, two or three percent up, two or three percent down, but it's very consistent across the board. But $I$ just like to show that at least for my -for my own sake to make sure that we're trending positively up and down, but, consistently.

And the next slide, please.
On the part where it says BCPS proposals, there's a slight typo there. That should be 191 proposals, not 91. That's the correct information.

And, basically, this right here demonstrates the amount of construction and the amount of work that is being put out by our team who evaluates these bids, these proposals and several parts of
my team. In this particular team they review the minority -- if they're certified and the certification and also the compliance aspects of the contract. Are you in compliance with the goals you said you were going to commit to, et cetera?

So this right here, if you go back and look at the very beginning, we had prior review of proposals, $I$ think if $I$ go back to last quarter it was 58 that we reviewed. And we went from 58 last quarter to 191 this quarter, so that right there shows you the amount of activity that's happening, which is good news.

Also an increase of solicitations that we have been tracking from 125 to 212. All of these numbers have skyrocketed. So it's very good signs of work starting to happen.

And then the last slide, I'm not necessarily going to go over it, but it just kind of goes over some of the events that we've done and where we're doing a lot of meeting the primes, we're getting prime vendors to work more and connecting them with subcontractors. We're doing a lot more training. Just continue to push to make sure that as we move to work along we support the
message that Mr. Runcie had, which was to reinvest those bond dollars to minority vendors and reinvest those directly back into the community, and that's what we're doing.

Thank you.
Any questions?
MR. NAVE: We have no issues.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I have a question. I have a question. On your last slide under 9, Events, can you explain to me how going to the Super Bowl Host Committee Informational Luncheon improves our ability to gather minority business?

MS. COKER: I'm going to go ahead and answer that but I will let Jasmine, also, our Supplier Diversity Manager. I actually happened to meet the gentleman. I've done a lot of outreach events in the community and this is a group of people who, since Miami-Dade is preparing Super Bowl 2020, they're doing a lot of outreach as well where they're looking for vendors across, you know, so, for example, we've -- we've gotten the connection where they might be looking -- the schools are having a DJ or a rally or something, so they pack potential small vendors that they're using -- again, not necessarily related to
construction, but this is an organization that's looking for minority businesses, small business around here to do things for them, in essence, to help them support Super Bowl. So we kind of made the connection being that we're also a large organization that can also provide some synergy amongst vendors.

But, please, Jasmine, if you want to -because I believe you were the one that attended that event, this is just from firsthand knowledge of meeting the organization and the gentleman.

MS. JONES: Jasmine Jones, the Supplier Diversity Program Outreach Program Manager. Pretty much I just have to echo what Dr. Coker just said. That organization actually recognized minority and women businesses within the tri-county area. So that's the reason why we wanted to at least partner with other school boards for any agencies that actually have that M/WBE certification and we thought that it was a good fit for us.

So since then many of their businesses have -- that have not had that opportunity to at least partner with us, they have since, you know, registered and also became M/WBEs as well. So it
was a win-win situation.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay.
MS. SIEGEL: That's it?
(No response.)
MS. SIEGEL: All right. Thank you.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Just one more question. I didn't see any Italian-Americans on here.

MS. JONES: Oh, they're all in there. They're in there. Just like the sauce.

MS. SIEGEL: Communications.
MS. GARTH: Okay. Last, but certainly not least, Communications.

Yvonne Garth, President of Garth Solutions, your communications liaison from the SMART Program and CBRE/Heery.

Just a quick update on our activities for the quarter ending March. We primarily focused on going out into the community. We've been out there in a number of different formats. We've done quite a few project charter meetings, 25 of them. We think this is important to do. We go out to the task meetings and make sure that we update the community on the scope of work, help to manage -- set expectations on what they will see during the construction process. The project
charter meetings are typically done during the design phase, so that those expectations are set before any work begins. So we did 25 in the last quarter and we've done 134 to date.

We've also done additional outreach events. One of the unique outreach events that we did this last request quarter was going out into the community on the three schools that were being evaluated for additional options for replacement versus renovations. And it was at Board direction, we went out to Margate, McArthur and Plantation to share with the community what was being proposed for their particular schools and also to get input from them. So we did surveys, we got the input, we were able to take that feedback and incorporated it in the recommendations that were made to the Board.

So we do plan on continuing being out in the community moving forward. Of course we continue our efforts in communicating with principals on milestones at their schools, as well as being active on social media through Twitter.

