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CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Good evening everyone. Can you hear me all right? It works. Thank you all.

Adam is not here yet and I'm going to substitute for him, temporarily, and start the meeting and call to order; and we'll have a roll call.

Mr. Bernard?
MR. BERNARD: Here.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Mr. Hillberg?
MR. HILLBERG: Here.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Rabinowitz, not here.
Latha, you're here.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Yes.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Laura?
MS. AKER REECE: Here.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. We have a quorum and we'll get started with the minutes from the October 8th meeting.

Has everybody had a chance to look at the minutes from the meeting?

Do I have a motion to accept the minutes?
MS. KRISHNAIYER: So moved.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Do I have a second?
MS. AKER REECE: Second.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Second. We have a second.
So we have a motion and a second to approve the minutes from October 8th. All those in favor say aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: All those against?
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: The motion passes.
Okay. Next is Bond Oversight Quarterly Reports. Who is going to present that to us?

All right. Mr. Shim? Make sure you say who you are and your title and where you work because of the folks that are asking us to ensure that everybody knows.

Thank you.
MR. SHIM: Thank you and good afternoon. My name is Omar Shim, I'm Director of Capital Budget.

The first -- section 1 is Technology. Unfortunately, they won't be here. So if you have any questions or anything about the Technology section, we can take it back to them. So we can go on to Section 2.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Hold on. Let me check with the board to see.

Does anyone have any questions on Section 1, on Technology?

MR. BERNARD: Bob has the same question on his report that I have. There was projects stated in the second quarter of 2018 and 2019 and there's no reason why these seven additional projects are behind schedule. So we need an answer for that.

MR. SHIM: Yes, they are providing a response, which we haven't gotten one back from them. So when they provide that response I'll provide it to the committee and to TaxWatch.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. If we could, we would like to go one by one through the pages just to make sure if anyone has any questions.

Does anybody have any questions on the District's report in Section 1? Any concerns or questions aside from what Mr. Bernard had?

Give the committee a moment just to glance through it.

MR. HILLBERG: In the quarterly report that we received by email in previous meetings it was stated that the Technology portion was complete,
yet there are three items listed in the table in the quarterly report listed as in progress. I wanted to inquire about why the discrepancy?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Mr. Shim, is there anybody here from Technology?

MR. SHIM: There isn't anyone here from Technology. They were notified and unfortunately they couldn't send anyone tonight.

The school by school portion of the Technology is completed, so there's one or a District wide project in which is, I guess what's remaining.

MS. MARTE: I'm taking notes, so we'll get a better answer from them. A complete answer, not a better answer from them.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Any other questions from the board members regarding Technology?
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. We'll move on to -we'll stay on this subject but we'll move on to the report from Florida TaxWatch.

MR. NAVE: I have nothing to add other than what Mr. Bernard raised.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: All right. Very good. Thank you.

Okay. Next item up, let me look at my agenda. Section -- Music \& Art Equipment.

Mr. Shim, is there a representative here? Oh, there she is.

MS. CANTRICK: Good evening. Susan Cantrick, Director of Applied Learning. So we're moving along with our music. We have 55,507 that have been delivered, so we are just shy of delivering the remaining 3,000 items for music. We have a few additional schools, so we're working on those orders now. I'm very happy with the progress and we are following up with the vendors on any items that have been back ordered and checking on that -- on that progress. But it's a very small number and we're getting down to the end of our musical instruments and equipment orders.

Would you like me to wait?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: No, would you like to speak to the Art as well?

MS. CANTRICK: Sure. So also doing really well with our kiln orders. We did have a little lag because we needed the new bid to be approved by the Board. That's been approved. We've put in our additional 20 orders and we have a remaining -- 60 schools that we're waiting on?

So 60 schools whose status was not confirmed through our survey, which necessitates us going out and looking at the kiln that they have on site to determine if it can be repaired, if it warrants being repaired, or if we should just be ordering an entirely new kiln. We hope to have that evaluation process completed by the end of February, so we're in line with being complete with all of our kilns by the end of this year.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Does anybody have any questions for Susan?
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I have one. What happens when a kiln is down and the program requires you to have that equipment up for the students; what do they normally do?

MS. CANTRICK: So a work order is put in, and Facilities, if they can fix it, they come out and fix it. If we have to bring in a vendor for a repair, then we do that -- for a work order, we do that.

MS. HAYNES: Hi, Donna Haynes, Art Curriculum Supervisor. It's usually around two to three weeks for them to get someone out there to take a look at the kiln to know if it can be fixed. And
they have been notifying me as well to see if we need a new kiln to get a new kiln because it's on a case by case basis.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. How does that affect the students' progress if it's down during that time? Do they switch to something else? Just out of curiosity.

MS. HAYNES: No, we sometimes ask a sister school if they can fire some of our pieces. I've been in that position. Teacher comes over, brings the pieces over. Because we don't want to stop curriculum, so we pull together as teachers.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Very good. Thank you.
Anyone else have any questions?
MR. HILLBERG: I do. I would like clarification on -- in the quarterly report there was a table that said, $I$ think, 20 kilns are on order, 50 have been delivered and delivered to the warehouse. And percent complete wasn't included and I want to know the relationship with the 60 numbers. Are those 60 just starting with an evaluation?

MS. CANTRICK: So -- so realize that if you're looking at the process, for example, with musical instruments, because, as Ms. Haynes just
mentioned, this is a case by case basis, so we have kilns out there that are in various stages. Most of them are quite old. What we want to do is go out to the school and look at the kilns. Most of them really should be replaced. We have the funding right now. A number of these kilns are older than 15 years and are not as safe or as technologically advanced as they should be to, you know, support the program, especially if you're looking at long term for the program. So that's why Donna and our facilities people are going out and looking at these kilns, these remaining 60 kilns, you know, to look at how many kilns -- how many fires they've had. Not fires, but --

MS. HAYNES: Firings.
MS. CANTRICK: Firings. Thank you.
So how many firings they've had and looking at, you know, what their potential is for lasting into the -- into the future or if it just benefits the school to order an entirely new kiln. In most cases that's what we're seeing, but because it's a case by case basis we have to look at every single kiln. So a lot of the earlier kilns, they were replaced because they
were beyond repair. These have to still be evaluated, but we, Applied Learning, has jumped into this process. If Donna and her instructional facilitator can do that evaluation and remove Facilities from the mix, it helps us to get there faster. If we have to have Facilities come out, then it does take a little bit more time, but Donna has stepped in with her instructional facilitator to go out and do an initial look and that will save us some time.

So I'm hoping I answered your question, but it's not like -- the schools were not assigned a year in the bond because if their kiln, you know, is working and has had very few firings we want to -- and it can continue to work for us, we don't want to replace it; right? So it's a case by case basis. It's not like the musical instrument program where each school was assigned a year because we knew that they needed replacement equipment. So this necessitates going out to the school and looking at every single kiln.

MR. HILLBERG: So it's kind of hard to assess because --

MS. CANTRICK: Yeah, you can't put levels and
steps. But I did want to put on there, we know that we have 60 schools that have kilns that need to be evaluated, so we have an end game, we know where we're going. We know that all 60 of those have to be -- Donna has committed to doing that by the end of February. She's already been going out and looking at these kilns so we know what the end game is and we can give you a report accordingly. Because this really took us or Facilities going out and evaluating the kiln. MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Thank you. MS. AKER-REECE: I have a question. CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Go ahead. MS. KRISHNAIYER: How many schools have kilns? Is it just high schools and middle schools? Where are these kilns located at; every school or --

MS. HAYNES: At most schools they have a kiln room that is in the art room. And most $K$ through 12 we support doing ceramics. $K$ through 5 is the middle schools, they may not incorporate it in all of their classes, but then in high school we do have specific ceramics programs which would negate having a kiln. But most programs have a kiln and we encourage all of our teachers to
teach ceramics. It's really an amazing class. So this bond has really helped ramp up our ceramics programs. So it's really exciting and a lot of our teachers are rejuvenated again, I guess you could say.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Laura, go ahead.
MS. AKER REECE: My questions may be not just about the art program, but in the next section it talks about theater equipment and then the kilns. As you are purchasing this new equipment is there a cost savings operationally because you're not repairing or because it's less energy?

MS. CANTRICK: So that's what we're looking at. As I was saying, most of these will be replaced because they are over their expected lifetime. So we've done a lot of research on that; how many firings we should expect from a kiln; how long they should last; how long they are cost effective. And with the new kilns that have technology and they're safer, they're a safer kiln, that's what we're looking at. So the majority of these kilns will be replaced just because it's more economical, it's safer and they have new technology which supports the programs, as well.
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MS. AKER REECE: Okay. Great. Thank you. CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Just curious, are most of these gas fired?

MS. CANTRICK: I'm sorry?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Are most of these kilns gas fired?

MS. HAYNES: No, they're electric. And these are digital, the new digital kilns, and they require less energy to run them. They're a lot safer, you don't have to keep turning them up, so there's more instruction time. They're really -they're really cool. They're really good.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So all the kids are making Christmas trees and Santa Clauses. Mom and Dad will be getting those on time? I want to make sure.

Okay. Thank you.
Anyone else have any more questions for Susan?
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Thank you, Susan. Next up is the athletics --

Oh, I'm sorry, I don't have your report in
front of me, so --

MR. NAVE: I have nothing to add.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Nothing to add? My apologies, Bob. He's my monitor. He's watching me.

Next up is Section 4 Program Athletics, either Shawn or Greg.

Mr. Boardman?
MR. BOARDMAN: Yes, I'm Greg Boardman, I'm with the Facilities Department.

MR. CERRA: Shawn Cerra, Director of Athletics \& Student Activities.

As of the September 30th, 2018 reporting we had out of 30 weight rooms 26 that had been completed, three that were in implementation and one that was in design. As of today the three that were in implementation which were Cooper City, Coral Springs and Deerfield Beach, they have all been completed, and the only one left out of the 30 projects is Northeast.

I'm going to turn it over to Greg.
MR. BOARDMAN: Northeast is waiting for the design team and the construction team to finish their part. The school chose a new space for their weight room in a building that's under -it's going to be under renovation soon. So we're waiting -- we have a design solution for that
weight room. We're waiting for that building to be renovated until we can go in there and put their new weight room equipment in. So our weight room is contingent upon another project. We could have renovated their existing weight room, but the school chose to move their weight room to a space that had a larger volume, you know, better circulation. So that's what we're waiting on. So that one last Northeast High straggler weight room will be kind of outstanding, we predict, until like next August.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Next August. Okay. Anyone have any questions?
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: That's tied into the new construction schedule?

All right. Okay. Mr. Nave?
MR. NAVE: Oh, nothing to add.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: All right. Thank you. You're really good tonight.

MR. NAVE: I'll wait until we get to Facilities.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Thank you. Number 5 we're going to look at Program Facilities \&

Construction. A briefing on the Big Three and

Facilities Organizational issues.
Mr. Girardi, you're up. It's your day.
MR. GIRARDI: Okay.
Hello?
Okay. Here we are.
Okay. Good evening. Frank Girardi, Task Assigned Executive Director of Capital Programs Department. Before we get started on the slides I just wanted to mention one thing that I did send to all of the committee members a day or two ago is we committed at the last meeting that we were going to submit an updated executive summary, giving it more realtime. And what we did send you is through December 14th. So we'll go through the slides, if you have any questions about the updated executive summary we can talk about it then also.

Since our last meeting, the last BOC meeting, we've had two workshops with the Board. The first one was with regards to the SMART Program moving forward and then the next one, which was this past Tuesday, was to go over the new schedule that we committed to to have complete by $11 / 30$ and also to give a Roofing 101 to the Board, because a lot of questions were -- there
were a lot of questions with regards to roofing costs, roofing construction, et cetera.

So we put a few of those slides in here to talk about.

The first one we have is the new realignment for the SMART Program. This is showing Heery's new alignment and how it coincides with the School Board Facilities Department. And what this does is it shows a clear line of reporting. It also shows accountability and it just -- we now have a chart to go off of.

So the next item was the new milestone schedule. This is where we committed by $11 / 30$ to rereview all of the schedules, re-baseline everything, update everything. And what we did was -- it wasn't just looking at everything overall. We went project by project. We first looked at the design durations which were based on all historical data. We looked at the construction procurement. And then we looked at the construction durations. And this is where we really got deeply into it looking at market conditions, availability of contractors, school enrollment, cash flows, strategical data. They just looked at every single project and it was
two months of our staff just looking at it.
The next one shows some of the adjustments that occurred. With the design phase we looked at an average of 282 days increase. The higher the contractor phase, that's actually, we picked up a lit bit of time on that phase, but we met with Procurement and decided that we were going to look to put out eight projects a month advertised for bid. We didn't want to overburden the market. We took a real hard look at that. And it was eight to 10, but we wanted to go on the safe side with eight.

The construction phase, that increased about an average of 125 days in the construction phase. And that's an average. Each project's different. The next slide kind of shows that -CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Hold up a second. MS. AKER REECE: Can you go back one slide? What's the pig and the python phenomenon?

MR. JARDINE: Danny Jardine, CBRE Heery. What the phenomenon that's known as the pig and the python is, we currently have a large number of projects that are completed through the design but were in the building department phase and the duration that it's taken to go through that phase
has created what is known as the pig and the python effect. So when we've looked at the new schedule we think that the work gets going into the building department, we now know how long it takes to go through the different kinds of reviews and how long it is, and we're trying to stagger what's going through the pipeline and not have a glut of 100 to 110 projects going in at any given time. Part of the phenomenon was the first two years of the projects or approximately 73, 74 projects that were going in at the same time and the architects and the quality of the documents was just not there, and so it's taken numerous revisions, recent revise and resubmit to get to the building department.

As we have learned over the last nine months the issues that they faced were taking a much more proactive approach during the design phase, doing much more thorough reviews and not letting documents get turned into the building department until we think they're ready.

We're also managing that process contractually at $X$ number of days to get comments back from the building department and resubmit the revised comments and drawings. We're staying
on top of those now.
There were instances where firms would take, instead of 21 days to respond, it would take months. And so that -- all those added to that effect of work just not coming out of the building department and it was stopping everything up.

So we're trying to make sure that the flow coming in is less than the flow coming out.

MS. AKER REECE: Thanks. That makes sense.
So I think cities and other municipalities also have similar issues with the building department. Do you all -- the School Board has their own building department; correct?

MR. JARDINE: That is correct.
MS. AKER REECE: And do you fund that building department with the fees that are paid for by the bond project or how does that all work?

MR. JARDINE: Their staff is -- the District provides funding for their staff. They are School District staff employees. They have -they use some outsourcing to do plan reviews and permitting. Those fees are associated as part of the overall building program fees. The building
department is not the problem. Okay? They are not the problem.

MR. GIRARDI: There is no fees for permitting. There's zero cost for permitting.

MS. AKER REECE: Is there capacity in
Building to handle the projects coming through, or would it behoove you to create a fee to support additional staff?

MR. JARDINE: Well, there's funds allocated in the SMART Program for them to bring in contracted staff when they need to. We work very closely with the building department's leadership, making sure that they see what's getting ready to come down the tube. And that's why our new schedule is very critical to them. Because if we say we're going to be submitting to the building department on such and such a day, they need to have the ability to have the staff to do the reviews. And so, you know, they've gone up and down where they've brought in some folks for a short period of time but then the projects didn't materialize because the drawings weren't ready.

So as we move forward we have a -- I think an excellent working relationship with that
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department. We are giving them schedule updates. They have been extremely helpful over the last couple of days on getting projects out of the building department and doing standup reviews. In the last two days we've gotten, I think, three permits from the building department. We'll probably get four or five more the rest of this week before we get out for the break. Because they understand how critical it is for the program to move forward.

MS. AKER REECE: So really the point of my question was to make sure with the expanded workload that's coming to Building, that you all have capacity to continue to move the projects along from a funding perspective.

MR. JARDINE: They are very aware of it and we are working very closely together.

MS. AKER REECE: Okay. Thank you.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Thank you.
Can we just back that slide up because we still have some questions on the first two? One more back.

Mr. Bernard?
MR. BERNARD: Just talking about now the building department. Now you say you have a
handle on it. What happened three years ago with the same building department that you didn't have a handle on it when this program started? Why didn't everybody sit down and get -- you know, so there wouldn't be a backlog and we're not in this point we're in now trying to catch up? This should have been addressed a long time ago. Now, to hear the last few days you're doing great, that's nice, but the School Board, this is their own employees. They should have been hand in hand when this bond issue started to work with the architects. Everybody should have been involved in one. Reading the comments you said -- that I see on these reports saying that the building department doesn't hold anything up, it don't jive with what you have on these reports.

I can tell you how many schools right now says you've got problems with the building department coming out on delays. So to say there's nothing that comes from the building department, they're right on it, then what you have in these reports are wrong.

MR. GIRARDI: What's happening, and like Danny said, it's not the full issue with the building department.

MR. BERNARD: There is issues with it, though.

MR. GIRARDI: There is. There is. There's issues with everybody.

MR. BERNARD: Then don't say everything with the building department is fine. Because you can see from the report that it's not.

MR. GIRARDI: No, what's happening with the building department is they're turning around their reviews in the normal timeframe, seven days, 14 days, 21 days. The issue is when it takes four months to get them back from the architect resubmitted.

MR. BERNARD: But some of these reviews have to do with the fire codes changed from '14 to '17. Why hasn't anybody brought it up beforehand before the plans were already turned in? If they're sitting down working together with all the architects, this should be known up front before the plans are even drawn.

Doesn't the architect sit with the building department when they start this stuff to go over before you draw it and it's all wrong and it has to be resubmitted. Some of these reports it says it takes six months to get in and out.

MR. GIRARDI: You're right.
MR. BERNARD: So there's definitely something happening that, you know, there's no, you know, coordination between anybody there.

MR. GIRARDI: And we are working on fixing that.

MR. BERNARD: But it should have been fixed up front. I'm not saying by you, I'm just saying --

MR. GIRARDI: I know. I know.
MR. BERNARD: Heery's been here since day one.

MR. GIRARDI: I understand.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Now, I had a question though in regard to that. If you've gone back and you've looked at all of those where you're having the problem, have you identified somebody within the School Board Building Department as the primary contact that manages those individual projects and it doesn't just get scattered out to electrical or the fire side or whenever it has to go? I know there's normal routing in most building departments.

MR. GIRARDI: We have a very good working relationship with the assistant building
official. That's who our single point of contact is. He's the one that answers most of our questions and we sit with him and resolve a lot of the issues. And I know over the last few months, and especially putting the roofing presentation together that we went in front of the Board with, things have gotten a lot better. And I can't -- I understand what Bruce is talking about, but $I$ can only say what we're doing moving forward and we're changing a lot of that.

We're -- we know that there were a lot of issues with the architects. And, yes, it was always -- it took nine months to get through the building department for a permit. Well, in that nine months it may have been only 30 days that the building department had them. The rest of it was these architects taking three months, four months. And Danny and I are working with staff, holding staff accountable, to get these things moving.

MR. BERNARD: But your talk in this report adds another 282 days in the design. If we're getting better, we're supposed to be doing better. Why would you need to add nine more months that probably some of these designs are a
year already?
MR. GIRARDI: Well, that's an average of historic value. That's not moving forward. We've rescheduled this with historic data on the design side.

MR. JARDINE: And it also -- that added duration also has allowed us to come up with the new schedule where everything is staggered where we have eight projects a month. I mean, when you look at the October schedule, everything is --

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: That's the second one?
MR. JARDINE: Yeah, the middle one.
Everything comes due, so when you look at -- not every project across the board at that time added to it.

MR. GIRARDI: So what was happening was, design was taking longer, the program was ending 2021 no matter what, and as you look at that second chart, there's a straight line on the back end because it never moved forward. Construction lost it's time, and that's where the new chart with what we've just completed is more of a diagonal, it's staggered, not everything's starting at the same time or ending at the same time.

MR. JARDINE: It is based on everything we've learned. It's taken two years from the time we issue an ATP to an architect to get started with the design until we've been able to get an NTP to a contractor. When we look at the new schedule it actually is compressed just a little bit. We know it takes nine to 10 months to go through the design phase, it takes five months to get it through the permitting phase, and then it takes four to five months to go through the bidding and procurement stage just because of the processes that we have to go through within the district.

MR. BERNARD: You said five months to get it through permitting?

MR. JARDINE: Yes, sir, because we're allowing for four submittals. If it gets done quicker, we don't take all the time. As soon as we get a letter of recommendation --

MR. BERNARD: Is that the average for -- not just your building department, but you think that's the average for every building department; it takes five months to get through?

MR. JARDINE: I can't speak for the other municipalities.

MR. GIRARDI: It's been a long time since

I've been in the private sector, but --
MR. BERNARD: It doesn't take five months. I'll tell you that right now.

MR. GIRARDI: And time it's taking is because of the revise and resubmits. If what we're doing is --

MR. BERNARD: Then the up-front work is not being done like it should be. That's the whole issue. Someone should be with these architects up front before that stuff hits this.

MR. JARDINE: And that's what we're doing is we've been moving forward over the last several months. When an architect gets hired we bring them in, we have kickoff meetings, we make sure they understand what the District requirements are. And the culprit has been, the roofing has been where our biggest challenge has been in getting revised and resubmits. If you go back and look at the majority of the project, after the third revise and resubmit, the mechanical's resolved, electrical's resolved, fire's resolved, building is resolved, and it's usually the roofing that has been --

MR. BERNARD: You need all the permits before you can start work?

MR. JARDINE: Yes, sir.
MR. GIRARDI: There is only one permit.
There is no permits.
MR. BERNARD: You can't get a foundation permit while you're waiting for the roof to be finaled out and get that step done in the meantime?

MR. GIRARDI: We could if it's designed that way.

MR. BERNARD: Oh, okay.
MR. GIRARDI: If you're looking to do that way, yes. And I've done it before on projects, especially design/build and CMARs, where, while you're still doing your design you're having the architect doing a foundation package. So you can start the foundation. By the time you're done with your foundation you're ready to go.

MR. BERNARD: And you're ahead of the game.
MR. GIRARDI: And I understand that and I agree.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Is that required as a result of the way the School Board manages their projects?

MR. GIRARDI: We only have one permit. Well, we have two permits. Let me change that. We
have a master permit. There's no electrical, no mechanical. It's not like the private sector where you get a permit for each trade. It wasn't until, I don't know how many years back, that they decided to go with a roofing permit.

Roofing permit is the only additional permit that's required other than our master permit.

So once we get our master permit we move forward. Then we're having some challenges on the roofers getting their roofing permit. But that doesn't hold up the project because we have our master permit and we move forward. It is a little -- well, it's a lot different than --

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Latha?
MS. KRISHNAIYER: First of all, I'm glad you're revising things so it's more organized and you're moving forward. But related to that I have a couple of questions. One, is it slowing down the process any? I mean, I'm glad it's being done the right way, but $I$ just want to know if taking that time is slowing anything down. And, secondly, on the revised and all the revisions that come in, we've heard this for years, you know, not -- not just on the bond, but on other projects. What necessitates that and
how can that be addressed? I mean, I just want to be clear on that.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, what we're doing now is, in the PSAs, the architects' agreements, we have penalties, I'll call them penalties, where it's $\$ 100$ a day if they don't meet their timeframe. And after two revise and resubmits we can charge them for the cost for the additional revise and resubmits. And we work with the building department to see about costs. They have their timesheets, we have our timesheets. We can come up with a dollar amount after that second revise and resubmit that will be charged to the architect. It will be removed from their invoice and then at the end of the design. If they pick up time at another area and it offsets, they may not get charged that money. But we do have it set up that way now.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I thought I read somewhere where they said none of those penalties are enforceable.

MR. GIRARDI: What it was, and I even mentioned it, $I$ think it was at the last meeting, it was the first set of contracts did not have the language in there. And it dates back -- I
researched it last weekend but I don't remember the exact dates, but it dates back to the first contract had no penalty in there. They do now.

MR. JARDINE: All of the subsequent contracts after that have some variation form of incentive for them to get the work done, penalties.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay.
MR. GIRARDI: And we plan on using it when needed.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Anybody else have any questions?

MR. HILLBERG: No, that's a great step though. And I've been thinking about that for years. If there's no penalty and there's no disincentive and we drag along and when we had critical facilities that need repair and we can't get it done, so that's not going to happen. I'm going to have to see that language.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, there was a meeting of all the architects that, Heery had brought them all in and told them what the plan was. They didn't like it, but --

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay.
MR. HILLBERG: Do you have standard design specifications specifying materials and do you
have details on how to create a roof?
MR. GIRARDI: Yes.
MR. HILLBERG: You can pretty much lead them through how to do a roof without problems.

MR. JARDINE: We don't have the roof but we're working with the building department so that we can get that information to the design professionals early in the design phase.

