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CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Good evening everyone. We are waiting for the Chairman to come. He said he would be a few minutes late, so we're just going to start by calling this meeting to order and doing a roll call. Go ahead.

MS. AKER REECE: Laura Reece.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Latha Krishnaiyer.
MR. BERNARD: Bruce Bernard.
MR. HILLBERG: Steve Hillberg.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Thank you. So we have a quorum. The next item on the agenda is the approval of minutes for the May 21 st meeting. Do I have a motion to approve the minutes?

MS. KRISHNAIYER: So moved.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: We have a motion. Do I have a second?

MR. BERNARD: Second.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Second. All those in favor say aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Motion carries.

Okay. Next item up is Bond Oversight Committee Quarterly Meeting. Can we have a representative from the School Board that would like to present their presentation?

Okay. Mr. Runcie?
SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: Yeah, staff will go through their presentations. I just wanted to just provide a few remarks to the Bond Oversight Committee. I don't always come to the meetings, but I do want to continue to thank each and every one of you for your investment of time that you've put in to ensure that, you know, we stay true to our commitments to the public and fight through whatever challenges we have to make sure that we deliver the SMART Bond Program.

And although we've made progress in a number of areas, considering this is our first bond program the District has had in over 30 years, we have recently gone through reorganization which you will hear about in future meetings, but $I$ just wanted to alert you to some of that. We certainly have been having some issues and challenges which we know that you're well aware of, and as we go into a new phase and segment of work primarily dominated by construction related
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to roofing, HVAC and building exterior, we've decided to restructure the project team. So Mr. Frank Girardi has been with the District for quite some time, well over a couple of decades, dealing with construction project sites. I've certainly been able to observe his work in meeting some of the complex projects we've had in the District. The SMART Bond Program is a very complex program, lots of work now getting ready to move into the construction phase. We felt that it was an appropriate time to elevate Mr . Girardi to have responsibility for the SMART Bond Program. So I'm dealing with Frank on a regular basis as we work to move this forward.

We're making some adjustments, as well, with our program manager, Heery. Danny Jardine is playing the senior role there now working in partnership with Frank and there are some other alignments that are taking place, but we're very confident that this construction team will put the right folks in the right positions as we move forward to these next important phases of work that's coming through.

The second observation $I$ would note to you is that $I$ would like to begin at future meetings to
provide a supplemental report to the Bond Oversight Committee. And the reason I say that is that you'll still get the reports that you get now with whatever adjustments and things that you desire from that, but in the space of, you know, three, four months there are lots of changes that can occur. And we feel that it's probably appropriate for us to at least bring those matters to your attention so that you're maybe as current as possible when you leave these meetings. So I would like to do that if that's amenable to the Bond Oversight Committee.

The last thing I would say is that we're taking a look at all of the projects, project lines, schools, and the teams working now to relook at the schedules that we have. So it's our intent to produce a revised structure for all of our projects and have that completed by the end of November. We would expect to clearly have that to the Bond Oversight Committee at the next meeting. So pretty much laid out in a different way where it will literally have every school, not just 1,500 projects, but every school with timelines, budgets, everything laid out in a very simple and clear way that the Bond Oversight
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Committee can understand it, so that the public can as well. That's one of the charges that we have and we'll do that in the context of what we certainly recognize are some challenging market conditions which are driven by a lot of construction activity, labor shortages and material costs that continue to go up.

So those were the three things I wanted to give you a heads-up. There's, you know, a reorganization that's occurred. I would like to also supplement the Bond Oversight Committee going forward with information that brings you as current as we can get you when the meeting occurs. And then, just to let you know, we're going to go through a major effort to look at all of the projects and schedules and produce those, within the next 45 days have those available for the School Board and also the next Bond Oversight Committee.

Finally, in this room tomorrow morning there's a School Board Workshop in which we will provide and update to the School Board. A lot of that conversation will center around the reorganization piece that $I$ just mentioned to you today in some more detail. So should anyone from
the Bond Oversight Committee want to participate, I certainly encourage you to do so. The materials for that are on-line as we make all those things available ahead of time for the public. But I invite you to attend that. If not, we'll certainly be briefing you on that at the next Bond Oversight Committee Meeting.

Again, thanks for, you know, your time, your efforts and your support of this important work that we do in Broward County.
(Chairman Rabinowitz joined proceedings.)
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: We're good. I'm going to pass the gavel to the Chair.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Oh, please, I'm sure you handled it just fine. I'm sorry I'm late.

SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: And I apologize if I have to leave a little early for another event but I just wanted to make those comments at the beginning. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Okay. Let's move to the reports.

MR. SHIM: So thank you. This is the quarter ended June -- that ended of June and we had a couple of delays, but, anyway, let's move forward with the Technology section. That's Mr. Hunter.

MR. HUNTER: So the Technology section is the same as for the last several meetings, that the primary technology program for the bond has been completed. It was completed on schedule and certainly under budget as well.

What's remaining for the technology project are the core infrastructure projects. Those are going along quite well. At the next Bond Oversight Committee we will have a detailed breakout of those projects as we've had before and we'll also have the detail around each one of the projects and their current status, whether they are complete, in process or yet to get started.
(Ms. Siegel joined proceedings.)
MR. HUNTER: But to bring the Bond Oversight Committee up to date here on a couple of things that have happened since we last had an opportunity to meet with you, one of the items on the core infrastructure project was an upgrade to our storage capacity across the District. That was on the Bond Oversight Committee Report as a $\$ 596,000$ project. That core storage has been approved by the Board and that project is underway in terms of getting the storage
installed. We are currently on schedule and within budget for that project.

At the most recent or $I$ think two meetings ago the Board also approved a second of the bond core infrastructure projects, which was a
midrange system upgrade. It's our I Series that supports our student information system, and that project has been approved and the contract has just recently been signed on that, so that work will begin here sometime within the next week or so.

I do anticipate -- the reason I mentioned both of those, I anticipate by the time we're here for the third quarter report that both of those projects will be complete and I'll be able to give the committee a more detailed report on that.

And that's all I have for Technology.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob?
MR. NAVE: No, we noted in our report that Mr. Hunter had identified six projects that were going to start this quarter. We recommended that the District make sure that the status of those projects is included. I think Mr. Hunter will address our concerns. So I think we're good.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Okay. Moving on to Charter Schools.

MR. SHIM: We've already completed the Charter School section. I think the last time we decided to not continue doing updates on it.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: That's why it says complete on the agenda; right?