As far as reporting and collateral materials, we have looked at ways to enhance the communication and the frequency of communication
and the way that we're reporting. So we're expanding our toolbox.

As far as reporting, we have increased the number of reports that we are offering to the Board members and I think you've seen some of that with the gap reports.

We are also working with Frank and Ashley and Danny to make sure that the Board members receive their monthly report.

We are taking the spotlights. One of the issues we've had or concerns we've had is the gap in the reporting between the quarter ending. So typically the spotlights that you see on the website are from the previous quarter and there's a gap in information because of the -- because of the period between the quarter ending -- the report ending period.

So we are trying to put information out there more frequently and we're working to put those spotlights up on a monthly basis moving forward.

In addition to that is, I think Danny mentioned earlier, we are developing some additional collateral materials. We have a piece that we do -- we're trying to do at least every quarter by district as well as countywide that
highlights the progress made in each district where the Board members are able to email that out electronically. And we would like to, moving forward, put those out.

As projects begin to go into construction we have more material visually that we can share with the public, so we are working with the public information office to identify ways through their PIVOT system to communicate progress out through the principals, but out into the community on construction work as it begins, as it gives us an opportunity to provide regular updates to those schools that are actively underway, but also gives us a vehicle to share any alerts, if there's a construction alert and something that we need to immediately notify the community of, we are doing that.

I did also want to mention that we are able to -- we are trying to capture as much, not just pictures, but the activities in digital format as well. We are fortunate in that we have a licensed drone pilot. So, for example, because there is so much roofing work going on, we're not allowed to actually climb up on the roofs, so we're able to capture some of that through drone
footage and share that through social media.
One of the last things I did want to mention is, it still follows communication but it's training. You know, the team looks at ways to mitigate some of the challenges that we're having, for example, with the roofing part. One of the ideas that the team came up with was to go out and meet with the roofing business community, the contractors, the architects to try to help proactively communicate with them the challenges that we've seen so far and solutions that we are identifying to help overcome those challenges.

So we're in the process of working with the building department and working with the team to put on a roofing training, if you will. That's going to happen towards the end of this month. So we'll share with you the results of that at the next Bond Oversight Committee meeting. But I just wanted to share that because we're not just looking at ways to communicate with the general public but we're looking for ways that we can use the communication to help us overcome some of the challenges that we're facing in the program.

And, with that, I'm happy to answer any questions that you might have.

MS. SIEGEL: Bob, do you have any questions?
MR. NAVE: No, but I would like to raise an issue that is somewhat related but outside the scope of our report.

And on my way down here today I got a call from one of my communications staff who said that this meeting was not included on the calendar on the Bond Oversight Committee website or on the School Board website. And when I got to the hotel I double-checked and then verified that the meeting is not calendared on those websites.

And it made me wonder, one, who is responsible for putting these meetings on the Bond Oversight Committee and the School Board's website? And, two, unless someone saw this meeting notice in the paper a week or so ago how would anybody know we're meeting tonight?

MS. GARTH: That's a good question, but I'll have to ask Omar to help me with that one.

MR. SHIM: Well, you're right, the meeting is advertised. So, you know, as far as that part of it is the requirement. We did -- we do typically put the meeting on the website, but I think there was an oversight this time and we'll make sure -especially when we have all the meetings planned
out, we should have them all on our website. So we'll make sure that happens. It was advertised. MS. MYRICK: I've seen it.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Just one quick question. Do you stream any of these meetings or do you just put them over the television or how do you -- these other outreach charter meetings? If I'm a parent and I'm home and I have three or four homework assignments with my kids for the night and I want to know what's going on in my community, but I can't get there personally, how do I get feedback besides what you give a parent? Is there a way for them to, when you're at some of these project charter meetings, for them to get access or to hear what's going on in those meetings?

MS. GARTH: That's a good comment. Thank you.

Right now, no, those meetings are not streamed. We do post that the meeting happened and where they can get information on the website about what's happening in their school. I will say that moving forward one of the -- I mentioned toolbox that we're expanding and we're working with the PIO to do that, and one of the tools
that we're trying to put out is what we're calling -- it's really essentially a newsletter that the principal can send out to the parents on a regular basis and communicate it through PIVOT.

So we are in the process of developing a communication piece that will go out on a regular basis. You know, we do send out an invite for the meeting, but if you can't attend the meeting, then we can send an update through that form of communication.

But to answer your question, no, we're not streaming it at the time.

MS. SIEGEL: All right.
MS. GARTH: Thank you.
MS. SIEGEL: So if we still have a quorum would we like to go back and look at the minutes?