They have -- they don't want to give out details because the architects may just get that detail and slap it on their drawing without making any changes that would need to be made to fit their exact design. And each has -- you know, some of their details may not have the specificity of exact material names that need to be on there. Our roofing folks are very particular. We want a good quality roof that's going to last 25,30 years with some maintenance. And so, you know, we have a very high standard in regards to the details. And so we're working with them where they'll -- they're now beginning to input an example. They'll give an architect an example of the detail where they can say follow something like this but make sure it meets the specific requirements of your roof, your roof
slope, the parapet conditions, the scupper particulars, your roof curve details. There's a lot of details on these roofing drawings. And we just don't want to come out and give these details that are going to get misused or misapplied under certain circumstances.

We are -- the building department and our team are going to start having quarterly symposiums, training sessions with the design professionals and the roofers where we get them in a room and we go through a how to do a roof in Broward County Schools seminar. We'll walk them through step by step by step because it is unique here. And getting the roof sub permit has to be done correctly before the building department will issue that roofing permit.

And that's -- you know, we get some of the roofers that are probably not familiar with our process. But they're getting familiar and they're learning. And hopefully the learning curve will reduce as we continue on. We've worked very closely with the big roofers in the area. We know how many crews they have, we look at the workload and we say, guys, we've got to develop twice as many roofing crews as we have
now. We're actively working with them to bring crews in from across the state. You know, South Florida's a boom town right now. We're having to do everything we can to attract people to want to bid our work.

MR. GIRARDI: And Dade and Broward are unique with roofing because we're in a high-velocity wind zone. So our roofing is different than Palm Beach and the northern counties.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: You know, I had some personal experiences related to me about the way the School Board Building Department works, and being a Fire Chief and having to sign off on these things, sometimes I find -- who, Mr. Shim, is responsible for overseeing and making sure the building department stays within the lines? Because someone relayed a story to me about an individual situation where they had the architect had completed their design, the builder had built it exactly to the specifications of the architect, and then they came back in, the inspector came back and said, well, I don't like that, I want you to put it somewhere else.

So who makes sure that they're not putting all that extra effort in and some individual
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comes in and wants to change it, goes against the code, goes against whatever's written in the plan, who's responsible for that?

MR. GIRARDI: Well, it comes to Danny and myself through the project manager, and we've told them that when these reviews are coming in, and I know you're talking about after the reviews, but with the reviews coming in, if you see something that is just off the wall, you've got to bring it to us and then we would get with Ron Morgan, the chief building official, and go over it. And there's been many times he's looked at it and said, no, that shouldn't be.

So Danny and myself with Ron Morgan, we try and get through these issues.

MR. JARDINE: And in those instances where it does come up, if it's not the contractor's responsibility, we make sure that the contractor -- you know, the contractor is paid to do what's on those plans and specs. We can't come back after we finish the job and say, oh, by the way -- if the District needs to pick up that responsibility to take care of any items that get added, there's a lot of discussion with us and the building department before we do anything.

But we do understand -- we're very aware of the circumstances that you're talking about where they just come out and say, you know, I want you to do this, this and this after the fact.

MR. GIRARDI: And all you can do is sit there and say give me a code exception.

MR. JARDINE: Write it up. Let me see it.
MR. GIRARDI: What's unique about the building department is they're not just code enforcement, they're also quality and reviewing that work is being done per our design standards, which sometimes can make challenges.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. So you're sort of the gatekeeper there?

Okay.
MR. GIRARDI: We are the gatekeeper. The buck stops with Danny and myself. We are the gatekeeper.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Thank you.
Anyone else from the board?
(No response.)
Mr. Nave, Florida TaxWatch?
MR. NAVE: I've got a couple of questions on this report, and then in light of the new milestone timeline just a few questions on the
next quarterly report, if $I$ can.
The first question, I didn't see any projects flagged for budget reasons in this report. We had seven flagged in the last quarter report and we know from this report and previous reports that moneys are being added to projects, change orders are being issued.

The latest risk assessment shows that of the projects that had been bid to date, those costs were running about 35 percent higher. So it struck me as odd that there were no projects flagged for budget reasons.

MR. JARDINE: One of the things that we wanted to discuss with the Oversight Committee is we -- up until this point we flagged a budget when we've opened bids and we've identified the need for additional funds that we have to go to the Board and ask for additional money. When that happens we flag -- we flag it and it stays on until the next quarter. Because by then the Board has redone the budget and has made sure there's a budget in place that allows us to move forward with that project. So it's only one quarter that we would have a budget flagged. If we take a change order to the Board in our PFAs
or our project funding allocation we allocate typically 10 percent of the construction cost for change orders. So every time we take a $\$ 10,000$ change order, it's not an impact to the bottom line approved Board budget. Up until that point that we exceed that allocation we're working within the budget. If we would have to take a big change order to the Board, which, you know, so far, you know, we've got, what, 150 million under construction, I think the biggest change order we've had to date is about 60, $\$ 62,000$ that we had to do at Manatee Bay Elementary. Other than that the majority of the change orders have been a couple thousand bucks, maybe 5,000 so -MR. GIRARDI: So to answer Bob's question, they were inadvertently left out. According to what we see, there were five.

Bob, what did you say there were, seven? MR. NAVE: Seven last quarter.

MR. GIRARDI: They were inadvertently left out. And Danny has brought forward what we plan on doing in the future.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Laura?
MS. AKER REECE: Yeah, I think that makes a lot of sense. It doesn't make sense to look at
something that's not on budget after the initial funds have been appropriated.

But how are you tracking where -- could you maybe give us an overview every month of where the money came from and where that leaves us in terms of the overall projects to make sure we're not at the end and have the money still outstanding?

MS. MARTE: I'll address that.
So thank you for the question. As a matter of fact I absolutely agree with you. And in anticipation of that $I$ developed a memo the Superintendent sent out today to the Board informing them that if they approve the items on tomorrow's schedule, this is where we will be. It draws down the money, shows the revised fund balance to capital, and reminds the Board of the four opportunities we have to add additional funds to that if the reserve for the SMART Program were to be depleted.

But as it stands now we have 34.7 million left in reserve through June 30th, and then we have two additional allocations in reserve for the fiscal year ' 20 and '21 that total approximately 125 million. With the revised
schedule in front of you going into fiscal year '22, in that year you will see 84 million of unallocated funds that will be additional resources to shore these up. So I'm going to be reporting that to the Board and to the public every month as we go through the J items to make sure that everybody is aware of exactly where we stand. But I appreciate that thought.

MS. AKER REECE: Can we get that, too, or is that when we get our reports?

MS. MARTE: Absolutely. We will forward that to you tomorrow.

MS. AKER REECE: Okay. Perfect. Thank you. MS. MARTE: And going forward we will forward it to you once it's public record and transmitted to the Board.

MR. GIRARDI: And we will report that for every report for that quarter when we have asked for additional funding. And that's no matter what it is. Like we said with the change orders, if we bring forward a change order, then we have to request additional funding that's a budget impact, then that will be flagged as a budget impact. But that wouldn't happen until we reach our maximum 10 percent that we've got for change
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CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay? Any questions?
MR. JARDINE: Mr. Chair, we didn't quite finish with our presentation. Can we run through the next several slides?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Yeah, we're not there yet. I just wanted to make sure Mr. Nave had input on the couple of things we've brought up.

MR. JARDINE: Sure.
MR. NAVE: And then what I'd like to do then is save my questions on the next report until the District's finished because they'll tie in.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Very good.
MR. GIRARDI: Yeah, we'll go through it quick. There were just a couple of slides that are kind of impactful.

You can go to the next one.
This is the one that $I$ think really shows everything. This is the one where the blue was the June 2017 schedule. And the orange is the new one that we just came up -- the new revised baseline. Which the original one showed, and I've got it marked on mine, $I$ think it was May 2021 completion, where now the new baseline shows January 2023 completion.

MR. JARDINE: For one or two projects being substantially completed.

MR. GIRARDI: Most of it is --
MR. JARDINE: July -- we'll finish up for the July 2022-23 school year. But we will have a couple dragging out into the fall of '22 and one that finishes up in '23.

MS. AKER REECE: So are you all going to be sort of tapering off staffing or how do you roll that out? I'm assuming you've geared up and then somehow you'll --

MR. GIRARDI: Sure. We're not even completely geared up yet. Because we're just now moving into the heart of the construction phase.

And what this does is, with having a program manager here, we can ramp up and then as we move forward we can ramp down. The problem is, if we were to just hire a hundred people for the School Board, we can't just lay off. So we would be doing something for a short period of time and then depending if we get another bond or at the end of this one we would have a large staff with not the projects that we would have now. Where Heery and a program manager can move them to other projects, other places. So it gives us the
opportunity of being able to gear up and gear down.

MR. JARDINE: And we anticipate this being the highest peak year for in regards to our staffing, and as we make that transition from design into construction I'll be adding to my construction team, but $I$ won't be needing the full number of PMs that $I$ currently have as $I$ finish up projects with no future work coming in to backfill that design. So there will be a transition of staff over the course of the year. There probably won't be a huge transition, but as we get into next year it will look totally different.

MR. GIRARDI: And the orange has been adjusted with the risk assessment. So that shows dollar value also in addition to schedule.

If there are no more questions on that?
MR. HILLBERG: I do.
MR. GIRARDI: You do?
MR. HILLBERG: Yes. The red $S$ curve is target now?

MR. GIRARDI: Red, orange; yeah. The one that peaks up at 1.1 billion, that's the new curve.

MR. HILLBERG: And the blue curve below is the actual?

MR. GIRARDI: That would be the original curve; yes.

MR. HILLBERG: There's missing information, but it looks like that's already behind target.

MR. GIRARDI: Which one?
MR. HILLBERG: The blue and the red. If it's below the red it's not at target.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, the red is showing where we are at. And that was the whole thing is we were behind schedule. So we would be lower than the blue. Unless I'm explaining it wrong.

MR. HILLBERG: I don't follow.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Say that again. I don't think I followed that.

MR. JARDINE: Ashley Carpenter with Atkins.
MS. CARPENTER: Yeah, so the blue bars are the June 2017 baseline that we've been representing in the BOC report as the planned and the blue line is the cumulative curve. The orange does include the risk from the latest risk assessment, so there's an additional 300 million, basically, from the baseline curve.

Now that we've gotten the new baseline
presented and accepted by the Board we will then go forward showing -- the orange will become the blue, which will be the baseline, and then we'll represent, and as the risk assessment changes, you know, the amount of money might change. Instead of 1.1 it might be 1.2. You know, that part will change. And then, obviously, if we -as the schedule progresses, if we are beating the schedule or if we're behind the schedule, all of those changes will be represented. But I'm not sure I completely answered your question.

MR. HILLBERG: No, but --
MR. CARTER: Yeah, good evening. Dave Carter with Atkins. Yeah, so you're talking about the actual is behind the current projection and we will adjust that. So we will adjust that back to the revised projection starting right where the actual is, which is the correct way to do a re-baseline. And we have done that and we just -- I apologize, we didn't get that version into -- into this presentation. But I do understand your question. The new -- the burnt orange line should be starting from where the actual is right now.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay.

MR. CARTER: That's correct.
MR. HILLBERG: I'm so glad they don't use the S curve to track my projects.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Anyone else?
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I had to look at that two or three times with my glasses on. It was starting to give me a headache.

All right. Go ahead.
MR. GIRARDI: The next one shows what we've already talked about, how 26 schools are now being completed in 2022 where three will be completed in early 2023.

Then the next one is where we start talking about how roofing is affecting the whole program.

It turns out that our original 2014 roofing cost estimates were -- I think I missed one. Yes. We found that all of the estimates that are coming in are coming in at three times the cost for roofing compared to the original assessment back in 2014, which, when you look at 18 million square feet of roofing, and we've got a difference of 12 bucks a square foot, you multiply that out and then you add in the soft cost, it comes up to about $\$ 280$ million of
roofing alone is the financial impact on this one. It is the biggest part of this.

MR. BERNARD: Additional 280.
MR. GIRARDI: Additional, but it's part of the original risk assessment. We're just telling everyone now.

MS. MARTE: So just to be clear, the Board already had set aside or planned to set aside 225 million. With the new risk assessment if we were -- we would have to find additional dollars which are available in fiscal year 2022 for the Board to allocate if indeed this all materializes and the risk assessment level --

MR. BERNARD: Yeah, but this is just roofing. What about the rest of the assessment?

MS. MARTE: This is the entirety of the program.

MR. BERNARD: This is just the roofing.
MR. GIRARDI: The 280 is just the roofing. MR. BERNARD: This is just the roofing. What about any other overruns?

MS. MARTE: So there are four opportunities for additional money into the program currently. We are pending a significant land sale that would generate more revenue. There is additional money
in year ' 22 and ' 23 of the DEAP -- DEFP based on current levies. Currently the State of Florida has funded the districts across the state with additional PECO funds, so we do not have to revenue share with the charter schools. If that continues that money could be redirected next year and the year after into the program as well. So there are several other opportunities for revenue, and that's all been before the Board. MR. BERNARD: We have this 280 here, do we have a number of the rest that we might need? MR. CARTER: There's two major risks on the program. One is, obviously, the roofing, and the second is inflation. Those two factors make up more than 80 -- you know, around 80 percent of the risk. One of the ways the risk assessment works is that when we -- when we include all these projections, we're not keeping all of the contingency on top of these projections. So we're reducing some of these numbers with the contingencies that were in the program to make sure we're not, you know, including all the risk then having all the contingencies that were there for the risk on top of that. So when you look at the total risk
assessment, our most recent assessment published that was a couple months ago in September had a midpoint of 300 million. The high end is 450 million.

So our risk projections are a range. It's a range of potential impact.

Now, you know, one thing is, that risk assessment that was done in September, it does not include the additional inflation impact from the revised schedule. So there are additional risks that will be included in the December -you know, the current update through the risk assessment that we're working on now based on the revised schedule.

MR. BERNARD: So the 450 is probably a realistic number that we're going to need to finish it out.

MR. CARTER: Well, you know, there's a range.
MR. BERNARD: Well, I understand there's a range, but 450 is a pretty good number though.

MR. DAVIS: Well, let me add one other thing. Another method that we're using to track these costs is Ashley has a worksheet and so every time that we get a bid we're including that bid or price and keeping in a change order allowance,
plus all the other costs, when we have a 100 percent estimate we're putting that in that same worksheet, and then we're projecting the risk on the other costs. That total comes up in the range of 360 to 370 . So it is -- it is very significant, that risk; yes.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Yeah, I have a question about the PECO funds. Is that a yearly allocation by the legislature so if they don't do it this year we don't get it?

MS. MARTE: So the answer to the first part of your question, yes, it's a yearly allocation. They have allocated PECO funds -- I have been a finance officer in the state 15 years, the 15 years that $I$ have been doing it. What they did this year is they doubled the allotment. So it was 75 million statewide, the original 150, the additional 75 going directly to charter schools. Our DEFP assumed that won't happened next year, the year after and the year after. And we've set aside the money we would owe charter schools.

In all likelihood the state will continue to do that, but our budget has to be built on conservative estimates that they do not do that. If they do decide to do that we have an
additional, $I$ believe it's 18 million next year to potentially let the Board -- at the Board's will and the Board vote reallocate these in supporting the SMART Program, and I think -- the schedule is attached to the memo, so you'll see what the potential additional revenue streams are to support the program over the 225 that the Board has already committed.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: So that memo should show us where we're at and -- the figures in that memo shows us where we are at and what we need going forward?

MS. MARTE: No, it shows us where we're at and what's already been declared by the Board as available. It does not address all of this, but the Board has -- I spoke to one of the Board members today who asked us to provide that based on revised cash flow, so we will be working on that probably right after the break and we'll share it with the Bond Oversight Committee, as well.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Thank you.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Anyone else?
Can we proceed?
MS. AKER REECE: Just one. I think I
understood, I just want to make sure before we close this out.

So when you all go -- you all identify a project as being over budget only once the bid comes back higher than your budgeted amount, and then when you get the additional cost allocation, then it's back on budget again? But there's not projections happening and budgets being adjusted for anything until such time as a bid comes back?

MR. GIRARDI: Well, Ashley may want to add to this, but we do have 100 percent estimates on these projects that we then readjust. We're not doing anything with the budget until we get the final bids.

MS. MARTE: We're not doing anything with the budget until we get a vote from the Board to make a budget adjustment.

MR. GIRARDI: Right.
MS. MARTE: So we're doing that a little bit different. Until the Board says yes budgets have to stay exactly as voted and you know that. They are the only ones with authority to actually change the budget.

MS. AKER REESE: Right, but you wouldn't take it -- if you have a 100 percent cost estimate
that says this is going to go way over, you wouldn't take it to the Board until such time that you have a bid that comes back to support the additional --

MR. GIRARDI: Correct. It's going to be a one-time. Once we get the bid we will then move forward because we have the exact amount. We'll ask for that exact amount. The report for that quarter will show a financial budget flag showing that we needed X amount of dollars for that project. And then after that report it will go back to no flag until we get to a point, hopefully never, that we need additional money on the project. So it's a one-time.

MS. AKER REECE: Have you guys talked about maybe the fact that pushing out the projects, what it might do to the School Board because of a recession or things like that that are being at issue?

MR. GIRARDI: We haven't looked at that. We did this schedule just purely on timeframes, everything like that. If it ever came down to it, I'm sure we could look at that if we needed to push it more. But right now we're just going with what we see realistically to get the
projects done.
MS. AKER REECE: Okay.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Anyone else?
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Can we move on?
MR. GIRARDI: What the next few slides show is where we got to regarding the roofing and the 280. The original roofing estimates came in at an average of $\$ 6.80$ a square foot. And these are all raw costs. When we researched --

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Let's find that guy whoever bid that and get him in here.

MR. GIRARDI: The next slide shows back to 2007-2008 we had a roofing program that when you sit there, and we put some of the projects here just to look at, they ranged from anywhere from 854 to 1,743 depending on the complexity, which gave us an average of 1,298. And that was back in '07, '08.

If you take that and do your three percent increase every year it comes out to about \$18 a square foot in today's numbers. So if you go to the next slide that's showing today's numbers, where we're averaging between 16 and $\$ 22$ a square foot, which gives us an average of 19. So it
just validates a little bit with inflation that what we were paying in '07 and '08, today's prices are right in there.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: How many roofs are we talking about here? I mean, you can't tell me every building and every roof is bad unless it got impacted by the hurricane.

MR. GIRARDI: 18 million square feet.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. 2 million square feet?

MR. GIRARDI: 18 million square feet.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Oh, I'm sorry. 18 million square feet?

MR. JARDINE: And we're not reroofing every building on every campus. You know, again, we did -- the District did a lot of work back in 2007, 2010. We are not touching those roofs.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Those roofs are good.
MR. JARDINE: So there are, you know, several million square feet, and I don't remember what the District's total square footage is.

MR. GIRARDI: I think for the most part every campus has a roofing project. Now, whether it's the whole campus or just a couple of buildings, I think we have a few that don't have roofing,
but --
MR. JARDINE: Those are the ones that are coming in under budget, by the way.

MR. GIRARDI: The ones that have no roofing.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Go ahead. I'm sorry.

MR. GIRARDI: That's all right. And then we'll just go through that and we've brought forward to the Board some roofing recommendations moving forward where we're looking to expand the pool of qualified roofing contractors, looking at possibly pre-qualifying roofing contractors. Because, again, when someone comes into the District for the first time, they don't know what they're getting into. So we want to try and let them know that and that's what we're doing with our roofing clinics.

We're also looking to spend all this money to put these roofs in and if we do not have a maintenance program, preventive maintenance, we're throwing the money away. So we're going to bring to the Board in another workshop a preventive maintenance program on a yearly basis. Because a lot of the warranties, just like any warranty, they'll find a reason not to honor the
warranty, which means you've got to have a report yearly to tell them that you're cleaning the roofs, whatever you're doing to maintain them. MR. BERNARD: We currently don't have that? MR. GIRARDI: We do not have it; no. MR. BERNARD: So the money we spent in '07 and '10 to put the roofs on, there was no maintenance program?

MR. GIRARDI: I don't know when that maintenance program was removed out of maintenance. We did have a roofing program there, but we do not have it in place now. And that's what we're looking at. We're going to look at what would be more cost effective and a better way to do it. Would it be rehiring and having our own roofing department that will do it or just give the roofers that are completing these projects, work with them on a five-year contract, maintenance contract, where they would come in every year and do the maintenance and then they would get paid for it.

MR. JARDINE: That are trained and certified by the roofing manufacturer. I mean, if you get some Jack Lug up there messing with the roof, they could make matters worse. So we want
qualified technicians taking care of the roof.
MR. GIRARDI: And with this we can get these roofs for 30 years. Our roofs are good roofs.

MR. BERNARD: Couldn't you look for something like after five years recertification of the roofs, that if, when you do a bid, after the project's done, have someone, a roofing recertification after five years to make sure everything's still holding up before it gets too bad?

MR. JARDINE: We are looking at a lot of different options, Mr. Bernard, on what is the most cost effective and what's the best value for the school district. But we do know with the debris that we get on a lot of these roofs that we need to be up there cleaning them every year, making sure the --

MR. BERNARD: Well, I understand the maintenance, just a recertification that, you know, there's nothing major that's happened in that first five years. And, you know, I'd even look at having the roofing company sign up when they do the roof that you have to recertify it five years after that.

MR. GIRARDI: We can look at that.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Laura?
MS. AKER REECE: So I guess this is a question really for finance. That doesn't sound like a bond eligible expense --

MS. MARTE: It is not.
MS. AKER REECE: -- so are you all -- you're not paying for maintenance with the bond; are you?

MS. MARTE: Absolutely not.
MS. AKER REECE: Okay.
MS. MARTE: We're paying for maintenance expenses with the millage.

MS. AKER REECE: Okay.
MS. MARTE: So Mr. Girardi and I have already spoken about this. They will put a budget proposal together for the '19-'20 budget development, and depending on -- and, you know this as well as I do what the legislature calls for education, they will either stop doing something if this is a priority for them or we'll receive additional funding, but it won't --

MS. AKER REECE: It won't.
MS. MARTE: No. They're well aware of our constraints and, you know, there are some things that are lovely to do, but when push comes to
shove, given the deplorable level of funding for education in the State of Florida, we'll have to make those decisions.

MR. GIRARDI: And we're going to work on that and bring a proposal to the Board to review and approve. Tomorrow we are bringing our first bond project to the Board for completion. Manatee Bay is 100 percent complete and ready to close out. So we're looking at now a year from now where we're looking for that first maintenance.

MR. BERNARD: That's number one?
MR. GIRARDI: That's the first.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I've just got a quick question. It's kind of benign, but most of the governments are self insured up to a point and then they carry some insurance level over and above that. When the hurricanes came through and a lot of buildings, including one $I$ occupy and a couple others where I work were damaged, even the low -- you know, the low intensity of the storm this past year, how did that affect or how does that affect your --

Okay. We have a hand raised up here. MS. MARTE: I'm just full of answers tonight. So we have -- so we had to take from our
reserves, not the SMART reserves, unassigned reserves in capital $\$ 18$ million. That was the cost of the cleanup and the impact of Hurricane Irma. And we are waiting from -- we are waiting for FEMA to reimburse us for those funds. Those funds at this point are unassigned, so that would be an additional pot of money. But as we all know in this room we are not banking on it any time soon. But we have to pay for those.

The size of our district and given the fact that we are in the highest hurricane zone in the world, Broward County and Miami, highest risk of hurricane and wind damage in the world, even significantly higher, by the way, than Palm Beach, our deductible is 200 million. And even at that the district pays $\$ 18$ million a year to insure our buildings. They cover catastrophic losses.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Thank you. See, you learned something tonight.

MR. GIRARDI: All right. Moving along quickly here, we've just got a few more. Single point of entries. I know one of the
recommendations that came through from TaxWatch was why we did not have the single point of
entries listed in the spotlights anymore. What has happened with that, and we should have had it in the executive summary why they were removed, but they have been removed out of the DEFP book and to be in alignment with that we removed them out of the report.

Now, what my plans are moving forward, we've got, from this one you can see there was -- on this report there was 68 schools or single points from planning to construction. We're down with the -- we're down to 63. My plan is on the 63 on the next report there will be a chart with all 63 schools for single points that are not completed yet, whether they're in planning, design or construction or procurement.

What we're going to do is give them a reference number, schools 1 through 63. So you'll be able to track how we're doing on those 63 from today forward until we're done with them. And as they drop off they'll -- they're not -the numbers are not going to change, so you may go from 1, 2, to 15, because the other ones are done, but they will be a reference number, you will be able to track them on a quarterly basis. This is something that I'm looking to give the

Board, because we never were able to do it because we did not want to use names of schools for security reasons. And this is a way for us even to report to the Board without having to meet one-on-one with them. So you can look forward to that one. We're working on it right now. I would have loved to have had something for tonight, but --

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I have a question if you don't. There was -- I think it was about a year and a half ago I asked for the Board to look at this in priority to see if they could put something temporary in place. Can you answer that question, what they've done in the short run?

