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CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: All right. We can call this meeting to order.

The first order of business was the approval of the minutes from the meeting held on December 17th, 2018.

Is there a motion to approve the minutes --
MR. HILLBERG: So moved.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Is there a second?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Second.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: All those in favor say aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Motion passes. The minutes are approved.

The next item on the agenda is the Bond Oversight Committee Quarterly Reports and it indicates that Florida TaxWatch will provide comments after each section.

So we'll start with Section 1, which is Technology.

MR. BRADFORD: Good evening. My name is Matthew Bradford. I'm the Task Assigned Chief Information Officer and I want to highlight two
slides here we have. Since the bond was initiated we've deployed 83,362 devices with a savings of $\$ 10.3$ million. And our current average as far as our student ratio is two students to one device.

We were allocated $\$ 9.16$ million as far as our projects with $\$ 1.84$ million remaining for projects that are pending or in process.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Go ahead, Bob.
MR. NAVE: Sorry. Thank you, sir.
I think there's a couple of issues to discuss. All of the in-the-school technology upgrades have been done, but the remaining work is being done in the Technology \& Support Services Center. And there's been about 9.2 million or so allocated for some improvements there. We've noted since the last report three projects, load balancing system, midrange system upgrade and some server hard drives have been taken off of the project list and there was no explanation for that.

Also, the server blade growth project, there has been an additional $\$ 125,000$ allocated to that and there was no explanation for that.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Technology is typically
one of our bright spots. I guess that's why we're starting with it, which is a good thing. But relative to TaxWatch's things that they've noticed, do we have an explanation for the increase relative to the server blade project and the matters that were -- didn't appear on the current report that were on the last?

MR. BRADFORD: Thank you. The load balancing report -- I mean, the load balancing project was completed. It was actually completed with a $\$ 15,000$, $I$ would say balance, if you will. So we completed it $\$ 15,000$ less than what we initially thought. And so our goal was to move that $\$ 15,000$ to the UPS project. We'll speak to that as necessary, as well. The midrange project was completed during our second quarter and we had $\$ 10,000$ or so dollars remaining from that and we want to move that to the UPS project, as well. And our server hard drive project was completed, as well, and it was actually completed with our archive security storage product. So we actually completed that too. And so those have been completed and if we need to provide additional information we will.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Would it satisfy

TaxWatch if the next report just simply indicated that those projects were completed?

MR. NAVE: Yeah, and that's what's been done in the past. There was a matrix in that section of the report and it showed the description of the project, the amount of money that was set aside and the status of the project. So it would have been very easy just to show those and show them as completed and then we would have had no comment.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Will that be completed?
MR. BRADFORD: Yes, duly noted.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank so much.
Any questions for Mr. Bradford?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Great. Moving on. Thank you so much.

MR. BRADFORD: Thank you. Moving on to the charter school's technology issues.

That's completed?
Moving on to Music \& Art Equipment.
MS. CANTRICK: Good evening. Let me get cued up here.

So we have -- again, we have completed all the orders for musical instruments. We have

192 -- completed all orders for musical
instruments. We have 192 programs in music, 26 schools without music programs, with which we are still working to try and incorporate music into their day or after school so that students have the opportunity and exposure to music.

To date we have -- well, as of the 31st of December the percentage of instruments delivered is 93.9 percent.

In reference to kilns, we have 105 kilns on order or delivered to date. As you can see, 20 pending delivery, 19 that have been delivered to the warehouse, and 66 delivered to the school.

Additional information, my team has gone out to expedite the timeframe for addressing kilns that we had not received any feedback from the schools about. We sent four surveys out to the schools and some schools did not get back to us with the status of their kilns. So my curriculum supervisor and her one person support staff went out and reviewed 60 kilns and so we're now looking at which of those need to be replaced and adding them to this mix.

And, finally, theater, all 37 schools with programs have submitted their orders. And we
have three new programs that are in the process of ordering, so that will bring us up to 40 schools.

We're still reaching out to schools to try to encourage them to start theater programs anywhere from K-12.

That's my report.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you. Let's let Bob go first and see if there's any comments from TaxWatch and then there's a question.

MR. NAVE: No, we have no concerns.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you so much. Laura?

MS. AKER REECE: So my question is, if we're running short in other areas of the budget why are we encouraging new projects or new programs?

MS. CANTRICK: Sure. So we have schools that don't have music programs and schools that don't have theater programs. So all these dollars that were allocated to those areas, we would like to see these to support those programs.

So we have schools that don't have music and so those children are not -- you know, they're not getting that exposure and we feel that that's a detriment and we would like to address it if at
all possible. There will be schools that will not be able to afford their children that opportunity but we want to do everything we can to make sure that we've done our due diligence to provide them with the opportunity to give that to their children.

MS. AKER REECE: So when there's extra money in one area, like IT, or theater, or whatever, you don't reappropriate that to areas that need more money?

MS. CANTRICK: No.
MRS. MARTE: Through the Chair?
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Yes, please.
MRS. MARTE: Judith Marte, Chief Financial Officer. In the case of music, at this point in the program we have opted to leave those dollars in the bucket they were intended. But in the case of technology, the overall program came in at about $\$ 10$ million less than we expected and those dollars were reappropriated into the fund balance to be reassigned, and, ultimately, were reassigned to the facilities portion of the work.

MS. AKER REECE: So the School Board made that decision, a policy decision; is that how that worked?

MRS. MARTE: The moneys went back in the fund balance and the School Board, by their vote, reallocated it into facilities. So I dare say at some point there would be a similar decision made with the music money, albeit a much smaller balance, but -- and it's a little bit different situation. So if we can move technology more efficiently and still accomplish what we needed to accomplish, that money clearly should have been reallocated. In this case we still want to encourage schools to offer their children music and we're going to continue to work on that. So there's a little bit of a different situation with this option.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: You mean music doesn't have an extra 400 million laying around?

MRS. MARTE: Unfortunately, sir, I don't believe so.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I said that in jest.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: I have a question. How many schools don't have music and what -- are they elementary; mostly elementary; middle; where are we lacking music?

MS. CANTRICK: There are 26 schools. It's predominantly elementary. And it's -- for most
of those schools it's because the population is low and if they're choosing a program they're typically choosing art or music and not both because they don't have the students which equate to the dollars to help them to hire a teacher for each area.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: So, basically, it's the schools or the students that need it most are not getting it at this time. Okay. Thank you.

MR. BERNARD: So, if I'm understanding this, when this bond issue went out someone just put a number under music and kilns and everything to spend and without doing due diligence to see what needed to be in every school that already had it or -- because now it looks like to me you're looking for schools to take music and add it to their curriculum that don't have it. But I thought when this bond issue went out, after everybody was looking what needed to come in, not for something they didn't have, but what improvements need to be to what was the existing and not looking to put on and put on extra things.

MS. CANTRICK: So I wouldn't look at it as extra things. I would look at all of the
instruments that the District had been using were with the schools that had music programs, and the majority of them did, if you go back to --

MR. BERNARD: Well, I'm not talking about the ones that had it. I'm talking about now that you're looking to start new ones.

MS. CANTRICK: Right. So they may have had a program previously, they would have still have had old instruments that would have needed to be replaced. And even if they didn't have a program, to provide that opportunity for those children -- the money was allocated for every school; right? So there's money allocated for every elementary school, every middle school and every high school.

MR. BERNARD: That's the question. Why, if you didn't have a program, why was it allocated for that school if it already didn't have an existing program? It's like telling me, why don't we put a track at every school that didn't have a track or a gym at every school that didn't have a gym. It's the same thing you're looking at. I just thought they looked at everything that was existing, what needed to be repaired and replaced and brought up to grade, but I didn't
know that we were looking at bringing everybody -- giving everybody a wish list. Because I'm sure there are some schools that would want tracks and some schools that want a gymnasium that didn't get them either.

MS. CANTRICK: Fair enough. I look at music as more of an area that all children should have experiences with.

MR. BERNARD: Well, all children should have experiences with a gym and all children should have experience with a track. I'm just saying, as an overall look at the bond, that's the way I'm looking at it. Are we trying to find someplace to spend the money or are we going to keep -- so we're going to have to keep raising more money.

MS. CANTRICK: So I wasn't on the -- on the -- in the group that determined where the dollars were going to go. I wasn't part of that.

MR. BERNARD: I'm not blaming you.
MS. CANTRICK: I know. I know.
MR. BERNARD: It's just a general question. Why would we be looking? You know, if we already know we have a shortfall on the other end, why would we keep looking? Because we can look at
all different places.
MS. CANTRICK: My answer would be that we're looking at our customer, the child and that -MR. BERNARD: Athletics is looking at the child, too, and music is looking at the child, chorus -- everything is looking at the child. It has nothing to do with the child. It has to do with what we need to finish this bond issue.

MS. CANTRICK: Okay.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: If I may interject for just a second because $I$ specifically remember when Ms. Cantrick came into this position, Bruce. It was actually a needs assessment that had to be done that was, if $I$ remember correctly, it was, for lack of better words, understaffed, and Ms. Cantrick stepped up to the plate and completed what was done and that was before the realization of the significant shortfall that we are now dealing with. Is that fair, Ms. Cantrick?

MS. CANTRICK: Very. Thank you.
MR. BERNARD: I'm not talking about what they've done in the meantime. I think it's excellent. I'm just saying, going forward we're looking for new programs not existing and building up what we have. That's all I was
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CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Go ahead.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: When we say that there's no music in these mostly elementary schools, are we talking about not having an elementary school music teacher or -- is that what we're talking about?

MS. CANTRICK: Yes.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: And, also, it looks like it depends on the FTE because if they don't have the FTE dollars from the state they don't have the program; right?

MS. CANTRICK: That's exactly what it is.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any other questions for Ms. Cantrick?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Moving on we can move to Athletics, please.

MR. CERRA: Good evening. Shawn Cerra, Director of Athletics \& Student Activities.

MR. BOARDMAN: And I'm Greg Boardman from the Facilities Department.

MR. CERRA: Heading into this quarter reporting we had already finished all of the track projects that were part of this initiative.
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We had four remaining weight rooms. Three of them were in implementation. They were Cooper City, Coral Springs and Deerfield Beach. All three of those were actually completed within this quarter reporting. The only outlier that still needs to be finished is Northeast High School. So out of the 29 -- out of 30 total weight rooms 29 are now complete and we have one that is pending.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob?
MR. NAVE: On the subject of the weight room project at Northeast, in the last quarterly report the District established a completion date of second quarter 2019. In this quarterly report that date has been pushed back to the third quarter of 2019 and there was no explanation for that delay.

MR. BOARDMAN: The school made a decision to relocate the weight room from its existing location to a new building. That new building is part of the primary renovation project that's under the Heery program management. We are going to wait until that primary renovation is completed. The primary renovation includes air-conditioning, roofing, windows, lighting, all
those things. We think that our equipment would be in the way of that renovation. Therefore, we're going to wait until they're done and then we'll go in there and finish the weight room.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I assume the delay has no impact on the expense for that particular project?

MR. BOARDMAN: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any questions?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Moving on to the next matter is the Program Facilities \& Construction. MR. GIRARDI: Good evening.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Good evening.
MR. GIRARDI: Frank Girardi, Task Assigned Executive Director of Capital Programs.

Before Mr. Jardine goes through our slide presentation $I$ just want to reiterate that, as we did last quarter, we submitted to the committee about a week, 10 days ago a -- what we now call the gap report. You know, we tried to figure something out on what to call it. We didn't want to do a revised executive summary, so we're calling it a gap report. And what that does is bring up our information for this quarter through

February 15th. Now, on the slide presentation there is notes there from what numbers we had as of December 31st, which was the end of the quarter, and we've also noted in there numbers for the gap report. Even since February 15th we've had other projects move. And it's mainly the Single Point of Entry that are moving right along and you can see the differences in those numbers.

So, with that, I'll turn it over to Mr. Jardine and we'll go through the presentation. MR. JARDINE: Thank you, Frank. Daniel Jardine, CBRE/Heery.