MR. BERNARD: Motion to approve.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Is that him on the line?
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Yeah, I'm here.
MR. BERNARD: I said motion to approve the minutes.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Second.
MS. SIEGEL: All in favor?
COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
MS. SIEGEL: Unanimous. Minutes are
approved.
All right. You're up with the School Board Workshop.

ChIEF DIPETRILLO: Thank you. On March 6th Mr. Shim and I attended the Bond Oversight Committee Quarterly Meeting. He provided me very healthy notes for the meeting. It lasted much longer than I stayed. Fortunately, they put me right up front and we had a few things that we had feedback on for the committee and also for the contractors.

The first one was that some of the members of the Board wanted to know, if you had a flagged project, once the flag project was completed could their budget adjustments be indicated after the flag was removed?

That was a question for the -- for all of you. Is that possible for you to be able to show that in your completion schedule.

MR. GIRARDI: You're talking -- your question is with regards to the budget, what is flagged for budget?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Yes. That was from them specifically, to look at that and see what that is at the end of that flagged project.

MR. GIRARDI: Right. Well, right now when we do go to the Board for award, 9 out of 10 times it's going to be for additional funding, so those projects will get flagged. Now what you're looking for is when we get to the end of the project -- because the flag will come off after that first meeting and then it will not be flagged unless we have to go back to the Board for additional funding.

At the end of the project when Facilities is completed we go to the Board to close the project out. At which at that time we have a fairly good idea through Ashley and Atkins where we're at. But the final -- final savings will come from capital.

Now, are you asking for the savings amount to be put into the report, showing how much we saved?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Well, I think what they were looking for was, if you have a project that's flagged and if it's going to be over or under at the end you report that under completion once those projects are released. So the school, the individual that are parents that are going there know whether that project came in and what
the cost overruns were or the savings were based on that project.

That was my understanding. Same as you, Mr. Shim, about how they wanted to do that?

MR. SHIM: Yes.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So when the project was totally completed you either had an increase or you had a decrease and then you would report that at your completion point. That was one comment that they made. Is that possible?

MR. GIRARDI: Well, that's something that I think you do right now. When they close projects out they get a monthly report.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: But are they being reported that way is, I guess, the question.

MRS. MARTE: So we need to communicate that out to the parent. So we're closing out the project showing the variances in the project but it needs to be communicated to the stakeholders of the project. We are not currently doing that.

So we'll make sure as we close the project -and we haven't done a substantial closing yet, so it's really not an issue yet, but going forward, based on the Board's input, we'll make sure that happens.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Yeah, I'd like to see that, too. I think that would be helpful to see the ones that are up and down. It's nice to see some that are down. It gives you a little hope. MRS. MARTE: You have that in your data. CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I understand but they wanted us to look more closely at that and also to provide it to the stakeholders.

There were four other comments.
I'm not quite sure how this one would work, but they were talking about packaging larger operations, larger projects and smaller ones by separating them out and packaging them. I'm not sure how that item came up. Is that something from all of you that maybe you related to them?

MR. GIRARDI: That's probably the carveout of projects, where if we're looking at building a project that has roofing, and let's say fire alarm, why do we put that out to a general contractor where you've got their added overhead and profit? Where we carve those out and we put it out to do the roofing and then we put another one out to do the fire alarm? The issues we run into in some of the projects where we've got roofing and HVAC. If it's HVAC inside the
building and nothing on the roof we can carve that out. But if it's roofing and HVAC where the unit's on the roof there's got to be coordination there. And if we don't hire a general contractor then we become the general contractor and take liability. So in those instances we want to go with the GC. But we are looking at other instances where we can separate packages.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Yeah, they thought that had a high impact on the in-depth on the big projects, especially. They were concerned about that.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, on the real big ones it's very hard to carve out. That's where you need a general contractor to oversee the whole project.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. That was one of their recommendations. I wasn't quite sure where they were going with that.

MR. GIRARDI: We've had many conversations with them about carveout practices.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Another one was scheduling, trying to keep the principals, keep them in the loop, the principals of the schools, on the scheduling piece. I thought we were doing that already.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, we are.

MR. JARDINE: We are. And we're also referring to how we communicate with principals and how often and the preferred methodology. Making sure that the principals are having more conversations with the $P M$ team on actually where we are in the construction process.