Music \& Art Equipment?
MS. CANTRICK: Good evening. So for Music, 100 percent of our instruments have been ordered. We are now at the point where we have a little over 4,000 instruments that are left to be delivered out of 57,929 that were ordered. And also we've got four new music programs that are starting this year, that started this year. And so we're working with them. Those schools are Atlantic West Elementary School, Boulevard Heights Elementary School, HD Perry K-8 and Lauderhill 6-12. So we're working with them to create their orders.

And then for kilns, we have 65 kilns that have been ordered. Of the 24 up there that have been ordered 19 of those have been delivered to the warehouse. So the next step will be to deliver those to the schools and get the
remaining, the remaining five to the warehouse and on to the schools.

And then theater equipment, we have 37
schools with theater programs. 12 schools have submitted their -- actually, all schools have submitted their orders. 12 schools we have completed the orders for. Three more were added beyond the June 30th close of the quarter, and we turned in the remaining -- remaining 25 -- the remaining 25 orders for reqs, to be turned into reqs, and four of those the reqs have been issued and are being turned into POs.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Does that complete the report?

MS. CANTRICK: That completes the report.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob?
MR. NAVE: Yeah, I think that report goes beyond the end of the fourth quarter which is where my comments would cut off, but in the third quarter report the District expected to order all of the theater lighting equipment, but in this report it said only nine of the projects had been ordered. TaxWatch recommended that the District explain the reason for the delays and in future reports address that so that I don't have to keep
making this recommendation over and over and over again.

In the handout that the District provided they do offer an explanation, and I would ask that instead of coming to the next meeting with an explanation, please just put it in the report.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Can that request be accommodated?

MS. CANTRICK: It can.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Okay. Great. You don't have to ask again.

MR. NAVE: That was easy.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
MS. CANTRICK: Of course.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I think there's a question.

MR. BERNARD: Will the four new schools that have music programs be in the next report, in the status?

MS. CANTRICK: They will be in the next report.

MR. BERNARD: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Chief, do you have a question?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Yeah, I have a question
regarding the installation of the kilns. What special accommodations are made in reference to where these are installed?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: He wants to make sure a fire doesn't happen.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: That's right. We don't have sprinklers in every building, we don't have updated fire alarm systems. Are those being checked out by your safety department before they actually have them installed?

MS. CANTRICK: I believe that's part of the process -- that's part of the process for installation, that PPO goes in and checks the fans and -- and that the -- and I'm not using the terminology, I'm sure, but that the plug is the appropriate plug that goes into that -- into that outlet, so that -- because these are newer kilns and they have different needs. So they're making sure that all of those are met when they go in and install the new kilns. And they have a higher level of technology to help prevent those issues with fires, et cetera.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So your safety department is signing off on these before they're installed. SUPERINTENDANT RUNCIE: Yes, I was going to
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offer just that. On the next report we'll have a statement from our chief fire official, Ms. May, certifying that she's actually looked at these things and certified that they are safe.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Very good. Thank you.

MR. GIRARDI: Also I could add to that because we've had conversations, just so -- I'm Frank Girardi and I'm the newly appointed Executive Director of Capital Programs.

We've had that conversation with Chief May and most of these kilns are going back exactly where there were kilns existing, so we do have all of those safety items in place. And if they need to be upgraded they will be.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Okay. Thank you very much. Does that complete the Music \& Art?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I think it does.
Moving on to Athletics.
MR. CERRA: Good evening. Name is Shawn Cerra, Director of Athletics \& Student Activities.

MR. BOARDMAN: And I'm Greg Boardman, I'm representing the Facilities Department.

MR. CERRA: As of June reporting we are very proud to report that all 15 of our tracks, three of them being middle school and 12 of them being high school, were all completed. So as far as the track part of this initiative it is -- as far as we're concerned closed out and completed.

As far as the weight rooms are concerned, as of June reporting we had 13 weight rooms that were officially 100 percent done, we had 13 that were in implementation and we had four that were in design.

Just to give you a quick update, as of today 26 weight rooms are 100 percent done. We have three weight rooms that will be done by Thanksgiving. And then we have one that will be completed in 2019.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Does that complete your report?

MR. CERRA: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob?
MR. NAVE: We had no comments.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Moving along to Facilities.

MR. GIRARDI: Okay. Hi. Again, my name is Frank Girardi, Task Assignment Executive Director of Capital Programs.

I just wanted to start off with a few things. What I'd like to do tonight, and I know you're going to have some questions and I'm hoping we'll have the answers for you, but I'd like to sit back and listen to your questions. Just being put in this position we have a lot of things we have to look at. Mr. Runcie had touched on a few of those points, like the schedules.

The schedules, we're looking to revalidate the whole program with all the schedules. We have to take a look at that.

To be truthful with you, we just spent three hours, the team together, looking at some different slides that we had and I had them all eliminated because I didn't feel comfortable with the information on those slides.

Mr. Runcie has stated that by November 30 th we're looking to revalidate and see where the issues are. We know where some of the issues are, but look at those issues.

I'd really like to first and foremost just give you some ideas of what I would like to do moving forward; if that's fine with you?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Before you tell us what
your ideas are, what do you think the biggest issues are?

MR. GIRARDI: Well, our biggest issue right now is getting everything through design. And we're finding out that it's not necessarily just our building department, it's other different factors. Another factor is the architects. The architects are getting comments back and they're taking months to get them back to the building department. So we can sit here and say it's been in permitting for 300 days when it's been, in fact, only in the building department for 40 days. That is our biggest issue is we need the architects first to give us a good set of plans moving forward, and then, secondly, when you submit a set of plans and you get comments back, you could get 50 comments back or you could get 10 comments back. You just need to answer those comments. And some of the comments I've seen, they come back, so noted. My view is, what is so noted? And that's fine if it's noted someplace, but when you move forward and you resubmit it with so noted, that's an issue. And I brought that up. Then we find out from the architect that, oh, there's a piece of unistrut on the roof
that needed to be removed and demolished. And I said, well, put that on your comments.

So we're working with the architects to get them to answer their comments. That is -- those are the two big issues right now, getting it through design and getting it permitted. It's the resubmittals, three, four, five times resubmitted for permit after they've made the comments. And it's a problem. And that's what I'm looking to see what we can find out to resolve that problem. And I've already got a meeting set up with the building department and the rest of the staff. One of the issues is roofing. That is what's been holding us up on a lot of our comments. We need to get a handle on the roofing with the building department. That's just a few of the major ones.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Well, let me ask this question, because we're all here because there's a billion dollar bond and we've all heard about some of these design professionals and delays before. It's not the first time we're hearing this news. And, as I said before, I kind of sit here as John Q. Public, kind of asking the questions hoping to get answers as to why these
things have taken so long. And the other concern is that the exponential increase of expense associated with one of these projects, much of which may not even be covered by the bond at the end of the day that the taxpayers of Broward County may have to pick up, so what -- and I'll ask this as the lawyer on board, what safety measures, and I don't mean that in a safety prospective but from a cost prospective, are built into the design professionals' contracts when it's them who have caused these -- I'll use the number you used 300 days of delay in getting the plans complete so that hammers can ultimately be swung such that building costs do not go up in the interim?