Okay. First of all, like Frank said, we continue to finally see things moving forward. As of the February 15 th update on the gap report we had 29 projects currently under construction with just over $\$ 138$ million worth of construction value. What we have in the pipeline right now is about 24 projects. And when you combine the 24 that were in the pipeline for procurement and bidding award or GOP negotiations, plus those under construction, we're now approaching just over $\$ 225$ million worth of projects that are physically underway. So we are seeing things
move forward.
When we did our last presentation we talked about the schedule re-baselining, the intent to keep eight projects a month coming through the LOR process and bid and award. We've been able to do that. We've already filled our calendar for the March projects that are bidding. We're well into April in setting up our bid calendar. So we're seeing projects, especially those Year 1 and Year 2 projects that have been hung up the longest, we're seeing those clear the backlog. We're making sure that we push those projects as quick as we can.

We also had the 58 schools that were Year 5 School Choice Enhancement projects have already begun and gotten initiated. So now all of the schools that had School Choice Enhancement are now underway.

Last month when we met we talked about what we hoped was going to be a simplified reporting process. You know, we got away from tracking line items to tracking schools or projects. So we've got those in there. We now have the primary renovations, the primary focus school spotlight and then the milestone indicators on
where -- where we are as we move from one phase to the next.

Primary renovations -- I'm sorry. Last quarter we received 13 LORs. We received 14 through January -- I'm sorry, through February 15th of this year. So, again, you can see the projects are finally getting out of the building department, we are getting our LORs and we're now going into the bid and award procurement stage. We had, again, 14 LORs. We had three projects that went to advertised bid. We had four projects that were awarded to contractors. And then we issued 14 NTPs to actually, physically, start construction on our sites.

We go back and now start looking at where we're heading with '19. We have zero projects in planning. There were eight projects that were currently in the hire designer phase. These were assigned to, $I$ think it was two architectural firms that we had held up the ATPs on until these architects got some other work cleaned up and off the books. We didn't want to assign them new projects when they didn't have their old backlog done. So we have internally -- we held it. It was part of our scheduling strategy. Those ATPs
should be being issued in the next week to two weeks, which is still a little bit ahead of where we had them scheduled in our baseline schedule, but it's not -- it's just right timing-wise because they're clearing up their projects that they currently have on the books.

There's 154 projects in design. We're hiring contractors on 37 projects, we have the 29 under construction and we had Manatee Bay that was completed back in December. We do anticipate at least one, maybe, two more of getting closed out in the next 5 to 60 days.

The 29 schools that we currently have under construction are listed for your reading pleasure. The green one there in the middle is Manatee Bay, that's the one that we've recently closed out. If it's in blue we're going to be kind of highlighting some of the activities that we're doing on those schools as we go through the presentation.

Some of the highlighted schools under construction you can see Eagle Ridge Elementary School, we put in new cooling towers over the Christmas break, brand new chilled water supply and piping from the mechanical room to the new
cooling towers.
Cypress Elementary School we've reroofed that campus and we're getting very close to be completed on that campus.

Blanche Ely High School, the demolition of the old canopy structure that was where the new outdoor dining area is going to be, the demolition has been done and we've got back and poured all the new slabs for that and have done some limited underground storm sewers.

Lauderdale Lakes Middle School, we continue to work on roofing and fire sprinkler lines.

Flanagan High School, and we're going to do a little video on that one, I think you're going to be very impressed with, we're getting ready to start having the building come out of the ground. They're getting ready to start pouring their wall panels.

And then Stranahan High School Building 5 renovations continue, a lot of roofing, a lot of restroom renovations. We've basically blown one end of the building out and we're now building a set of boys and girls bathrooms and we're renovating an existing metal bathroom on the other end of the building that's going to be
handicapped accessible. Also over the Christmas break we got in Buildings 1 and 2 and started doing some preliminary fire alarm work, as well, some fire alarm sprinkler work.

Manatee Bay, that's the first one that has been officially closed out in all regards and so that's a milestone and we're glad to finally have one in the one-and-done box.

Okay. Active construction projects, Blanche Ely High School -- and we know that the Big 3 continue to get a lot of press and publicity. They are moving forward. We do know that the projects are going to be about a 28 -month construction duration. And, again, it's -- we're touching every building on the campus and we just -- if we could have moved all the kids off, it would have made things a lot easier, but we don't have any flexibility in that option.

You're getting fast with these. Go back to -- that or I'm talking too much or both.

MR. GIRARDI: You're talking too much.
MR. JARDINE: I'm just trying to communicate.
Okay. Here's a drone shot of Blanche Ely. They're doing the concrete placement for the new outdoor dining area.
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All right. Flanagan High School, these are the wall panels for the new 20-classroom additions that are being formed. And they'll be getting poured and then we will stand them up and then we'll be able to do the second level and the roof. And, again, this project is moving along. It should be finished sometime this fall.

Stranahan High School roofing, that's Building 5 that's currently underway.

Okay. Blanche Ely, again, outdoor dining area is underway, infrastructure for the fire lines, School Choice Enhancements we're 71 percent complete, and then the weight room has been completed about a year now.

Northeast High School we are in the process of descoping Buildings 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 27 that are going to be now part of the other project where we're going to demolish those buildings, build a new classroom addition, renovate the north half of Building 12, which is going to be the football locker room, as well as some flex spaces, and then air-conditioning Building 7, which is the existing locker rooms. We are very close to being ready to go back to the building department on the descoping. As
soon as we do that we'll be able to start advertising and taking their pricing so that we can present a GMP. We hope that will be ready in May.

If we're able to get that done, get the GMP, like Mr. Boardman says, one of the first things we want to do is get started on the weight room because it's been out there for a while. We anticipate, hopefully, being able to finish the physical work probably Q1 of '20, and then we can turn it over to the District and let them do their piece of the project. So sometime spring of 2020 we hopefully will be in using the facility. School Choice Enhancements, we've spent 94 percent of the money. There's, I think, some window wraps and some door wraps that they're looking into ordering to finish up that. And the weight room, again, is tied up with the primary renovation descoping.

Stranahan High School we are well underway on Building 5. We're working with the school, maybe resequencing some of the projects due to some heating and air-conditioning issues that we're having on the auditorium. Those discussions are ongoing currently with the school administration
on how we can accelerate that piece of it. As soon as we finish Building 5 we're going into Building 6 and then in December we'll be in Building 2, which is the auditorium and Building 7. School Choice Enhancement is 84 percent complete. The weight room opened about a year ago.

Single Point of Entry, as we know, this is a very high priority project for the District. As of Friday we had two projects that were still in design and both of those are in the building department trying to get the last couple of comments cleaned up. We are in the process of doing negotiations with four other vendors on the other projects. 32 projects are currently in construction. We have 58 that have been completed and 142 that meet District standards.

So right now we have a total of 38 projects that are still in some phase of design or construction. Of those 38 we will have 18 of those projects finished between now and mid-April and the balance of the 20 will be completed between mid-April and the start of school. So there's a plan in place to complete all of the 38 projects finishing up all the SPE between now and
the start of school. So we're very excited to see these projects continuing to move forward.

School Choice Enhancements, again, the Year 5 schools have now commenced, so we're well underway. 67 schools we have completed everything and all of the Year 5 schools, 58 of them, have launched.

Some of the popular School Choice Enhancement projects, laptops, playground equipment, security enhancement, musical instruments, office equipment, marquees. And this is probably one of the biggest selling points. Schools love the marquees, but we are having some issues with the vendor and we're going out working very closely with Procurement trying to bring on some additional vendors to help clear that backlog up.

Okay. Facilities, schools flagged, there were 87 total flags, 75 of them were related to budget and 12 of them -- I'm sorry.

Don't be so quick to correct me.
75 were related to the schedule and 12 were related to the budget. Of the 75,68 of them are tied back to School Choice Enhancements. And I think we talked about this last quarter. We may have a little bit of money left in the account,
we could be at 95 percent complete, the school has spent all of the money that they had in their initial order, so there's always a little bit of a balance. And so the project stays open until we do that final accounting or the school determines how they want to spend that last bucket of money. So, while it -- you know, we've delivered the majority of the projects, it still shows as open and that's why it's impacting the schedule flag.

MR. GIRARDI: If I could add to that. When we first started the program we were concerned with some of the items that they were purchasing, like marquees, where we would get some additional costs. And if they spent their hundred thousand to the penny, if we had additional costs there was no way to fund that. So we had told them to go that 95 percent, $\$ 95,000$, always leave a little bit of money left over so they can purchase items with true dollars. If they had enough money left over they could purchase another 10 laptops with that. And that's what that small percentage is and why some of them are flagged.

MR. JARDINE: And going back to Northeast, it
shows that we're 94 percent complete and, you know, they had $\$ 5,000$ left and they said, what can we spend it on? So they ordered some door wraps. It's taken several months. But for the most part the project has been completed for months now.

Some of the other schedule flags for the major projects, we had one on Cypress Bay High School and that was -- well, we had two, actually. We had one for Phase 1, which was approval of the GMP and we ran into a hiccup right before the Christmas break. We have now authorized and awarded GMP 1 for the renovations and the reroofing. That was done back in January. So that project is moving forward. Cypress Bay High school Phase 2, they were supposed to have their drawings submitted and had our LOR in December. They didn't hit that. I think we got the LOR Friday in a meeting with the building department. There was one comment outstanding and the architect was bringing the drawings in that day and we had an indication that we would have an LOR as of last Friday afternoon. We are proceeding forward with development of the GMP. It will be forthcoming
in Q2 as originally planned and we'll be under construction this summer at Cypress Bay and at Falcon Cove, as well.

Forest Hill Elementary School is a fire alarm project that we're going to combine with the major project. It doesn't make good sense on some of these projects where we go in and try to do a piece of a project. When I have major air-conditioning as part of the primary project I have to make sure that my fire alarm and my air-conditioning projects are tied in because there are so many interfaces between the two, it's just good business sense to combine the two projects.

McNab, I've got to look at my schedule.
Northeast High School Phase 2, and this is a PSA amendment to hire the architects. It was delayed a little bit until after the holidays. Architects are on board. We've had kickoff meetings with them and they've actually -- we met with them again Friday afternoon and they presented some new design concepts that we'll be reviewing and giving them some guidance on, but the project is moving forward as we speak.

MR. GIRARDI: And with these seven flagged
projects, like Cypress Bay the Phase 1, that was supposed to go to the Board December 18. As soon as we missed that Board meeting with the holidays we slipped into the next quarter. So it's not that we slipped three months. We really slipped a few weeks. So it comes up as flagged. So we'll easily pick up our time on those to get the flags removed on those.

MR. JARDINE: And, you know, when we did the re-baselining back in December we tried to say we're going to stay on top of this, we are, we're working very well with the different designers that we have. We are continuing to see things come through the building department. We are keeping the pipeline full. Some LORs that we projected to get in April, May and June, we've already gotten those. So we have a couple of projects that we've got in our pocket, that if we fall short one month, $I$ can pull some out and we can keep these eight projects a month in the pipeline.

We're still -- we continue to open bids twice a week, basically, every Tuesday and every Thursday. We're getting -- when you go back and look at the bid tabs and the analysis, we're
getting extremely competitive bids between the contractors. Some bids we're getting two to three contracts -- vendors, bidders on it. Others we're getting five to six. But the spread between the low guy and the number two guy in some instances has been less than a couple of thousand dollars. So the bidding is getting very competitive.

We now have -- we're getting a much better track record of where we are with our costs, and I think that's what you're going to see in just a second on the risk assessment. So we're trying to -- we're getting a lot more good information that we can use as we try to look into the crystal ball and look at what's going to happen with construction costs on our projects as we move forward.

And I'm going to let Frank talk about the risk assessment.

MR. GIRARDI: Right. We added this slide in after we issued a risk assessment to the Board and sent it to you last week.

This just shows where we're finding most of our risk. And as everyone knew from our previous presentations, the roofing is the big part.

That's 64 percent, somewhere around 280 million. The inflation is at 28 percent. Change of Scope, that's where when we -- Northeast High School, where the Board has approved changes in scope. That was a six percent add and then we have just some other miscellaneous changes, where that totals up to the 433 million that is on the risk assessment.

MR. JARDINE: And that concludes our piece of it.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob, do you have any comments?

MR. NAVE: Yes. Thank you for asking.
This is the first report that the District has submitted since the schedule has been reset. We went back and looked at the old schedule and compared it to the new schedule, and on page 12 of our reported, figure 3, it shows -- gives you a pretty good idea of the number of projects that have been pushed back and how far they've been pushed back under the new schedule. And the bottom line is that just under half, about 45 percent of the projects, under the old schedule will be delayed by a year or more under the new schedule.