MR. GIRARDI: We've had a few projects where there might not have been the communication that needs to be, and, as you know, if you don't communicate with your stakeholder, there's issues. So on the few projects that that has happened we've resolved that and we need to constantly speak to the principal. Or if not the principal, a lot of times they put an AP in charge of facilities, so --

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Yeah, I thought they said they needed some improvement on that area. I'm glad to hear that you're doing that.

And they asked me about the e-Builder tool. Was that something new that you all were putting forward?

MR. GIRARDI: Well, the e-Builder back in January we talked about that come February of this year we were going to be implementing a lot
of the processes where you can actually --
e-Builder will be doing what we purchased it for. So we can do that and we had probably five or six of the Board members came out to Facilities and we gave them a demonstration of e-Builder. And not to talk for them, but they left extremely happy. Because prior to that we were constantly, where is e-Builder? Why is it not running? Well, it is up and running. Maybe not in the full capacity. But after they left the demonstration $I$ would say they left happy with the progress we made.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. That was one of the positive things I thought that came out of the meeting. I suspect you're going to continue to -- those that didn't get educated on it, did they express any interest in taking a look at it? MR. GIRARDI: Yes, they're still going to come out there. We just have to schedule it. We've got one next week, one of the Board members is coming out next week for their demonstration and then we have two more remaining. Even Mr. Runcie, the Superintendent, came out for a demonstration.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Thank you.

And the last one that $I$ made a note on, and Mr. Shim you can probably add if I left anything out, they were looking to make sure that we kept an eye and reviewing on the minority women business enterprises and making sure that we didn't let that fall, fall back.

Again, I didn't see any Italian Americans on it, so I'm going to check that next time around.

That's all. Thank you.
I don't have anything. Mr. Shim, do you have anything to add to that.

MR. SHIM: No.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: No? All right. Thank you.

MS. SIEGEL: So the next workshop is going to be August 13th, 2019.

MRS. MARTE: We need a representative to come give the report from the Oversight Committee, please.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I just did one so don't
look at me. I'm on vacation. I'm cruising.
MR. BERNARD: You can put me in.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Do we have a volunteer?
MS. SIEGEL: Mr. Bernard.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Way to go, Bruce.

MS. SIEGEL: Okay. The proposed meeting dates for future Bond Oversight Committee, September 9th, 2019 and December 16th, 2019.

All right. Okay.
So we're good with those dates?
Adam, are you good with those dates?
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I'm still good.
MS. SIEGEL: All right. Great.
Okay. So moving on. Any other business?
ChIEF DIPETRILLO: Yes. I don't mean to be the -- I don't mean to be the one taking the mike up tonight, but it's my turn, I guess.

MS. SIEGEL: Open mike night.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Open mike night.
I just want to make the committee, the Bond Oversight Committee and some of the others aware of the fact that the Police \& Fire Chief's Association have been addressing radio connectivity for emergency services as a result of some of the things that are coming out of the more recent studies and some of the commissions. The Fire Chief's Association assigned a team to go out and do some testing of some new technologies that are out there that would give school districts and others the opportunity to
communicate in a large incident within the buildings that don't have bidirectional antennas or radio reception, and the plan is to pass that along to the security folks in the School Board. I'm sure they're aware of it.

The idea here would be for both police and fire chiefs to have a plan with the new security director, I think he's come to a couple of our meetings but I haven't seen him lately, to put a multi-faceted plan in place that would allow for emergency communication with the new radio system. It looks like the current radio system, which is being held together with baling wire and duct tape is going to have to go a little bit longer because of some delays in the current system. The counties are at a little bit of odds with some of their communities and the fire chiefs and police chiefs over the delays in the system and it might even be delayed up to a year.

So this might provide some temporary fixes. The cost is substantially less than adding additional safety features.

So I just wanted to bring that to the committee's attention. That would also work in situations where there were problems during
storms or other things where you have to provide emergency services, not just in an active shooter and other type situations.

So I just wanted to bring that forward and that's all I have.

MS. SIEGEL: Thank you. All right.
Any other business?
(No response.)
MS. SIEGEL: Okay. At this time we are going to recess our business meeting and convene our public meeting.

Is there anyone signed up?
MR. SHIM: No, there isn't.
MS. SIEGEL: No? All right.
Okay. So we'll adjourn the public meeting and reconvene our business meeting.

Is there anything else that anyone needs to --
(No response.)
MS. SIEGEL: We're good? Okay.
MR. GIRARDI: If we could just remember to turn back in your single point of entries?

MS. SIEGEL: Should we adjourn the meeting?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Adjourned.
MR. BERNARD: Adjourned.
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