MR. GIRARDI: There is some verbiage in their contracts. I don't remember if it's \$100 a day or $\$ 200$ a day, but when we went to look to enforce it we were told by legal that it's nonenforceable, which is a problem. So -- but what we can do as a team here is -- I've been with the school district 23 years. All of my projects pretty much finished on time. I tend to push. And I believe that's why the Board and Mr. Runcie has put their trust in me to try and move
this program forward.
You don't know me. I need to earn your
trust. You shouldn't trust me until I give you the opportunity to earn that trust. But I could sit here and tell you what my plans are and what I've accomplished in the past. And I'm not going to sit here and name projects and everything else, but the recent one was the Stoneman Douglas portables. We turned that around -- and I'm not going to sit here and say that was me, it wasn't me, it was myself, it was PPO, it was the team that we put together knowing that to get this done we had to have the right team together. And that's what I'm here to do, to take what we've got -- if we've got to make changes, we're going to make changes, but mold this into a team that's going to get some things done. And I'm just going to go off of my past experience with the School Board. Again, I've been here 23 years. I know the system. I know how to -- I don't want to say manipulate the system, but be able to work with the system to get things done. And that's how I received my promotion as Director of Construction, because they saw what I could do on an individual project and they wanted me to now
teach my construction team the same thing. Well, now they've asked me to step it up a notch and bring this whole thing together the best that $I$ can do. And I am excited about it. It's a challenge. And I'm ready for the challenge, good, bad or indifferent. If I have problems, I'll take the brunt of the blame if it's my fault. I'll take it for the team. And I'm just looking forward to getting this thing on track first and then try to get it moving forward.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I'm sure there's going to be a number of questions from a number of different members of the panel. I'll steal some of Bob's thunder, but it didn't take -- one of the issues that obviously popped out from the report is the delay associated with 15 projects concerning single point of entry, which we can all appreciate as being critically important for the safety of our children in public schools. So what can you tell us about why there's been delay with 15 projects associated with single point of entry?

MR. GIRARDI: I'll give you an explanation, but it's also I need to look at those schedules. I need to see in-depth why. A lot of them were
at the end of the quarter and may have slipped a few weeks which threw them into another quarter, but that's not an excuse. It shouldn't skip, period. We need to see what that reasoning was and get them back.

Now, I can't sit here and say I know we're planning on getting everything done by first quarter 2019. That is still our goal. We have a few projects that are going to move past that, but that's not necessarily because of construction. We may have those single points completed but we're waiting six to eight weeks for the canopies. So they'll be usable, but there won't be shade over them, which we just did that with Northeast High School. We got that opened up for spring break, and then by the time the canopies were supposed to be delivered we were looking at the end of May. And at that point it was just, let it slide a little bit more and when school's out go in there and put the canopy in. So the canopy was put in over the summer so it was completed by the start of school.

But the single points themselves for the most part should be operational. Some may slip. I'm
not going to sit here and say we're going to have every one done. And I'll know better when we look -- when I look with the team. I've only been here three weeks. We're looking to November 30th, like we said.

I know the next meeting is December 17th. What we'd like to do is -- the next report's going to be the same information because you haven't changed anything yet. But at that meeting I'd like to come in with an executive summary, like Mr. Runcie said, and this will be for every meeting, that we want to update you through November 30 th. So the report may be through September 30th, but we'll update you through November 30 th and you can see what we've done in the next 45 days and not have to wait until January, February for the first report under this new team.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you. I'm sure there are other members.

Bob, why don't you provide your report and then we'll springboard off of your comments?

MR. NAVE: Well, $I$ just want to say up front, Frank and I have had an opportunity to speak on a couple of occasions and I've shared with him, you
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know, the history from TaxWatch's perspective, some of the things we've seen, some of the frustrations that we experienced reviewing the report, and I'm confident that Frank will do the right thing, he'll right the ship. I would commend the Superintendent for making the changes that he did. It's always a tough decision to make staff changes. But I think the timing was right. And from what $I$ know of Frank, I think that was the right man to put on this job. So I look forward to working with him. I think the wisdom of the Superintendent's decision is second only to his decision to ask TaxWatch to get involved in this project.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: We appreciate your humor.

MR. NAVE: But in our report, our report is pretty much the same, it's just more of the same. We note the projects that have been flagged, we note the projects where additional moneys have been appropriated. We noted that when compared to the Q3 report there was 79 projects whose completion dates had slipped back. There was no disclosure, no explanation.

We have nine recommendations in this report.

Six of those deal with explaining things that are delayed, explaining things that are over budget. These are the same recommendation TaxWatch makes every quarter as we have for the better part of the last three years.

So there's nothing new in our report. It's just I'm hoping that by Frank hearing this that when we get the report for the quarter ending September 30 th that where projects are delayed there will be an explanation just like the handout that the District's provided all of us. They go to great lengths to explain all this stuff. Why not put it in the report in the first place? It would be disingenuous to think TaxWatch isn't going to raise the issues because we've raised them every quarter for three years.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Had it been that long already?

MR. NAVE: It seems longer; doesn't it?
So if the committee members have specific questions $I$ can answer those or maybe Frank can answer those. I'm not sure what the committee, how you want to go from here.

MR. GIRARDI: What I would like to do right now is at least introduce the new team that's up
here. I've been doing all the talking. I plan on doing most of the talking. But I will defer to them when it comes to some details.

But Danny Jardine is with Heery, he will be the new deputy chief for the program on the Heery side. Him and I have been working together for the last few years. He was more or less in the same role $I$ was but on the Heery side, now we've both been elevated. We work well together. We think the same. We come from the same background. Moving forward, I think this is going to be an excellent team because we have a good blend.

For Atkins we've got Ashley Carpenter. She'll be the program controls director for Atkins. And this is the team that you'll be seeing up here every meeting.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Welcome.
MR. GIRARDI: And just to go with what Bob was saying, like he said, we had long conversations once $I$ was put into this position and all $I$ could say on everything he has written is, he's right. He's right.