On the Single Point of Entry projects, we looked at the amount of money that had been spent on the Single Point of Entry projects. In the last quarter report, the District report is about 1.2 million in general obligation bond moneys that have been spent on Single Point of Entry projects, but in this quarterly report only about 89,000 had been identified. So there's a bogey of about $\$ 1.1$ million that has to be explained.

MR. SHIM: Excuse me. I can explain the discrepancy on the Single Point of Entry projects. And that was in the budget activity report.

Essentially it's a simple issue of whether we combined the project and took them out of school by school. They -- it was in the completed project section, and when we combined them it just moved to the active project section because it was one general number. So that was just a simple mistake. The total was correct and we'll correct the schedule going forward.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Does that alleviate the issue for you?

MR. NAVE: I think so.
We noted in our report the primary renovation
projects, I think there were five of them that had been flagged for schedule issues, I think Frank and Danny have explained the reasons for those.

The only other comment we had on the facilities was the Big 3 schools. And our comment was that the District continues to paint the Big 3 schools in a very favorable light. Because of questions committee members got from taxpayers, that's why you guys asked for a separate report at every meeting anyway. So if we're going to have a section on the Big 3 schools, TaxWatch thinks it should be a little more fair and a little more accurate. We know that the primary renovation at Northeast is delayed. We know that the School Board approved a little over an additional million dollars for that project and they've also approved an addition 13 million for Stranahan. And that's just not reflected here. So anyone that just looks at that section $I$ don't think gets an accurate picture of what's going on at the Big 3 schools.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: What do you think is specifically inaccurate about it?

MR. NAVE: Nothing in it is inaccurate. It just focuses on -- and, again, I think the District has every right to highlight the good things that are going on at these schools, but there are some warts there that have to be addressed in there. And what I'm saying is the District should report the bad as well as the good.

MR. GIRARDI: And with that, I would definitely like some suggestions. I thought -we tried to do a better job on that not to paint everything rosy, but if we could talk later and get some suggestions on really what you're looking for there. I thought we did a better job, but I guess I was wrong. I'll do better.

MR. NAVE: I'll concede that it was better. How about that?

MR. GIRARDI: Okay.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: So will TaxWatch work with Frank?

MR. NAVE: Yeah, I'll work with Frank. This is an easy fix.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Okay. Questions?
MR. GIRARDI: I guess before you start with questions and everything, if you noticed in the
report, we did put a report in there for the Single Point of Entries where all of the schools that are still in construction and the ones that are completed are listed like I had mentioned at the last meeting where we have SPE numbers on them where they're not listed with names.

And if there's any specific one that you're interested in, you can let me know and I can let you know what number that is; if you're looking for a specific project.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Chief?
MR. GIRARDI: Don't ask me now because I didn't bring that with me.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Can I ask you a question? How did you prioritize those, each one of those, in terms of which order you were going to go in in the Single Point of Entries?

MR. GIRARDI: For the numbering?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: How did you prioritize the completion of those projects? In other words, what was your rationale behind --

MR. JARDINE: We looked at the most complex with the highest budget that had the biggest scope of work, we knew we had to try to get those started earlier. We had some -- you know, a
typical high school may have $X$ amount of dollars that was fairly significant. Others were a very simple fencing and gates and we knew we could push those off a little bit later, but it will meet our ultimate end game. So we tried to evaluate and look in all these cases the dollar value of each project.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, we feel all of them are prioritized. But as Dan had mentioned we had to start some sooner to have time to get them done.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: And do you know if you took the time to look at something on a temporary basis; did you get asked to follow through with that as well just so they're protected?

MR. JARDINE: Yes, sir. We have been -- our teams have been going out and working with the local schools making sure that we had good temporary measures in place at the school.

MR. GIRARDI: And some schools were happy with what they had there, present, right now, and didn't want us to go and spend the money on adding temporary fencing, et cetera. So we have been working with the schools and accommodating what they want and also making sure it's safe. CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. This is a second
question. Can $I$ ask a second question?
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Yes, please. Go ahead.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: How do you vet the people that are working on the projects? In other words, for security purposes for the construction folks, how do you screen them in particular? Because they're going to be on the campus all day long.

MR. GIRARDI: They go through the Jessica Lunsford Act with badging.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So do you have a criteria?
MR. JARDINE: They follow the District protocol, that all employees on the construction site have to be badged. And if we have a vendor or a contractor who doesn't have --

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I think the general counsel has a --

MS. MYRICK: Yeah, when he said under the Jessica Lunsford Act, there is state statute that all people who are on the campus and that have interaction with children -- I mean, could have interaction with children that are unsupervised, and we're assuming that as work's going on they are unsupervised, they have what is called a Level 2 clearance, which is an FBI background
check, fingerprinted and it goes through the FBI the same as teachers, the same as everybody else.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I think Bruce might have a question or two.

MR. BERNARD: Okay. Single Point of Entry, in the Quarter 2 book, page 93, you're showing that we have 10 schools in design. Page 94 you have 11 schools listed. Also then on hire contractors, you have 15 on page 93 and only 13 on page 94 where you have them listed.

So I don't know what's right and what's wrong.

MR. GIRARDI: Can you repeat the numbers you just mentioned?

MR. BERNARD: On page 93 listed in design you have 10. If you count the schools on the next page that are listed in design there's 12. And on the hire contractor you have 15 and then there's 13 on the page next.

MR. GIRARDI: I'll have to look at that, but we have an updated report as of --

MR. BERNARD: I'm just going by what the book says here.

MR. GIRARDI: Right.

MR. BERNARD: Okay. School Spotlights. On your slide you said you only had, and there's information that you sent out, like seven schools that were behind on schedule and 12 schools behind on budget. And I go through every school and here I find 35 additional that are behind on schedule.

MR. GIRARDI: Were they primary or --
MR. BERNARD: All primary renovations. Some like Eagle Ridge Elementary, 75 percent complete on construction that was supposed to be done Quarter 1 2019. That's your plan date versus your actuals. Same thing with Lake Forest Elementary, it's 45 percent complete and it was supposed to be done in the first quarter.

So if we go through, I have 19 of them that had designs that are late, five of them that hired contractors that are late, and six of them for construction that are late. So you put -your six or seven you put up there was a little low.

MR. GIRARDI: Are you looking at Eagle Ridge right now, Eagle Ridge Elementary?

MR. BERNARD: Uh-huh.
MR. GIRARDI: It shows construction done

Quarter 12019.
MR. BERNARD: Are you done?
MR. JARDINE: We're not done with the month.
I mean, we're almost finished.

MR. BERNARD: What's Quarter 1?
MR. JARDINE: January, February, March of
'19. So, I mean --
MR. GIRARDI: And this was due the 31st of
December.
MR. BERNARD: Are you going off fiscal year?
Is this fiscal year or actual year?
MR. JARDINE: These are calendar years.
MR. BERNARD: School calendar years?
MR. GIRARDI: No, calendar January through December. So this one, Quarter 1 --

MR. BERNARD: Okay. You guys decide which it is.

MR. JARDINE: The Bond Oversight report is for Q2 of '19, which is the period from September through December. In the school calendar year 18-19 year; correct?

MR. GIRARDI: On the Spotlight schedule that is true calendar years.

MR. BERNARD: Well, then you need to put that on there. Because it says the first quarter here
ends December 31st, 2018, first quarter.
MR. GIRARDI: Right. This is the second report of the school year.

MR. BERNARD: Correct.
MR. GIRARDI: But on the schedule we do scheduling strictly on calendar year.

MR. BERNARD: Okay. Well then you still have one that's like 45 percent complete. That's behind. We have some that are started late, eight months late.

MR. GIRARDI: Okay.
MR. BERNARD: So you have ones that are behind schedule that you're not listing that need to be put on here as actually listed.

MR. GIRARDI: Okay.
MR. JARDINE: We will absolutely go back and look at these to make sure. We're not trying to --

MR. BERNARD: I'm not saying you are. You have 46 ahead of schedule. Okay? I'm not just looking at the bad, you've got 46 ahead of schedule.

MR. GIRARDI: But I like the suggestion. What I'd like to do is on the actual schedule on these highlights put calendar year.

MR. BERNARD: Yeah, put calendar year. Because it doesn't match what the front of the book says.

MR. GIRARDI: It's tough to do a schedule on the school calendar year.

MR. BERNARD: Okay. And also TaxWatch is talking about Designs. We have, again, about 34, 35 schools that are over two years in design, some over three years in design. Some of these projects are going to take over five years from design to completion. I've seen subdivisions built quicker than that with hundreds of homes from start to finish. Adding some of the years, some of these projects are low-dollar amount projects and it's taking three years to design the actual. There's got to be something not clicking with these architects to take three years to design a $\$ 1.4$ million project.

MR. GIRARDI: Agreed. Absolutely correct. And those mainly -- well, they're not mainly, they are the Year 1, 2 and part of Year 3 schools. The latter Year 3 and 4 and 5, we've got the architects on schedule. We're trying to get those previous years pushed through. And that's why Mr. Jardine had mentioned earlier, if
anyone had gotten new projects, we're holding those off on the Year 4 and 5 to get the other ones done.

MR. BERNARD: I thought there was talk there was going to be a financial obligation put on them if they don't meet the actual schedule that you guys proposed before they're provided a notice to proceed on these items.

MR. JARDINE: We are enforcing the contract prerogatives when we have the opportunity to do so, when somebody -- when they are late and we're documenting it, we're keeping the clock and the meter turning.

MR. BERNARD: Well, are we charging them?
MR. JARDINE: Oh, yeah. We have the right to withhold payment.

MR. BERNARD: I know everybody has the right to liquidated damages. Is it being done or is it like you said, you're not giving them more work until they finish the old work?

MR. JARDINE: Both. We're not giving them more work until they finish what they have, but none of them have gotten to the full finish line when the project is complete and we're doing that final accounting and settling up a payment. We
know how much we're going to be able to withhold from their payments and we'll be withholding that amount as they go through the process.

MR. BERNARD: Is there any discussion not to give them any more work if they don't --

MR. JARDINE: Oh, absolutely. We're communicating with the Procurement folks and QSEC and we're giving them our updates on where each of these firms are and how they performed in the past.

MR. GIRARDI: But right now all projects have been let out, so there are no more new projects coming out. Everything has been let out in design. And some of those two, three-year projects that have been in design, some of them were on the original contract that did not have the penalty clause in there. It was later on that we added that clause in there. So on those we are looking at penalties.

MR. BERNARD: On the -- I don't know if it's Heery's budget, but when we do additions to a school renovation, scope of the renovation, is there somewhere listed in here where it shows what's actually being added, not just additional renovations for $X$ amount of dollars?

MR. JARDINE: If you're asking if we're doing like at Flanagan where $I$ have a large addition but I'm also doing renovation, yes, when we went through the GMP with them we had them break out separately --

MR. BERNARD: Is it in here?
MR. JARDINE: No, it's not in there.
MR. BERNARD: So if there's an addition for \$4 million or something $I$ don't know what it's for.

MR. JARDINE: Right.
MR. BERNARD: We need that. In the budget section where you have all the schools listed if the budget went up or down, if there's just an addition in there in a different color, you know, than what they have already, at least when you open that budget up you'll know what that additional money is going to be for.

See, like I have West Elementary -- West Hollywood Elementary, the original budget was 2.6, the current budget is 3.9 and it tells me nothing of why it went up a million and a half dollars.

MS. CARPENTER: The majority of those additional funding requests are to accomplish the

SMART scope that was set out from the beginning. So it's because the budgets were inadequate and --

MR. BERNARD: Well, then that should be listed, you know, budget adjustment per the scope -- original scope. But if you added something, if you found that you had to -- I know once we got into some of these you had to do additional work on the roofs and air-conditioners and stuff, if we just list there what that additional work was, and if it was just the scope was changed, the amount of the scope was changed, that's fine, too. Just give us -- and the same when you take a budget, like McArthur, they lost a million dollars. Why? You didn't want to give them something or you found you didn't need to give them something? Was there a decrease in the amount because of scope; the original scope didn't need that much money? So, you know, just to show us why you drop a million dollars from somebody.

MR. GIRARDI: And that's easy to do because when we go to the Board for the additional money in the Board item, itself, we list the primary budget bust was due to roofing, HVAC. So we've
got that. It's not a problem adding it in here.
MR. BERNARD: Well, if you have a school listed here with everything on it, just put it in a different -- you know, instead of black ink put it in blue ink for whatever the change is.