MR. GIRARDI: When you say temporary in place?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Temporary security points or barriers while these are going on and they're not completed?

MR. GIRARDI: Danny and $I$ and his project managers that are in charge of these had some good serious talks the last few days and we're looking at each one individually with my experience with the District on how we could get
them done quicker, or, if we're waiting for picket fencing to be manufactured that's going to take four to six weeks, we could put temporary chain link there until that. But we need to sit down on all 63. Some of them are farther along in construction, some of them are in procurement, but we want to look at where we can get these either temporary -- and I mentioned that to the Board on Tuesday when we were talking about single points and how some of them have slipped a little bit.

MR. JARDINE: And these numbers are moving constantly. Our team is being able to get the projects out of the building department, we're immediately going into construction because we've already negotiated with our CSMP vendors our CMARs, so the moment we get the LOR we're ready to go under construction to keep moving things along.

One of the other things we were asked, I guess it was last quarter, maybe the quarter before about the signage, $I$ am pleased to say that we've installed over 69 directional signs throughout the district. So we've been able to get that accomplished. And I don't know if
you've seen those or not, but they look very good. I've heard good things.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I'm just curious because I know we got in here tonight, I came in from that side, it appeared as though people were coming in from other locations into the school grounds. I'm not quite sure, $I$ guess this is more of a question for Mr. Runcie's staff down the road, given some of the discussion that's been going on, and everyone's aware of what's happening, there's a concern that in some cases it's still a little bit lax.

So the temporary stuff did not get installed; is that what you're telling me? None of the temporary fencing or none of the routing has been done that we requested a year and a half ago up to this point? They were just focusing -because I was told when I asked this question, they said they were prioritizing it based on the project being done and need at that location. If they were doing some construction already in that particular school, then they were going to bring that in at the same time. But I think that target has moved up, as you know, to become a real issue of concern on the part of the parents.

So I'm just curious, have we gotten to the point where every single school at this point at least has something temporary in place? That's the answer I'm looking for.

MR. JARDINE: The short answer is, I don't think so. But we're gonna -- you know, this is the first I've heard about the discussions from a year and a half ago, but $I$ can go back and get with our staff and understand what the directive was. We know that we have been -- we're really pushing hard to get these installed early in 2019. So, I mean, that's the path that we're going right now.

MR. GIRARDI: We, personally, are looking at each one of those 63.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: My comment was put some chain link up like you just said or some type of barrier, something that stops people from automatically gaining access. And they said they were going to look at it. Maybe it's my fault I didn't go back and press them on it, but I assumed they were looking at it or they were doing something about it.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, we hear you now. And I know, I just went out to one school the other day
where you would still need some sort of guard even if you put up temporary fencing. Some schools, just their layouts make it extremely tough. And the one school that I did look at, we are actually building a guard shed because you just could not fence it to bring them into the administration area.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: But there's no -- I mean, again, I don't want to beat this to death because we already know the issues --

MR. GIRARDI: Schools are doing some things.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Have any of the principals asked about that or complained about that in any of the schools that you're aware of at this point, about not having that restricted entry?

MR. GIRARDI: We've had a few and I'm looking into those.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. All right.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: I have a question.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Go ahead.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Yeah, what exactly are you looking at -- you know, single point of entry, are you looking at the building, itself? You know, several schools have fencing. Kids are
jumping over them. You know, I can tell you that.

So when you say single point of entry, is it the building that you are securing or the whole campus? Because, you know, that makes a difference. Fencing does not stop anything. And you mentioned the picket fence as opposed to a chain link fence, and, frankly, a lot of the residents around the schools are complaining about the chain link fences because they think it looks ugly. You know, I've heard that from a lot of my neighbors and stuff. But walking by a couple of schools every day as I do, I'm just wondering, you know, for me, if the building is secure, the physical building, and not necessarily the parking lot, that is a different form of security. So what do you mean? I mean, I'm getting confused with the fences and -- so what exactly are you looking to do?

MR. GIRARDI: What we're looking at is, a good example are the schools up in the northeast where you've got a single building. A lot of them have single buildings. So what you're doing is securing the entrances. We have such spread-out campuses that we've got Stranahan with

20 buildings, 28 buildings. So what the single point of entry does is put a perimeter security around the campus where it's a six-foot-high chain link fence. Now, unfortunately, these kids can jump these fences without even touching them. And we've even had safe havens discussed, a 10-foot fence isn't going to stop them. Fencing will not stop them. The picket fences are a little harder to climb because you don't have a foothold because you've got vertical pickets. There's nothing to really grab onto. So a lot of those are being used at the front of the schools at the administration area.

So there are three points, and I can't remember them offhand, three things that we look at to decide if a school meets the requirements for single point. And what that is is to funnel anyone that comes in the campus when school is in session, that the only way they can get into the campus, and when $I$ say that is onto the school, itself, is through administration. So the single points funnel everyone. The only way you can get into administration is through that single point of entry. And there are schools that have a visitor parking lot that's not fenced. But the
perimeter around that lot is fenced to where if you go in there and park as a visitor, the only two places you can go is you can walk off campus or into administration. And that's what the single point of entry does.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: So when you have 63 schools that are not completed, does that mean the building is not secure or you're referring to the entire perimeter not being secured? So I would hope that at least the building, the main building, is secured in most of these cases. MR. GIRARDI: Well, the buildings are secure. It's dependent upon staff to keep the doors locked and that's what would secure them. But with regards to the fencing, if there's perimeter fencing that's missing, we try to get that done as quick as possible even if we've got to use our maintenance department to do that through their vendors. So we can get that done. It's the actual single point where some of them -- there's a lot of schools that have double doors going into the main hallway. And when you go into the school you go left to go into administration. So what we've done a lot of times with those schools we've added an exterior door where those double
doors get locked. Now, the only way you can get in is to get into administration then you go through those same doors to go into the school.

So there is some building work, there's some fencing work, there is gates. There's a wide variety of what we need to do. But the ones that are quick, easy fencing, we try and get that done right away. And then the gates and the pickets and the storefronts, they take a little longer because of long lead time. So that's what we're looking at. If something's a long lead time, we want time to get it somehow temporarily closed off. And we can even do that with chain link gates.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: One more question.
What you mean by single point of entry as you've explained it, was that always the vision or has it changed since the incident at -- or the new report from the --

MR. GIRARDI: It's always been. It's always been the vision.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: So that was factored into the bond?

MR. GIRARDI: Some of it -- yeah, some of it was. And then $I$ know we got additional funding
to complete the rest. There was a phase 1, which was getting that single point done. We started it way back when, and then when the funding dropped and we had no money, so did the single point entries get stopped because we did not have the money. And now we're looking at that, and then with the incident, it just brings it up higher to get it done.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Thank you.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Anyone else?
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay.
MR. GIRARDI: All right. The rest is the School Choice Enhancement. If there's any questions, you can ask about that.

And then the final two slides or three slides is just how we're moving forward. We're going to be doing the executive summary update like we just did. What I'd like to do is find out from the committee how far in advance would you like that? I know this last one we just sent out, I think, yesterday or over the weekend. That was our first one, so bear with me on that one. But we'd like to know if you would like it a week in advance. Because usually these meetings are,
what, the second week of the month?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I can't speak for the committee alone, but $I$ can tell you that some of us are maybe unavailable when those come through, so I'm always looking for something at a minimum of about 10 days out.

MR. GIRARDI: Okay.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I don't know. Does anyone else have any --

MS. KRISHNAIYER: 10 days is good.
MR. GIRARDI: Is 10 days good?
Yeah, we'll accommodate whatever you would like. I know this last one, and I think I even put it in the email, $I$ apologize for such a late one. But that was our first one that we put together. The rest are going to be easy. Easier. Not easy, but easier. And we can do that 10 days to weeks in advance.

MS. AKER REECE: Everything depends on the timing of the report. I mean, it doesn't make sense to put together, the update, for the sake of putting it together if it's only giving another 10 days. I mean, it depends on the timing.

MR. GIRARDI: Well, this one we just gave you
was updated from October 1st to December 14th. So, if anything, it would have been October 1st to November 30 th if we wanted to get that two weeks in advance.

MS. AKER REECE: Right.
MR. GIRARDI: And, again, it depends on when the meeting is. If the meeting is later in the month, we'll go to the end of the month as our stop point. This one we just did it up to almost the last minute. But we'll do it probably towards the end of the previous month, where if we meet in February, unless it's the first week of February, we'll do it through the end of January.

MS. AKER REECE: Okay. That makes sense. CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I think a week or 10 days is fine, but a day or two is a little short. MR. GIRARDI: Right. And it at least gives you, because I know I have a hard time here presenting in front of you because my brain's today not September 30 th and $I$ want to talk about the way things are today, so $I$ know that at least helps with you to see what we've also
accomplished over the month or two between the report and the meeting.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay.
MR. GIRARDI: More detail, methodology on the flagging, we've already discussed that, what we're doing with the flagging.

I'm also looking to, in addition to a chart for the single point of entries, I want to start developing a chart strictly on change orders. I know change orders are shown in the budget area of what's going to the Board, but I'd like to have a separate log for your review that will be just change orders. We're not having a lot right now, but when we get $30,40,50,60$ projects going at the same time we're going to have change orders. So I think it would be a little easier for you to look at. And, again, things would be flagged on that, which we shouldn't be flagging much in the way of change orders for budget reasons, but if something does come up, we'll flag it there.

And then we talked about this at the last meeting, how we want -- we're changing it from project line items to actual projects, so we're going away from the 1,500 down to 200 -and-something or 300. And that will show in the next one.

MR. BERNARD: Since you're doing that with the projects, you know, personally, I don't need to see that you have 932 or 62 pieces of equipment in the School Choice, I just want to know what schools are -- you know, where they are percentages and which ones are done. You're not giving a list of what schools are getting what. You've got 62 schools that are done with School Choice and we've got 900-and-something pieces of items installed, I don't know where they are. So it's not --

MR. GIRARDI: Well, there is a part of the report --

MR. BERNARD: No, I'm saying just on the breakdown, you don't need to tell us how many more items you're getting in.

MR. GIRARDI: Right. We'll just go with the individual schools. That's fine.

And then the last one is the revised spotlight. What you're seeing here is we're not eliminating the original plan completion. We're adding a midline that has new plans. So it's going to be the original plan, so you can always see where we were from this June 2017, our new plan, and then the actual. So we'll be working
mainly with the bottom two, but you'll always have the top one for reference to see if it went much farther than we thought or you can see which ones had extra days where some didn't.

MR. BERNARD: Or if you get done early. MR. GIRARDI: Huh?

MR. BERNARD: Or if you get done early.
MR. GIRARDI: By the way, by the way, wait a minute. I hope it's in here and that it's correct, but I'll just say it anyway, that Manatee Bay finished ahead of schedule. So the first one was ahead of schedule and under budget. So money will be going back.

Can we get a hand for this one because I don't know if we'll get any more in the future, but -- and that's -- that's it on our report.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Does anyone have any questions on that?

I think there was an item on the list here that says Briefing on the Big Three. Did we miss that somehow?

MR. GIRARDI: We didn't have it on the slide, but we can talk about it because it was in our -in addition to what was in the report in the updated executive summary we updated it.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So does anyone need to have that repeated or are you all good with that?

MS. AKER REECE: We're good.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. All right. We're going to hold you up now and we're going to go to Mr. Nave and hopefully he can enlighten us on what we might have overlooked.

MR. NAVE: I don't know about that, but I had a question on the next report. And admittedly I haven't had a chance to look through the District's plan change to the status reporting but I want to discuss the value in the --

Is anybody not hearing?
Okay. I'll start over. Admittedly I haven't had a chance to look at the District's plan to revise the reporting.

But I do want to discuss for a second the value of adding the schedule that we agreed to at the May 2017 meeting. If we're going to put it in there and we're going to put these new milestones in there for the purpose of flagging a project, is the project flagged against the 2017 schedule or the schedule that we're talking about right now?

Because if we use the May 2017 schedule,
given that we're adding up to the 282 days in the design phase and another 125 days in the construction phase, then $I$ guess just about every project would be flagged.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: He's shaking his head yes.
MR. GIRARDI: He's right. What I would like to do is, since we've gone through this schedule really in depth and we've come up with what we feel is a good schedule, I'd like to be held accountable per this schedule. So if something slips, then we'll be more than happy to flag it.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Well, Mr. Nave, are you talking about something that is a significant slippage or extension, something beyond the minimum? In other words, we don't want to look at something that goes 10 days or a month past its timeline or a certain percentage. I think you said you built 10 percent into all of the costs to begin with for --

MR. GIRARDI: For change orders.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: -- for change orders, et cetera. Are you suggesting timeline only or are you suggesting funding, as well?

MR. NAVE: Well, when we flag projects for being delayed they've been -- the quarters had
been different. It hadn't been 10 days or so. It was something that was due in the second quarter of one year and then it was pushed back to the third or fourth quarter or longer. Those are the ones we've been flagging.

But if we're going to continue to use the 2017 schedule, then I think we're going to reach a point where just about every project is behind schedule and there's just no utility in that.

MR. GIRARDI: Right. And we're flagging, like you said, when it slips a quarter it flags.

MR. NAVE: And I know when we did the schedule reset at the May 27 th meeting in our report we acknowledged that, just like we acknowledged it in this report, that then there's going to be another reset of the schedule.

I think it would make more sense if we just continue to acknowledge that this is the second time we've reset the schedule, here's the new timeframe and then judge Frank's and folks' performance against this new timeframe.

So that would be my recommendation to the committee.

MR. BERNARD: You first.
MR. GIRARDI: Okay. No, I'm -- I'm just
saying --
I have someone buzzing me. That's my daughter. Oh, well.

I would like to be held accountable for what I do, not what was done in the past. I have no problem being held accountable. If I'm doing something wrong, hold me to it. I'll be the first one to admit it. What I would like to do is, and like Bob said, we can easily sit there, and I'm not going to say all of them, because every time I say all of them are behind schedule Danny hollers at me that some of them aren't. 4 and 5 are going really well. 1 and 2 -- some of Year 1, 2, I don't even need to say it, but we're -- we're looking to get things moving. And we could sit there and flag all of these because -- well, not all of them, but there are a lot them that are late.

So I'd like with this new schedule to be held accountable to this new schedule. Because this is the schedule we put together. This is what we're saying is going to work.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Bob, do you -- are you comfortable with accepting the adjustment a second time to the -- as a recommendation?

MR. GIRARDI: Not the second time, the last time.

MR. NAVE: I'm just a resource to the committee.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: No, I understand, but you brought it up.

MR. NAVE: No, I think it's fair.

And, again, if we're going to continue to flag projects that are late and over budget, and if that's going to have any meaning at all, then I think if we flag based on the 2017 schedule, then it will -- it loses a lot of its significance. I think if we just acknowledge in the report that we have a new timeframe going forward and we look at the status relative to this new timeframe, then $I$ think that's a reasonable way to go forward. And that would be my recommendation because I think that's what Frank is saying.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. The board?

Bruce?

MR. BERNARD: Well, I basically agree. I think that they've come out and, to me, it's like golf. They've lost the first nine holes, they want to press the bet, they want to see if they
can win the second nine holes. They've already said they lost the first. So it makes sense and it's easier for us to track, too, rather than tracking three lines. We're only tracking actuals and the schedule rather than what was the original schedule.

MR. GIRARDI: You'll always have the opportunity to go back to that top line, but that still doesn't --

MR. BERNARD: But we already know what that top line is, like Bob said, that top line is going to put everything behind schedule.

MR. GIRARDI: But that line will always stay because the Board doesn't want to lose the historic portion of that.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Well, we did get a report on the Big Three, I don't think you need to go there, unless there's something you want to add to what you already distributed.

MR. GIRARDI: One other thing that $I$ don't know if it was in there, but we had the ribbon cutting for the pool at Stranahan. That's open for business.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Good.
MR. HILLBERG: I didn't see that.

Congratulations.
So on the nine month, did I read that -- the reset time, the averaged 282 days, whatever it was, did I read correctly that some of it was just attributed to the start of this big program but then no funding's in place and none of the consultants are hired, none of the contracts, so that's still really unrealistic to think that we're going to start construction the day after the School Board approves the bond.

MR. GIRARDI: Right. And Mr. Runcie explained it really well at the workshop this past Tuesday. It was just that. We made mistakes, tried to get things out quick. We had just -- actually hadn't even hired the program manager yet when the bond was passed. And as Judy would say, we didn't get the money on November 15th. It was some time later. We didn't have contracts in place. We had a lot of things against us, which it's great looking back, we should have had that first 12 months with no discussion about starting projects but just starting the program. We didn't have anything in place. It has been 30 years since we've had a bond program and I wasn't here 30 years ago,
but --
MS. KRISHNAIYER: I was.
MR. GIRARDI: But that is a good point, that we needed the time to get things prepared. We needed to get the architect contracts together correctly. We needed -- a lot of things we needed to do that first 12 months, and Mr. Runcie explained it perfectly at the meeting, pretty much what $I$ just mentioned to you.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. So the CMAR contracts, we have one now in this quarter ending September 30th. And at least two prior to that zero, zero awarded. Now, this was supposed to be a great timesaver, it was supposed to help the program, and why is there not any activity on this front, especially now when we are supposed to be heading into construction peak?

MR. JARDINE: The issues were getting the LORs to the building department with those designers. Now that we've got the LORs we have both Stranahan and Blanche Ely under way and we'll be issuing GMPs to the addition at Nova -the renovations at Nova will be coming up and we CMARs on other projects that are actively engaged during the design process. Again, we don't
anticipate the challenges that we faced on the Stranahan and Ely on the design issues.

MR. GIRARDI: And the CMAR was used, not solely, but a big part of it was for those large, 10, 15, $\$ 20$ million projects. It doesn't really come into play as well for a lot of the smaller ones that are 3 million, 4 million.

MR. HILLBERG: So we're not going to see 10 the next time and 20 after that, it's just going to be one here, two there?

MR. JARDINE: We have all CMARs under contract. It was their pre-design. They're doing their design services right now, but once we've got an LOR and we get a GMP approved by the Board, that kicks us off in the construction side.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Danny, can you just say what those acronyms are for the people that may want to know what those are?

MR. GIRARDI: LOR is Letter of Recommendation from the building department. Because, again, we cannot get a permit until we have a contractor. It's not like in, I don't want to say the real world, where you get a contractor, you give them the plans, they go get a permit, we have to --

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: It's not for us, it's for the benefit of the people watching at home or whatever. And then the other CMARs and the other, they are?

MR. JARDINE: Construction Manager at Risk.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. I just want to make sure they understand. The people in that box. I'm sorry. Now, back to -- or are you --

MR. HILLBERG: Two more. Quick.
I'm sorry to keep cutting you off, Bob. So we finished one project so far and there's a whole bunch ready for the finish line; right? MR. JARDINE: Yes, sir. We have a couple of the other construction, the big construction projects that we're getting all the final paperwork, and then we have, I think, the 34,35 single point of entries that we completed this summer, we're now going through that closeout phase with those, as well.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. Good news to come. And last, in the report, just a general comment, I didn't see any, in reference to expedited schedules, fast tracking, accelerate, crashing schedules. We're at a point where we lost a lot of time or with the adjustments maybe
adjust for some time that shouldn't have been accounted for, but I would like to see more urgency and we've got to deliver now.

MR. JARDINE: Believe me, our entire team, when we look at that schedule, nothing is going to slide to the right. If anything, it's going to go to the left and we'll be able to accelerate. But, again, we don't want to go and try to rush to get things out knowing that it may impact us severely as we try to go to the bidding market. I mean, it does me no good to try to put 45 bids on the street at one time. The community, the market, the workforce cannot handle that kind of workload.

So, you know, the whole schedule is eight a month, eight a month. We can feed that out there and we think this community can continue to support that.

MR. HILLBERG: Load for 10 and expect two to drop out and you'll still have eight.

Okay. Thank you.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Mr. Nave, we'll go back to you, please.

MR. NAVE: On the Big Three schools, the only comment that we made in our report was that the

District continues to paint the Big Three in a very favorable light that we know from the last quarter that the primary renovation projects at Blanche Ely and Stranahan were pushed back more than a year and I know that one project at one of the schools they added almost $\$ 14$ million to the cost. So I think in light of the new timeframe and the updated risk assessments and everything the reader doesn't get that impression when the reader reads the District's report. So I think the messaging on the Big Three could be a little more accurate.

MR. GIRARDI: We'll take that into account and get that right.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: You can do that?
MR. GIRARDI: Sure. Like I said, I want to
have no recommendations. I'm working on that.
MR. NAVE: I'll find one.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Anything else?
MR. NAVE: No. Thank you.
MR. BERNARD: Going through, you know, each school again, there's like 32 of them that need comments put on them why they're late. There's 100-and-something with comments, but 32 other ones are late and there's no comments put on
them. Please get up to date with the comments.
And just a couple of issues on the comments, we've already talked about the building department stuff and the -- I don't like to see a -- you know, a comment that says rain delay. How can -- everybody knows, this is South Florida, when it's gonna rain, so to throw a rain delay in there is just someone looking for time to me. So that's a wasted comment. If the contractor can't put the schedule when it's not raining it's his own fault, it's not a delay item.

And one of my other concerns is, I don't like to see project management staff attrition. You know, they're being paid to have staff here. If they have a problem with their staff, that should not be a delay for the School Board. And to put that on those items, there are three or four schools that have that, that they had staffing issues. Everyone has staffing issues. I have staffing issues where $I$ can't get a delay on mine if $I$ have a staff that's not there, and they're getting paid to have the staff. So if there's a delay, it should be charged to them if there's a delay in the school work because they don't have
the people working. And that's not, you know, a valid concern for a delay. I can't see how you would let that be in there.

MR. GIRARDI: Moving forward -- with these last two reports $I$ will tell you that everything we have been doing going in front of the Board that I haven't looked at them as closely as I should, but $I$ can tell you right now, this next report is my report, Danny's report, it's our report. Because it's got the new timeframes and we -- I will be looking at them.

MR. BERNARD: I know it's your report, but Danny's side's been here since the get-go.

MR. JARDINE: Understood.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Anyone else?
MS. AKER REECE: I agree with Bruce in terms of like owning, you know, the staffing and all of that. That makes sense.

MR. GIRARDI: I do have to say we have to do a better job of reporting that.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Everyone good? We're ready to move on?

Okay. Thank you.
We're going to move on to Section 6, SMART Program Budget Activity.

MR. SHIM: Thank you and good evening again. Omar Shim. The Budget Activity Report contains details of all the schools, a school by school detail, but I'm just going to go over the large numbers of the program.

Going into the fifth year, the first quarter of the final program year of the program, there's \$1 billion budgeted; 731 remains in the program. And on the next slide you can see that there's 195.3 million in expenditures and 95.5 million in purchase orders. Notably, that's about a \$37.5 million increase from the last quarter in purchase orders. So there has been some progress as far as cutting purchase orders for the program, itself, so I think that's noteworthy. And as we -- we talked a little bit about what's in the program for assessing the risk. There's \$225 million in reserves. You know, we talked a little bit about there's about \$30-something million this year, but over the remaining years we set aside the balance of what's in the reserve, the 225, is 175.2 million, so we've used some of those reserves and that's detailed in the budget activity report each project by project when those dollars come out of
it.
And the other thing, just to wrap up the budget activity overview, is that we have closed the -- primarily the technology portion, we've closed all those projects and brought back money from that portion of the program to the tune of about $\$ 14.8$ million.

So that concludes that portion of the Budget Activity Report. So if there's any questions?

MS. AKER REECE: So the projects that were closed and allocated back to reserves, were those mostly the technology projects?

MR. SHIM: That is correct. Yes.
MS. AKER REECE: Okay.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Anything else?
MR. HILLBERG: No.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Proceed. Anything else you would like to -- well, I have two people on the report.
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. We'll go to Bob then if they have nothing else to add.

MR. NAVE: Yeah, I think the only point that we want to make, and we talked about it earlier, is that beginning with the next quarterly report
and all subsequent reports that there should be some discussion of risk assessment and impact on the project budgets. And I think there was a commitment to do that earlier.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Can you commit to do that?
MS. MARTE: Yes, sir.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: All right.
Any other questions?
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Move on to the next section, to Section 7, Diversity -- Supplier Diversity Outreach Program.

MS. COKER: Good evening.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Oh, there you are.
MS. COKER: Good evening. Mary Coker, Director of Procurement \& Warehousing Services. Excuse me for my voice. I'm a little bit under the weather.