My plan is, of the nine or the six for Facilities, my plan is to have none. I doubt
that's going to happen, but that's what I would like to shoot for. And he's right. If we have something that's slipped, we need to explain it in detail. We're looking to have executive summaries for that. You shouldn't have to ask the question. We should answer it before you ask it.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I agree. If you want to turn it over to them to introduce themselves or provide comment, go ahead.

MR. GIRARDI: Sure.
MR. JARDINE: Hi. Good evening. My name's Danny Jardine. I'm the new program director for Heery. I mean, you know, I've been here for a year and a half now, and we're excited about the opportunities to really press this forward. As you all have mentioned you brought up some very valid points and we're going more on the offense to try and help our design professionals be successful. We've identified a long list of items that have continued to plague us as we've gone through the permitting process. We've issued directives and information to the design professionals to make sure they're incorporating early in their design so that it doesn't have to
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go to the building department before these items are noted. So we're doing a much more proactive approach on helping our architects get their documents in order before it ever does go to the building department. We're having more and earlier meetings with them to talk about what the desires of the School District are and trying to eliminate some of the guessing or architects trying to figure out what it is the District is looking for. We are clearly identifying those issues early on. So we think it's going to pay dividends. And as we see the next group of Year 4 projects progressing now, we're seeing those move through the system a little bit faster. And we're going to continue to track it. Basically we've monitored their schedule and activities on a weekly basis. And when those start slipping we're bringing them in and taking a very hard-handed approach. But, unfortunately, some of them have too much work and so they get into the shell game of which squeaky wheel do they respond to? So we're working our way through some of those issues that we had with a couple of the firms.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you.

MS. CARPENTER: Hi. It's nice see you guys. I just started on this program a few months ago and it's been very exciting and not boring. There is a lot to learn and it's been a big transition for me, but I've worked on programs like this before and program controls is my passion. I'm passionate about data integrity and making sure that what we are reporting to you is accurate and is in line with what you expect to see in terms of the level of detail, in terms of the timeliness of the data. And, you know, we work really well together. Frank is very hands-on and he's not going to be letting things slip through the cracks, which is great. So we're all just really dedicated to producing something for you guys and for the taxpayers that represents what is really happening with this program, and I think we're going to be moving forward quite quickly and hopefully we'll be able to hear from you guys what you want to see in the next report and we'll be able to, like Frank said, answer those questions before they're asked in the next report and Bob won't have to give us any recommendations.

```
    MR. NAVE: Well, I never have to.
```

MS. CARPENTER: Hopefully you won't need to. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I know you're itching, Bruce.

MR. BERNARD: I just feel a little downhearted, I'd say, because we've been harping for a year on the schedules and everybody told us how great they were doing and we're going to make this schedule, and all of a sudden halfway through the program they pull the rug and bring in a new team. So I've sat here and listened for year over year hearing how great everything was and now everybody says, oh, we have problems. Now we know we have problems. We had problems when I first got on this board, you know, a year and a half ago and no one admitted it. Now everybody's coming in with a whole new team and everything's going to be great and we've heard that since I've been on this board. And to come in here now and say, you know, I threw everything out, why didn't you tell us you didn't go through this, you're not going to have a report? You waste my time to go through this whole thing and then all you're going to say is you're going to come back in November with new dates? To me that's a waste of my time. You know, I took, you
know, 20 hours going through this book page by page. And you had -- three weeks you've had this job and you said you've got to still come back to tell us what's going on. So to me that's just wasting my time. And you think it's okay to do that kind of thing.

You don't notify us that you made the change. You might have notified the Chairman. But some of us dig into this pretty deep.

And I think it's on the School Board, on your side, if you're going to change -- you know, if we change people up here, everybody knows about it. I think it should be transparent from your side on the same thing. You could have told us don't go through this book, we're going to come back with something else. You know, you're just wasting time doing all this stuff.

You know, I'm not too happy. I don't know how the rest of the board members are, I don't know if they go through the construction side like I do, but, you know, I'm sure Bob went through -- his team did all this also, and then to come back and say we're changing everything, you know, $I$ just don't appreciate, you know, being sprung and even the results of the
questions that he has being stuck in front of us tonight without us even having a chance to read them even. If you think that's the way to operate, that's how you do it, I don't think so. That's not transparent on our side.

You said you're looking at the -- we're four years in, the Primary Projects are the biggest projects we have, the renovations. We're four years in, you keep throwing these numbers up of how much stuff we've completed, we haven't completed one Primary Project. Not one. You've got nine other ones in implementation. Everything else has not even started construction yet.

I've asked this question for a year on this board, every meeting, what's the percentage of soft cost to hard cost? I've never got that answer. I was told last meeting they had the answer. Your communications people never gave us -- I still haven't gotten the answer. If I have to go to the School Board Workshop and tell the Board Members that we should get that answer, I'm going to do that. But I should not have to ask a question for a year and not get an answer back when $I$ was told they had the answer six
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months ago. And it's in the minutes.
Some good news, there's 26 projects ahead of schedule in the Primary. They're not into implementation, but they're ahead of schedule. But there's 167 projects behind schedule in the Primary Renovations. Those are the biggest jobs we have, not the tracks, not the computers, the Primary Renovations are the biggest bucket in this bond issue. And there's 167 of them right now behind schedule.

Of all the Primary Projects, Single Point of Entry and School Choice Projects, there's 314 behind schedule.

Now, you're going to look and say by November 30th you're going to have, you know, all the answers to this, but there is no way this schedule is going to be made by 2021. You've got 314 behind now and you haven't even started the other ones yet.

MR. GIRARDI: Do you want me to comment on that?

MR. BERNARD: Go ahead.
MR. GIRARDI: With regards to completing in 2021, you're right. In my professional opinion, and I've been doing this for 43 years, it won't
happen.
MR. BERNARD: I understand that. I've been saying that for a year and a half.

MR. GIRARDI: But if you look at the report, it happens. That's why we've got to take a look at the report and make it realistic. And I hate to sit here and say what you've got here is not realistic, but $I$ can only do from today forward. And, again, like I mentioned earlier, I've got the respect from the School Board and Mr. Runcie and $I$ don't expect that from you right now, I need to earn that respect. And that's telling you the truth, that's --

MR. BERNARD: Well, that'll be a first.
MR. GIRARDI: That's -- anyone -- you don't know me, but anyone that I've worked with here over the last 23 years, that is my thing, is I'm truthful. If it's good, bad or indifferent you're going to hear about it. Because you're better off hearing about it now, not a year from now. And like I said, I can only bring us forward at this point and I can't tell you when we're going to be done with this bond program, because I've got to look at those schedules. Right now the schedules say we're going to be
done 2021. So I can't sit here and say anything about those schedules.