MR. GIRARDI: We can do that. And on some of the additions we do have them separated, but on the ones that we don't we can add that in. MR. BERNARD: And the only other thing I'd like to have is hard costs versus soft costs. Since we're starting to get projects completed, if we can have a page put in here, every school, once it's complete, the hard cost versus the soft, is it going to be listed? How do we do that with like School Choice Enhancements with the $\$ 100,000$ hard cost versus soft costs, is there any soft cost in there?

MR. GIRARDI: Usually, no. Usually they get the whole hundred thousand to spend. If there is some soft cost in there for design, what will happen is -- we recommended to the schools when they aren't using that money, try not to do something that needs design. If you're putting in a marquee, usually the marquee company will give you their design. And if we need an
electrician for that size project, the electricians can do their own design. So it's in the cost. If they wanted to, I don't know, add a couple of walls, change -- that you need design with electrical and everything else, that will chew up 20 percent of your cost. So we recommended not to do that. And most of them have gone that route where we don't need to hire an architect. So really there is no soft cost.

MR. BERNARD: Some have added walls?
MR. GIRARDI: I haven't been as deep as I used to, because I used to run that before I became director, but there was one school that added a wall.

MR. BERNARD: Well, I'm just saying anything that we have a soft cost we need to know at that school, if there are amenities they've got for $60,000,70,000,20,000$ soft cost.

MR. GIRARDI: I would say out of 230-something schools you can count on one hand where they've added, but we'll check it.

MR. BERNARD: Okay. And like what do we do like in the music program like where the kilns are delivered to the warehouse? From there how do they get to the schools and how is that
charged out? Is it the School Board boys do all that work?

MR. GIRARDI: What was that for, kilns?
MR. BERNARD: The kilns that are delivered to the warehouse and then I guess they're taken from the warehouse out to the schools?

MS. CANTRICK: Yes, the School District does that.

MR. BERNARD: The School District picks up the cost for installation?

MS. CANTRICK: They do the work; yeah.
MR. BERNARD: Okay. That's it.
MS. AKER REECE: So right before the end of your presentation you had the percentages and cost overruns, is that the breakdown of the -what was it, 400 million?

MR. GIRARDI: 433.
MS. AKER REECE: Can you go back to that slide real quick?

Okay. So this is just telling us that 64 percent or 280 million is related to roofing. That means the cost increased by what percent? So what was the original roofing budget? Did it increase by 20 percent; did it increase by 40 percent of the original budget?
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MR. JARDINE: For the most part the roofing was budgeted about $\$ 6.80$ a square foot and the current costs are running about $\$ 20$ a square foot, so there is a $\$ 13$ square foot cost differential times the 18 million square feet of roof that is in this program. So when you do the 13 times 18 million, it's pretty close to the two -- there's a way that we calculated all this. It's not magic. So I'm going to have to ask Ashley to help me remember.

MS. AKER-REECE: How were they so far off when they did the order of magnitude?

MR. GIRARDI: We can't answer that.
MR. JARDINE: We don't know. I mean, that question has been asked a lot over the last year. And, unfortunately, nobody knows how they came up with that. What we do know is that back in 2007 to 2010 the District was doing a lot of very similar reroofing projects. And back during those days the District was paying $\$ 12$ dollars a square foot for similar type of work we're doing right now. And if you inflate it three percent a year over the 10 years we're now comparing $\$ 19$ a square foot to $\$ 20$ a square foot. So seven, eight, nine years ago they were paying \$12 a
square foot and that was the right number back then. How the $\$ 6.80$ got put in, nobody knows.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: When you say, nobody knows, is there no piece of paper with a calculation?

MR. GIRARDI: Well, it's not that nobody knows. We were not part of that and we just can't find that information on how it was done. Those people that did that are no longer here.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: That was my question.
MR. GIRARDI: Yeah, they're no longer here.
MR. BERNARD: Is some of that for additional roofing, that 280 , that came when you found more scope?

MR. JARDINE: I don't think we've had a lot of scope increase on the roofing. We've been pretty true to doing only --

MR. BERNARD: So that's just actual?
MR. JARDINE: That's the actual budget; yes. And, of course, we know that the type of roof that we need to do and complying with all the high-velocity wind zones, some of that criteria does have an impact, but it's not that kind of impact.

MS. AKER-REECE: Now, will this ultimately
mean doing fewer roofs or what's the end game?
MR. JARDINE: No, I think with it -- I know Ms. Marte is working on a companion document that's going out with the risk assessment now that we know what the ultimate goal is. We've gotten clear direction from the Board that we need to try to -- we don't want any scope creep if we can have it, so we're going through assessing all of the forensics and making sure we stick to doing what we said we were going to do, no more, no less.

MS. AKER REECE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any other comments, questions? Anybody?

Chief?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Mr. Jardine.
MR. JARDINE: Yes, sir.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Can you put that slide back up for me, please? You're saying that 64 percent of the overage is due to roofing alone; correct?

MR. JARDINE: Correct.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Now, is that number adjusted for inflation? Are those today's numbers or are they adjusted based off what your
expectation is going forward?
MR. JARDINE: The 280 does have inflation built in going forward is my understanding.

MR. GIRARDI: We'll let the person that did the risk assessment answer that one.

MR. CARTER: Dave Carter with Atkins. The roofing is really taken to present date. So, you know, what we're doing is we're looking at the unit prices that we're getting from contractors right now, and then the -- you know, using that unit price and then adding on the additional costs for contractors' overhead and profit and things like that to make sure that we have everything covered.

The inflation is separate from that. And what the inflation includes is all program costs. So in the original 2014 program there was a three percent inflation year over year. And when we first started assessing we felt that that should be five percent. You know, knowing -- and this was maybe in -- I think our first risk assessment came out about two years ago, at that time we knew that inflation was higher than three percent, so we recommended a five percent to go to the midpoint of construction. And then in
addition to that we've had this re-baselining, and so the -- if you will, the center of the inflation has shifted -- shifted out. And so that adds even additional inflation beyond the three to five percent.

MR. BERNARD: So you're saying three percent was built into this when you first put it out in '14.

MR. CARTER: Yes.
MR. BERNARD: So right now, this is 28 percent on top of the three percent of all those other years, so you're talking another 27, you're saying 57 percent?

MR. CARTER: Well, so the 28 percent means that the total risk that we've assessed, the 433 million at the seven percent, 28 percent of that is inflation.

MR. BERNARD: Above and beyond the three percent built into this with the bond issue? MR. CARTER: Well, that's correct. I mean, maybe the percentages aren't the same to compare, but the --

MR. BERNARD: Well, I've got to compare apples to apples; don't I?

MR. CARTER: Yeah, comparing apples to
apples, if you use the three percent initial
inflation you come up with one number.
MR. BERNARD: And in nine years that would be 27 percent.

MR. CARTER: Yeah. And then if you use that at five percent --

MR. BERNARD: Five percent from where; from the beginning?

MR. CARTER: Yeah, from the beginning.
MR. BERNARD: But the inflation didn't go up every year.

MR. CARTER: Well, if -- it's stayed at approximately five percent.

MR. BERNARD: Okay. If you go five percent for nine years it's 18 percent. Two percent over three percent is 18 percent more, not 28 percent more.

MR. CARTER: Okay. So looking at the math that way, in the initial baseline from 2014 to 2019 it was at three percent. If you compound that over five years you're at about 15 percent.

If you take five percent and compound that over five years you're at 25 percent. So there's a 10 percent difference.

But then you add on additional inflation for
other years where there was nothing in the baseline because the midpoint of all the costs has shifted out into the future.

MR. BERNARD: It's a good theory, but it always doesn't work that way in construction. Because you're saying the midpoint, until you release the project and stuff that inflation's not going to be there on these projects. We're just starting the big part of it now where I would say the biggest point of the inflation will hit, not back in the first five years.

MR. CARTER: Right, we're projecting this into the future.

MR. BERNARD: But you also took the money in the past and put it in there, too, that wasn't there. The inflation wasn't there because the projects weren't up yet.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: May I ask a follow-up question?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Yeah, please.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. I'm a little crazy with this fuzzy math. 28 percent -- I'm sorry. 64 percent is $\$ 280$ million. That's projected overage for roofing; is that correct? Yes or no. MR. CARTER: Yes.
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MR. GIRARDI: Yes.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Wait. Yes?
MR. GIRARDI: Yes.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Over and above the earlier assessment, over and above the original cost associated with roofing; right?

MR. CARTER: Yes.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: You said it was gauged at \$12 a square --

MR. GIRARDI: It was 6.80 a square, now it's $\$ 20$ a square.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Now, it's 20. So we're looking at three times as much or a little more than that; right?

MR. GIRARDI: Uh-huh.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So that number is based on actuals today and projected going forward; is that what $I$ heard the gentleman in the back is saying?

MR. GIRARDI: The way we got to the 280 million was the difference times the square footage. So we were at $\$ 7$ a square foot and then we went to $\$ 20$ a square foot, that's $\$ 13$ a square foot higher and we had 18 million square feet of roofing. So I don't know what 13 times 18 --

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So it's simple math. The increase, you multiplied it over the roof work and that's how you came up with 280 million -MR. GIRARDI: Yes.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Right? And you adjusted it for inflation or is the inflation number in the inflation line item?

MR. CARTER: The inflation beyond today is in the inflation.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Thank you. I get it now.

MR. JARDINE: And when you do the math, the $\$ 13$ a square foot times the 18 million that gives you like 230-something million. That's raw cost. And then when you put the contingencies in design and those other soft costs, that bumps you to 280.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Now, a simple question. Everybody doing the roofing work is not just one contractor; you're putting out these bids one at a time?

MR. JARDINE: Yes, sir.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Do you think you'd get a better deal if you bundled them and you got somebody to lock in or they won't sign that
contract?
MR. GIRARDI: Most of these are through the hard bids from contractors. We haven't put a lot out individually. We have had one roofer act as a GC and got the contracts. But we -- all the design has been done as part of the main project. We've had discussions with Board members about possibly pulling out roofs and we will look at that if we can go that route.

MR. JARDINE: And the other piece is these aren't -- I won't say simple reroofing, but every time we get up and we start changing slope, it means I've got to take all the mechanical equipment out for a change in the curve, which means I have to have electricians to go and disconnect, reconnect, so it actually -- you know, I need to have MEP. Many of the times we're having to change roof drains and where they are and the number of them. We've had several of the projects where roofers have gotten the project, but if they have MEP as part of their scope of services or within their work, most of them -- if it's a genuine roofer they typically don't have MEP, and according to the code they're not licensed to do MEP. So it kind of behooves
us in most instances to keep them rolling under the traditional design/build where we're doing other interior work as well as on the roof.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: But inflation is not just for roofing; am I right?

MR. JARDINE: Correct.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: It's for all the projects?
MR. JARDINE: Yes.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So the elephant in the room is roofing when it comes to this bond; correct?

MR. JARDINE: Correct.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Is that the only item where they missed the target by a mile and a half?

MR. JARDINE: Yes. Yes.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: We don't have any other surprises coming?

MR. GIRARDI: No, what we do have is anomalies. So for the most part HVAC, they're falling right into play and every now and then there'll be a project that's just out of whack, but that's a single project, not the whole program.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any other questions?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Moving on to Budget. MR. SHIM: Thank you. Omar Shim, Director of Capital Budget.

The first slide shows that the current SMART Program budget is 1 billion 32.6 million. This is 23 million -- this adds 23 million in funding for the SMART Program -- from the SMART Program reserve in the second quarter. A detailed list of those transactions are listed on page 507 to page 513, and I'll go over the status of the reserve in a couple of slides and I'll talk a little bit about where we just left off on the risk assessment.

So the next slide, out of the -- out of the 1 billion 32.6 million the District has either spent or had under purchase order 345.3 million with the balance of 687.3 million.

The District expended 19 million in Quarter 2 and this includes 3.4 million in Single Point of Entry, which is a 26 percent increase in Quarter 2. So, basically, I'm just kind of also highlighting that even though we made a mistake on the Single Point of Entry that we actually did
show an increase in spending in that area.
And as of Quarter 2 the SMART Program has expended 214.3 million.

We are also seeing an increase in the construction contracts as POs increased by 35.1 million, which is total purchase orders of 131 million.