I wanted to report that since our online registration portal implementation from July 2018 we have a total registered firms of 1,376. We've also been able to decrease our amount of time for processing certifications. Our previous time in processing certifications exceeded 30 days. We are now at 16 days. So this has demonstrated
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some efficiencies from our new system going from manual processing to automation.

We have 581 suppliers who have started the registration process. We've held 18 Tuesday Tech Talks where we show potential vendors not only technical aspects of how to become certified on this new system but also now we are going to incorporate giving them workshops on how to do or respond to RFPs, RFQs, RFIs, et cetera.

So we have 52 suppliers that have attended. And this has been solely just from having this on our website as well as word of mouth. So we are actually very excited that we've had good feedback.

On the next slide we have an increase from the last quarter of total certified firms. We do show a decrease on the 43 percent of certified vendor commitments, but this is basically on one specific project which was a very large project. I believe it was the Stranahan, where significant dollars was just based on the fact that we had one -- one prime vendor that kind of messed up the numbers. But, in any event, you'll see in the remainder of the report that we've continued to increase our commitment on M/WBE.

We had a total outreach of nine events. We continue to change how we're doing events per the recommendation from many, many quarters ago, I recall, from the Florida TaxWatch to increase our outreach. We have done that. We now not only do meet the primes where we engage subcontractors with prime vendors. We also have been doing -partnered up with the Urban League where they provide financing for those minority vendors who may not have financing available. We have been doing a lot of outreach specifically related to the women. The Women Business Enterprise National Council, National Association of Women in Construction, Florida Women's Business Center. We've also attended the 8th Annual Women Mean Business Conference, Broward College Women's Success Summit. I mean, we're really going out there on the outreach specifically related to the women businesses.

The next slide is a breakdown of our supplier diversity, where, as you may know, on the professional design services we continue to be at 100 percent. For M/WBE on the construction we have 38 percent.

The next slide, please. Owners

Representative, this is Heery, CBRE Heery, so they had a commitment of 32 percent. They've demonstrated 37 percent achieved. And Atkins committed to 45 percent and they've achieved almost 50 percent. So we see also an increase there in M/WBE participation.

If we go to the next slide, please, this is where you can see on the total minority spend, this is specifically per ethnicity. We had -this is actually women, demonstrating the women increase. So we had an -- and I did a comparison from the last quarter to this quarter, and all of these buckets were actually increased from the last quarter. And I recall when I started here a little bit less than three years ago we had less than one percent in women participation, women Enterprise. And in Q2 we had one percent, on Q3 we went up to 12 percent, and I'm really happy to report that this quarter we're at 24.45 percent on women businesses across the board participating in the work that we're putting forth.

If you go to the next slide, please, we also have an increase on the gender not-women-owned versus women-owned. We have an increase from
last quarter 24.39 percent. That's what I was just discussing.

The next slide you will see that we have a 32.48 percent -- this is the spend, this is not necessarily commitment, this is purchase orders, and we have 32.48 percent for E/S/M/WBE.

The remainder of the report that is part of the book gives you a little bit more detail and background as to some of the affirmative procurement initiatives that we've been able to implement. We've continued to transition to the eProcurement portal, the on-line portal. So we have continued to gather more and more applicants to go online to register to become certified and to become vendors for the district. We've hired a new SDOP manager and additional team members, so our team is growing.

We've updated our standard operating procedures and we have been providing periodically updates to the Board on our implementation of our Policy 3330. We're going to be coming to the Board now in January of 2019 with a workshop for recommendations on some improvements to the policies, hopefully, updates where the Board would potentially want to adopt
an updated policy.
We've also created a comprehensive marketing plan which includes our additional outreach and working with Heery and other firms to be able to create additional marketing, not only resources, but -- oh, now I can hear myself. Did they change the mike?

Okay. Thank you.
We've also implemented the mentor protege program as part of one of the APIs. We've been successful in our last award for Marjory Stoneman Douglas construction, the Awardee is also bringing in, is going to be a mentor protege and is going to have an $S / M / W B E$ vendor as a subcontractor. And they've agreed to be a mentor protege. So this is big because we haven't had this in the past and this is part of our implementation of our policy.

We've also updated pre-solicitation language for ITBs, RFQs, professional services and construction service contracts.

And we continue to participate in QSEC and bid activities.

And, finally, we performed a goal setting performance, which is what has allowed us to
identify specific goals per commodity, that are the ones that we apply to the solicitation at the time of bidding to ensure that we are actually mitigating the disparity study discrimination that was identified.

And that is all I have to report. If you have any questions?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Anyone?
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. All right.
Mr. Nave?
MR. NAVE: All right. Thank you.
I just want to say this is the one section of the report over the last couple of years that has gotten appreciably better every quarter.

Not only does it show significant increase in the participation of women and minority owned businesses, I think Ms. Coker is getting better at looking at what $I$ report and putting that data in a place that is a lot easier to find. So I -thank you, Mary, I appreciate that.

MS. MARTE: Tell that to my boss. Thank you.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: All right. Thank you.
All right. Any other comments?
(No response.)
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CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Thank you, Mary.
Let's move on to item Section 8, SMART Program Communications.

Ms. Garth?
MS. GARTH: Good evening, Yvonne Garth with Garth Solutions, part of the Heery Program Management Team and the communications liaison.

Over the last. few months we've really taken some messaging out on the road, if you will, and have done quite a few outreach events. By that I mean everything from -- in addition to the project charter meetings, going to municipalities, their commission meetings, and giving updates on what's happening at the schools.

I will say that, you know, for us this -this pivot with regards to the schedule is very important from a communications standpoint in that we're going to be focused going into 2019 on communicating to each school their updated schedule and what's happening at their school.

The spotlights are going to be instrumental in doing that. And as we work with Frank and Danny and the rest of the team to look at how we're going to simplify the reporting in the
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future Bond Oversight Committee reports, a lot of that is also going to translate in how we report to the schools and the communities surrounding them. So you can look forward to seeing that. We continue to be very active on social media. Frank is fantastic about tweeting and we try to, you know, work with the schools and tag the schools as well. Just whenever we reach a milestone we make sure we share that with the school communities through social media.

I'm happy to answer any questions.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Thank you.
Anybody have any questions?
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Mr. Nave?
MR. NAVE: Yes, the -- I'm glad to hear Yvonne talk about going forward in 2019 and talking about the schedule. The reality is is that the schedule has been delayed, costs are going to be more than were originally expected. And I think going forward it's important to make sure that the schools and the surrounding communities get the massage and that these things are included in the District's messaging strategy.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Thank you. I do have one question.

How much feedback do you get on our meetings after we have our meetings and you put this information out to the public? Because, quite frankly, I think this is one-way communication. We're speaking to the District officials and the contractors, but we're not really getting anything from the public until we do the open mike sessions and some of the other things.

Are you getting feedback that we're not getting?

MS. GARTH: No, actually, we don't get feedback specific to this meeting.

We do periodically get questions from community members. We have a dedicated email address and phone number where community members can actually send us any questions and they can also do so through their principals.

We've been out in the community a lot, so we've been getting questions at those community meetings. We try to have our updates to the community during the SAC meetings. So we've been getting feedback in general, but not specifically to this -- as a result of this meeting, if that
answers your question.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: But to the Board on some of the greater issues that we're dealing with, you're getting feedback on those; on the construction delays; the schedule; the other problems?

MS. GARTH: Yes, we do.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Is there a possibility that we can have some of that and see what the concerns are in some other manner?

I know they go to the Board, but is there any way that some of those concerns can be shared with the committee?

MS. GARTH: We can certainly look into maybe just pulling together a summary of the ones that we're aware of and communicating that to the committee.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Yeah, I think it would be helpful for the committee to see what the constituency is, you know, looking at.

Does everybody agree with that?
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Yeah.
MS. MARTE: So I just want to make sure that I'm clear as to the ask.

So December 11th we had an in-depth budget
workshop where Mr. Girardi went through all of the delays and that.

So are you asking for, during our communication portion of this meeting that we update you on public meetings that have wrapped around some of the topics you're covering here as well; is that the ask or am I not on the right page?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Well, I think you're close. You know there's a Facilities Task Force out there and $I$ just received some of that stuff but we don't participate with them. So we're not hearing from that group. We're not hearing from the parent. And I think some of that stuff, if it's either given to you electronically or however you receive it to the Board, I'd like -I think this committee should have some understanding of what those issues are, so when we're honing in on this we want to be able to find out what's in the -- you know, what's in their minds as well.

MS. MARTE: Okay. Okay.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Otherwise, I don't believe we're doing the right -- well, I will only speak for myself. I don't think we're finishing the
job if we're not getting some response from what our work is trying to achieve.

Is that clear?
MS. GARTH: Yeah. So just to recap, I think what I heard is, it would be helpful, whether it's in the report or at these meetings to share with you any feedback that we've gotten from the community or concerns that they've brought up, whether it's something we heard out at a community meeting or whether it was concerns that were brought directly to the Board members, but just to share with you what the public is saying or asking about.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: In general about our projects, the bond, you know, or the delays or issues that they have concerns on. It doesn't have to be specific, but the areas, the topics that they have greater concerns about and whether -- what percentage. I mean, if they're all coming in and asking about, and I'll use single point of entry for an example, and they're all coming in and asking what's going on with single point of entry and we're not looking at that closely enough or we're not getting the answers, then I think we deserve the opportunity
to be able to address that as a board, as an oversight committee, or at least from the Board or get some response to that.

MS. GARTH: Thank you for that feedback. We'll look into that.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I'm not sure how to frame it, but that's the best I can come up with.

MS. GARTH: I think I understand.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: All right.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: I do have one question. I think I asked this the last time also.

You just mentioned going out to the community, and basically at the schools the SAC meetings. They're not well attended. And there's limited participation in those.

So how is the general school community -- the parents of the schools are not getting the information. That's the feedback I get. As you see, I represent PTA, so they're not -- parents out there have no information.

So how do we address that?
MS. GARTH: So one of the activities we have, for example, this year -- well, we do it annually but we can certainly look at doing it more frequently, electronically, is we send out a
piece at the beginning of the school year just letting parents know what's happening. We send it out to each principal. It's specific to their school. So it's a flyer that outlines the program overall but specifically what's happening at their particular school. We ask the principals to push that out through ParentLink, one, and then also annually we do print a hardcopy for the elementary schools, we send it home in the backpack for each student. For the middle and high schools, because parents don't check the backpacks as frequently, but we do distribute hardcopies at the school level.

Moving forward we are going to try to increase our activity in working with the principals to help to arm them with updates and help them send that out to their community. Certainly, if you have any suggestions on behalf of the PTA on how we can better reach the parents we're very open to that.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: You know, it stops with the principal often. So that's the problem. You know, some principals send it out. Others don't. So it depends on the principal, basically.

You know, I don't know if there's a general
email list that can be sent out some way to reach parents directly, because everyone is registered, I mean, you know them. So I'm willing to, you know, work on it. I have no suggestions right now, but it goes back to the Chief's question, because if parents don't know, the questions don't come.

MS. GARTH: Thank you for the feedback.
Like I said, what we've done so far is try to work hand in hand with the principals, but we'll look into some other alternatives.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Thank you.
Everybody good? We can move on to the next subject?

All right. The next is School Board Workshop briefing. Mr. Bernard?

MR. BERNARD: Yes. I attended the last School Board Workshop and it came out of the School Board members that they praised the Oversight Committee and TaxWatch for its work on the bond issues. Single point of entry dates were discussed and talked about. April 29th is the final date. If not, Facilities must let the School Board members be made aware if it's not going to be completed by that date.

We discussed the report. And like Bob said, the School Board members wanted the revised spotlight reports to leave the historical data. Actually, a couple School Board members liked that the Oversight Committee and TaxWatch asked the tough questions of staff on delays and expect explanations for the delays and overrun.

And finally we had the discussion on hard cost and soft cost. You have to remember we've got this little friend telling us what the typical hard cost and soft costs are, but the members, committee members told us to keep abreast of the soft costs versus the hard costs.

So, Omar, I would ask you in the budget section once we get done with a project $I$ want to see if we can start listing on the project what the actual budget was, what the final PO number was for the contractor, so we can track the hard cost versus the soft cost. So, hopefully, by the end, we've got 232 projects with the budgets and the contracted costs, then we can see actually what the hard versus the soft for every project is. And that was a request that one of the Board members asked us to stay on it.
And that was it.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Very good. Thank you.

Any questions for Mr. Bernard?
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Appreciate that report.
All right. The next item that I see, Next School Board Workshop - Future Date, we are scheduled for February 12th, 2018. Is that a Monday? It says next School Board Workshop is that supposed to be '19?

Okay. That's the day before Valentine's Day, is that a --

MS. AKER REECE: Two days.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So is that Monday; do we know?

MS. AKER REECE: It's a Tuesday.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. So Tuesday. Well, they have them all on Tuesday. That's right.

So do we have a volunteer that wants to attend the next meeting?

MS. AKER REECE: I have my commission meeting.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Don't everybody raise their hand at one time. Who hasn't done it yet?

MS. KRISHNAIYER: I haven't, but I don't know
if I'll be back.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Well, let's do this. Let's -- we'll share with Mr. Shim who's available. If one of the other committee members can't make it, then I guess I can volunteer. Or I can volunteer Adam since he's not here. That's how it works.

MS. AKER REECE: Or Ann.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Or Ann.
MR. SHIM: Okay. We'll verify via email.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: We'll come up with somebody. We'll come up with a name.

Future Meeting Dates. That's today.
March 4th, 2019 and June 10th, 2019. Are those good for everybody?

MS. AKER REECE: Yeah, those were listed on our last agenda.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So far so good?
Okay. We'll go with that.
Any other business?
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Anything from the floor?
Yes, ma'am.
MS. MARTE: I do want to let the Oversight Committee know that at tomorrow's Board meeting
we will be bringing an item to seek Board approval to issue the next tranche of funds for 200 million. As Mr. Shim pointed out, we do have an optic in conferences and I need the funds to start covering those, so that's actually only the second tranche that we have issued of the bonds, but we are looking forward to doing that tomorrow.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Yes, sir?
MR. SHIM: If I may, I also just wanted to thank Fort Lauderdale High School for allowing us to use this facility. Very nice.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Yes. Thank you.
MS. AKER REECE: Do you know what interest rate you'll be paying or are you going to do a competitive issue?

MS. MARTE: We haven't sold them yet. Yeah. Yeah.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Do we anticipate the next meeting will be back at the School Board.

MR. SHIM: Yes.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. I kind of like getting around. Is there a lot of other places like this so we can see some of the other schools? I mean, it's been a while since I've
been in school.
MR. SHIM: I like that, too. We can look at the next meeting.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I mean, it would be nice if you got some media rooms or something that you've just completed and we have one of the meetings there; what do you think?

MS. AKER REECE: The weight room.
MR. GIRARDI: The one with the pool.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: All right. Anything else?
No other business?
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Thank you.
I'm going to move to the next item.
We're going to recess the business meeting and we're going to convene the public hearing.

Do we have anybody here from the public that wants to speak?

MS. MILLER: Nobody signed up.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Oh, here we go.
MS. MILLER: Nobody signed up.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: All right. We're going to adjourn the public hearing and we're going to move on to reconvening the business meeting.

And do we have any further discussion items
from the floor?
MS. AKER REECE: So we were just talking and would it be possible at some point to do like a field trip to go to some of the places that were finished just to --

MS. KRISHNAIYER: I'd love to see a completed single point of entry.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: There's only one we could go to right now.

MS. AKER REECE: I mean, it doesn't have to be now, but --

MR. GIRARDI: We can look at the projects coming up and see one that you would like to see. I think that's a good idea.

One that may be really good is one of the Big Three, is Stranahan. There's a lot of work done over there. We've got a finished weight room, finished pool and roofing going on right now. Single point of entry is completed.

MS. AKER REECE: That would be cool.
MR. GIRARDI: You could probably get a lot of stuff on one school.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: All right.
Okay. Are you good with that?
MR. HILLBERG: Yes, I would like to see that.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: All right. Any further discussion from the committee members?
(No response.)
MS. MARTE: If we go together I think we have to advertise it though for the Sunshine.

MR. GIRARDI: Unless you want to have your next meeting there, then you could tour the school and then have the meeting.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I think we need to get with the actual Chairman and ask him what his choice is there; okay?

All right. Anything else?
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: All right. I just want to say one thing. I hope you all have a great holiday. I thank you all for the hard work that you put in here and $I$ know it gets very stressful and you've got families there. And I hope you take the holidays and enjoy your time together and I'll take a motion to adjourn.

MR. BERNARD: Moved.
MS. AKER REECE: Second.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: You don't need a second to adjourn.

That's it. We're done. Thank you.
(Hearing was concluded at 8:00 p.m.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF BROWARD
I, Timothy R. Bass, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that $I$ was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes thereof.

Dated this 2nd day of January, 2019, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida.


TIMOTHY R. BASS


| A | adding 45:6 78:22 | 12:7 13:1 18:18 | anymore 64:1 | assessing 94:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ability 21:18 | 80:18 81:1 | 20:10,16 21:5 | anyway 79:10 | assessment 39:8 |
| able 28:4 45:1 64:18 | addition 45:17 77:5 | 22:11,18 40:24 | APIs 101:10 | 45:16 46:23 47:4 |
| 64:24 65:1 66:13 | 79:24 87:22 103:11 | 42:9,13 44:8 53:25 | apologies 14:2 | 48:20 49:5,9,13,15 |
| 66:24 90:7 96:22 | additional 4:8 6:10 | 54:24 55:15 56:2 | apologize 47:20 | 50:16 51:1,1,8,13 |
| 100:10 101:4 | 6:24 21:8 31:6 32:8 | 61:2,6,10,13,22 | 75:14 | 96:2 |
| 107:19 109:1 | 39:17,18 41:19,23 | 75:19 76:5,15 80:3 | appeared 67:5 | assessments 91:8 |
| abreast 112:13 | 42:3,19,22 46:23 | 93:16 95:10,14 | applicants 100:13 | assigned 10:12,18 |
| absolutely 41:11 | 49:3,4,10,23,25 | 113:13,16,21 114:8 | Applied 1:11 6:6 | 16:7 |
| $42: 1161: 9$ | $50: 451: 9,1052: 18$ | 114:16 115:14 | 10:2 | Assignment 1:9 |
| accelerate 89:23 90:8 | 53:1,6 54:6 55:4,13 | 116:8 117:2,10,20 | apply 102:2 | $\text { assistant } 25: 25$ |
| accept 2:24 | 61:21 63:7 73:25 | 118:22 | appreciably 102:15 | associated 20:24 |
| accepted $47: 1$ | 100:16 101:3,5 | AKER-REECE | appreciate $42: 8$ | Association 1:17,17 |
| accepting 83:24 | address 41:9 53:15 | $11: 12$ | 102:21 113:5 | 1:19 98:13 |
| access 68:19 | $105: 17 \text { 109:1,21 }$ | alignment 17:7 64:5 | approach 19:18 | assumed 52:19 68:22 |
| accommodate 75:12 | addressed 23:7 32:1 | allocate 40:1 49:12 | appropriated 41 | assuming 44:10 |
| accomplished 66:25 | adds 26:22 | allocated 21:9 52:13 | approval 115:2 | athletics 1:11 13:2 |
| 76:24 | adjourn 116:2 | 95:11 | approve 3:4 41:14 | 14:4,10 |
| account 91:13 | 118:20,24 | allocation 40:1, | 62:6 | Atkins 1:10 46:17 |
| accountability 17:10 | adjust 47:16,16 90:1 | 52:9,12 54:6 | approved 6:22,23 | 47:14 99:3 |
| accountable 26:19 | adjusted 45:16 54:8 | allocations 41:23 | 40:5 88:14 | ATP 28:3 |
| 81:10 83:4,6,20 | adjustment 54:17 | allotment 52:16 | approves 86:10 | attached 53:5 |
| accounted 90:2 | 83:24 | allowance 51:25 | approximately 19:10 | attend 113:20 |
| accurate 91:12 | adjustments 18:2 | allowed 27:7 101:2 | 41:25 | ATTENDANCE 1:6 |
| chieve 108:2 | 89:25 | allowing 28:16 | April 111:2 | attended 97:10 98:15 |
| achieved 99:3,4 | administration 1:3 | 115:11 | architect 24:13,2 | 109:14 111:17 |
| acknowledge 82:18 | 69:7 71:13,21,23 | allows 39:22 | 28:3 29:13 30:15 | attract 36:4 |
| 84:13 | $72: 4,23 \quad 73: 2$ | alternatives 111:11 | 32:14 34:22 36:18 | attributed 86:5 |
| acknowledged 82:14 | adm | amazing $12: 1$ | 36:21 87: | attrition 92: |
| 82:15 | admittedly 80:9,1 | amount 32:12 47: | architects 19:1 | August 15:11,12 |
| acronyms 88:18 |  | :5 55:7,8, | 23:12 24:19 26:12 | authority 5 |
| active 104:5 | advance 74:20 | 6:2 | 26:17 29:9 32:4 | authorized 119:11 |
| actively 36:1 87:24 | 75:1876:4 | Ann 114:8, | 33:20 34:10 | automatically 68:19 |
| activities 1:12 14:10 | advanced 9:8 | Annual 98:15 | area 32:16 35:23 | automation 97:2 |
| 101:23 109:22 | advertise 118:5 | annually 109:23 | 69:7 71:13 77:8 | availability 17:23 |
| activity $87: 15$ 93:25 | advertised 18:9 | 110:8 | areas 108:17 | available 49:11 |
| 94:2,24 95:3,9 | affect 8:5 62:21,22 | answer 4:10 5:14,14 | arm 110:16 | 53:15 98:10 114:4 |
| 110:15 | affirmative 100:9 | 5:15 40:15 52:11 | art 6:2,19 7:22 11:19 | Avenue 1:4,23 |
| actual 46:2 47:15,18 | afternoon 3:18 | 65:13 68:4,5 | 12:8 | average 18:4,14,15 |
| 47:24 72:20 77:22 | agenda 6:2 114:17 | 104:11 | Ashley 1:10 46:17 | 27:2 28:19,21 56:9 |
| 78:25 112:17 | ago 16:11 23:1,7 51:2 | answered 10:1 | 51:23 54:10 | 56:18,25 |
| 118:10 | 65:11 67:16 68:8 | 47:1 | aside 4:20 49:8 | averaged 86:3 |
| actuals 85:5 | 86:25 98:3 99:15 | answers 26:2 62:2 | 52:21 94:21 | averaging 56:24 |
| Adam 2:6 114:6 | agree 30:20 41:11 | 106:1 108:25 | asked 42:18 53:17 | award 101:11 |
| add 5:22 13:25 14:1 | 84:22 93:16 106:21 | anticipate $45: 388: 1$ | 65:11 66:20 67:18 | awarded 87:13 |
| 15:18 26:24 41:18 | agreed 80:18 101:15 | 115:19 | 69:13 109:11 112:5 | Awardee 101:12 |
| 48:24 51:21 54:10 | agreements 32:4 | anticipation 41:12 | 112:24 | aware 22:16 38:1 |
| 85:19 95:22 | ahead 11:13 12:6 | anybody 4:18 5:4 | asking 3:15 107:3 | 42:7 61:23 67:10 |
| added 20:4 27:6,14 | 30:18 48:9 58:5 | 7:10 24:16 25:4 | 108:13,20,22 | 69:14 106:16 |
| 37:24 39:6 72:25 | 69:21 79:11,12 | 33:10 80:13 104:13 | aspects 97:6 | 111:24 |
| 91:6 | Aker 1:16 2:18 3:2 | 116:17 | assess 10:23 | aye 3:6,7 |