MR. BERNARD: Sir, we're not asking you -we've heard every meeting that it's going to be done 2021. You're the first one that's actually admitted that it's not going to be done by 2021. For years that's what you've been saying. Tell us the real time. That's all we want to know is the real time.

MR. GIRARDI: We would flood the market right now if we got done in 2021 --

MR. BERNARD: That's correct.
MR. GIRARDI: -- because we've compressed everything to the final three years, which can't happen. We can't go out and all of a sudden put 20, 30 projects out to bid at the same time.

MR. BERNARD: The biggest issue I have is Stranahan High School, one of the big three, was pushed back another year. That's a $\$ 36$ million project. There's no way to make it on that time schedule. You've got to bill over a million dollars from today a month just to meet the schedule. So I don't know who pushed it back a year, but they better keep pushing it, because there's no way it will be there.

> United Reporting, Inc.

$$
(954) \quad 525-2221
$$

MR. GIRARDI: The schedules on the big three we used prototypical 12 month schedules, which were nonrealistic. So now we are taking that -because 12 months doesn't give you two summers. We've got to do everything with kids in these classrooms. We've got to shift them around. We've got to move six classrooms out at a time while we're reroofing above them, get it dried in and we can bring them back. That's our whole issue, is keeping these schools -- it would be great if we could just say, kids, take a year off, and we go in there and it's done in a year. It just can't happen. It's impossible.

My expertise is in the construction side, and with us going into the construction end, because I've had my own company for 10 years before coming to the School Board, and that I think is molded me into the type of person I am with regards to projects, schedules, et cetera. I was the one that was out there hands-on. I was a laborer, $I$ was a secretary, I was the president. I was everything. So I get a whole different aspect of the way things should be done. And the construction side is my passion. I love it. And I love challenges. And I love taking over this
position because, as you know, it's a challenge.
MR. BERNARD: And, again, like I said, the big three, all of them are backed up again. MR. GIRARDI: They're all going to slip 12 to 15. I don't want to say slip. It's moving. It's moving into a 28 duration, 28-month duration. Should that have been looked at two years ago; a year ago? Yes. But I can only look at it moving forward.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Has there been a financial analysis done based upon the expected delays associated with these projects, I mean, in total?

MR. GIRARDI: I necessarily don't know if it's been done. It is a good point. We need to look at, if they started a year ago and were being done on time, we've got the cost of escalation of prices and everything else. So to sit here and say, no, there wasn't a financial impact, I couldn't do that. There probably was. What that is I do not know.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I mean, when the budget was created for a perspective project was it based upon, for example, this 12 -month time frame that you're mentioning?

MR. GIRARDI: It wouldn't be for the construction, because when the contractor bids it he's going to look at it as a real time frame. I would say no.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: I'm sure Bruce has more comments.

MR. BERNARD: Just a few, not many. School Spotlight, are we still going to use the School Spotlight? Are we changing that again and again? I thought we had it down pat but now I hear we're changing it again.

SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: Earlier today -- I don't think we're going to change the structure, but maybe the presentation of the information, there may be some additional things. We'll keep what we currently have, but I think the key point to provide is to ensure that the information we do have is at a level of accuracy that represents the reality of where we are today. That reality will certainly take us out one, maybe two years beyond the dates that you've seen. I'm pretty clear about that now after sitting down and working with Frank and the team.

What I will say is that we -- the reason why it will go out is because we're committed to this
scope and we need to get this done. As costs go up -- this was always a project where the funding would come from the bond referendum as well as whatever we could actually get out of millage to supplement that and cover for contingencies. And to the extent that costs go up, it basically pushes out the time frame somewhat. Even if we had all of the resources and we didn't have any volatileness in design, given the current state of the market, as you know, we probably couldn't go much faster than the path that we're on. But at least we'll do it, I think, with a higher degree of accuracy. We'll do it with a team that has substantial experience in this area. So I think that's the major change, I think, you will see.

MR. GIRARDI: And in addition, with regards to the format, the format is not really changing, we're just going to update it. What we were going to do, and if we had the slides I'd show them to you right now, is change the reporting. We've been reporting we've got 1,579, 1,519 projects. That's history. We're not talking about that anymore. It's more like the schools. SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: People are thinking
about the schools, so we may have a school that may have eight or nine or 10 or a dozen project lines to it, we need to package them in a way that you and the public can understand it. Hey, I'm at Cypress Elementary, I don't want to hear about the eight or so different Primary

Renovation Projects, I just want to know how long it's going to take to get these things done. So we'll present the information a little differently in terms of the overall piece, but you will still have the details, the lines, the amounts, some of the spotlights that you've seen, but we'll also present some, I guess, more user friendly views on that.

MR. GIRARDI: The report you have been seeing are the six phases and we put numbers in there. Like I think this report shows 920 or 905 in project design when in actuality it was 187 true projects. One schedule, we don't have that -that one project, we were going to use Olsen as an example, there were six line items that showed as six projects. There's only one project. Those six line items are in that project. So when you're moving forward that's two projects, one schedule.
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Each school will have about three projects. One is School Choice, one is Single Point of Entry and then the one is the main project.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: But they'll all have their own schedules.

MR. GIRARDI: Their own schedules.
MR. BERNARD: So we're not worried about this phase again where it says you will implement three to six months construction?

MR. GIRARDI: And also implement is out, it's called construction.

MR. BERNARD: Well, you're going to change the months on there, from three to six? Because if you look at that that's what they're saying that it takes and there's probably two projects out of the whole thing that are three to six, everything is nine to two years.

MR. GIRARDI: We'll look at that.
SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: The phases will stay the same but we'll change that part of it.

MR. GIRARDI: The durations will be more realistic.

MR. BERNARD: And, please, just have people go through -- the thing I don't like, we should not catch things in this report.

MR. GIRARDI: The time you spent on the report is the time $I$ plan on spending on the report.

MR. BERNARD: And I shouldn't find things that -- I notice on the Spotlight, the schedules aren't there, no final date schedules or anything. That's telling me that someone's just not going through this report and being accurate like you say you want to be.

MR. GIRARDI: Right.
MR. BERNARD: Because I think that's all the committee wants is accurate information. You know, I can deal with delays, I can deal with cost overruns. That happens all the time. But when you're not accurate and you're not forthcoming with it --

MR. GIRARDI: Right.
MR. BERNARD: -- then you put us on the defensive. And that's where we shouldn't be.

MR. GIRARDI: No, you should not be vetting our report to tell us our mistakes.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you. Anyone else?