For the reserve activity, beyond what was originally set aside in the SMART Program projects, the District set up a SMART Reserve of 225 million. A detailed list of the transactions, as I mentioned, are listed on those pages 507 and 513. The balance of the SMART Program Reserve is 147.5 million as of Quarter 2.

Now, Finance is looking at the options to fail that gap that was mentioned, the 433, but we do have 225 million of that gap in reserves identified. So I just wanted to make that clear, that that's what we were talking about and we have already identified that 225. So really what we're looking for is the additional 208 million or whatever it is.

So one of those options is to realign to meet the new baseline project schedule. Because we're looking at the total program-wide, but, as you
know, Facilities has done some re-baselining of the timeline, itself. So just by looking at the re-baselining, and if you align the funding to that, we know that we're okay through 2020. So that just timing-wise, if you look at the re-baselined schedule with the additional funding, we're okay structurally dollar-wise until 2020 without adding any additional funding in the program.

This will give Facilities more data to receive bids and to compare with the projections and also to see how the projects are executed against the baseline, the re-baselined schedules.

So we have looked at other sources of additional funding going forward. We have some dollars in our current plan that are unallocated. We also have set aside some dollars that for the charter schools to pay in case the legislature doesn't pay their millage. However, at least in the last year and this upcoming year it looks like they're positioning to go ahead and pay the millage or pay the charter school dollars so we don't have to take it out of our millage.

We also have a couple large pieces or parcels of land that are for sale and that are actually
under contract. So we'll get funding from that.
And, lastly, the FEMA reimbursement, we do have some outstanding FEMA reimbursements which we haven't put down because we're kind of waiting to hear back. So there's potential dollars coming from that.

So that additional funding will impact -- but I just wanted to also mention that that additional funding that's needed for the SMART Program will impact other capital needs and our ability to fund those other things, such as buses, technology and maintenance. So going forward we have to be cognizant about that.

And, although the District does a good job in projecting our future revenues, we can't anticipate very sudden changes in financial and economic conditions or any changes the legislature may make going forward that also could impact our economic outlook.

So -- so that pretty much wraps up my presentation at this point.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob?
MR. NAVE: We -- we commented in our report on the two issues. One is the hard cost versus the soft cost. And we've recommended that
beginning with the next report that the District include a breakdown, a discussion of the hard cost versus the soft cost. That's an issue that comes up in a lot of the meetings. It's something we think should be incorporated in the report.

We also talked about the risk assessment. And the kind of discussion that Omar just -- the presentation that he just gave is the kind of information that TaxWatch thinks needs to be incorporated into the Bond Oversight Committee Report. When we first started looking at the risk assessment two or three years ago, the initial assessments were in the range of $\$ 200$ million, and then last year or so based on, I think, 10 percent of the projects that had been bid or priced Atkins came back with a revised risk assessment of about $\$ 302$ million. And this latest thing that we all saw last week that was about 15 percent of the projects priced through bid, the projected risk now is $\$ 433$ million.

So I think when we look at challenges going forward, meeting the construction schedule is certainly a big challenge, but $I$ think assessing and mitigating the financial risk is going to be
the premier challenge going forward.
So I think the next report and all subsequent reports should include a very detailed explanation of risk and what the District plans to do to mitigate that risk.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Ms. Marte.
MRS. MARTE: Through the Chair? So both of those recommendations from TaxWatch, we absolutely agree with them and will adhere to.

As Mr. Girardi indicated the companion item for latest risk assessment given to the Board is an item that I'm finalizing now laying out specifically where the additional funds would come from. And I'm waiting for a few things from Bond counsel to finalize that memo.

In addition, the re-baselining extends the project through -- I'm sorry, into the beginning of fiscal year 2022, which is July 1, 2021, which allows us to access a significant amount of unreserved millage at that point. So I will be very clear in my memo to the Board and we'll do the exact same format for the memo to the Bond oversight Committee as part of this report laying out where the additional funds will come from.

And, again, cautioning against the additional
scope creep and some of the inherent risks in a financial projection that stands over the next couple of years. So I'll make sure it's all included.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I think it just generally begs the question, how do we mitigate the risk so the next report that we receive doesn't have another $\$ 100$ million increase?

MRS. MARTE: I think you're asking the wrong person.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: No, I understand.
MRS. MARTE: I hope we can do that as well. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I think the taxpayers of Broward County would like that as well.

MR. GIRARDI: We're looking at that. We've had some conversations with the building department on some of these roofing projects on not changing what we're getting but how we're getting there to where some of the costs will come down. We've had a few projects that we've looked at closer to where we don't necessarily have to go down completely to a deck. We're getting what we're paying for -- I'm trying to figure out how to put this. We're getting what we're paying for without paying too much. I
don't know if that came out the right way. But we've been able to find some significant savings on a couple of projects that we're now getting ready to start.

So the big -- the big mitigation is the roofing. That's the biggest number. If the roofing wasn't there, we would be right where we've always said we would be, around 200 , 200-something thousand -- 200-something million dollars. That roofing is our problem. And that's what we're looking at and we're working with the building department, with their roofing department, their inspectors, to see where we can save money and still get what we're looking for.

I think mitigation, a big part is that roofing area, and then, of course, all the projects have in there a 10 percent contingency for change orders. I'm not saying that this is where we're going to fall, but the first one came in at two percent. If they all come in at two percent, great. But we're looking for that five to 10 percent with this type of work. So we do have that amount of money coming back.

I don't know what the exact amount was on Manatee that we are getting back, but there is
savings with that project being completed, money going back into reserve. So we're looking at every project that gets completed, bringing money back into reserve.

But those are the two big things the reserve coming back or the money coming back to go into reserve when the project is complete and doing some mitigation with the roofing.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: I just --
MR. GIRARDI: I was going to say one more thing. I know with the risk assessment, with this being such a large jump, this is where we took a lot into consideration. If you noticed, there were two -- there was a risk assessment and then the continuing construction budget. The continuing construction budget spreadsheet had Atkins' new estimates for each project. And the two were done completely different, but they aligned fairly well with the same number. So I'm looking at this risk assessment, and I wish I had a crystal ball, but $I$ think this is the one that we stayed firm with and then it just fluctuates. It should be -- I'm hoping, hoping, no more big jumps. But if $I$ had a crystal ball I'd win Lotto and I'd still be on vacation.
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MS. KRISHNAIYER: Omar, I just have a question. Could we go back to the millage and the charter schools reference you made?

Something about if the legislature doesn't pass a millage law -- can you just explain that?

MRS. MARTE: Sure. There is state law on the books currently that says that school districts who levied the 1.5 mils per capital will share that millage proportionally based on student FTEs with charter schools if the legislature does not fully fund charter schools with PECO dollars.

So in the current year we're in the legislature fully funded the charter schools with PECO dollars, so that 13 million went back into our unallocated reserves. Right now it looks in this current legislative session for 19-20, at least in the government's budget, it's fully funded again, which would mean additional money coming back.

But, again, that's a year-over-year event. So when I do my memo I'm going to condition all those things because we don't know. But right now it looks like the intent of the legislature is to continue funding charter schools with PECO, so we would not have to shell it out.

MS. AKER REECE: So you guys are probably sick of me having the same similar questions over and over, but, as I watch these presentations and we look at Art, Music, Technology, some of the smaller marquee projects, all I think about is they're not going to last 30 years. So the computers that were replaced with bond dollars in 2014, 2015, they've got to be up for replacement soon. How does that fit into the operating budget now that we're getting into probably the year where you're developing that budget?

MRS. MARTE: So there's a couple of answers to that question. So when we sell a tranche of bonds we make sure that in that sale the preponderance of the sale is around long-term projects, to make sure we're complying with all the federal regulations. So that's number one.

Number two, there's funding from the state in the digital classroom allocation that can be used to replace computers. Also there's state law that says if we meet all our textbook needs we can use instructional materials for categorical flexibility and use those dollars for things like technology. We also have a lot of vendor partners who are continually offering us
opportunities for very, very good prices on a lot of the classroom technology we're using.

So all of that is considered every single budget development cycle. Because the last thing we want to see happen is have this investment kind of go backwards. So we've got our eye on that. Given -- I mean, but with all honesty, given the constraints of the fact that we got 47 cents per student in funding increase this year, which is $\$ 138,000$.

The other thing that's very unique to the current climate is we're in declining enrollment. So we lost 2,700 students, not to charter schools, District-wide declining enrollment. And our projections for next year is declining enrollment again.

So it's my job to balance all of those competing things. The good news is I've done it for 30 years. I've been a budget officer in a K-12 environment for 30 years and I've always managed to balance the budget every year. So I look forward to a lot of discussion with our Board, with our community, with all our stakeholder groups, including the Principal's Budget Guidelines Committee to make sure that the
budget that comes forward best addresses all of our District priorities given the fact that we are funded 45th in the nation as it relates to the value of our kids.

MS. AKER-REECE: Thank you. We appreciate you making sure that the bond dollars that were spent are being replaced. I thing governments overall do a pretty terrible job once they get bond projects in maintaining them and replacing them, so, you know --

MRS. MARTE: If I may, and I know you're with GFOA, so the other big issue is the limitations the federal governments have placed on governments to refund securities, which is costing us millions and millions and millions of dollars. And this district recaptured over \$40 million in refunding, which were allowed up until this year. So that's another problem with the current administration. And I know GFOA's stance on that because $I$ was in Washington lobbying with GFOA to get that changed. So we'll see what happens there. So that will all be included in my memo.

MS. AKER REECE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any other questions?

MR. HILLBERG: Yes.
Regarding the construction costs and projections, how do you incorporate market conditions, the fact that it's boom time now and all the contractors are busy and contracting prices are at a premium? I know that there has been some discussion about estimates are coming in around 30, 35 percent expectations, so where is that in the risk analysis?

MR. CARTER: That's all part of the inflation. The market conditions are part of the inflation.

MR. JARDINE: That's who $I$ was going to ask to answer that since he did the risk assessment.

MR. HILLBERG: It's just part of the five percent? Because the five percent seems high. The cost indices that I've been looking at which I don't have access to much, but they're in the twos. And maybe your index might have a higher specific to South Florida construction index, but I'm not seeing higher, but that would accommodate -- that would include that in -- the market conditions included would it increase the --

MR. CARTER: Just for information related to
that, we look at a number of indices but one indication or indicator that we have been looking at is the increase in South Florida construction employment over the last several years and it's -- it's been up around 10 percent per year on a year-over-year basis. And so that's a really good indicator. And we look at other -you know, we look at a lot of different indices and we can talk about those any time you would like.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anything else?
Bruce?
Chief?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: No, I think I'm okay.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Okay. Moving on from Budget to Supplier Diversity.

MS. COKER: Good evening.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Good evening.
MS. COKER: Mary Coker, Director of Procurement \& Warehousing Services.

So we're reporting for the quarter we've had a total of 1,529 registered firms in our supplier on-boarding system what we call the CBR. We have 669 pending compliance review from the prior
quarter. Those were in our supplier registration process so they move from one process to the other, therefore, they're pending compliance review hoping to become certified.

We've increased our Tech Talks, our Supplier Tech Talks, to 27. This is an increase as well as an increase to 68 suppliers have now attended thus far.

Next slide. We have 719 firms total certified. This is a slight decrease from the previous quarter. We noted several firms did not renew and we're working towards increasing recertification specifically by targeting specific areas that are currently in demand in our pipeline, so we should see an increase as well because we began a reclamation effort to retrieve qualified firms where we identified a specific demand where we had these vendors certified and we can bring them back to recertify them promptly. And also we've also had heavy reciprocal and recertification through eProcure. So we hope to see an increase in the next quarter.

We have a total of 42 percent total M/WBE subcontractor commitment. This remains
consistent from previous quarters and we have an increase of outreach events from 9 to 13 this quarter.

On this slide the professional designs, as you heard our construction partners here, Heery, mentioned the design, the professional design services are winding down, which is why you do see a decrease here on M/WBE participation. From the previous quarter we had 100 percent. We now have 64 percent. 74 percent now, 77 previous quarter. 60 percent for 77 previous quarter.

Again, we're winding down on the design and architectural, so you're going to see a significant decrease as we eventually not have any more professional services related to architect and design work.