United Reporting, Inc.
(954) 525-2221

| B | benign 62:14 | 41:14,18 42:5,16 | 58:8 84:6 95:5 | buzzing 83:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| back 3:24 4:12 6:13 | Bernard 1:16 2:10,11 | 44:19 47:1 49:7,12 | 08: |  |
| 18:18 19:24 22:20 | 4:5,20 5:23 22:23 | 50:9 53:2,3,8,14,16 | Broward 1:1,18,19 | C |
| 22:22 24:12 25:15 | 22:24 24:1,5,14 | 53:16 54:16,20 | 35:12 36:6 63:12 | C 2:1 |
| 27:19 29:18 31:4 | 25:2,7,11 26:21 | 55:2,17 58:9,22 | 98:16 119:8,16 | call $2: 8,932: 5$ |
| 32:25 33:2 36:21 | 28:13,19 29:2,7,24 | 62:5,7 65:1,4,11 | Bruce 1:16 26:8 | calls 61:18 |
| 36:22 37:21 47:16 | 30:4,10,18 49:3,14 | 66:9 77:9 84:20 | 84:21 93:16 | campus 57:15,23,24 |
| 48:21 54:5,7,9 55:3 | 49:18,20 50:10 | 85:14 86:10 88:15 | buck 38:17 | 70:5 71:3,18,20 |
| 55:12 56:13,18 | 51:15,19 59:4,6 | 92:17 93:6 99:20 | buckets 99:13 | 72:3 |
| 57:16 68:8,21 74:3 | 60:4,12,18 62:11 | 100:20,22,25 106:2 | bucks 40:14 48:23 | campuses 70:25 |
| 79:13 82:3 85:8 | 78:1,14 79:5,7 | 106:11 107:16 | budget 1:7 3:20 39:3 | Cantrick 1:11 6:5,5 |
| 86:20 89:8 90:23 | 82:24 84:22 85:10 | 108:11 109:1,2 | 39:12,15,21,22,24 | 6:20 7:17 8:23 9:17 |
| 91:4 95:5,11 111:5 | 91:21 93:12 111:16 | 111:15,18,19,24 | 40:5,7 41:1 42:22 | 10:25 12:13 13:4 |
| 114:1 115:20 | 111:17 113:3 | 112:2,4,23 113:7,9 | 42:23 52:23 54:4,7 | capacity 21:5 22:14 |
| backfill 45:10 | 118:21 | 114:25 115:1,20 | 54:13,16,17,23 55:9 | capital 1:7,9 3:19 |
| background 100:9 | best 60:13 | Boardman 14:6,7,7 | 58:3 61:15,16 77:8 | 16:7 41:17 63:2 |
| backlog 23:5 | bet $84: 25$ | 4:20 | 77:17 79:12 84:9 | care 37:23 60:1 |
| backpack 110:10 | better 5:14,15 15:8 | Board's 53:2 | 93:25 94:2,24 95:3 | Carpenter 1:10 |
| backpacks 110:12 | 26:7,23,24 59:15 | Bob 4:5 14:2 40:18 | 95:8 106:25 112:14 | 46:17,18 |
| bad 57:6 60:10 | 93:20 102:15,18 | 83:9,23 85:11 | 112:17 | carry 62:16 |
| balance 41:17 94:21 | 110:19 | 89:10 95:21 112 | budgeted 54:5 94:8 | Carter 47:13,13 48:1 |
| banking 63:8 | beyond 10:1 | Bob's 40:15 | budgets 54:8,20 96:3 | 50:12 51:18 |
| barrier 68:18 | bid 6:22 18:9 36:5 | BOC 16:18 46:20 | 112:20 | case 8:3,3 9:1,1,23,23 |
| barriers 65:19 | 39:9 51:24,24 54:4 | bond 1:1,15 3:11 | builder 36:19 | 10:16,17 |
| bars 46:18 | 54:9 55:3,6 56:12 | 10:13 12:2 20:18 | building 14:23 15:1 | cases 9:22 67:11 |
| based 17:18 28:1 | 60:6 101:23 | 23:11 31:24 44:21 | 18:24 19:4,15,20,24 | 72:11 |
| 50:1 51:13 53:17 | bidding 28:10 90:10 | 53:20 61:4,7 62:6 | 20:6,12,14,17,25,25 | cash 17:24 53:18 |
| 67:19 84:11 97:21 | 102:3 | 73:23 86:10,16,25 | 21:6,12,17 22:4,6 | catastrophic 63:17 |
| baseline 43:22,24 | bids 39:16 | 104:1 108:15 | 22:13,25 23:2,15,18 | catch 23:6 |
| 46:19,24,25 47:3 | 90:12 | 111:21 | 23:20,25 24:6,9,21 | CBRE 18:20 99:1 |
| basically 46:24 84:22 | big 15:25 35:22 40:8 | bonds 115:6 | 25:18,23,25 26:14 | Center 1:3 98:14 |
| 97:18 109:13 | 79:20 85:17 86:5 | book 64:4 100: | 26:16 28:20,21 | ceramics 11:20,23 |
| 110:24 | 88:4 89:14 90:24 | boom 36:3 | 29:22 32:9 34:6 | 12:1,3 |
| basis 8:3 9:1,23 | 91:1,11 101:16 | boss 102:22 | 35:7,15 36:12,16 | Cerra 1:11 14:9,9 |
| 10:17 58:23 64:24 | 117:15 | bottom 40:4 79: | 37:11,25 38:9 57:6 | certain 35:6 81:17 |
| Bass 1:22,23 119:9 | biggest 29:17 40:10 | box $89: 7$ | 57:15 66:14 69:5 | certainly 106:14 |
| 119:19 | 49:2 | brain's 76:2 | 69:24 70:4,14,15,22 | 109:24 110:18 |
| Bay 40:12 62:7 79:11 | billion 45:24 94:8 | break 22:8 53:19 | 72:8,10,11 73:4 | CERTIFICATE |
| Beach 14:16 36:9 | bit 10:8 18:6 28:6 | breakdown 78:15 | 87:19 88:21 92:3 | 119:6 |
| 63:15 | 54:19 57:1 66:11 | 98:20 | buildings 57:24 | certifications 96:23 |
| bear 74:23 | 67:12 94:16,19 | briefing 15:25 79:20 | 62:18 63:17 70:23 | 96:24 |
| beat 69 | 96:17 99:15 100:8 | 111:16 | 71:1,1 72:12 | certified 59:22 97:6 |
| beating 47:8 | Blanche 87:21 91:4 | bring 7:19 21:10 | built 36:19 52:23 | 97:16,17 100:14 |
| beginning 34:21 | blue 43:19 46:1,8,13 | 29:13 36:1 37:10 | 8 | cera 17:2 81:22 |
| 95:25 110:1 | 46:18,21 47:3 | 42:21 58:22 62:5 | bunch 89:12 | cetera 17:2 81:22 |
| behalf 110:18 | board 1:3 4:2 5:17 | 67:22 69:6 | burnt 47:22 | 97:9 |
| behoove 21:7 | 6:23 16:19,25 17:8 | bringing 62:6 101:13 | business 85:23 98:12 | chain 66:4 68:1 |
| believe 53:190:4 | 20:13 23:9 25:18 | 115:1 | 98:14,16 114:20 | 70:8,10 71:4 73:13 |
| 97:20 107:23 | 26:7 27:14 30:22 | brings 8:1174:7 | 116:11,15,24 | Chair 43:3 |
| benefit 89:2 | 36:12 38:20 39:18 | brought 21:20 24:16 | businesses 98:19 | Chairman 118:10 |
| benefits 9:21 | 39:21,25 40:5,8 | 33:20 40:21 43:8 | 99:20 102:18 | challenge 29:17 |


| challenges 31:9 | 102:23 103:1 | 35:4 37:17,21 38:3 | company 60:22 | 89:14,14 98:14,23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38:12 88:1 | 104:12,15 105:1 | 59:20 75:4 77:18 | compared 48:20 | 101:12,21 106:5 |
| chance $2: 2280: 10,15$ | 106:2,8,18 107:9,23 | 81:8 84:23 88:6 | comparison 99:11 | consultants 86:7 |
| change $30: 2537: 1$ | 108:14 109:6,9 | 89:20 94:25 109:7 | competitive 115:16 | contact 25:19 26:1 |
| 39:6,25 40:3,4,8,10 | 111:12 113:1,5,14 | 111:7 114:11,12 | complained 69:13 | contains 94:3 |
| 40:13 42:20,21,25 | 113:17,23 114:2,9 | comes 8:10 23:20 | complaining 70:9 | contingencies 50:21 |
| 47:5,7 51:25 54:23 | 114:11,18,22 115:9 | 27:13 37:1,4 48:25 | complete 4:25 5:14 | 50:23 |
| 64:21 77:7,8,11,13 | 115:13,19,22 116:4 | 52:4 54:5,9 55:3 | 7:8 8:19 16:23 62:8 | contingency 50:19 |
| 77:17 80:11 81:20 | 116:10,13,20,22 | 56:21 58:13 61:25 | 74:1 119:13 | contingent 15:4 |
| 81:21 98:2 101:7 | 117:8,23 118:1,9,14 | 71:18 | completed 5:10 7:7 | continue 10:15 22:14 |
| changed $24: 1573: 18$ | 118:23 | comfortable 83:24 | 14:13,17 18:23 | 35:21 52:22 82:6 |
| changes 34:12 47:4 | Chiefs 1:17 | coming 20:5,9,9 21:6 | 27:22 36:19 44:2 | 82:18 84:8 90:17 |
| 47:10 | Chief's 111:5 | 22:13 23:19 37:6,8 | 48:12,13 64:13 | 98:2,22 101:22 |
| changing 26:10 | choice 74:14 78:4,9 | 45:9 48:19,19 58:3 | 65:20 72:7 89:17 | 104:5 |
| 77:21 | 118:11 | 67:5 87:23 100:22 | 111:25 116:6 117:6 | continued 97:24 |
| charge 32:7 65:22 | chose 14:2 | 108:20,22 117:13 | 117:19 | 100:11,13 |
| charged $32: 13,17$ | Christmas | comment 68:16 | completely 44:13 | continues 50:6 91:1 |
| 92:24 | circul | 89:22 90:25 92 | 47:11 | contract 33:3 59:19 |
| chart 17:11 27: | circumstances 35:6 | comments 19:23,25 | completing 59:17 | 59:19 88:12 |
| 64:12 77:5,7 | 38:2 | 23:13 91:23,24,25 | completion 43:24,25 | contracted 21:11 |
| charter 50:5 52:18,21 | cities 20:11 | 92:1,2 102:24 | 62:778:21 | 112:21 |
| 103:12 | City 14:16 | commission 103: | complexity 56:17 | contractor 18:5 28:5 |
| check 4:1 | Civil 1:18 | 113:21 | comprehensive | 37:19,19 88:22,24 |
| checking 6:13 | clarificati | co | 101:2 | 92:10 112:18 |
| chief $1: 82: 3,12,14,17$ | class 12:1 | commitment 96: | compressed 28:6 | contractors 17:23 |
| 2:19 3:1,3,8,10 4:1 | classes 11:22 | 97:25 99:2 100:5 | concern 67:11,25 | 58:11,12 105:8 |
| 4:15 5:4,16,19,24 | Clauses 13:14 | commitments 97:18 | 93:2 | contractor's 37:17 |
| 6:18 7:10,13 8:4,13 | cleaning 59:2 60:16 | committed 11:5 | concerns 4:19 92:13 | contracts 32:24 33:4 |
| 11:13 12:6 13:2,5 | cleanup 63:3 | 16:11,23 17:13 | 106:10,12 108:8,10 | 86:7,19 87:5,10 |
| 13:13,21 14:1 | clear 17:9 32:2 | 53:8 99:4 | 108:16,18 | 101:21 |
| 15:12,15,19,23 | 106:24 108:3 | committee 1:1,15 3:7 | concluded 119:1 | contractually 19:23 |
| 18:17 22:19 25:14 | climb 71:9 | 4:14,21 16:10 | concludes 95:8 | Control 1:10 |
| 27:11 30:21 31:14 | clinics 58:17 | 39:14 53:20 74:20 | conditions 17:23 | convene 116:16 |
| 32:19 33:7,10,23 | close 54:2 62: | 75:3 82:23 84:4 | 35:1 | cool 13:12 117:20 |
| 36:10,13 37:11 | 107:10 | 104:1 106:13,17,19 | Conference 98:16 | Cooper 14:15 |
| 38:13,19 40:23 | closed 73:12 95:3,5 | 107:17 109:2 | conferences 115:4 | coordination 25:4 |
| 43:2,6,13 46:15 | 95:11 | 111:20 112:5,12 | confirmed 7:1 | Coral 14:16 |
| 48:4,6 53:23 56:3,5 | closely 21:12 22:17 | 114:4,25 118:2 | confused 70:18 | correct 20:14,15 |
| 56:11 57:4,9,12,18 | 35:22 93:7 108:24 | commodity 102:1 | Congratulations 86:1 | 47:18 48:1 55:5 |
| 58:5 61:1 62:13 | closeout 89:18 | communicating | conservative 52:24 | 79:10 95:13 |
| 63:19 65:9,18 67:3 | CMAR 87:10 88:3 | 103:20 106:16 | constantly 66:13 | correctly 35:15 86:4 |
| 68:16 69:8,12,19,21 | CMARs 30:13 66:17 | communication | constituency 106:20 | 87:6 |
| 74:10,12 75:2,8 | 87:24 88:11 89:3 | 105:6 107:4 | constraints 61:24 | cost 12:11,19 21:4 |
| 76:16 77:1 79:17 | code 37:2 38:6,9 | communications | construction 1:16 | 32:8 40:2 48:17,19 |
| 80:1,4 81:5,12,21 | codes 24:15 | 103:3,7,18 | 14:21 15:16,25 | 48:25 54:6,25 |
| 83:23 84:5,20 | coincides 17:7 | communities 104:3 | 17:2,20,21 18:13,14 | 59:14 60:13 63:3 |
| 85:16,24 88:17 | Coker 1:12 96:13,15 | 104:10,23 | 27:20 40:2,10 | 91:7 112:9,9,11,19 |
| 89:1,6 90:22 91:15 | 96:15 102:18 | community 90:13,17 | 44:14 45:6,7 64:10 | 112:19 |
| 91:19 93:15,21 | College 98:16 | 105:16,17,20,21,23 | 64:15 66:6,15,18 | costs 17:2 32:10 39:9 |
| 95:15,17,21 96:5,7 | come 7:18 10:7 21:14 | 108:8,10 109:13,16 | 67:21 81:3 86:9 | 51:23 52:1,4 56:10 |
| 96:10,14 102:8,10 | 27:7 31:23 32:11 | 110:17 | 87:17 88:15 89:5 | 81:19 104:19 |

United Reporting, Inc.
(954) 525-2221

| 112:11,13,13,21 | Danny's 93:9,13 | 99:3 | difference 48:23 70:6 | discrepancy 5:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Council 98:13 | data 17:19,24 27:4 | demonstrating 99:10 | different 18:15 19:5 | discrimination 102:4 |
| counties 36:9 | 102:19 112:3 | department 14:8 | 31:13 36:8 45:14 | discuss 39:14 80:12 |
| County 1:1,18,19 | date 39:9 40:11 92:1 | 16:8 17:8 18:24 | 54:20 60:12 70:16 | 80:17 |
| 35:12 63:12 119:8 | 111:23,25 113:7 | 19:4,15,20,24 20:6 | 82:1 | discussed 71:6 77:3 |
| 119:16 | Dated 119:15 | 20:13,14,17 21:1,17 | digital 13:8,8 | 111:22 112:1 |
| couple 22:3 31:18 | dates 32:25 33:2,2 | 22:1,4,6,25 23:2,15 | DiPetrillo 1:17 2:3 | discussing 100:2 |
| 38:23 40:14 43:8 | 111:21 114:13 | 23:19,21,25 24:6,9 | 2:12,14,17,19 3:1,3 | discussion 37:24 |
| 43:15 44:6 51:2 | daughter 83:3 | 24:22 25:18 26:14 | 3:8,10 4:1,15 5:4 | 67:9 86:22 96:2 |
| 57:24 62:19 70:13 | Dave 47:13 | 26:16 28:20,21 | 5:16,19,24 6:18 | 112:8 116:25 118:2 |
| 89:13 92:2 102:14 | DAVIS 51:21 | 32:10 34:6 35:7,15 | 7:10,13 8:4,13 | discussions 68:7 |
| 112:4 | day 16:2,10 21:17 | 36:12,16 37:25 | 11:13 12:6 13:2,5 | disincentive 33:15 |
| course 45:11 | 25:11 32:6 68:25 | 38:9 59:16 66:14 | 13:13,21 14:1 | disparity 102:4 |
| Court 119:9,19 | 70:13 76:17 86:9 | 72:18 87:19 88:21 | 15:12,15,19,23 | distribute 110:13 |
| cover 63:17 | 113:11,11 119:15 | 92:4 | 18:17 22:19 25:14 | distributed 85:19 |
| covering 107:6 115:5 | days 18:4,14 19:23 | departments 25:23 | 27:11 30:21 31:14 | district 5:11 20:20,22 |
| crashing 89:24 | 20:3 22:3,5 23:8 | department's 21:12 | 32:19 33:7,10,23 | 28:12 29:15 37:22 |
| create 21:7 34:1 | 24:11,11,11 26:15 | dependent 72:13 | 36:10 38:13,19 | 57:16 58:14 60:14 |
| 101:5 | 26:22 65:23 75:6 | depending 44:21 | 40:23 43:2,6,13 | 63:10,16 65:25 |
| created 19:1 101:2 | 75:10,11,18,23 | 56:17 61:17 | 46:15 48:4,6 53:23 | 66:24 91:1 100:15 |
| crews 35:23,25 36:2 | 76:16 79:4 81:1,2 | depends 75:19,23 | 56:3,5,11 57:4,9,12 | 105:7 |
| critical 21:15 22:9 | 81:16 82:1 86:3 | 76:6 110:24 | 57:18 58:5 61:1 | districts 50:3 |
| 33:16 | 96:24,25 113:13 | depleted 41:20 | 62:13 63:19 65:9 | District's 4:19 43:12 |
| CSMP 66:16 | dealing 106:3 | deplorable 62:1 | 65:18 67:3 68:16 | 57:21 80:11,15 |
| culprit 29:16 | DEAP 50:1 | depth 81:8 | 69:8,12,19,21 74:10 | 91:10 104:24 |
| cumulative 46: | death 69: | Deputy 1:10 | 74:12 75:2,8 76:16 | diversity 96:11,12 |
| curiosity 8:7 | debris 60:15 | deserve 108:25 | 77:1 79:17 80:1,4 | 98:21 |
| curious 13:2 67:3 | December 1:5 16:14 | design 14:14,21,25 | 81:5,12,21 83:23 | documents 19:13,20 |
| 8:1 | 51:11 76:1 106:25 | 17:18 18:3,23 | 84:5,20 85:16,24 | doing 6:20 11:5,20 |
| current 47:15 50:2 | decide 52:25 71:16 | 19:18 26:22 27:5 | 88:17 89:1,6 90:22 | 19:19 22:4 23:8 |
| 51:12 | decided 18:7 31:5 | 27:17 28:4,8 30:14 | 91:15,19 93:15,21 | 26:9,23 29:5,11 |
| currently 18:22 45:8 | decisions 62:3 | 32:15 33:24 34:7,8 | 95:15,17,21 96:5,7 | 30:14,15 32:3 |
| 49:23 50:2 59:4 | declared 53:14 | 34:13 35:9 36:19 | 96:10,14 102:8,10 | 40:22 44:20 52:15 |
| curriculum 7:22 8:12 | decrease 96:22 97:17 | 38:11 45:6,10 | 102:23 103:1 | 54:13,15,19 58:16 |
| curve 35:2,21 45:21 | dedicated 105:16 | 64:14 81:2 87:2 | 104:12,15 105:1 | 59:3 61:19 64:18 |
| 45:25 46:1,4,21,24 | deductible 63:15 | 88:2,13 98:22 | 106:2,8,18 107:9,23 | 67:21 68:23 69:11 |
| 48:3 | deeply 17:22 | designed 30:8 | 108:14 109:6,9 | 70:23 74:18 77:4 |
| cutting 85:22 89:10 | Deerfield 14:16 | designers 87:20 | 111:12 113:1,5,14 | 78:1 83:6 88:13 |
| 94:14 | definitely 25:2 | designs 26:25 | 113:17,23 114:2,9 | 93:6 98:2,7,11 |
|  | DEFP 50:1 52:19 | design/build 30:13 | 114:11,18,22 115:9 | 103:23 107:24 |
| D |  | detail 34:11,23 77:2 | 115:13,19,22 116:4 | 109:24 115:7 |
| D 2:1 | delay 92:5,8,11,17,21 | 94:4 100:8 | 116:10,13,20,22 | dollar 32:12 45:17 |
| Dad 13:14 | 92:24,25 93:2 | detailed 94:24 | 117:8,23 118:1,9,14 | dollars 49:10 55:10 |
| Dade 36:6 | delayed 81:25 104:19 | details 34:1,10,14,20 | 118:23 | 94:25 97:21 |
| damage 63:13 | delays 23:19 106:5 | 35:2,3,5 94:3 | directional 66:23 | Donald 1:17 |
| damaged 62:19 | 107:2 108:15 112:6 | determine 7:4 | directive 68:9 | Donna 7:22 9:11 |
| Daniel 1:10 | 112:7 | develop 35:25 | directly 52:18 108:11 | 10:3,8 11:5 |
| Danny 18:20 23:24 | deliver 90:3 | developed 41:12 | 111:2 | door 72:25 |
| 26:18 37:4,14 | delivered 6:8 8:18,18 | developing 77:7 | Director 1:7,9,10,11 | doors 72:13,21 73:1,3 |
| 38:17 40:21 65:21 | delivering 6:8 | development 61:17 | 1:11,12 3:19 6:6 | double 72:21,25 |
| 83:12 88:17 103:24 | demonstrated 96:25 | diagonal 27:23 | 14:9 16:7 96:16 | doubled 52:16 |

Douglas 101:12
drag 33:15 dragging 44:6 draw 24:23 drawing 34:11
drawings 19:25 21:22 35:3
drawn 24:20
draws 41:16
drop 64:20 90:20
dropped 74:4
due 27:13 82:2
duration 18:25 27:7
durations 17:18,21

| $\mathbf{E}$ |
| :---: |

E 2:1,1
earlier 9:25 95:24 96:4
early 34:8 48:13
68:11 79:5,7
easier 75:17,17 77:14 85:3 102:20
easily $83: 9$
easy $73: 7$ 75:16,17
economical 12:23
education 61:19 62:2
effect 19:2 20:5
effective 12:19 59:14 60:13
efficiencies 97:1
effort 36:25
eight 18:8,11,12 27:9 90:15,16,20
either 14:5 61:19 66:8 107:15
electric 13:7
electrical 25:21 31:1
electrical's 29:21
electronically 107:15 109:25
elementary 40:12 110:9
eligible 61:4
eliminating 78:21
Ely 87:21 88:2 91:4
email 4:24 75:14 105:16 111:1 114:10
employees 20:22 23:10
encourage 11:25
energy 12:12 13:9
enforceable 32:21
enforcement 38:10
engage 98:6
engaged 87:24
Engineer 1:18
Enhancement 74:14
enjoy 118:19
enlighten 80:6
enrollment 17:24
ensure 3:15 102:3
Enterprise 98:12 99:17
entire 72:9 90:4
entirely 7:6 9:21
entirety 49:16
entrances 70:24
entries 63:23 64:1
74:5 77:6 89:17
entry 69:16,23 70:3 71:2,24 72:5 73:16 108:21,23 111:21 117:7,19
eProcurement 100:12
equipment 6:2,16 7:15 10:20 12:9,10 15:3 78:4
especially 9:9 26:5 30:13 87:16
estimate 52:2 54:25
estimates $48: 17,18$ 52:24 54:11 56:8 et 17:2 81:21 97:9
ethnicity 99:9
evaluated 10:2 11:3
evaluating 11:10
evaluation 7:7 8:22 10:4
evening 2:3 6:5 16:6 47:13 94:1 96:13 96:15 103:5
event 97:23
events 98:1,2 103:10
everybody 2:22 3:16
23:4,12 24:4 42:7
92:6 106:21 111:13
113:23 114:15
everyone's 67:10
everything's 27:23

60:9
exact 33:2 34:13,15
55:7,8
exactly 36:20 42:7
54:21 69:22 70:19
example 8:24 34:22
34:23 70:21 108:21
109:23
exceed 40:6
exceeded 96:24
excellent 21:25
exception 38:6
excited 97:13
exciting 12:3
Excuse 96:17
executive 1:9 16:7,12
16:16 64:3 74:18 79:25
existing 15:5
expand 58:10
expanded $22: 12$
expect 12:17 90:19 112:6
expected 12:15 104:20
expedited 89:23
expenditures 94:10
expense 61:4
expenses 61:12
experience 65:25
experiences 36:11
explained 73:17
86:12 87:8
explaining 46:13
explanations 112:7
extension 81:14
exterior 72:25
extra 36:25 79:4
extremely 22:2 69:3
E/S/M/WBE 100:6

| F |
| :---: |

faced 19:17 88:1
facilitator 10:4,9
facilities 7:18 9:11
10:5,7 11:10 14:8
15:22,24 16:1 17:8
33:16 107:10
111:23
facility $115: 12$
fact 38:4 41:11 55:16