MS. AKER REECE: Good evening. So as you're talking about resetting your priorities and your
schedules, can you kind of explain to us what's involved in resetting those priorities? Who decides what project goes first and if research is then needed for a variety of projects to make sure that they're all resourced for the new timetables?

MR. GIRARDI: Okay. First of all, with the rescheduling, we're going to look at each project and look at what is in that project. There are some projects that can get done in that year, but there are some projects that may be taking 28 months. We'll look at it on constructability and look at how we will move people around, move kids around, students around in the school. We have some schools that are overcrowded. They're going to be the biggest challenge because we don't have five empty classrooms that we can move kids into. So that's what we have to look at. We have to look at the school; how the school is run. Is it overcrowded? How much room do we have for staging and for moving everyone around?

What was the second part of your question?
MS. AKER REECE: How about staff and contractor resources; if you have limited resources, how do you choose which projects go
first?
MR. GIRARDI: We'll have to evaluate them. We have some projects that physical plant operations are out there on a daily basis patching roofs. We have other schools that the roofs just need to be replaced but may not be leaking, or if they do have leaks, they're minor leaks. The ones that we're out there every day repairing, we're going to shift those to the front of the line.

Again, we can't put them all out at the same time, but we as a team are going to have to look and figure out which ones need to go first, how many projects do we put out a week, a month, to bid, before we start saturating the construction industry. So there's a lot of things that are a part of that and we will look at that. We have formed, and you'll see it more tomorrow when we bring the organizational changes to the School Board Workshop, we are having a steering committee that will be looking at that. It's not going to be just Heery and Atkins. It's going to be Heery, Atkins, Facilities. The Building Department is going to be involved, Procurement. Everyone's going to be involved and have a piece
of the pie in getting these projects done. And if you look at the last page, and you can go on-line and pull up the presentation, the last page of the presentation is the wheel with Heery, Atkins and the School Board in the center and how all the other departments are going to be working with us to get this done.

MS. REESE: Just one more follow-up. Is the School Board going to be involved in this prioritization conversation at all?

MR. GIRARDI: The Board, themselves?
MS. REESE: Uh-huh.
MR. GIRARDI: We can look at that. I -they're going to probably go off of our recommendations, because we are the construction experts. That's what our job is to do. The information to them is only as good as we give them. So if we're making the recommendations -I'm sure they'll know about it, but I don't know if we really need them to approve it. MS. AKER REECE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Steve. MR. HILLBERG: My question was about moving forward. Currently, the report shows that a large number of the projects in design are in the

90 to 100 percent mark, which there is going to be a flood of projects that are going to be going into the construction phase, and I want to make sure that you are looking forward to that and planning to staff up or do whatever it is to have the resources available to make sure all those projects go out.

MR. GIRARDI: Yes. Part of it would be Ms. Carpenter to -- a resource leveling sort of thing where if 40 projects are going to bid in one month, depending on the capacity of the School Board, that might be something where you flag it and say this isn't realistic.

MS. CARPENTER: Uh-huh.
MR. GIRARDI: With that, we are looking at staffing, not necessarily on the School Board side, because we're overseeing Heery and Atkins and the staff that $I$ have has a Director of Construction who is more than adequate I believe at this point to oversee it, because we're not seeing the day-to-day operations, we're just overseeing Heery making sure that they're doing the construction day-to-day operations per our procedures and policies. With that we are bringing the extension for Heery and Adkins to
the Board on the 16th of October and they will have additional staffing. Right now a lot of their project managers are just way overbooked with projects. And that's been my complaint for a while now, that they needed to staff up. Because you could be very good, but if you have too many projects and are putting out too many fires, you can't focus on them enough to really look at them in depth and make sure they're being done right, because you're too busy running around everywhere else. That's why one of the things we're going to be doing, and I think Danny's itching to get in here and talk, but we're bringing on a lot of construction coordinators. We're getting away from bringing in a lot of the PMs. We need one or two more PMs to help lighten the load of the existing PMs, but we're also -- and I don't want to go too deep into the reorganization because the Board has not heard this yet, but we're bringing on more construction coordinators. We need the people out in the field. I only was very successful because when $I$ was put on a project I got out of the office. I was out in the field. You've got to make decisions in the field when they're
needed, not when the project manager comes out at the next progress meeting. So that's what we're looking at right now, is getting more people in the field. Especially now that we're going more towards the construction side. We're hoping to start getting over the high point of the design and start having more in construction than in design.

MR. HILLBERG: Thank you.
MR. BERNARD: We share this test with your issues with the guys such as the architects and falling behind. I guess is -- Heery and Atkins are contractors also with the School Board. What do you have to hold their feet to the fire if they don't deliver what they're supposed to deliver?

SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: So what I told the president of Heery when I had a conversation with him a few weeks ago was that they've got to step up and deliver. We'll see where they are in six months. If we're not satisfied with substantial change in the progress, we'll put another RFP out on the street and look to get another organization. They heard that already. MR. BERNARD: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any other questions, comments?

MS. SIEGEL: I just have a question. As far as the -- I know that there was supposed to be meetings ongoing with the Big 3 schools, have they been notified of these delays and what was their reaction?

MR. GIRARDI: Right now we are holding, I think, bimonthly meetings at Stranahan and Northeast where we do a presentation there on the status where we're at, the phasing. We go through in detail with the community as much as they can understand the phasing. Stranahan has how many phases, nine, 10?

MR. JARDINE: Nine phases, 28 buildings.
SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: Yeah, so we've gone through the details of laying out the fact that, you know, you can't do this over 12 months because we've got to do a building or so at a time, switch to the next one, move kids around. We're working with the administration to work through the logistics of that. We had that meeting with -- I guess it was a couple weeks ago at Northeast. We had another one with Stranahan. So for those schools we are meeting with them on
a regular basis and going into lots of details around the schedule and how that will actually work.

MS. SIEGEL: And with Ely also?
MR. GIRARDI: With Ely there's monthly meetings with, $I$ guess it's the SAC in the community. So they're -- they're monthly with Ely. The other two we're doing bimonthly. So all three of them are having meetings and being brought up to date on where they're at.

MS. SIEGEL: Okay. So are they getting the same kind of up-to-date information that this committee was getting that was inaccurate or are they getting a kind of realistic flow? What I'm concerned about is we had family members come to this committee and express their concerns and we said that we would look out for this. We're supposed to be getting updates every meeting. And so from what $I$ gather, not being a construction expert at all, that this is going to be delayed one year, two years. So how is that going to affect the students that are under the conditions that these schools are in?

SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: So the information that we have been providing those communities is
current and it's with the right level of accuracy that we're talking about. What the challenge is are those projects that aren't as far along as the Big 3 that are still in various facets of design, which we need to resolve issues around that, and then we need to make sure that the timelines and budgets around that are as accurate as possible given what we know that it will take to execute that and the current market conditions, but I don't know, Frank, if you want to add?

MR. GIRARDI: No, that pretty much covers it. So, anyway, we work to keep those communities up to date as much as possible. When anything changes we -- again, we're meeting with them regularly, so, you know, we would advise them as well. We have contractors, architects, they have been selected through the CM at Risk file, so they'll be working, you know, very closely with those communities. So it's a more intimate type of relationship with those communities.

And with -- adding to that, the last two that we had was Stranahan and Northeast, and those reports were with the correct timeframes. We weren't sitting there telling them that they were

12 months. The contractors have been on-line and we're working on schedules, the correct updated schedules with them, so --

MS. MYRICK: I didn't get a copy of the report so $I$ haven't read it, but $I$ don't know if the committee or the committee knows about the Board's response and your response, Mr. Runcie, to the community of Northeast and part of the change to Northeast due the expression of the community and how we have totally changed that whole process to what the community has asked us to do.

SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: Yeah, so with the Chair, and what Ms. Myrick is mentioning is that we had submitted a plan to Northeast most recently in terms of what would be done and the community came back and said, okay, well, we want you to go slow down because we think there may be some other options for you to consider. So we explored a number of options working hand in hand with the community and came up with a different scenario that would result in a demolition, $I$ think, of approximately five buildings at the school and build an actual new structure with different types of spaces in the school, also
renovating some weight rooms -- I'm sorry, not weight rooms but locker rooms at the school. So it was a very different look. So we -- we kind of right-sized the school based on projected enrollment. So we shrunk it a little bit, demolished some buildings rather than making investments in those structures which were very old and, you know, out of repair. So I think we came up with an overall better long-term solution that really met the community's expectations. So they're in a very different place than they were a few months ago. That comes at a price tag that in the immediate term is higher, in the long-term it will probably be better off than making investments in structures that we don't need. So that, I know for the Northeast, that project is now around 40 to $\$ 41$ million, which was -- but the scope of it has changed; right? So we'll lay those details out for you as well in our next report.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Along the same lines, not just these three schools, but if you're going to re-prioritize and change dates around, how is the community going to know? Because it's a mystery out there. You know, all we hear is, you know,
things are not on schedule and parents and the community are concerned. So as we get information is this same information going out as you re-prioritize to those communities, to those parents in those schools as the timeline and schedule?

SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: Right. So as we -as we update this information, as we indicated by the end of November, we will have a concerted communication effort, campaign, if you will, at every school through the principal, through the SAC organization at each school, to communicate any changes to the timeline, at least what the most current picture and status is. So that's what we'll do with every school and make sure that the information is also posted on-line and available. So, you know, we'll make an effort to make sure we're doing that.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Mr. Bernard.
MR. BERNARD: Just on the School Choice programs, it's a minor program at every school, but, you know, there's such a discrepancy in the schedule. Some started in 2015 and they're not scheduled to be finished until 2019. There's one, Mirror Elementary, the comment says trying
to get laptops in. The technology department had 82,000 laptops in a year. How can one school for a hundred thousand dollars can't get their laptops? Two, almost three years to get laptops. You know, someone's not looking at the -- you know, there should be some -- I don't know if you break it into a different thing where people just get the School Choice Programs done, but, you know, every one in there, most of them in there are way out of time schedules.

MR. GIRARDI: Right. With regard to the laptops, $I$ wouldn't even look at that, because when we purchase the laptops, and Mr. Hunter can maybe help on that, we usually go through the IT Department to purchase them.

MR. BERNARD: But that's not what it says in the Spotlight program in the comments.

MR. HUNTER: We'll take a look at it. There is -- just to let you know, there's a four-week lead time on delivery of laptops though. MR. BERNARD: This has been in there for 2.75 years.

MR. HUNTER: Which school? I'm sorry.
MR. BERNARD: Mirror Elementary.
SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: Yeah, one thing I
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would say about the Single Point of Entry is it is a separately carved-out and managed piece that we work to try to align the year that the funds would come available to the five-year schedule that we had. So, I mean, that's -- so schools know up front that, hey, I'm getting a hundred thousand dollars and they are planning for it, but, you know, if you were a Year 4 or 5 school, those funds wouldn't come on line. We -- our commitment to the Board was we would actually work within the order that we actually committed to on those. So I think that may be part of it. But we'll look at it.

MR. BERNARD: These are already in the implementation phase not the planning phase.

MR. GIRARDI: With these implementation stages, School Choice will say it's implementation because there's not a lot of construction in the School Choice.

MR. BERNARD: There's planning and implementation.

SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: And then it's done. MR. GIRARDI: Right. Right. And with the School Choice we have had some challenges on getting some things done with marquees, just
there's all sorts of challenges. But I hear what you're saying.

SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: Yeah, marquees and playgrounds have been two of the big challenges but the technology piece should have been pretty easy. I'm not sure what happened there.

MR. GIRARDI: And in addition to that -- he just whispered in my ear and I forgot what I was going to say.

With the implementation we really, a lot of times, don't have control over the voting of the community. We are project managers that are working with the School Choice, go to the SAC committees, they give them how everything works, they come up with two choices and then they vote. So we really can't -- and then change their minds. So we really can't control that. But this was implemented two years ago. We will look into why it has taken that long because, as you can see with Mr. Hunter and what he has done with the program, I --

MR. BERNARD: I was shocked to see that in the comments.

MR. GIRARDI: I'll have to see why that is. I'll have to go and see why that is.

MR. BERNARD: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Chief.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I'd like to just get one point across. And you're telling us that while you're doing this re-set and we've got 1,500 projects down out there, are you intending on cutting that number down and prioritizing those projects? There's 232 schools, if I remember correctly. So are you talking about making 232 projects that we're going to track and then under each one of those you'll have these other categories? Is that what you're talking about doing?

MR. GIRARDI: Kind of. With what we had on our slide presentation, when we converted it, when we were looking at the design stage because we were going to break that down, design went from 905 projects down to 187. But it was 187 projects. And what that is is they're matching up almost project to school. But in design it was 187 projects for 184 schools. That I knew was going to bring a lot of questions up, and I'll answer the question before you ask it. Why? That's because on three schools we've split up the main program into two projects. So, that --
those three schools have two major projects, not just the one, because we broke them up into two phases. But for the most part every school is going to have three projects. It's going to be the Main Bond Program project, the School Choice and Single Point of Entry. That's kind of the average of what every school would be.