On the construction, we have an increase on construction, 40 percent total commitment versus 38 prior quarter. And we've remained consistently relatively the same for total commitment amounts for $Q 2$ on 25 percent and -- 25 percent across both of these here. I don't know if you can see them, but the next two, Q2 FY18 and FY17, we're constantly going back just comparing to make sure that we have a positive
trend as we move forward.
Next slide, please.
On the Owner's Representative Services, which are CBRE/Heery, we remain consistent across the board between, previously we had 37 percent, it's 32 percent on $S / M / W B E$ commitments, so that's along with their commitment goals. We've remained consistent also across the board with Cost and Program Controls, 45 percent.

Next slide, please.
Our total minority spend for prime contractors, you will see that we did have slight decreases for Sub-Continent Asian Americans, white females and slight of approximately one percent decrease on African American and we showed a significant increase on Hispanic Americans, close to four percent.

Next slide.
So this is -- this is called Total Minority Spend for Prime Contractors. I think this basically should be called -- we're going to change this for the next report. It's really spend by gender, men versus women. And we had an increase of one percent give or take on men participation versus women, where we had a one
percent decrease to 20 -- close to 23 percent. Last quarter it was 24 percent.

On Total Minority Spend for Prime Contractors, again, 70 percent -- 70.5 percent non E/S/M/WBE and then almost 30 percent for E/S/M/WBE, slight increase of two percent.

Again, the driving factor to all of this, too, as well as we are moving away from the design moving into contracting as well as construction, the majority of the prime contractors are large organizations thus far and we've began on the forefront when we are building these solicitations to ensure that through our 3330, Policy 3330, we can ensure that we can bring forth affirmative procurement issues that would provide inclusion for minority participation and we've already seen that in some of the work that we've brought forward whereas part of the $C M$ we've included for primes to have 30, 40 percent also prime participation in small businesses. So we're expecting to see these numbers rise again.

Next slide.
This is basically just highlights that we like to include. Again, $I$ just can't reiterate
enough that one of the things that $I$ can tell you that we are heavily focused on, since we've now moved away from design services, and I'm talking about SMART and beyond SMART, we're ensuring that all solicitations that leave my office have an API assigned, an Affirmative Procurement Initiative, with a commodity goal assigned ensuring that the contract language and the solicitation language includes, not only encourages for small business, but also requirements on participation to become responsive. So this is a huge thing that we continue to focus on. And more and more we can see here where it says 58 BCPS certifications were evaluated, those are from the SDOP department that they continue more and more to evaluate more solicitations as we continue to -our continuous improvement on this side.

The next slide just breaks down some of the outreach that we've performed, being a little bit more creative in how we reach the community through networking and communications. I believe it's a success.

And that's it. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob?

MR. NAVE: The only concern we expressed was that the report only included data for October and November and didn't have anything from December in there. So the recommendation was that the District just include the full three months in the report.

MS. COKER: And if I may, I can respond to that. And we had a conversation off-line, but when we bring forth these reports, specifically the outreach report, which was made mentioned to in the recommendation from TaxWatch which goes through December 31st, we don't bring the last two weeks of the year or of any month, we normally bring them a month later to the Board for Board approval. Therefore, when we bring forth this quarter the three months is usually two months and a half because there's always the lingering amount of data that hasn't been approved by the Board since it hasn't come to the Board. So when we discussed this off-line we agreed that moving forward for the next report we're going to either possibly include all the data and put a disclaimer that data is subject to change based upon Board approval or if we're not allowed to do that, which we have to verify,
we'll ensure that we also include a disclaimer to state that the data doesn't include the full three months because a portion of the data has not been Board approved.

So either way we're going to make a disclaimer whether or not, but we will include -we're going to try to include three months.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Does that satiate TaxWatch's concern?

MR. NAVE: Yeah. I think so. It's -- you know, the District has -- I usually get the report about the same time you do, which is usually about 10 weeks or so after the end of the quarter. So there appears to be plenty of time to get the data sorted out and get it in there correctly. So I think it can be worked out.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Okay. Any questions? Chief?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: On page 21 of the report from TaxWatch, let's see one, two, three, four, down at the bottom below recommendation 9, there is a summary through December 31st of 2018 that says -- you hear me okay? That says that the purchase orders issued to all these different businesses represent 2.5 percent of the $\$ 85$
million commitment. In some cases those number are very small, in other cases those numbers are pretty large.

Can we expect this to increase substantially?
The question for TaxWatch. Next to the last paragraph page 21.

MR. NAVE: No, I'm looking at it.
Yeah, I don't know what substantially means though number-wise. I think the District has shown progress in making sure that minority and women-owned firms are getting involved in this program and getting SMART dollars. We always want the numbers to increase. But I don't know what an appropriate number or percentage would be.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: If the target participation is say 10 or 12 percent, does that equate to the financial target, as well, or just to participation of those businesses?

MRS. MARTE: So when you're saying that the target is 10 to 12 percent, is that what we're requiring the prime vendors to ensure that there is participation?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Let me see if $I$ can clarify my question. Is there a direct
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relationship between the amount that a minority business gets and what the overall dollar amount is versus the School Board's participation level for minority-owned businesses?

If 20 percent of the bond is 200 million, if I did my math right, initial amount of 800 , then, essentially, 200 million would be targeted toward minority-owned businesses; correct?

So if our percentage is based on just the individuals that participate, there's no target for financial, the financial amount.

MS. COKER: The percentage is related to the financial amount. The percentage is related to the financial amount. And this number is very low considering that we started the bond back in like 2015. And when you really look at it, it's cumulative. So in the very beginning there wasn't anyone. There wasn't anything. So that's why the number -- but, yes, the number should increase.

What that number should be, I can tell you that as a district our aspirational goal for supplier diversity inclusion as a district as a whole, and I'm not talking SMART, I'm talking about everything, is approximately 40 percent.

That's our goal as a district for the entire spend across the district. For SMART, I'm not sure if there is -- I don't believe that there is an actual specific goal. I can tell you that if you look in our prior quarters they have been relatively high. You've seen them increase from 60 to 70 to 90 percent. Now you've seen them slightly decrease. But overall when you do the cumulative, I would suspect this number should continue to rise and I would probably say it should end up being, and I'm just going to take a very, a guesstimate here, in the 10 to 15 percent.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any other questions? Comments?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Hearing none we'll move on to Communications.

MS. GARTH: Good evening. Yvonne Garth with Garth Solutions. We are the communications liaison for the SMART Program and the SMART team.

For the quarter ending December 31st we focused quite a bit on those three months on outreach to the community primarily through project charter meetings. You can see we had 26
in that quarter. The project charter meetings have been really great -- although they're occurring primarily in the design phase, it's also a great opportunity for us to really start that connection with the community. So they've really been serving as not just a project charter meeting but really just the beginning of our community meetings and they will continue -we'll continue to build on that as projects continue into construction.

In addition to that we do continue to notify principals as their schools reach a milestone in the process. And whenever there is a Board action taken we continue to notify the principals of that action.

The big shift really in that quarter has been an increase in our social media and our tweeting. Frank has been fantastic about tweeting from his Twitter account any progress that's made. What we're really looking forward to in 2019 is expanding that platform and not just pushing out content through Frank's Twitter account, but expanding that through other platforms as well and working with the District's PIO to leverage the District platform as a whole, as well as
pushing content out at the local level through the schools' platforms, Mr. Runcie's platforms, the School Board members and so forth.

As projects begin to transition into construction we're excited because we have more content. As you can see from some of the drone footage in the presentation our team has been out at the schools as -- as work is underway trying to capture it visually, whether through pictures and photographs or really through video as well as drone footage, especially. Because there is a lot of roofing work, we're not allowed to climb up on the roof, so the only way that we can capture some of that work is through the drone footage.

As a matter of fact, tomorrow at Flanagan High School they are actually going to tilt up the walls, the panels. They have been poured and they're going up tomorrow. So we have scheduled, not just with our team to capture that footage, but to also coordinate it with BECON and the communications team of the District to capture that. We'll take that and we'll push out that news and that information through multiple channels.

Lastly, but certainly not least, is working -- this year we are trying to be more aggressive about working with the principals to help the principals communicate the messaging -- to help us -- or ask the principals to help us communicate that messaging out into the community through ParentLink and through their channels. We're trying to make it as simple as possible for them, so we create the content, we create the tweets. We're going to have templates moving forward where we can update them on what's happening and they can literally just, hopefully, push a couple buttons and get that information out to their school community.

And that's it on Communications so far.
I'm happy to answer any questions.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Questions?
(No response.)
MR. NAVE: No concerns.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Moving on, we'll talk about the School Board Workshop, briefly.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Thank you. I didn't stay very long. We started -- the workshop was kind of delayed by a couple hours dealing with other matters, so I left shortly after I, you know,
made my presentation or my remarks to the Board. But before I left a couple of things that were mentioned was, one, Board members wanted more transparency. They asked for more transparency just like we're asking for more transparency. And going back to communications, the Superintendent did say that he would conduct a series of community town halls about the SMART Project and I don't know how far that has developed or not developed. And I did request a field trip or field trips and I think the Board members thought that was a very good idea that we go out there and look at what's been done.

Maybe others can fill you in on what happened after, but I stayed merely but a few -- you know, 10 minutes after I had made my remarks because they were about two, two and a half hours late starting with the Bond report.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
The next workshop is April 16th, 2019.
Believe it or not, I can actually cover it. And I assume that I'll get a time and place and all those things.

MRS. MARTE: Yes, sir.
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CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: The future meetings proposed dates are June 10th, 2019, September 9th, 2019, December 16th, 2019. Obviously, here in the same room.

I assume those dates are still good for the balance of the committee?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Great.
That brings us to another item on our agenda, which is the status of our membership and the terms. I think that the board as currently comprised is set at least through October of 2020. So I think we're safe until then.

I don't recall under the bylaws when we have to renew or seek replacements for any of the potential openings, but if $I$ remember correctly we also -- these can be re-upped; is that correct?

MR. SHIM: Yes, there's four bullet points at the bottom and one of them is that you can waive the term limit.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I think that's something we can deal with almost a year from now and still be fine.

If there's anybody that has any questions or
concerns or comments about terms?
MS. AKER REECE: So there's nothing that we need to do?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: If you look at the terms you can see that I'm the first one to come off based on the term limits and that's October of 2020. So that's still a year from this coming October. I don't think we need to necessarily --

MS. AKER REECE: So this one doesn't matter?
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Yeah, I mean, I'm looking at Term 2 at this point because we're pretty much through the end of Term 1.

Unless there's anybody that wants to stop doing it now?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: If there's nothing to discuss I'll just table this for the meeting that we have in September of 2019 and we can address it at that time.

MR. BERNARD: After 2020 her and Steve will be here by themselves.

MR. HILLBERG: We'll be finished long before that.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: As long as the budget doesn't increase by 100 million.

Move on to any other business; is there any other business that the committee should be made aware of or committee members want to bring to light?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Hearing none, we can recess the business meeting and convene the public meeting.

Did anybody sign in to provide any comments from the public?

MR. SHIM: No, we had no one sign in.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Then we can adjourn the public meeting, reconvene the business meeting, and is there any other discussion?

MR. GIRARDI: Just something that was mentioned about a field trip.

We did discuss that at the workshop. And discussions occurred after that were the meetings are best here because of BECON and with recording and everything else. But we still have the opportunity, through legal let me make sure I get this right, to convene a meeting -- it wouldn't be a field trip. It would be meet at a school if you do want to walk a school and see the construction at a school. That can always be set
up, I'm assuming advertised and everything else to make it correct.

I just wanted to bring that up. If that's something you don't want to do, that's fine, but I know it was discussed at the Board meeting and the Board kind of liked the idea.

That's what I'm thinking, maybe start with Stranahan because that's the farthest along.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Would it be a good idea to do it around this meeting, like literally the day of?

MR. GIRARDI: You mean do it and then re-adjourn here?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: The next meeting is June; right?

MR. GIRARDI: June 10th.
School will be out.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Is that a better time to go?

MS. MYRICK: You can have a meeting wherever you want to have it. We can notice it wherever you want to have it. The issue of BECON, whatever. You all can't get in a bus or one van and go on a field trip because this is a noticed meeting and there is a court case that a school
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board someplace, Polk County or something, about five years ago went on a bus and went all the way around, there were newspaper reporters on the bus, et cetera, et cetera, but they said that because they were all on the bus together and there really wasn't availability for the public to be there, even though they did not talk about what they saw or whatever, they said that they violated the Sunshine Act by being on the bus together.