63:10 97:21
factored 73:22
factors 50:14
fair 84:7
fall 44:6
familiar 35:18,19
families 118:18
fantastic 104:6
far 40:9 74:20 89:11 94:14 111:9 114:18
farther 66:5 79:3
fast 89:23
faster 10:6
fault 68:20 92:11
favor 3:5
favorable 91:2
February 7:8 11:6 76:12,13 113:8
fee $21: 7$
feed 90:16
feedback 97:14 105:3
105:11,14,24 106:4
108:7 109:4,18
111:8
feel $81: 9$
fees 20:17,24,25 21:3
feet 48:22 57:8,10,11
57:13,20
FEMA 63:5
fence 69:6 70:7,8 71:4,7
fenced 71:25 72:1
fences 70:10,18 71:5 71:8
fencing 66:2 67:15 69:2,25 70:6 71:7 72:15,16 73:5,7
field 117:4
fifth 94:6
figures 53:10
final 54:14 74:16 89:15 94:7 111:23 112:17
finaled 30:6
finally $101: 24$ 112:8
finance $1: 16$ 52:14 61:3
financial 1:849:1 55:9
financing 98:9,10
find 36:14 49:10

56:11 58:25 74:19
91:18 102:20
107:20
fine 24:6 76:17 78:18
finish 14:21 37:21
43:4 44:4 45:9
51:17 89:12
finished 43:12 79:11
89:11 117:5,17,18
finishes 44:7
finishing 107:25
fire $1: 17$ 8:9 24:15
25:21 36:13
fired 13:3,6
fires $9: 14,14$
fire's 29:21
firings 9:16,17,18 10:14 12:17
firms 20:2 96:21 97:16 101:4
first 3:21 16:20 17:5 17:17 19:10 22:21 31:15 32:24 33:2
39:2 52:11 58:14
60:21 62:6,10,12
68:7 74:23 75:15
76:12 79:12 82:24
83:8 84:24 85:2
86:21 87:7 94:6
fiscal 41:24 42:1
49:11
fit 34:13
five $22: 7$ 28:8,10,13
28:22 29:2 40:17
60:5,8,21,24
five-year 59:18
fix $7: 18,19$
fixed 7:25 25:7
fixing 25:5
FL 1:24
flag 39:19,19 55:9,12
77:19 81:11,24
83:16 84:9,11
flagged 39:3,4,12,15
39:24 42:23 77:16
80:22 81:4
flagging 77:3,4,16
80:21 82:5,10
flags $82: 11$
floor 114:22 117:1
Florida 1:4,8,16 5:21

| 38:22 50:2 62:2 | 42:1 71:12 76:20 | 21:3 23:23 24:3,8 | 24:22 25:22 28:7 | gonna 68:6 92:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92:7 98:4,14 119:7 | 87:15 93:6 | 25:1,5,10,13,24 | 28:10,12 29:18 | good 2:3 3:18 5:24 |
| 119:10,16 | full 23:24 45:8 62:24 | 27:2,16 28:25 29:4 | 30:17 31:5 35:11 | 6:5 8:13 13:12 |
| Florida's 36:3 | fund 20:16 41:17 | 30:2,8,11,19,24 | 37:11 39:17 42:6 | 15:20 16:6 25:24 |
| flow 20:8,9 53:18 | funded 50:3 | 32:3,22 33:8,19 | 43:14,17 47:2 48:9 | 34:17 43:13 47:13 |
| flows 17:24 | funding 9:6 20:21 | 34:2 36:6 37:4 38:5 | 54:3 55:1,11 56:22 | 51:20 57:18 60:3 |
| flyer 110:4 | 22:15 40:1 42:19 | 38:8,16 40:15,20 | 58:5,8 64:22 66:18 | 65:23 67:2,2 70:21 |
| focused 103:19 | 42:22 61:21 62:1 | 42:17 43:14 44:3 | 68:8,21 69:21 72:2 | 75:10,11 80:2,3 |
| focusing 67:17 | 73:25 74:3 81:23 | 44:12 45:15,20,23 | 72:3,22,23,23 73:2 | 81:9 85:24 87:3 |
| folks 3:15 21:21 | funding's 86:6 | 46:3,7,10 48:10 | 73:3 76:8 78:17 | 89:20 90:11 93:21 |
| 34:16 82:20 | funds 21:9 39:17 | 49:4,19 54:10,18 | 80:5 84:17 85:8,18 | 94:1 96:13,15 |
| follow 34:24 46:14 | 41:2,19 42:3 50:4 | 55:5,20 56:6,13 | 88:25 90:7,8,10,22 | 97:13 103:5 111:13 |
| followed 46:16 | 52:8,13 63:5,6 | 57:8,11,22 58:4,7 | 94:4 95:21 99:7,23 | 113:1 114:15,18 |
| following 6:12 | 115:2,4 | 59:5,9 60:2,25 | 100:14 106:11 | 117:14,15,24 |
| foot 48:23 56:9,22,25 | funnel 71:17,22 | 61:14 62:4,12 | 114:19 116:20 | gotten 4:12 22:5 26:7 |
| footage 57:21 | further 116:25 118:1 | 63:21 65:16,21 | 117:4,9 118:4 | 46:25 68:1 102:15 |
| foothold 71:10 | future 9:20 40:22 | 68:14,24 69:11,17 | goal 101:24 | 108:7 |
| Force 107:10 | 45:9 79:15 104:1 | 70:20 72:12 73:20 | goals 102:1 | Government 1:16 |
| foregoing 119:12 | 113:7 114:13 | 73:24 74:13 75:7 | goes 37:1,2 81:16 | governments 62:15 |
| form 33:5 70:17 |  | 75:11,25 76:6,18 | 111:5 | grab 71:11 |
| Fort 1:4,24 115:11 | G | 77:2 78:12,17 79:6 | going 2:6 3:12 7:2 | great 13:1 23:8 33:12 |
| 119:15 | G 2:1 | 79:8,22 81:6,20 | 9:12 10:21 11:4,6 | 86:20 87:13 118:15 |
| forth 99:22 | gaining 68 | 82:10,25 84:1 85:7 | 11:10 14:19,24 | greater 106:3 108:18 |
| forward 16:21 21:24 | game 11:3,8 30:18 | 85:13,20 86:11 | 15:24 16:12 18:7 | Greg 14:5,7,19 |
| 22:10 26:10 27:3 | Garth 1:13,13 103:4 | 87:3 88:3,20 91:13 | 19:3,7,8,11 21:16 | grounds 67:6 |
| 27:20 29:12 31:9 | 103:5,5,6 105:13 | 91:16 93:4,19 | 33:17,18 34:18 | group 107:13 |
| 31:12,17 39:23 | 106:7,14 108:4 | 107:1 116:9 117:12 | 35:5,8 42:1,4,14 | growing 100:17 |
| 40:21 42:11,14,14 | 109:4,8,22 111:8 | 117:21 118:6 | 44:8 51:16 52:18 | guard 69:1,5 |
| 42:21 44:17 47:2 | gas 13:3,6 | give 4:21 11:8 16:24 | 53:11 55:1,5,24 | guess 5:11 12:5 61:2 |
| 53:12 55:7 58:9,10 | gatekeeper 38:14,16 | 34:9,22 35:4 38:6 | 58:21 59:13 62:4 | 66:21 67:7 81:3 |
| 64:7,19 65:6 74:17 | 38:18 | 41:4 48:8 59:17 | 64:16,21 65:19 | 114:5 |
| 84:15,17 93:4 | gates 73:5,8,14 | 64:16,25 88:24 | 66:2,15 67:9,22 | guy 56:11 |
| 104:4,17,21 110:14 | gather 100:13 | given 19:9 62:1 | 68:13,20 71:7 | guys 35:24 55:15 |
| 115:7 | gear 45:1,1 | 63:10 67:9 81:1 | 72:21 74:17 75:16 |  |
| found 48:18 | geared 44:10,13 | 107:15 | 77:9,13,13,23 78:23 |  |
| foundation 30:4,15 | gender 99:24 | gives 44:25 56:25 | 79:13 80:5,5,19,20 | half 65:11 67:16 68:8 |
| 30:16,17 | general 89:21 105:24 | 76:18 100:8 | 82:6,7,16 83:10,13 | hallway 72:22 |
| four 22:7 24:12 26:17 | 108:14 109:16 | giving 16:13 22:1 | 83:22 84:8,10,14 | hand 23:10,11 62:23 |
| 28:10,16 41:18 | 110:25 | 75:22 78:7 97:8 | 85:12 86:9 88:8,9 | 79:14 111:10,10 |
| 49:22 66:3 92:18 | generate 49:25 | 103:14 | 89:18 90:5,6 91:21 | 113:24 |
| fourth 82:4 | getting 6:15 13:15 | glad 31:15,19 48:2 | 93:6,24 94:4,6 97:1 | handle 21:6 23:1,3 |
| frame 109:6 | 21:14 22:3 26:23 | 104:16 | 97:7 98:17 100:21 | 90:14 |
| Frank 1:9 16:6 84:19 | 29:18 31:10 35:14 | glance 4:21 | 101:13,14 103:12 | happen 33:17 42:24 |
| 103:23 104:6 | 35:19 58:15 70:18 | glasses 48:7 | 103:19,19,22,25 | happened 23:1 52:19 |
| frankly 70:8 105:6 | 74:2 78:7,16 87:18 | glut 19:8 | 104:2,17,20,21 | 60:20 64:2 |
| Frank's 82:20 | 89:15 92:23 102:18 | GMP 88:14 | 108:22 109:12 | happening 23:23 |
| frequently 109:25 | 105:8,11,12,21,24 | GMPs 87:22 | 110:14 111:25 | 24:8 25:3 27:16 |
| 110:12 | 106:4 108:1,24 | go 3:25 4:16 9:4 10:9 | 115:15 116:14,15 | 54:8 67:10 103:14 |
| friend 112:10 | 109:17 115:23 | 11:13 12:6 15:2 | 116:16,22,23 | 103:21 110:2,5 |
| front 13:24 24:19 | get-go 93:13 | 16:15,22 17:11 | 117:18 | happens 7:13 39:19 |
| 25:8 26:6 29:10 | Girardi 1:9 16:2,3,6 | 18:11,18,25 19:5 | golf 84:24 | happy 6:11 81:11 |

United Reporting, Inc.
(954) 525-2221

99:18 104:11
hard 10:23 18:10 68:11 76:19 112:8 112:11,13,18,22 118:16
hardcopies 110:13
hardcopy 110:9
harder 71:9
havens 71:6
Haynes 7:22,22 8:8 8:25 9:16 11:18 13:7
head 81:5
headache 48:8
heading $87: 16$
hear 2:4 23:8 68:24 101:6 104:16
heard 31:23 67:2 68:7 70:11 108:5,9
hearing 80:13 107:13 107:13 116:16,23 119:1
heart 44:14
Heery 1:10 18:20 33:20 44:24 99:1,1 101:4 103:6
Heery's 17:6 25:11
held 81:9 83:4,6,19 97:4
Hello 16:4
help 87:14 110:16,17
helped 12:2
helpful 22:2 106:19 108:5
helps 10:5 76:23
Hi 7:22
high 11:15,22 15:9 34:19 51:3 110:11 115:11
higher 18:4 39:10 54:5 63:14 74:8
highest 45:4 63:11,12
high-velocity $36: 7$
Hillberg 1:18 2:12,13 4:23 8:15 10:23 11:11 33:12,24 34:3 45:19,21 46:1 46:5,8,14 47:12,25 48:2 85:25 87:10 88:8 89:9,20 90:19 95:16 117:25
hire 44:18
hired 29:13 86:7,15 100:15
historic 27:3,4 85:15 historical 17:19 112:3
hits 29:10
hold 4:1 18:17 23:15 31:11 80:5 83:7
holding 26:19 60:9 holes 84:24 85:1 holiday 118:16 holidays 118:19 hollers 83:12 home 89:2 110:10
honing 107:19 honor 58:25
hope 7:6 72:10 79:9 118:15,18
hopefully $35: 20$ 55:13 80:6 100:24 112:19
hoping 10:11
huge 45:12
Huh 79:6
hundred 44:18
hurricane 57:7 63:3
63:11,13
hurricanes 62:17
I
idea 117:14
identified 25:17
39:16 102:5
identify 54:3 102:1
immediately 66:15
impact 40:4 42:23,24
49:1 51:6,9 63:3
90:10 96:2
impacted 57:7
impactful 43:16
implement 100:11
implementation 14:13,15 96:20 100:21 101:18
implemented 101:9
important 103:18 104:21
impression 91:9 improvements 100:24
inadvertently 40:16 40:20
incentive $33: 5$
incident 73:18 74:7
include 46:22 50:17 51:9
included 8:20 51:11 104:24
includes 101:3
including 50:22 51:24 62:18
incorporate 11:21 97:8
increase 18:4 56:21 94:12 97:15,25 98:4 99:5,11,24,25 102:16 110:15
increased 18:13 99:13
individual 25:19 36:18,25 78:18
individually 65:24
inflation 50:14 51:9 57:1
information 34:7
46:5 105:5 109:18 109:20
informing 41:14 initial 10:10 41:1 initiatives 100:10 input 34:22 43:7
inquire 5:3
inspector 36:22
installed 66:23 67:13 68:11 78:10
instances 20:2 37:16
instruction 13:11
instructional 10:4,9
instrument 10:18
instrumental 103:22
instruments 6:16 8:25
insurance 62:16
insure 63:17
insured 62:15
intensity 62:20
interest 115:14
invoice 32:14
involved 23:13
in-depth 106:25
Irma 63:4
issue 23:11,24 24:11 28:3 29:9 35:16 55:19 67:25 115:2 115:16
issued 39:7 115:6 issues 16:1 19:17 20:12 24:1,4 26:4 26:12 37:15 69:10 87:18 88:2 92:2,20 92:20,21 106:3 107:18 108:16 111:21
issuing 87:22
ITBs 101:20
item 6:1 17:12 79:19
92:12 103:2 113:6 115:1 116:14
items 5:1 6:9,12
37:23 41:15 42:6
77:22 78:10,16 92:18 116:25
$\overline{\text { J 42:6 J }}$

Jack 59:24
January 43:25 76:14 100:22 119:15
Jardine 1:10 18:20
18:20 20:15,20
21:9 22:16 27:6,12
28:1,15,23 29:11
30:1 33:4 34:5
37:16 38:7 39:13
43:3,9 44:1,4 45:3
46:17 57:14,19
58:2 59:22 60:11
66:12 68:5 87:18
88:11 89:5,13 90:4 93:14
jive 23:16
job 37:21 93:20 108:1
judge 82:20
Judith 1:8
Judy 86:17
July 44:4,5 96:20
jump 71:5
jumped 10:2
jumping 70:1
June 41:22 43:20
46:19 78:24 114:14


K 11:19,20
KC 1:3
keep 13:10 66:18
72:13 89:10 112:12
keeping 50:18 51:25
kickoff 29:14
kicks 88:15
kids 13:13 69:25 71:4
kiln 6:21 7:3,6,14,25
8:2,2 9:22,24 10:13
10:22 11:10,18,24
11:25 12:18,21
kilns 7:9 8:17 9:2,4,6
9:12,13,14,25 11:2
11:7,15,16 12:9,19
12:22 13:5,8
kind 10:23 15:10
18:16 43:16 62:14
90:14 97:22 115:22
kinds 19:5
knew 10:19
know 7:25 8:20 9:9
9:13,19 10:13 11:1
11:3,4,7 15:8 19:4
21:19 23:4 25:3,3
25:10,10,22 26:4,11
28:7 31:4,20,24
34:14,19 35:17,23
36:2,10 37:7,19
38:3 39:5 40:8,9
47:5,6 50:15,22
51:7,12,18 54:21
57:15,19 58:14,16
59:9 60:14,20,21
61:17,24 62:20
63:8,23 66:25 67:4
67:24 68:6,10,25
69:10,23,25 70:1,5
70:11,14 73:25
74:21,24 75:8,13
76:19,22 77:8 78:2
78:5,5,10 79:15
80:8 82:12 85:10
85:21 88:19 90:15
91:2,5,21 92:5,15
93:1,12,17 94:18
98:21 103:16 104:7
106:11,20 107:10
107:20 108:15
110:2,21,23,25,25

| 111:3,4,6 113:15,25 | 61:18 | 50:25 55:23 56:16 | lovely 61:25 | material 34:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 114:25 115:14 | letter 28:18 88:20 | 59:14 60:4,22,25 | low 62:20,20 | materialize 21:22 |
| 118:17 | letting 19:19 110:2 | 65:5,11 66:7 67:1 | lower 46:12 | materializes 49:12 |
| knowing 90:9 | let's 56:11 103:2 | 68:20 69:4 71:15 | Lug 59:24 | materials 33:25 |
| known 18:21 19:1 | 114:2,3 | 77:15 80:10,15 |  | matter 27:18 41:11 |
| 24:19 | level 49:13 62:1,16 | 81:15 84:15 90:5 | M | 42:19 |
| knows 3:16 92:6 | 110:13 | 103:24 104:4 | M 1:8 | matters 59:25 |
| Krishnaiyer 1:19 | levels 10:25 | 106:14 109:5,24 | main 72:10,22 | maximum 42:25 |
| 2:16,25 11:14 | levies 50:2 | 111:11 116:2 | maintain 59:3 | ma'am 114:23 |
| 31:15 52:7 53:9,22 | liaison 103:7 | 117:12 | maintenance $34: 18$ | mean 27:9 31:19 |
| 69:20,22 72:6 | lifetime 12:16 | looked 17:18,19,20 | 58:20,20,23 59:8,10 | 32:1 57:5 59:23 |
| 73:15,22 74:9 | light 38:24 91:2,7 | 17:25 18:3 19:2 | 59:11,19,20 60:19 | 68:12 69:8 70:17 |
| 75:10 87:2 106:22 | liked 112:4 | 25:16 37:12 55:20 | 61:7,11 62:10 | 70:17 72:7 73:16 |
| 109:10 110:21 | likelihood 52:22 | 93:7 | 72:18 | 75:20,23 90:11 |
| 113:25 117:6 | limited 109:15 | looking 7:3 8:24 9:10 | major 50:12 60:20 | 98:15,17 103:11 |
|  | line 7:8 17:9 27:19 | - 9:12,18 10:21 11:7 | majority 12:22 29:19 | 108:19 111:3 |
| L | 40:5 46:21 47:23 | 12:13,21 17:16,22 | 40:13 | 115:25 116:4 |
| L 1:9 | 77:22 85:8,11,11,13 | 18:1 30:11 58:10 | making 13:13 21:13 | 117:10 |
| lag 6:22 | 89:12 | 58:11,18 59:13 | 34:12 36:15 60:17 | meaning 84:10 |
| land 49:24 | lines 36:16 85:4 | 60:11 62:9,10 | management 92:14 | means 59:1 |
| language 32:25 33:18 | link 66:4 68:17 70:8 | 64:25 65:24 68:4 | 103:7 | mechanical 31:2 |
| 101:19 | 70:10 71:4 73:13 | 68:14,22 69:17,23 | manager 1:10 37:5 | mechanical's 29:20 |
| large 18:22 44:22 | list 78:7 79:19 111:1 | 69:24 70:19,20 | 44:16,24 86:16 | media 104:6,10 116:5 |
| 88:4 94:5 97:19 | listed 5:1,2 64:1 | 73:11 74:6 75:5 | 89:5 100:16 | meet 32:6 65:5 76:12 |
| 119:10 | 114:16 | 77:5 83:15 86:20 | managers 65:22 | 98:6 |
| larger 15:7 | listing 112:16 | 92:8 93:11 102:19 | manages 25:19 30:22 | meeting $2: 8,21,23$ |
| lasting 9:19 | lit 18:6 | 106:20 108:23 | managing 19:22 | 16:11,18,18 32:23 |
| late 75:14 83:18 84:9 | little 6:21 10:7 28:6 | 115:7 | Manatee 40:12 62:7 | 33:19 76:7,7,25 |
| 91:23,25 | 31:13 54:19 57:1 | looks 46:6 70:11 | 79:11 | 77:21 80:19 82:13 |
| latest 39:8 46:22 | 66:11 67:12 71:9 | LOR 66:17 88:14,20 | manner 106:10 | 87:8 105:14,25 |
| Latha 1:19 2:15 | 73:9 76:17 77:14 | LORs 87:19,20 | manual 97:2 | 107:4 108:10 |
| 31:14 | 91:11 94:16,19 | lose 85:14 | manufactured 66:2 | 113:20,22 114:13 |
| Lauderdale 1:4,24 | 96:17 99:15 100:8 | loses 84:12 | manufacturer 59:23 | 114:25 115:20 |
| 115:11 119:16 | 112:10 | losses 63:18 | March 114:14 | 116:3,15,24 118:7,8 |
| Laura 1:16 2:17 12:6 | Load 90:19 | lost 27:21 84:24 85:2 | Marjory 101:11 | meetings 4:24 29:14 |
| 40:23 61:1 | located 11:16 | 89:25 | marked 43:23 | 74:25 103:12,13 |
| $\boldsymbol{l a x} 67: 12$ | location 67:20 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o t }} 9: 2412: 4,1613: 9$ | market 17:22 18:10 | 105:3,4,22,23 107:5 |
| lay 44:19 | locations 67:6 | 16:25 17:1 26:3,7 | 90:11,13 | 108:6 109:14 116:7 |
| layouts 69:3 | locked 72:14 73:1 | 26:10,11 31:13 | marketing 101:2,5 | meets 34:24 71:16 |
| lead 34:3 73:10,11 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }} 77: 10$ | 35:3 37:24 40:25 | Marte 1:8 5:13 41:9 | members 1:15 3:7 |
| leadership 21:13 | long 9:10 12:18,18 | 57:16 58:24 60:11 | 42:11,14 49:7,16,22 | 5:17 16:10 53:17 |
| League 98:8 | 19:4,6 23:7 28:25 | 60:15 62:18 70:8 | 52:11 53:13 54:15 | 100:16 105:16,17 |
| learned 19:16 28:2 | 73:10,11 | 70:11,16,22 71:11 | 54:19 61:5,9,11,14 | 108:11 111:19,24 |
| 63:20 | longer 27:17 73:9 | 71:25 72:1,21,24 | 61:23 62:24 96:6 | 112:2,4,12,12,24 |
| learning 1:11 6:6 | 82:4 | 77:11 83:18 84:12 | 102:22 106:23 | 114:4 118:2 |
| 10:2 35:20,20 | look 2:22 6:1 7:25 | 86:19 87:6 88:6 | 107:22 114:24 | memo 41:13 53:5,9 |
| leave 112:3 | 9:4,13,24 10:10 | 89:25 98:11 102:20 | 115:17 118:4 | 53:10 |
| leaves 41:5 | 15:24 18:8,10 | 104:1 105:20 | Mary 1:12 96:15 | mention 16:9 |
| left 14:17 40:16,20 | 27:10,13,18 $28: 5$ | 115:23 117:16,21 | 102:21 103:1 | mentioned 9:1 32:23 |
| 41:22 72:23 90:7 | 29:19 35:23 40:25 | love 117:6 | massage 104:23 | 66:8 70:7 87:9 |
| legislature 52:9 | 45:13 48:6,21 | loved 65:7 | master 31:1,7,8,12 | 109:12 |

mentor 101:9,13,15
messaging 91:11
103:9 104:24
messed 97:22
messing 59:24
met 18:6
method 51:22
methodology 77:2
Miami 63:12
middle 11:15,21
27:12 110:11
midline 78:22
midpoint 51:3
mike 101:7 105:10
milestone 17:12 38:25 104:9
milestones 80:21
millage 61:12
MILLER 116:19,21
million 40:9 41:21,25
42:2 46:23 48:21
48:25 49:9 51:3,4
52:17 53:1 57:8,9
57:11,12,20 63:2,15
63:16 88:5,7,7 91:6
94:10,10,12,18,20
94:22 95:7 115:3
minds 107:21
mine 43:23 92:21
minimum 75:5 81:15
minority 98:9 99:8 102:17
minute 76:10 79:9
minutes 2:20,23,24 3:5
misapplied 35:6 missed 48:17
missing 46:5 72:16
mistakes 86:14 misused 35:5
mitigating 102:4
mix 10:5
Mom 13:14
moment 4:21 66:17
Monday 113:9,14
money 32:17 39:18 41:5,7,16 47:5 49:23,25 50:6 52:21 55:13 58:18 58:21 59:6 63:7 74:4,6 79:13 86:17

95:5
moneys 39:6
monitor 14:2
month 18:8 27:9 41:4 42:6 75:1 76:8,8,11 76:24 81:16 86:2 90:16,16
months 18:1 19:16 20:4 24:12,25 26:5 26:13,15,17,18,25 28:7,8,10,13,22 29:2,13 51:2 86:21 87:7 103:8
Morgan 37:11,14
motion 2:24 3:4,10 118:20
mouth 97:12
move 5:19,20 15:6 21:24 22:10,14 31:8,12 39:22 44:16,24 55:6 56:5 93:22,24 96:10 103:2 111:13 116:14,24
moved 2:25 27:20 67:24 118:21
moving 6:6 16:21 26:9,20 27:3 29:12 31:17 44:14 58:10 63:21 64:7 66:12 66:18 74:17 83:15 93:4 110:14
multiply 48:24
municipalities 20:11 28:24 103:13
music 6:2,7,9
musical 6:16 8:25 10:17
M/WBE 97:25 98:23 99:6
N

N 2:1
name 3:19 114:12
names 34:15 65:2
National 98:13,13
Nave 1:8 5:22 13:25
15:17,18,21 38:22
38:23 40:19 43:7
43:10 80:6,8 81:12
81:24 82:12 84:3,7

90:22,24 91:18,20 95:23 102:11,12 104:15,16
necessarily 70:16 100:5
necessitates 7:2 10:20 31:25
need 4:9 8:2 11:2 21:11,18 26:24 29:24 33:16 34:12 34:15 39:17 50:11 51:16 53:11 55:13 60:16 66:4 67:20 69:1 73:6 78:2,15
80:1 83:14 85:17
91:22 115:4 118:9 118:23
needed 6:22 10:19 33:9 55:10,23 87:4 87:5,6,7
needing 45:7
needs 37:22
negate 11:24
negotiated 66:16
neighbors 70:12
never 27:20 55:13 65:1
new 6:22 7:6 8:2,2 9:21 12:10,19,24 13:8 14:22 15:3,15 16:22 17:5,7,12 19:2 21:15 27:8,21 28:5 38:24 43:21 43:21,24 45:24 46:25 47:22 49:9 73:19 78:22,24 80:20 82:19,21 83:19,20 84:14,16 91:7 93:10 97:1,7 100:16
news 89:20
nice 23:9 115:12 116:4
nine 19:16 26:13,15 26:24 28:7 84:24 85:1 86:2 98:1
normal 24:10 25:22
normally $7: 16$
northeast 14:18,20 15:9 70:21
northern 36:9

Notably 94:11
Notary 119:9
notes 5:13 119:14
noteworthy 94:15
notified 5:7
notifying 8:1
not-women-owned 99:24
Nova 87:22,23
November 76:3
86:18
NTP 28:4
number 6:15 9:6 15:23 18:22 19:23 45:8 50:11 51:16 51:20 62:11 64:17 64:23 105:17 112:17
numbers 8:21 50:20 56:22,23 64:21 66:12 94:5 97:23 numerous 19:14

| O 2:1 <br> obviously 47:7 50:13 <br> occupy 62:18 |
| :--- |
| lat |

occurred 18:3
October 2:21 3:5 27:10 76:1,2
odd 39:11
offhand 71:15
officer 1:8 52:14
Officers 1:16
official 26:1 37:11
officials 105:7
offsets 32:16
oh 6:4 13:23 15:18 30:10 37:21 57:12 83:3 96:14 101:6 116:20
okay 2:12,19 3:11
4:15 5:16,19 6:1
7:10 8:4 11:11,13 13:1,17 15:12,12,17
16:3,5,6 21:1 22:18
30:10 33:7,10,23
38:13,15,19 42:13
43:2,13 47:25 56:2
57:9 58:5 61:10,13
62:23 69:12,19

74:12 75:7 76:15
77:1 80:4,14 82:25
84:20 85:16 87:10
89:6,20 90:21,22
93:15,21,23 95:14
95:15,17,21 101:8
102:10 104:12,15
106:18 107:22,22
113:1,11,17 114:2
114:10,19 115:22
117:24 118:11
old 9:3
older 9:7
Omar 1:7 3:19 94:2 112:14
once 31:8 42:15 54:4
55:6 88:13 112:15
ones 54:22 58:2,4
64:22 73:6 78:6
79:4 82:5 88:7
91:25 102:2 106:15
one-on-one 65:5
one-time 55:6,14 one-way 105:6 online 96:19 100:14
on-line 100:12
open 85:22 105:9 110:20
opened 39:16
operating 100:18
operationally $12: 11$
opportunities 41:18
49:22 50:8
opportunity 45:1
85:8 108:25
opposed 70:7
optic 115:4
options 60:12
orange 43:20 45:15
45:23 46:22 47:2 47:23
order 2:8 7:17,20
8:18 9:21 39:25
40:4,8,11 42:21
51:25
ordered 6:13
ordering 7:6
orders 6:11,16,21,24
39:7 40:3,13 42:20
43:1 77:7,8,11,14
77:17 81:20,21

94:11,13,14 100:5
Organizational 16:1 organized 31:16 original 43:22 46:3 48:16,20 49:5 52:17 56:8 78:21 78:23 85:6
originally 104:20 outlines 110:4 outreach 96:12 98:1 98:5,11,18 101:3 103:10
outsourcing 20:23
outstanding 15:11 41:8
overall 17:17 20:25 41:6 110:5
overburden 18:9
overlooked 80:7
overrun 112:7
overruns 49:21
overseeing 36:15
oversight 1:1,15 3:11 39:14 53:20 104:1 109:2 111:20 112:5 114:24
overview 41:4 95:3
owe 52:21
owned 102:17
Owners 98:25
owning 93:17

| $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- |
| P 2:1 |
| package 30:15 |
| page 107:8 |
| pages 4:16 |
| paid 20:17 37:19 |
| $59: 21$ 92:15,23 |
| paint $91: 1$ |

Palm 36:8 63:14
paperwork 89:16
parapet 35:1
parent 1:19 107:14
ParentLink 110:7
parents 67:25 109:17
109:19 110:2,11,19
111:2,6
park 72:2
parking 70:16 71:25
part 14:22 19:9 20:24

47:7 49:2,4 52:11 57:22 67:25 78:12 88:4 100:7 101:10 101:17 103:6
participate 101:22 107:12
participating 99:21
participation 99:6,16
102:17 109:15
particular 34:17
67:22 110:6
particulars 35:2
partnered 98:8
passed 86:16
passes 3:10
path 68:12
pay $63: 9$
paying 57:2 61:7,11 115:15
pays 63:16
peak 45:4 87:17
peaks 45:24
PECO 50:4 52:8,13
penalties 32:5,5,20 33:6
penalty $33: 3,14$
pending 49:24
people 9:11 36:4 44:18 67:5 68:18 88:18 89:2,7 93:1 95:18
percent 8:19 39:10 40:2 42:25 50:15 52:2 54:11,25 56:20 62:8 81:18 97:17 98:23,24 99:2,3,4,5,16,17,18 99:19 100:1,4,6
percentage 81:17 108:19
percentages 78:6
Perfect 42:13
perfectly $87: 8$
performance 82:21
101:25
performed 101:24
perimeter 71:2 72:1
72:9,15
period 21:21 44:20
periodically 100:20 105:15
permit 26:14 30:2,5 30:24 31:1,3,5,6,6,7
31:8,10,12 35:14,16 88:22,25
permits 22:6 29:24 30:3,25
permitting 20:24
21:4,4 28:9,14
personal 36:11
personally 68:14 78:2
perspective 22:15
PFAs 39:25
phase $18: 3,5,6,13,14$ 18:24,25 19:18 28:8,9 34:8 44:14 74:1 81:2,3 89:19
phenomenon 18:19 18:21 19:9
phone 105:17
physical 70:15
pick 32:15 37:22
picked 18:5
picket 66:2 70:7 71:8
pickets 71:10 73:8
piece 110:1
pieces 8:9,11 78:3,9
pig 18:19,21 19:1
pipeline 19:7
pivot 103:17
place 39:22 59:12 65:13,17 68:3 86:6 86:19,24 102:20
places 44:25 72:3 115:23 117:4
plan 20:23 33:8,21 37:3 40:21 64:11 78:21,23,25 80:11 80:15 101:3
planned 46:20 49:8
planning 64:10,14
plans 24:17,20 37:20 64:7 78:22 88:25
play 88:6
please 90:23 92:1
98:25 99:7,23
pleased 66:22
plus 52:1
PMs 45:8
PO 112:17
point 22:11 23:6 26:1

39:15 40:5 55:12
62:15 63:6,23,25
67:17 68:1,2 69:15
69:23 70:3 71:2,17
71:23 72:5,20
73:16 74:2,5 76:9
77:6 82:8 87:3
89:17,24 95:23
108:21,23 111:21
117:3,7,19
pointed 115:3
points 64:9,13 65:18
66:10 71:14,22
policies 100:24
policy 100:21 101:1 101:18
pool 58:11 85:22 116:9 117:18
portal 96:20 100:12 100:12
portion 4:25 5:9 85:15 95:4,6,8 107:4
position 8:10 possibility $106: 8$ possible 72:17 117:3 possibly 58:12 pot 63:7
potential 9:19 51:6 53:6 97:5
potentially 53:2 100:25
praised 111:19
predict 15:11
prepared 87:4
present 3:12
presentation 26:6 43:4 47:21
presented 47:1
presenting 76:20 President/CEO 1:13
press 68:21 84:25
pretty 34:3 51:20 87:8
preventive 58:20,23
previous 4:24 39:5
76:11 96:23
pre-design 88:12
pre-qualifying 58:12
pre-solicitation
101:19
price $51: 25$
prices 57:3
primarily 95:4
primary 25:19 91:3
prime 97:22 98:7
primes 98:6
principal 110:3,22,24
principals 69:13
105:19 110:7,16,23
111:10
print 110:8
prior 87:12
prioritizing 67:19
priority 61:20 65:12
private 29:1 31:2
proactive 19:18
probably 22:7 26:25
35:18 45:12 51:15
53:19 76:10 117:21
problem 21:1,2 25:17
44:17 83:6 92:16 110:22
problems 23:18 34:4 106:6
procedures 100:19 proceed 53:24 95:17 proceedings 119:12
process 7:7 8:24 10:3
19:22 31:19 35:19
87:25 97:4
processes 28:11
processing 96:23,24 97:2
procurement 1:12 17:20 18:7 28:11 64:15 66:6 96:16 100:10
professional 98:22 101:20
professionals 34:8 35:10
program 1:10 7:14 9:9,10 10:18 12:8 14:4 15:24 16:20 17:6 20:25 21:10 22:10 23:3 27:17 41:20 44:15,24 48:15 49:17,23 50:7,13,21 53:4,7 56:14 58:20,23 59:8,10,11 86:5,15

86:23,25 87:14 93:25 94:5,7,7,8,15 94:17 95:6 96:12 101:10 103:3,6 110:5
programs 1:9 11:23 11:24 12:3,24 16:7
progress 5:2 6:11,14 8:5 94:13
progresses 47:8
project 1:10 5:11 15:4 17:17,17,25 20:18 27:14 29:19 31:11 37:5 39:23 40:1 54:4 55:11,14 57:23 62:7 65:21 67:20 77:22 80:22 80:22 81:4 82:8 89:11 91:5 92:14 94:25,25 96:3 97:19,19 103:12 112:15,16,22
projecting 52:3
projection 47:15,17
projections 50:18,19 51:5 54:8
projects 4:6,9 14:18 18:8,23 19:8,10,11 21:6,22 22:3,14 25:20 27:9 30:12 30:23 31:25 39:2,6 39:9,11 41:6 44:1 44:23,25 45:9 48:3 54:12 55:16 56:1 56:15 59:18 66:14 77:12,22 78:2 81:24 84:9 86:22 87:24 88:5 89:15 91:3 95:5,10,12 108:15 112:20 117:12
project's 18:15 60:7
proposal 61:16 62:5
protege 101:9,13,16
provide 4:13,14
53:17 98:9
provides 20:21
providing 4:11
100:19
PSAs 32:4
PTA 109:19 110:19

```
public 1:1 42:5,15
    105:5,9 107:5
    108:12 116:16,17
    116:23 119:10
published 51:1
pull 8:12
pulling 106:15
purchase 94:11,13,14
    100:5
purchasing 12:10
purely 55:21
purpose 80:21
push 55:24 61:25
    110:7
pushed 82:3 91:4
pushing 55:16 68:11
put 6:23 7:17 10:25
    11:1 15:2 17:3 18:8
    36:23 56:15 58:19
    59:7 61:15 65:12
```

    66:3 68:16 69:2
    71:2 75:14,15,21
    80:19,20 83:21
    85:12 90:11 91:23
    91:25 92:10,17
    105:4 118:17
    putting 26:5 36:24
52:2 75:22 99:21
102:19
python 18:19,22 19:2
P.E 1:18
p.m 1:5,5 119:1
Q

QSEC 101:22
qualified 58:11 60:1
quality 19:12 34:17
38:10
quarter 4:7 39:4,20
39:24 40:19 42:18
55:9 66:21,21 82:3
82:4,11 87:11 91:3
94:6,12 97:16
99:12,12,14,19
100:1 102:15
quarterly 3:11 4:23
5:2 8:16 35:8 39:1
64:24 95:25
quarters 81:25 98:3
question 4:5 10:11
11:12 22:12 25:14

39:2 40:15 41:10
47:11,22 52:7,12 61:3 62:14 65:9,14 67:8,18 69:20 73:15 80:9 105:2 106:1 109:10 111:5
questions 3:23 4:3,17
4:18,20 5:16 7:11 8:14 12:7 13:18 15:13 16:15,25 17:1 22:21 26:3 31:18 33:11 38:23 38:25 43:2,11 45:18 74:15 79:18 95:9 96:8 102:7 104:11,13 105:15 105:18,21 111:6 112:6 113:3
quick 43:15 62:13 72:17 73:7 86:14 89:9
quicker 28:17 66:1 quickly 63:22
quite 9:3 43:3 67:7
103:10 105:5
quorum 2:19
Q2 99:17
Q3 99:17
R
R 1:22 2:1 119:9, 19
Rabinowitz 2:14
rain 92:5,7,7
raining 92:11
raise 113:23
raised 5:23 62:23
ramp 12:2 44:16,17
range $51: 5,6,18,20$
52:5
ranged 56:16
rate 115:15
raw 56:10
reach 42:24 82:7
104:8 110:19 111:1
read 32:19 86:2,4
reader 91:9,10
Reading 23:13
readjust 54:12
reads 91:10
ready 19:21 21:14,23
30:17 62:8 66:17

89:12 93:22
real 18:10 67:25 88:23
realignment 17:5 realistic 51:16 realistically $55: 25$ reality $104: 18$ realize 8:23 reallocate 53:3 really 6:20 9:5 11:9 12:1,2,3 13:11,12 13:12 15:20 17:22 22:11 43:18 61:3 68:10 71:11 81:8 83:13 86:8,12 88:5 98:17 99:18 103:8 105:8 117:15
realtime 16:13
reason 4:8 58:25 reasonable 84:17 reasons 39:3,12 65:3 77:18
recall 98:4 99:14
recap 108:4
receive 61:21 107:16
received 4:24 107:11
recertification 60:5,8 60:19
recertify $60: 23$
recess 116:15
recession 55:18 recommendation 28:18 82:22 83:25 84:18 88:20 98:3
recommendations 58:9 63:24 91:17 100:23
reconvening 116:24
record 42:15 119:13
red 45:21,23 46:8,9 46:10
redirected 50:6
redone 39:21
reduce $35: 21$
reducing 50:20
Reece 1:16 2:18 3:2
12:7 13:1 18:18 20:10,16 21:5 22:11,18 40:24 42:9,13 44:8 53:25 55:15 56:2 61:2,6

61:10,13,22 75:19
76:5,15 80:3 93:16
95:10,14 113:13,16
113:21 114:8,16
115:14 116:8 117:2
117:10,20 118:22
REESE 54:24
reference $64: 17,23$
79:2 89:22
referring 72:8
regard 25:15
regarding 5:17 56:7
regards 16:20 17:1
34:20 45:4 72:15
103:17
register 100:14
registered 96:21
111:2
registration 96:20 97:4
rehiring 59:15
reimburse 63:5
rejuvenated 12:4
related 31:17 36:11
98:11,18
relationship 8:20
21:25 25:25
relative $84: 15$
relayed 36:17
remainder 97:24
100:7
remaining 5:12 6:9
6:25 9:13 94:21
remains 94:8
remember 33:1
57:20 71:15 112:9
reminds 41:17
remove 10:5
removed 32:14 59:10
64:3,4,5
renovated 15:2,5
renovation 14:24
91:3
renovations 87:23
repair 7:20 10:1
33:16
repaired 7:4,5
repairing 12:12
repeated $80: 2$
replace 10:16
replaced 9:5,25

12:15,22
replacement 10:20 report $4: 6,19,235: 2$ 5:21 8:16 11:8 13:23 24:7 26:21 38:24 39:1,3,4,5 42:17,18 43:11 46:20 55:8,11 59:1 64:6,9,12 65:4 73:19 75:20 76:25 78:13 79:16,24 80:9 82:14,15 84:14 85:17 89:21 90:25 91:10 93:9,9 93:9,10,12 94:2,24 95:9,19,25 96:19 97:24 99:19 100:7 102:6,14,19 104:2 108:6 112:1 113:5 119:12
Reported 1:22
Reporter 119:9,19
REPORTER'S 119:6
reporting 1:23 14:11 17:9 42:5 80:11,16 93:20 103:25
reports $3: 1223: 14,16$ 23:22 24:24 39:5 42:10 93:5 96:1 104:1 112:3
represent 47:4 109:19
representative 6:3 99:1
represented 47:10 representing 46:20 request 42:22 112:23 requested $67: 16$ require 13:9 required 30:21 31:7 requirements 29:15 34:25 71:16
requires $7: 14$
rereview 17:14
reroofing 57:14
rescheduled 27:4
research 1:8 12:16
researched $33: 1$ 56:10
reserve 41:19,22,23 94:22
reserves 63:1,1,2
94:18,23 95:11
reset $82: 13,16,19$ 86:3
residents 70:9
resolve 26:3
resolved 29:21,21,21 29:22
resource $84: 3$
resources 42:4 101:5
respond 20:3 97:9
response $3: 9$ 4:12,13
5:18 7:12 13:20
15:14 38:21 48:5
56:4 74:11 95:20
96:9 102:9,25
104:14 108:1 109:3
113:4 114:21
116:12 118:3,13
responsibility $37: 18$ 37:23
responsible 36:15 37:3
rest 22:7 26:16 49:15 50:11 74:1,13 75:16 103:24
restricted 69:15
resubmit 19:14,24 29:20 32:13
resubmits 29:5,18 32:7,9
resubmitted 24:13,24
result 30:22 105:25
revenue 49:25 50:5,9 53:6
review 62:5 77:10
reviewing $38: 10$
reviews 19:6,19 20:23 21:19 22:4 24:10,14 37:6,8,8
revise 19:14 29:5,20 32:7,8,12 80:16 revised 19:25 29:18 31:22 41:17,25 43:21 47:17 51:10 51:14 53:18 78:19 112:2
revising $31: 16$ revisions 19:14 31:23 re-baseline 17:14 47:19

RFIs 97:9
RFPs 97:9
RFQs 97:9 101:20
ribbon 85:21
right 2:4 3:13 5:24 9:6 10:16 15:17,19 23:17,21 25:1 29:3 31:20 36:3 47:17 47:24 48:9 53:19 54:18,24 55:24 57:3 58:7 63:21 65:6 68:13 69:19 73:8 74:13 76:5,18 77:11 78:17 80:4 80:24 81:6 82:10 86:11 88:13 89:12 90:6 91:14 93:8 96:7 102:10,12,23 102:24 107:7,24 109:9 111:4,15 113:6,18 116:10,22 117:9,18,23 118:1 118:12,14
risk 39:8 45:16 46:22 46:22 47:4 49:5,9 49:13 50:16,16,22 50:24,25 51:5,7,12 52:3,6 63:12 89:5 91:8 94:17 96:2
risks 50:12 51:11
road 67:8 103:9
Robert 1:8
roll 2:8 44:9
Ron 37:11,14
roof 30:5 34:1,4,5,17 34:25,25 35:2,11,14 57:6 59:24 60:1,23
roofers 31:10 35:10 35:18,22 59:17
roofing 16:24 17:1,2 26:5 29:16,23 31:5 31:6,10 34:16 35:3 35:16,25 36:7,8 48:15,16,20,22 49:1 49:14,18,19,20 50:13 56:7,8,14 57:23,25 58:4,9,11 58:12,17 59:11,16 59:23 60:7,22 117:18
roofs 57:4,17,18

58:19 59:3,7 60:3,3 60:3,6,15
room 1:3 11:19,19 14:23 15:1,3,4,6,7 15:10 35:11 63:8 116:8 117:17
rooms 14:12 116:5 routing 25:22 67:15 run 13:9 43:4 65:15 Runcie 86:11 87:7 Runcie's 67:8 running 39:10 rush 90:9

| $\mathbf{S}$ |
| ---: |
| $\mathbf{S} 2 \cdot$ |

S 2:1 45:21 48:3
SAC 105:23 109:13
safe 9:7 18:12 71:6 safer 12:20,21,23 13:10
sake 75:21
sale 49:24
Santa 13:14
save 10:10 43:11
savings 12:11
saying 12:14 23:14
25:8,8 78:14 83:1
83:22 84:19 108:12
says 23:18 24:24
54:20 55:1 79:20
92:5 113:9
SBBC 1:7,8,11
scattered 25:20
schedule 4:9 15:16
16:23 17:13 19:3
21:15 22:1 27:8,10
28:5 41:15 42:1
43:20 45:17 46:12
47:8,9,9 51:10,14
53:5 55:21 79:11
79:12 80:18,23,23
80:25 81:7,9,10
82:7,9,13,16,19
83:11,19,20,21
84:11 85:5,6,12
90:5,15 92:10
103:17,21 104:18
104:19 106:5
scheduled 113:8
schedules 17:14
89:23,24
school 5:9,9 8:9 9:4 9:21 10:18,21
11:17,22 14:22
15:6 17:8,23 20:13
20:22 23:9 25:18
30:22 36:12 44:5
44:18 55:17 60:14
67:6,22 68:2,25
69:4 71:16,18,20
72:23 73:3 74:14
78:4,8 86:10 91:22
92:17,25 94:3,4
103:20,21 104:10
109:16 110:1,4,6,13
111:15,18,19,24
112:2,4 113:7,9
115:11,20 116:1
117:22 118:8
schools 1:1 6:10,25
7:1 10:12 11:2,14
11:15,16,18,21
23:17 35:12 48:11
50:5 52:18,21 64:9
64:13,17 65:2 69:3
69:11,14,25 70:9,13
70:21 71:12,24
72:6,21,24 78:5,7,8
78:18 90:24 91:6
92:19 94:3 103:15
104:3,7,8,22 109:13
109:17 110:9,11 115:25
scupper 35:1

## SDOP 100:16

SE 1:4
second $3: 1,2,3,3,44: 7$
18:17 27:11,19
32:12 50:14 75:1
80:17 82:2,18
83:25 84:1 85:1
115:6 118:22,23
secondly $31: 22$
section 3:21,24,25
4:3,19 6:2 12:8 14:4 93:24 96:11
96:11 102:13 103:2 112:15
sector 29:1 31:2
secure 70:15 72:8,12
72:14
secured 72:9,11
securing 70:4,24
security 65:3,18 70:17 71:2
see 4:2 8:1 21:13 23:14 24:7 32:10 33:18 37:9 38:7 39:2 40:17 42:2 53:5 55:25 63:19 64:8 65:12 76:23 78:3,24 79:2,3 84:25 85:25 88:8 89:22 90:2 92:4,14 93:2 94:9 97:23 99:5,8 100:3 106:9 106:19 109:19 112:16,21 113:6 115:24 117:6,13,13 117:25
seeing 9:22 78:20 104:4
seek 115:1
seen 67:1
self 62:15
seminar 35:12
send 5:8 16:10,14 105:18 109:25 110:2,9,17,23
sense 20:10 40:25,25 75:21 76:15 82:17 85:2 93:18
sent 41:13 74:21 111:1
separate 77:10 September 14:11 51:2,8 76:21 87:11
serious 65:23
service 1:23 101:21
services 1:13 88:13
96:16 98:22 101:20
session 71:19
sessions 35:9 105:10
set 32:18,24 49:8,8 52:20 94:21
setting 101:24
seven 4:8 24:10 39:4
40:18,19
severely 90:10
shaking 81:5
share 50:5 53:20
104:9 108:6,12 114:3
shared 106:12
Shawn 1:11 14:5,9 shed 69:5
Shim 1:7 3:13,18,19 4:11 5:4,6 6:3 36:14 94:1,2 95:13 114:3,10 115:3,10 115:21 116:2
shore $42: 4$
short 21:21 44:20 65:14 68:5 76:17
shove $62: 1$
show 53:9 55:9 56:6 77:24 97:5,17 102:16
showed 43:22
showing 17:6 46:10 47:2 55:9 56:23
shown 77:8
shows 17:9,10 18:2 18:16 39:8 41:16 43:18,24 45:16 48:10 53:11,13 56:13
shy $6: 8$
side 18:12 25:21 27:5
67:5 88:16
side's 93:13
$\operatorname{sign} 36: 1360: 22$
signage 66:22
signed 116:19,21
significance $84: 13$
significant 49:24 52:6 81:13 97:20 102:16
significantly 63:14
signs 66:23
similar 20:12
simplify $103: 25$
single 9:24 10:22
17:25 26:1 63:22
63:25 64:9,13 66:10 68:2 69:23 70:3,22,23 71:1,17 71:21,23 72:5,20 73:16 74:2,4 77:6 89:17 108:21,23
111:21 117:7,19
sir 28:15 30:1 89:13 96:6 115:9
sister 8:8
sit 23:4 24:21 26:3
38:5 56:15 66:4 83:9,16
site $7: 4$
sitting 24:18
situation 36:18
$\operatorname{six} 24: 2566: 3$
six-foot-high 71:3
size 63:10
slap 34:11
slide 18:16,18 22:20 56:13,23 79:22
90:6 94:9 97:15 98:20,25 99:7,23 100:3
slides 16:8,15 17:3 43:5,15 56:6 74:16 74:16
slippage 81:14
slipped 66:10
slips 81:11 82:11
slope 35:1
slowing 31:18,21
small 6:14
smaller 88:6
SMART 16:20 17:6 21:10 41:20 53:4 63:1 93:24 103:2
social 104:5,10
soft 48:24 112:9,11
112:13,19,22
sold 115:17
solely 88:4 97:11
solicitation 102:2
solution 14:25
Solutions 1:13 103:6 somebody $25: 17$ 114:12
something's 73:11
soon 14:24 28:17 63:9
sorry 13:4,23 57:12 58:6 89:8,10
sort $38: 13$ 44:9 69:1
sound 61:3
South 36:2 92:6
space 14:22 15:7
speak 6:19 28:23
75:2 107:24 116:18
speaking 105:7
specific 11:23 34:25