So we can have the meeting wherever we want, but you can't be on the bus together.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Well, then can we convene the meeting at one of those schools and have the tour or see something that's been accomplished at one of those schools? Because I know we did have a meeting a couple of years ago at one of the schools.

MR. GIRARDI: The last meeting was at Fort Lauderdale High School because this room wasn't available. That's something we could discuss on whether the next meeting could be at Stranahan or -- I know there's issues and we have to look with BECON and the recording. I know that was a lot of work for them to set up at Fort

Lauderdale, but we can look at that also.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I was just trying to kill two birds with one stone.

MR. GIRARDI: Right. And come summertime we're also talking about -- this weekend we change the time, so with the meeting starting at 5:30 or even if the meeting started later, you'd have more than enough light to be able to see what was going on at that time of year.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anything else?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Could we see a completed project?

MR. GIRARDI: Well, we'll have more projects complete by June.

MR. JARDINE: It's a roof project. I mean, you're not going to see anything.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: We have one down and 230 to go; right? 231.

MR. GIRARDI: Or, actually, come June, I know it's not a Big 3, but Flanagan should be far along on the addition since we're going to be lifting walls in the next few days. So that would be something good to look at. There would be some things at Stranahan, but with a lot of the roofing projects, these are projects that
people really don't see unless we have drone footage or we tweet drone footage.

Maybe Flanagan's addition would be a good one. By then material work is going to be going on.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Where is that located?
MR. GIRARDI: It's in Pembroke Pines on Flamingo just north of Pines Boulevard. Five minutes from my house, so I'm good with that one.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I live in Weston so that's good with me.

MRS. MARTE: Far is relative. That's my zone school.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Is that something we want to decide now or we can decide later?

MRS. MARTE: We can come up with some ideas and get feedback from you. We'll come up with a plan in plenty of time.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob can drive the bus.
MRS. MARTE: Omar is driving the bus.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anything else?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thanks so much.
It appears we've completed the agenda. May I have a motion to conclude the meeting?
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,