97:19 102:1 105:14 108:17 110:3
specifically $98: 11,18$ 99:9 105:24 110:5
specifications 33:25 36:20
specificity $34: 15$
specifying $33: 25$
specs 37:20
spend 58:18 99:8 100:4
spent 59:6
spoke 53:16
spoken 61:15
spotlight 78:20 112:3
spotlights 64:1
103:22
spread-out 70:25
Springs 14:16
square 48:22,23 56:9
56:22,24 57:8,9,11
57:13,20,21
staff 18:1 20:20,21,22 21:8,11,18 26:18,19 44:22 45:11 67:8 68:9 72:13 92:14 92:15,16,22,23 112:6
staffing 44:9 45:5 92:19,20,21 93:17
stage 28:11
stages 9:2
stagger 19:7
staggered 27:8,23
stand $42: 8$
standard 33:24 34:19 100:18
standards 38:11
standpoint 103:18
stands 41:21
standup 22:4
start 2:7 24:22 29:25
30:16 35:8 48:14 77:6 80:14 86:5,9 112:16 115:5
started 2:20 16:8 23:3,11 28:3 74:2 97:3 99:14
starting 8:21 27:24 47:17,23 48:8 86:22,23
state 36:2 50:2,3
52:14,22 62:2
119:7,10
stated 4:7,25
statewide 52:17
status 7:1 80:11
84:15
stay 5:20 54:21 85:13 112:24
staying 19:25
stays 36:16 39:19
stenographic 119:14
stenographically
119:12
step 30:6 33:12 35:13
35:13,13
stepped 10:8
steps 11:1
Steve 1:18
Stoneman 101:11
stop 8:12 61:19 70:6
71:7,8 76:9
stopped 74:5
stopping 20:6
stops 38:17 68:18 110:21
storefronts 73:9
storm 62:20
story 36:17
straggler 15:10
straight 27:19
Stranahan 70:25
85:22 87:21 88:2
91:4 97:20 117:16
strategical 17:24
strategy 104:25
streams 53:6
street 90:12
stressful 118:17
strictly $77: 7$
struck 39:11
student 1:11 14:10
110:10
students 7:15 8:5
study 102:4
stuff 24:22 29:10
67:13 70:12 92:4
107:11,14 117:22
sub 35:14
subcontractor
101:15
subcontractors 98:6 subject 5:20 111:14 submit 16:12 submittals 28:16 submitting 21:16 subsequent 33:4 96:1 substantially 44:2 substitute 2:7
Success 98:17
successful 101:11
suggesting 81:22,23
suggestions 110:18 111:4
Suite 1:23
summary 16:13,16 64:3 74:18 79:25 106:15
summer 89:18
Summit 98:17
Sunshine 118:5
Superintendent 41:13
Supervisor 7:23
supplier 96:11 98:20
suppliers 97:3,10
support 9:9 11:20 21:8 53:7 55:3 90:18
supporting 53:4
supports 12:24
supposed 26:23
87:13,14,16 113:10
sure 3:13 4:17 6:20 13:16 20:8 21:13 22:12 29:14 34:24 36:15,24 37:18 39:21 41:6 42:7 43:7,9 44:12 47:11 50:22 54:1 55:23 60:8,17 67:7 89:7 91:16 104:9,22 106:23 109:6
surrounding 104:3 104:22
survey 7:2
Susan 1:11 6:5 7:11 13:19,21
switch 8:6 symposiums 35:9 system 97:1,7
S.E 1:23

S/M/WBE 101:14 T
table 5:1 8:17
$\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a g }}$ 104:7
take 3:24 7:24 10:7
20:2,3 28:17 29:2
37:23 39:25 40:3,7
54:24 55:2 56:20
62:25 66:3 73:9
91:13 118:19,20
taken 18:25 19:13 28:2 103:8
takes 19:5 24:12,25 28:7,8,9,22
talk 16:16 17:4 26:21 76:21 79:23 104:17
talked 48:11 55:15 77:20 92:3 94:16 94:19 95:24 111:22
talking 22:24 26:8 37:7 38:2 47:14 48:14 57:5 66:9 80:23 81:13 104:18 117:2
talks 12:9 65:23 97:5
tapering 44:9
target 45:22 46:6,9 67:24
Task 1:9 16:6 107:10
TaxWatch 1:8 4:14
5:21 38:22 63:24
98:4 111:20 112:5
teach 12:1
Teacher 8:10
teachers 1:19 8:12 11:25 12:4
team 14:21,21 35:8 45:7 66:13 90:4 100:16,17 103:7,24
Tech 97:4
technical 97:6 technicians 60:1 technologically 9:8 technology 3:21,24 4:4,25 5:5,7,10,17 12:20,24 95:4,12
tell 23:17 29:3 57:5 59:2 70:1 75:3 78:15 93:5,8 102:22
telling 49:5 67:14 112:10
temporarily 2:7 73:12
temporary 65:13,16 65:18 66:3,8 67:13 67:15 68:3 69:2
term 9:10
terms 41:6 93:16
thank 2:4 3:17,18
5:25 8:13 9:17 11:11 13:1,17,21 15:19,23 22:18,19 38:19 41:10 42:13 53:22 63:19 74:9 90:21 91:20 93:23 94:1 101:8 102:12 102:21,22,23 103:1 104:12 105:1 109:4 111:8,12 113:1 115:11,13 116:13 118:16,25
Thanks 20:10
theater 12:9
thereof 119:14
thing 16:9 46:11 51:7 51:21 85:20 95:2 118:15
things 26:7,19 31:16 36:14 39:13 43:8 55:18 61:24 66:18 66:20 67:2 69:11 71:15 76:22 77:15 83:15 86:14,20 87:4,6 90:9 104:23 105:10
think 8:17 19:3,21 20:11 21:24 22:5 28:20 32:23 40:10 40:24 43:18,23 46:16 48:17 53:4 53:25 57:22,25 65:10 67:23 68:6 70:10 74:22 75:13 76:16 77:14 79:19 81:17 82:7,17 84:7 84:11,13,16,18,23 85:17 86:8 89:16 90:17 91:7,10 94:15 95:23 96:3 102:18 104:21

105:6 106:18 107:9 107:14,17,25 108:4 108:25 109:8,11 116:7 117:14 118:4 118:9
thinking 33:13
third 1:23 29:20 82:4
thorough 19:19
thought 32:19 42:8 79:3
thousand 40:14
three 5:1 7:23 14:13
14:14 15:25 22:5
23:1 26:17 48:7,12
48:19 56:20 71:14
71:15 74:16 79:20
85:4,17 90:24 91:1
91:11 92:18 99:15
117:16
throw 92:7
throwing 58:21
tie 43:12
tied 15:15
time 8:6 10:8,10
13:11,15 18:6 19:9
19:12 21:21 23:7
27:14,21,24,25 28:2
28:17,25 29:4
30:16 31:21 32:16
40:3 44:20 51:23
54:9 55:2 58:14
63:9 67:23 73:10
73:11,12 76:19
77:13 82:19 83:11
83:25 84:1,2 86:3
86:18 87:4 88:9
89:25 90:1,12 92:8
96:22,23 102:3
109:11 113:24
118:19
timeframe 24:10 32:6 82:20,21
84:14,16 91:7
timeframes 55:21 93:10
timeline 38:25 81:17 81:22
times 37:12 48:7,19 72:24
timesaver 87:14
timesheets 32:11,11
timing 75:20,24
Timothy 1:22 119:9 119:19
title 3:14
today 14:14 41:13
53:17 64:19 76:21
76:22 114:13
today's 56:22,23 57:2
told 33:21 37:6 67:18 112:12
tomorrow 42:12 62:6 115:8
tomorrow's 41:15 114:25
tonight 5:8 15:20
62:24 63:20 65:8 67:4
top 20:1 50:19,24
79:2 85:8,11,11
topics 107:6 108:17
total 41:24 50:25
52:4 57:21 96:21
97:16 98:1 99:8
totally 45:13
touching 57:17 71:5
tough 69:4 112:6
tour 118:7
town 36:3
track 48:3 51:22 64:18,24 85:3 112:18
tracking 41:3 85:4,4 89:23
trade 31:3
trained 59:22
training 35:9
tranche 115:2,6
transcript 119:13
transition 45:5,11,12 100:11
translate 104:2
transmitted 42:15
trees 13:14
tried 86:14
trip 117:4
true 119:13
try 37:14 58:15 72:16 73:7 90:9,10,11
104:7 105:22
110:14 111:9
trying 19:6 20:8 23:6

| 108:2 | 100:24 103:14 | 13:15 18:9 31:20 | 107:1 | 27:4,22 28:1,4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tube 21:14 | 105:22 110:16 | 32:1 34:9,17 35:4 | weren't 21:23 | 29:12 31:23 35:21 |
| Tuesday 16:22 66:9 | up-front 29:7 | 36:4,23 38:3 54:1 | we'll $2: 8,205: 13,19$ | 35:24 37:5 39:16 |
| 86:13 97:4 113:16 | Urban 98:8 | 54:10 58:15 59:25 | 5:20,20 16:14 22:6 | 39:16 40:9,11 |
| 113:17,18 | urgency 90:3 | 65:2 66:7 69:9 | 35:12 43:14 44:4 | 42:25 43:8 46:19 |
| tune 95:6 | use 20:23 48:2 65:2 | 73:12 76:21 77:6 | 47:3 53:19 55:7 | 46:25 48:10,22 |
| turn 14:19 | 72:17 80:25 82:6 | 77:21 78:4 80:12 | 58:8 61:20 62:2 | 52:20 58:8 63:22 |
| turned 19:20 24:17 | 108:20 115:12 | 80:17 81:15 84:25 | 75:12 76:8,10,13 | 64:7 66:15,23,24 |
| turning 13:10 24:9 | usually 7:23 29:22 | 84:25 85:14,18 | 77:18 78:17,25 | 69:17 70:25 71:6 |
| turns 48:16 | 74.25 | 88:19,23 89:6 | 79:15 81:11 87:22 | 72:17,24,25 76:23 |
| tweeting 104: | utility 82:9 | 91:16 95:24 100:25 | 90:7,22 91:13 | 77:3 78:9 81:7,8 |
| twice 35:25 |  | 102:13 106:23 | 95:21 109:5 111:10 | 82:5,19 86:24 |
| two 7:23 16:10,19 | V | 107:19 112:15 | 114:3,10,11,12,19 | 87:20 88:14 90:3 |
| 18:1 19:10 22:5,21 | Valentine's 113:11 | 114:24 118:6,14 | we're 6:6,10,15,25 | 92:3 94:23 95:4 |
| 28:2 30:25 32:7 | valid 93:2 | wanted 5:3 16:9 | 7:8 9:22 11:4 12:13 | 96:21 97:4,13,24 |
| 41:23 44:1 48:6 | validates 57:1 | 18:11 39:14 43: | 12:21 14:24 15:1,8 | 98:15 100:10,11,15 |
| 50:12,14 72:3 | value 27:3 45: | 76:3 96:19 112:2 | 15:23 19:6,22,25 | 100:18 101:2,9,10 |
| 74:16 76:3,17,24 | 60:13 80:12,18 | 115:10 | 20:8 21:16 23:5,6 | 101:19 103:8 |
| 79:1 87:12 88:10 | variation 33:5 | wants $37: 1$ | 26:9,10,11,22,23 | 105:20,21,23 108:7 |
| 89:9 90:19 93:5 | variety 73:6 | 116:18 | 28:15 29:5,11 31:9 | 111:9 112:9,20 |
| 95:18 113:13 | various 9:2 | warehous | 32:3 34:6,20 36:1,3 | 117:17 |
| type 68:17 | vendor 7:19 97:18,22 | Warehousing 1:12 | 36:7 38:1 40:6 41:6 | whatever's 37:2 |
| typical 112:11 | 101:14 | 96:16 | 43:6 44:12,13 47:9 | wide 5:11 73:5 |
| typically 40:2 | vendors 6:12 66: | warranties 58 | 49:5 50:18,20,22 | willing 111:3 |
|  | 72:19 97:5 98:7,9 | warrants 7:5 | 51:13,16,22,24 52:2 | win $85: 1$ |
| U | 100:15 | warranty 58:25 59:1 | 52:3 53:10,13 | wind $36: 863: 13$ |
| ugly 70 | verify 114:10 | wasn't 8:19 17:16 | 54:12,15,19 55:24 | women 98:12,12,13 |
| unallocated 42:3 | version 47:20 | 31:3 86:25 | 56:24 57:14 58:10 | 98:15,19 99:10,10 |
| unassigned 63:1,6 | versus 99:25 1 | wasted 92:9 | 58:16,18,21,21 | 99:16,16,20 102:17 |
| unavailable 75:4 | 112:19,22 | watching 14:2 89:2 | 59:13,13 61:11 | Women's 98:14,16 |
| understand $22: 9$ | vertical 71:10 | way $30: 9,12,2231: 20$ | 62:4,9,10 64:10,11 | women-owned 99:25 |
| 25:13 26:8 29:15 | vision 73:17,21 | 32:18 36:11 37:22 | 64:16,18,19 65:6,23 | wondering 70:14 |
| 30:19 38:1 47:22 | visitor 71:25 72:2 | 47:18 55:1 58:3 | 66:1,14,17 68:6,10 | word 97:12 |
| 51:19 60:18 68:9 | voice 96:17 | 59:15 63:14 65:3 | 68:12 70:20 73:10 | words 81:15 |
| 84:5 89:7 109:8 | volume 15:7 | 71:19,22 73:174:3 | 74:6,17,17 77:4,11 | work 3:14 7:17,20 |
| understanding | volunteer 113:19 | 76:22 77:17 79:8,8 | 77:13,21,22 78:20 | 10:15 19:3 20:5,19 |
| 107:18 | 114:5,6 | 84:17 87:21 106:12 | 78:21 80:3,4,5,19 | 21:11 23:11 29:7 |
| understood 54:1 | vote 53:3 54:16 | 111:1 | 80:20,23 81:1 82:6 | 29:25 32:9 33:6 |
| 93:14 | voted 54:21 | ways $50: 16$ | 82:7,10 83:15,15,22 | 36:5 38:11 45:9 |
| unfortunately 3:22 | VP 1:8 | weather 96:18 | 84:8 85:4 86:9 88:8 | 57:16 59:18 62:4 |
| 5:771:4 |  | website 97:12 | 89:15,18,24 93:22 | 62:19 73:4,5 83:22 |
| unique 35:13 36:6 | W | week 22:8 74:24 75:1 | 93:24 98:2,17 | 92:25 99:21 103:23 |
| 38:8 | wait 6:17 15:21 79:8 | 76:12,16 | 99:19,21 100:21 | 104:7 108:2 111:4 |
| unrealistic 86:8 | waiting 6:25 14:20 | weekend 33:174:22 | 103:19,25 105:7,8 | 111:10,20 117:16 |
| update 17:15 51:12 | 14:25 15:1,9 30:5 | weeks 7:24 66:3 | 105:11 106:3,16 | 118:16 |
| 74:18 75:21 107:5 | 63:4,4 66:1 | 75:18 76:4 | 107:12,13,19,24,25 | worked 35:22 |
| updated 16:12,16 | walk 35:12 72:3 | weight 14:12,23 15:1 | 108:1,23,24 110:20 | workforce 90:13 |
| 76:1 79:25,25 91:8 | walking 70:12 | 15:3,4,5,6,10 116:8 | 116:15,16,22,23 | working 6:10 10:14 |
| 100:18 101:1,19 | wall 37:9 | 117:17 | 118:25 | 21:25 22:17 24:18 |
| 103:20 | want 8:11,20 9:3 | went 17:17 26:6 | we've 6:23 12:16 | 25:5,24 26:18 34:6 |
| updates 22:1 100:20 | 10:14,16 11:1 | 68:25 79:2 99:18 | 16:19 19:2 22:5 | 34:20 36:1 40:6 |

United Reporting, Inc.
(954) 525-2221

| 51:13 53:18 65:6 | years 9:7 19:10 23:1 | 10-foot 71:7 | 2022 48:12 49:11 | $511: 2015: 2383: 13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78:25 91:17 93:1 | 28:2 31:4,24 33:14 | 100 19:8 52:1 54:11 | 2022-23 44:5 | 5,000 40:14 |
| 101:4 110:15 | 34:18 52:14,15 | 54:25 62:8 98:23 | 2023 43:25 48:13 | 5:36 1:5 |
| workload 22:13 | 60:3,5,8,21,24 | 100-and-something | 21 20:3 24:11 41:24 | 50 8:18 77:12 99:5 |
| 35:24 90:14 | 86:24,25 94:21 | 91:24 | 22 42:2 44:6 50:1 | 52 97:10 |
| works 2:4 36:12 | 99:15 102:14 | 101 16:24 | 225 49:8 53:7 94:22 | 55,507 6:7 |
| 50:17 114:7 | yesterday 74:22 | 11th 106:25 | 23 44:7 50:1 | $\mathbf{5 8 1} 97: 3$ |
| worksheet 51:23 | Yvonne 1:13 103:5 | 11/30 16:24 17:13 | 232 112:20 |  |
| 52:3 | 104:17 | $11019: 8$ | 24.39 100:1 | 6 |
| workshop 58:22 |  | 12 11:20 48:23 86:21 | 24.45 99:19 | 693:24 |
| 86:12 100:23 107:1 | Z | 87:7 99:18 | 25 34:18 | $606: 257: 18: 21,21$ |
| 111:15,18 113:7,9 | zero 21:4 87:12,12 | 12th 113:8 | 26 14:12 48:11 | 9:13 11:2,4 40:11 |
| workshops 16:19 | zone 36:8 63:11 | 125 18:14 41:25 81:2 | 27th 82:13 | 77:12 |
| 97:8 |  | $1424: 11,15$ | 2871 :1 | $6001: 4$ |
| world 63:12,13 88:24 | \$ | 14th 16:14 76:1 | 280 49:3,19 50:10 | 62 78:3,8 |
| worse 59:25 | \$194:8 | 15 9:7 52:14,14 64:22 | 56:8 | 63 64:11,11,12,17,19 |
| wouldn't 23:5 42:24 | \$10,000 40:3 | 88:5 | 282 18:4 26:22 81:1 | 66:5 68:15 72:6 |
| 54:24 55:2 | \$100 32:6 | 15th 86:18 | 86:3 | 633 1:23 |
| wrap 95:2 | \$14 91:6 | 150 40:9 52:17 | 29th 111:22 | 68 64:9 |
| wrapped 107:5 | \$14.8 95:7 | 16 56:24 96:25 |  | 69 66:23 |
| WRIGHT 1:3 | \$18 56:21 63:2,16 | $171: 524: 16$ | 3887 |  |
| Write 38:7 | \$20 88:5 | 175.2 94:22 | $388: 7$ | 7 |
| written 37:2 | \$22 56:24 | 18 48:21 53:1 57:8,11 | 3RD 1:4 | 7 96:11 |
| wrong 23:22 24:23 | \$225 94:18 | 57:12 97:4 | 3,000 6:9 | 73 19:11 |
| 46:13 83:7 | \$280 48:25 | 19 56:25 61:16 | 30 14:12,18 26:15 | 731 94:8 |
|  | \$30-something 94:20 | 113:10 | 34:18 60:3 77:12 | 74 19:11 |
| X | \$37.5 94:11 | 195.3 94:10 | 86:24,25 96:24 | 75 52:17,18 |
| X 19:23 55:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6.8056: 9 \\ & \mathbf{\$ 6 2 , 0 0 0} 40: 11 \end{aligned}$ | - 2 | 30th 14:11 41:22 | 8 |
| Y |  | $23: 25$ 57:9 64:22 | 300 46:23 51:3 77:24 | 8 103:2 |
| yeah 10:25 27:12 | 0 | 83:13,14 | 32 91:22,24 99:2 | 8th 2:21 3:5 98:15 |
| 40:24 43:6,14 | 07 56:19 57:2 59:6 | 2nd 119:15 | 32.48 100:4,6 | 8:00 1:5 119:1 |
| 45:23 46:18 47:13 | 08 56:19 57:2 | 20 6:24 8:17 41:24 | 3330 100:21 | 80 50:15,15 |
| 47:14 49:14 52:7 | 1 | 61:16 71:1 88:9 | 33301 1:24 | $\mathbf{8 4} 42: 2$ |
| 69:22 73:24 75:12 | $\frac{1}{13 \cdot 214 \cdot 3,1964 \cdot 17}$ | 200 1:23 63:15 115:3 | 34 89:16 | 854 56:17 |
| $95: 23106: 18,22$ $108: 4114: 16$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13: 214: 3,1964: 17 \\ 64: 2274: 183: 13 \end{array}$ | 200-and-something | $34.741: 21$ $\mathbf{3 5} 39 \cdot 1089.16$ | 9 |
| 108:4 114:16 | 83:14 | 77:24 | 35 39:10 89:16 |  |
| $115: 17,18$ year $7 \cdot 910 \cdot 13,19$ | c $\begin{gathered}83: 14 \\ \text { 1st 76:1,2 }\end{gathered}$ | 2007 57:17 | 360 52:5 | $78: 9$ |
| year 7:9 10:13,19 | 1st $\mathbf{1 , 2 9 8} 56: 18$ | 2007-2008 56:14 | 37 99:3 | $932 \text { 78:3 }$ |
| 27:1 41:24 42:1,2 | 1,298 56:18 | 2010 57:17 | 370 52:5 | 932 78:3 <br> $95594 \cdot 10$ |
| 44:5 45:4,11,13 | $1,37696: 21$ $\mathbf{1 5 0 0 7 7 . 2 3}$ | 2014 48:16,21 | 38 98:24 | 95.5 94:10 |
| $49: 1150: 1,7,7$ $52 \cdot 10,16,19,20,20$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 0 0} 77: 23$ $\mathbf{1 , 7 4 3} 56: 17$ | 2017 43:20 46:19 | $4$ | 954-463-3326 1:24 |
| 52:10,16,19,20,20 | 1,743 56:17 $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 5 : 2 4 ~ 4 7 : 6 ~}$ | 78:24 80:19,22,25 | $\frac{\mathbf{4}}{414: 483: 1288: 7}$ |  |
| 53:1 56:21 59:20 | $1.145: 24$ 47:6 | 82:7 84:11 | $414: 4$ 83:12 88:7 |  |
| 60:16 62:9,21 | 1.2 47:6 | 2018 1:54:7 14:11 | 4th 114:14 |  |
| 63:16 65:10 67:16 | $1018: 11$ 28:7 40:2 | 96:20 113:8 | 40 77:12 |  |
| 68:8 82:3 83:14 | 42:25 59:7 75:6,10 | 2019 4:7 68:12 | 43 97:17 |  |
| 91:5 94:6,7,20 | 75:11,18,23 76:16 | 100:22 103:19 | 45 90:12 99:4 |  |
| 109:23 110:1 | 81:16,18 82:1 88:5 | 104:17 114:14,14 | 450 51:3,15,20 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { yearly } 52: 8,1258: 23 \\ & 50.2 \end{aligned}$ | $88: 890: 19$ 10th 114:14 | 119:15 | 5 |  |
| 59:2 | 10th 114:14 | 2021 27:18 43:24 | 5 |  |
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