MS. AKER REECE: So moved.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Second.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: All those in favor of concluding the meeting say aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you very much.
(Meeting was concluded at 7:50 p.m.)
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| 37:18 40:1,5 41:13 | 88:6 92:5 93:24 | 45:23 62:6 | 81:5,17 | 24:1 25:23 26:1 |
| 41:20 42:3 43:5 | 95:15 96:8,11,16 | sick 72:2 | Solutions 1:15 86:20 | 35:24 37:10 43:13 |
| 45:22 48:2,12,15 | 97:1 | side 81:18 | somebody 44:11 | 60:12 69:4 87:4 |
| 49:13,17 50:1,7,9 | seeing 17:25 18:9,11 | sign 59:25 93:9,11 | 47:21 59:25 | 94:7 |
| 64:22 71:7 85:3 | 63:4 75:21 | significant 13:18 | someplace 12:1 | started 22:2 24:7 |
| 88:3,17 89:14,21 | seek 91:15 | 37:2 67:19 69:2 | 95:1 | 27:12 36:25 42:9 |
| 93:23,24,25 94:17 | seen 43:11 80:17 | 78:14 79:16 | soon 24:1 25:2 30:2 | 54:19 66:12 85:15 |
| 94:25 95:20 97:13 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 86:6,7 } \\ \text { sell } 72: 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { similar } 9: 4 \\ 72: 2 \end{array}$ | $72: 9$ | 89:23 96:7 starting 4:2 48:11 |
| schools 1:1 6:3,17,18 | sell 72:13 |  | sooner 37:10 | starting 4:2 48:11 |
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| 57:9 90:18 96:6 | Superintendent 90:7 | 68:13 | think 3:11 13:22 16:1 | total 15:7 25:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| state 14:11 38:19 | supervisor 6:20 | TaxWatch 1:8 2:19 | 19:19 21:14 24:15 | 26:18 33:20 55:15 |
| 71:6 72:18,20 83:2 | supplier 76:17,23 | 5:1 7:10 34:13 | 26:24 28:19 31:11 | 63:6,25 76:23 77:9 |
| 99:2,5 | 77:1,5 85:23 | 35:19 43:6 66:10 | 33:24 34:1,2,21,24 | 77:24,24 78:18,20 |
| status 5:7 6:19 62:12 | suppliers 77:7 | 67:8 82:11 83:20 | 35:2 38:16 39:4 | 79:11,19 80:3 |
| 91:10 | supply 20:24 | 84:5 | 52:15 53:2 54:21 | totals 32:7 |
| statute 38:19 | support 3:14 6:20 | TaxWatch's 4:3 83:9 | 59:23 66:5,16,22,24 | touching 22:15 |
| stay 30:11 89:22 | 7:21 | teacher 10:5 14:6 | 67:2 68:5,9,13 | tough 43:4 |
| stayed 56:12 70:22 | supposed 28:17 30:2 | teachers 1:21 39:2 | 69:15 70:21 72:5 | tour 95:15 |
| 90:15 | 40:11,15 | team 6:14 86:21 88:7 | 76:15 79:20 83:10 | towers 20:23 21:1 |
| stays 27:4 | sure 7:17 8:4 12:3 | 88:20,22 | 83:16 84:9 90:11 | town 90:8 |
| stenographic 99:9 | 18:12 29:10 37:17 | teams 37:16 | 91:11,13,22 92:8 | track 11:20,21 12:11 |
| stenographically | 37:24 42:17 53:9 | Tech 77:5,6 | thinking 94:7 | 14:25 31:10 |
| 99:7 | 54:13 68:3 71:6 | technology 2:22 3:12 | thinks 34:13 66:10 | tracking 18:21,22 |
| stepped 13:16 | 72:14,16 73:25 | 3:14,25 5:19 8:18 | third 1:24 15:15 | tracks 12:4 |
| Steve 1:21 92:20 | 74:6 78:25 84:10 | 9:7 65:12 72:4,24 | thought 4:13 10:18 | traditional 61:2 |
| stick 53:10 | 86:3 93:21 | 73:2 | 11:23 35:10,14 | tranche 72:13 |
| stone 96:3 | surprises 61:18 | tell 81:1 85:21 86:4 | 44:4 90:12 | transactions 62:11 |
| stop 92:13 | surveys 6:17 | telling 11:19 50:20 | thousand 27:15 31:7 | 63:12 |
| storage 4:21 | Susan 1:12 | tells 46:21 | 48:19 69:9 | transcript 99:8 |
| storm 21:10 | suspect 86:9 | templates 89:10 | three 3:17 7:1 15:1,4 | transition 88:4 |
| Stranahan 21:19 | system 3:18,18 76:24 | temporary 37:12,18 | 19:10 30:5 31:3 | transparency 90:4,4 |
| 23:8 24:20 34:19 | S.E 1:24 | 37:22 | 43:9,15,17 51:22 | 90:5 |
| 94:8 95:22 96:24 | S/M/WBE 79:6 | term 91:21 92:6,11 | 54:17,23 55:5,6,11 | trend 79:1 |
| strategy 19:25 |  | 92:12 | 55:18 56:1,16,20 | tried 16:21 30:10 |
| strictly 42:6 | T | terms 36:16 91:11 | 58:13 66:13 82:5 | 35:11 37:5 |
| structurally 64:7 | table 92:17 | 92:1,5 | 82:16 83:3,7,20 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t r i p }} 90: 1193: 16,23$ |
| structure 21:6 | tabs 30:25 | terrible 74:8 | 86:23 | 94:24 |
| student 1:13 3:4 | take 10:16 43:10,17 | textbook 72:21 | three-year 45:14 | trips 90:11 |
| 14:20 71:9 73:9 | 47:13 56:22 60:13 | Thank 3:10 4:8 5:13 | Thursday 30:24 | true 27:20 41:23 |
| students 3:5 6:5 10:4 | 64:23 79:24 86:11 | 5:17,18 7:8,12 10:9 | tied 24:18 26:23 | 52:17 99:8 |
| 10:8 73:13 | 88:23 | 13:20 17:12 32:13 | 29:11 | try 6:4 7:4 29:7 31:14 |
| stuff 47:9 57:7 | taken 3:20 28:4 50:5 | 39:3 53:12 59:10 | tilt 88:17 | 36:24 48:22 53:7 |
| subcontractor 77:25 | 54:7 87:14 | 61:25 62:4 74:5,24 | time 10:9 30:7 37:10 | 83:7 |
| subdivisions 43:11 | talk 31:18 35:12 44:4 | 76:11 89:22 90:19 | 37:12 54:22 59:21 | trying 12:13 22:22 |
| subject 15:11 82:23 | 62:13 76:9 89:20 | 90:20 98:6 | 60:12 75:4 76:9 | 25:12 26:15 31:12 |
| submitted 6:25 16:19 | 95:7 | Thanks 97:23 | 83:12,14 90:23 | 42:17 43:23 68:23 |
| 28:17 32:15 | talked 18:2,19 26:24 | theater 6:24 7:5,19 | 92:19 94:18 96:6,9 | 88:8 89:2,8 96:2 |
| subsequent 67:2 | 66:7 | 8:8 | 97:18 | Tuesday 30:23 |
| substantially 84:4,8 | talking 11:4,5 13:21 | theory 57:4 | timeframe 6:15 | turn 17:10 24:11 |
| Sub-Continent 79:13 | 14:5,6 22:20,21 | thereof 99:9 | timeline 64:2 | turning 44:13 |
| success 81:23 | 43:7 55:12 63:19 | thing 4:2 11:22 40:13 | times 51:5,7 58:13,21 | tweet 97:2 |
| sudden 65:16 | 81:3 85:24,24 96:5 | 48:9 66:19 70:11 | 58:25 59:13 60:17 | tweeting $87: 17,18$ |
| suggestion 42:23 | Talks 77:5,6 | 73:4,11 74:7 81:12 | timing-wise 20:4 | tweets 89:10 |
| suggestions 35:10,13 | target 61:14 84:16,18 | things 4:3 10:23,25 | 64:5 | twice 30:22 |
| Suite 1:24 | 84:21 85:10 | 16:1 17:15,25 | Timothy 1:23 99:4,14 | Twitter 87:19,22 |
| summary 16:23 | targeted 85:7 | 22:17 24:6 30:13 | today 58:17 59:8 | two 2:25 3:4 19:19 |
| 83:22 | targeting 77:13 | 35:4 54:13 65:11 | today's 53:24 | 20:1,11 25:10 28:9 |
| summer 29:2 | Task 1:9,11 2:24 | 67:14 70:5 71:22 | told 27:17 | 29:12,13 31:2,5 |
| summertime 96:4 | 16:15 | 72:23 73:18 81:1 | tomorrow 88:16,19 | 39:5 43:8 45:14 |
| Sunshine 95:9 | taxpayers 34:10 | 90:2,24 96:24 | top 30:11 55:11 | 51:8 54:22 56:15 |
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| 65:24 66:13 69:20 | 72:24 | weekend 96:5 | 91:13 92:11 96:5 | 65:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69:20 70:5,14,18 | vendors 25:14 26:16 | weeks 20:2 30:6 | 96:21 | WRIGHT 1:3 |
| 72:18 78:23 80:6 | 31:3 77:18 84:22 | 82:13 83:13 | we've 3:2,17 8:4 17:4 | wrong 35:15 39:13 |
| 82:13,17 83:20 | verify $82: 25$ | weight $15: 1,8,11,19$ | 17:6 18:5,6,23 | 68:9 |
| 90:17,17 96:3 | versus 40:12 48:10 | 16:4 23:13 24:7,18 | 20:16 21:2,8,21 |  |
| twos 75:19 | 48:13,16 65:24 | 25:6 | 24:14 27:7 29:19 | X |
| type 51:21 52:20 | 66:3 78:18 79:23 | went 6:20 9:1 10:11 | 30:16,18 43:22 | X 37:1 45:25 |
| 69:22 | 79:25 85:3 | 10:18 19:11 32:16 | 47:25 52:15,16 |  |
| typical 37:1 | vet $38: 3$ | 46:3,14,22 58:23 | 53:5 55:1,15 60:7 | Y |
| typically 3:25 10:3 | video 21:14 88:10 | 71:14 95:2,2 | 60:19 65:25 68:15 | yeah 5:3 35:21 38:18 |
| 60:23 | violated 95:9 | weren't 57:17 | 68:20,20 69:2,8 | 43:1 44:15 50:11 |
|  | visually $88: 9$ | West 46:19,19 | 73:6 76:22 77:5,20 | 52:11 55:25 56:5,9 |
| U | vote 9:2 | Weston 97:10 | 78:19 79:7 80:12 | 57:20 83:10 84:8 |
| Uh-huh 40:24 5 | VP 1:8 | we'll 2:21 4:14 1 | 80:17,18,19 81:2,20 | 92:10 |
| ultimate $37: 553: 5$ |  | 17:11 23:5 24:1 | 97:24 | year 18:9,10,14 19:6 |
| ultimately 8:21 52:25 | W | 25:3 29:1,22 30:7 | hac | 23:14 25:6 26:3,6 |
| unallocated 64:16 | wait 15:23 16:3 58:2 | 33:20 45:2 49:21 | white 79:14 | 32:24 41:10,11,11 |
| 71:15 | waiting 65:4 67:14 | 54:4 65:1 67:21 | win 70:24 | 41:20,21 42:3,6,25 |
| underground 21:10 | waive 91:20 | 74:21 83:1 86:17 | wind 52:22 | 43:1,5,21,21,22 |
| understaffed 13:15 | walk 93:24 | 87:9 88:23,23 | winding 78:7,12 | 44:2 51:15,23 |
| understand 68:11 | wall $21: 17$ 23:2 49:14 | 89:20 92:22 96:13 | window $24: 16$ | 54:18,18 56:11 |
| understanding 10:10 | walls 49:4,10 88:18 | 97:17 | windows 15:25 | 64:20,20 66:15 |
| 54:3 | 96:22 | we're 4:2 6:21 7:4,14 | wish 12:2 70:20 | 67:18 71:12 72:11 |
| underway 17:25 | want $2: 254: 18$ | 9:12 12:15 13:2,23 | withhold 44:16 45:1 | 73:9,15,21 74:18 |
| 18:18 23:9,11 | 9:10 12:4,4 16:18 | 14:6 16:3,23 17:23 | withholding 45:2 | 76:5 82:13 89:2 |
| 24:20 26:5 88:8 | 16:22 19:22 24:7 | 18:7,9,11,12 19:8 | women 79:23,25 | 91:23 92:7 96:9 |
| unfortunately 9:17 | 27:6 37:21,24 | 19:16 20:7,17,19 | women-owned 84:11 | years 41:12,13,23 |
| 51:16 | 47:15 53:7 73:5 | 21:3,13,15,22,23 | words 13:15 36:20 | 43:8,9,10,13,15,18 |
| unique 73:11 | 84:13 93:3,24 94: | 22:7,14 23:12,18 | 38:5 | 43:24 51:23,25 |
| unit 54:9,11 | 94:21,22 95:11 | 24:5,21,23 25:2 | work 3:13 8:22 9:12 | 54:22 55:12 56:3 |
| unreserved 67:20 | 97:15 | 26:1,4,14 28:1 29:5 | 19:21 21:12 22:3,4 | 56:15,21,23 57:1,11 |
| unsupervised 38:22 | wanted 49:3 63:18 | 30:11,11,22,24,25 | 24:10 35:19,21 | 66:13 68:3 72:6 |
| $38: 24$ | 65:8 90:3 94:3 | 31:2,4,9,12,13,23 | 36:24 44:19,20,22 | 73:19,20 76:4 95:2 |
| upcoming 64:20 | wants 92:13 | 34:12 38:23 41:3,4 | 45:5 47:9,10 50:2 | 95:17 |
| update 17:16 89:11 | warehouse 6:13 | 42:17 43:23 44:1 | 50:11 51:21 57:5 | year-over-year 71:20 |
| updated 39:22 | 49:24 50:5,6 | 44:11,12,21,24 45:1 | 59:2,19 60:22 61:3 | 76:6 |
| updates $45: 8$ | Warehousing 1:14 | 45:6,8 46:1 48:11 | 69:22 78:16 80:18 | Yvonne 1:15 86:19 |
| upgrade 3:19 | 76:21 | 51:21,23 53:8 54:8 | 88:8,12,14 95:25 | Z |
| upgrades 3:13 | warts 35:5 | 54:8,9 57:8,12 | 97:4 | Z |
| UPS 4:14,18 | Washington | 58:13 60:18 61:2 | worked 8:25 83:16 | - 19:16 |
| use 31:14 56:1,5 | wasn't 12:17,19 | 63:21,24 64:4,7 | working 6:4 24:21 | zone 97:12 |
| 72:22,23 | 57:15,16 69:7 | 65:4 68:15,18,18,22 | 26:14 30:12 37:16 | zones 52:22 |
| usually $48: 18,18,24$ | 85:18,18 95:6,20 | 68:23,24,25 69:3,11 | 37:23 38:4 53:3 | \$ |
| 82:16 83:11,13 | watch 72 | 69:11,14,19,21 70:2 | 69:11 77:12 87:24 | \$1.133:9 |
| V |  | 71:12 72:10,16 | 89:1,3 | \$1.443:18 |
| vacation 70:25 | 19 | 73:2,12 76:22 | workshop 89:21,23 | \$1.84 3:7 |
| value 17:19 37:7 | 58:20 69:1 83:5 | $77: 12 ~ 78: 12,24$ $79: 2180: 2181$ |  | \$10 8:19 |
| 74:4 | 88:13 95:2 |  |  | \$10,000 4:17 |
| $\boldsymbol{v a n}$ 94:23 | week 16:20 20:1 | 84:21 87:20 88:5 | wouldn't 10:24 93:22 | \$10.3 3:3 |
| vendor 26:14 38:14 | 30:23 31:22 66:19 | 88:12 89:8,10 90:5 | wraps 24:16,16 28:4 | \$100 68:8 |
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| \$100,000 48:16 | 142 25:17 | 225 63:11,17,20 | 45 32:22 40:14 42:8 | 87 26:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$12 51:20,25 58:9 | 147.5 63:14 | 23 62:8,8 80:1 | 79:9 | 89,000 33:8 |
| \$125,000 3:23 | 15 39:10,19 56:21 | 230 96:17 | 45th 74:3 |  |
| \$13 51:4 58:23 59:13 | 66:20 86:12 | 230-something 49:20 | 46 42:20,21 | 9 |
| \$138 17:18 | 15th 17:1,5,16 19:6 | 59:14 | 47 73:8 | 923:16 78:2 83:21 |
| \$138,000 73:10 | 154 20:7 | 231 96:18 |  | 9th 91:3 |
| \$15,000 4:11,12,14 | 16th 90:21 91:3 | 24 17:20,20 80:2 | 5 | 9.2 3:15 |
| \$19 51:23 | 17th 2:7 | 25 56:23 78:21,21 | 5 18:14 20:12 21:19 | 90 86:7 |
| \$20 51:3,24 58:11,23 | 18 25:20 30:2 51:5,7 | 26 6:2 9:24 62:22 | 23:9 24:21 25:2 | 93 39:7,10,16 |
| \$200 66:14 | 56:15,16 58:24,25 | 86:25 | 26:3,6 43:22 44:2 | 93.9 6:9 |
| \$225 17:24 | 59:13 | 27 23:16 55:12 56:4 | 5:30 96:7 | $9424: 15$ 28:1 39:8,11 |
| \$280 57:23 | 18-19 41:21 | 77:6 | 5:45 1:5 | 95 27:1,18 |
| \$302 66:18 | 19 6:12 19:16 40:16 | $2832: 255: 10,14,16$ | 507 62:11 63:13 | 954-463-3326 1:25 |
| \$4 46:9 | 41:7,19 62:20 | 56:16 57:22 | 513 62:12 63:13 |  |
| \$4074:16 | 19-20 71:16 | 28-month 22:13 | 57 55:13 |  |
| \$433 66:21 | 192 6:1,2 | $28032: 1$ 50:21 52:13 | 58 18:14 25:16 26:6 |  |
| \$5,000 28:2 |  | 54:2 58:20 59:3,17 | 81:14 |  |
| \$6.80 51:2 52:2 | $\frac{2}{218.1022 .255 .4}$ | 29 15:7,8 17:17 20:8 |  |  |
| \$758:22 | 2 18:10 22:2 25:4 | 20:13 | $625: 3$ |  |
| \$85 83:25 | 28:16 29:16 38:25 39:7 43:21 62:20 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6} 25: 3 \\ & \mathbf{6 . 8 0} 58: 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| \$9.163:6 | 39:7 43:21 62:20 62:23 63:2,14 | $\frac{\mathbf{3}}{\mathbf{3} 22: 1032: 18 ~ 34: 6,8}$ |  |  |
| \$95,000 27:18 | 62:23 63:2,14 $92: 11$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|} \mathbf{3} 22: 1032: 18 ~ 34: 6,8 \\ 34: 12,22 & 43: 21,22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \text { 6:21 20:12 78:11 } \\ & 86: 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1 | 2,700 73:13 | 96:20 | $\mathbf{6 0 , 0 0 0} 49: 18$ |  |
| 12:21 18:9 22:2 | 2.5 83:25 | 3RD 1:4 | $6001: 4$ |  |
| 28:10,13 30:1 | 2.6 46:21 | 3.4 62:21 | 633 1:24 |  |
| 40:12 41:1,5,15 | 20 6:11 24:10 25:22 | 3.9 46:21 | 64 32:1 50:20 53:19 |  |
| 43:21 62:7,16 | 49:6 50:24 58:12 | 30 15:7 72:6 73:19,20 | 57:23 78:10 |  |
| 67:18 92:12 | 80:1 85:5 | 75:8 80:5,20 | 66 6:13 |  |
| 1,529 76:23 | 20,000 49:18 | 31st 6:7 17:3 41:8 | $66976: 25$ |  |
| 1.2 33:5 | 20-classroom 23:2 | 42:1 82:12 83:22 | 67 26:5 |  |
| 1.571:8 | 200 1:24 69:8 85:5,7 | 86:22 | 68 26:22 77:7 |  |
| 10 16:20 23:16 27:22 | 200-something 69:9 | 32 25:15 79:6 | 687.3 62:19 |  |
| 39:8,17 51:23 | 69:9 | 32.6 62:7,17 | $7$ |  |
| 56:24 66:16 69:17 | 2007 51:17 | 3330 80:14,14 | $\frac{7}{723: 2325.5}$ |  |
| 69:22 76:5 83:13 | 2010 51:18 | 33301 1:24 | 723:23 25:5 |  |
| 84:17,21 86:12 | 2014 54:17 56:19 | 34 43:7 | 7:501:5 98:7 |  |
| 90:16 | 72:8 | 345.3 62:18 | 70 80:4 86:7 |  |
| 10th 91:2 94:16 | 2015 72:8 85:16 | 35-30:6 43:8 75:8 | 70,000 49:18 |  |
| 10078:9 92:25 | 2018 2:7 42:1 83:22 | 35.1 63:5 | 70.5 80:4 |  |
| 105 6:10 | 2019 1:5 15:14,16 | 37 6:24 20:8 79:5 | 71 23:12 |  |
| 11 23:16 39:9 | 40:12 41:1 56:20 | 38 25:18,20,24 78:19 | 71977:9 |  |
| 12 23:16,20 26:19,21 | 87:20 90:21 91:2,3 |  | 7478:10 |  |
| 32:17 39:18 40:4 | 91:3 92:18 99:10 | 4 | 75 26:18,21,22 40:10 |  |
| 84:17,21 | 2020 24:13 64:4,8 | $41: 5$ 43:22 44:2 | 77 78:10,11 |  |
| 12th 99:10 | 91:13 92:7,20 | 407:2 50:24 78:18 |  |  |
| 13 19:4 34:19 39:10 | 2021 67:18 | 80:20 85:25 | $8 \text { 23:16 }$ |  |
| 39:20 51:7 58:25 | 2022 67:18 | 400 9:16 50:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 2 3 : 1 6} \\ & \mathbf{8 0 0} 85: 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 71:14 78:2 | 208 63:21 | $4277: 24$ 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 0 0} 85: 6 \\ & \mathbf{8 3 , 3 6 2} 3: 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 131 63:6 | 21 83:19 84:6 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 433 32:7 50:17 55:15 } \\ \text { 63:16 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 3 , 3 6 2} 3: 2 \\ & \mathbf{8 4} 25: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 14 19:4,10,13 55:8 | 214.3 63:3 | 63:16 | 8425:5 |  |
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