So if you took 232 schools, I think we're looking at somewhere around that project-wise. Major projects. I'm not talking about the other two because there's three per school times 232 we have 600-some projects. We're really just talking about the major bond projects.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I think if I was a parent, which I am but they're all grown up, and I was looking at this, I'd be wondering when my school falls in line, and whether it's 2015, 2025, whatever it's going to be, I think at least I would be a little bit more understanding of the process if $I$ knew where my school fell in that line based on priorities. Obviously, from where I come from, safety is the main concern. You know, there are sprinklers and other things that were promised in this process, but they require a lot of disruption to do. So I understand that.

MR. GIRARDI: Uh-huh.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: But coming back, when you come back in November, my concern is, I'm looking at eight projects that are 3.5 million over budget per project from the original estimates. According to TaxWatch'S number there was \$27.5 million worth of project increases on eight projects. So that's a 22 percent increase overall on eight projects. I don't want to get into Budget ahead of everybody, but if you continued down this line, the $\$ 225$ million that the School Board put aside, which thank God they did, is likely going to get wiped out just with this particular type of activity.

So I'm trying to boil this down to project by project by school, because that's what's important to parents. I'm sure every parent out there wants to know where his or her child is going -- and they may be in multiple schools and what's going to happen in those schools. So we never really prioritized -- from my perception here, we never really prioritized what we were going to do first. And that's been a problem because we have 1,500 projects. And some of them are very important to the success of the students
and to the family members and others in the community and some are just basic essentials.

So will you come back with something similar?
In other words, if my son or daughter goes to Western High School and there are six projects at Western High School, what's my expectation? SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: I think that's exactly right, that's what we -- that's what Frank's trying to explain, why we want to consolidate it down and show that kind of view on it. Relative to the prioritization we're not going to change the prioritization. It is what it is from the day taxpayers voted on it. There's over $\$ 300$ million worth of project work and we're going to continue to work through that prioritized list that we have. So the prioritization isn't going to change, we're going to package them so it's easier for, as you said, a parent to be able to look at it and say when are you going to start at my school and when do you expect to end? They don't want to go look for that seven or eight projects that are associated -- project lines that are associated with that school. So we're going to try to show that relationship on a school basis to kind of
give the sense, hey, this is when your school's work is going to start, this is when it's going to finish. But those project lines in a particular school they should all be aligned around the same timeline.

MR. GIRARDI: And just to add a little bit more on that, if you look at your Spotlight reporting and you see the primary project, you'll see five or six line items underneath that, meaning fire sprinkler, fire alarm, roofing -well, envelope, not roofing, but envelope. Those are the items that used to be considered projects under the DEFP book. That lists everything. Well, we're going more towards that School Spotlight where that's one project and you can see the six items listed underneath it that make that one project. Because when you look at the schedule above it, that schedule is for those six projects, not individual projects.

So that's what we're trying to clear up for the public to see and for you to know that the project -- those are the line items, the 1,500-plus are the line items. We are now saying that we're not reporting that anymore, we're reporting each project.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any other comments; questions?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: We can move on from a Facilities?

Maybe there's one more.
MS. AKER REECE: So as you're developing sort of what it looks like going forward I'm wondering if we can concentrate more on -- and I don't know how the rest of the committee feels, more on the issues where we need to work, so focus on anything that's not on time, not on budget, things that are needing to be addressed versus how the program is doing overall? I feel like we spend a lot of time, and it's really great to know what's going well, but the focus really needs to be on how can we fix the things that are maybe slipping and what sort of recommendations should we be bringing back to address those?

MR. GIRARDI: I think that gets back to what we were talking about on the summaries. We've got to change the reporting.

MS. AKER REECE: Okay.
MR. GIRARDI: It's that simple. We need to
make it to where it's clear and understandable. So --

MS. AKER REECE: All right.
MR. GIRARDI: -- I can expand on it if you want more, but --

MS. AKER REECE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anything else?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Moving on to Budget.
MR. SHIM: Thank you. Good afternoon. Omar Shim, Capital Budget. There's \$846.2 million dollars currently budgeted for the SMART Program and that's through June 30th. And that's for years 1 through 4 of the SMART Program.

So out of that 182.4 million has been expended and 58 million in purchase orders were there at that time. So there's a balance of 605.8 million for the SMART Program.

As far as reserves go, as you know, we set aside $\$ 225$ million. We used some of it, so as of that time it was 188.6 million remaining. And also I wanted to add that we did capture from the completed and closed projects and reallocated 14.8 million back to our reserves.

So that's basically it for Finance.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob.
MR. NAVE: We have no comments.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any comments from anyone else?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Hearing none, let's move on to Supplier Diversity Outreach.

MS. COKER: Good evening everyone.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Oh, Mr. Runcie?
SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: Yeah, I just wanted to mention one thing about the reserves and the budget. And given what we mentioned here earlier and the updates we are going to be providing to the Board over the next, you know, week, and as we revise the schedules and bring them back in November, we anticipate that we will certainly be pushing that number significantly higher in terms of what's going to be needed in the reserve to complete the scope of the program. So I just wanted to put that out there so that you know that's -- again, that's one of the challenges of these reports, they are several months prior, and we're at a different place now. Again, we'll do some executive summaries going forward that will get you as current as possible, but I just want
to put that out there so you can expect that the next time you come that number should be higher.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: What will be the source of the additional reserve funding?

SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: The source of the additional funding will be millage that will be coming through and so that will -- as we talked about, we'll be extending the program out, so as we go out, you know, a subsequent year or two, we will have millage for that. I can certainly have our CFO, Ms. Marte, just maybe talk about that a little bit because we've discussed that already. MS. MARTE: Thank you, Mr. Runcie. I'm Judith Marte, I'm Chief Financial Officer for the District.

So, as Mr. Runcie stated, as the program timelines more realistically look to the future, the District does have access to millage which is levied as part of the 1.5 millage, so it would not be an additional burden on the taxpayers on July 1st of 2021, which is actually the middle of that last year. We would have access to approximately, and I'm rounding the numbers off, \$80 million additional millage. In addition to that, this year the state allocated additional

PECO dollars to charter schools so that we didn't have to fund those out of our budget. If that trend continues, and we're hopeful that it will, we would have access to additional millage in the next three years. And as Mr. Runcie said, I'll be prepared to report fully on that at our next meeting to give you a sense of additional money. That's approximately $\$ 20$ million that we could add over and above the 225. I also wanted to make it clear that this report is not saying that of the 225 the Board already committed over and above the 800 million bond issue we only have 40 left. Part of that 225 is funding next year, the year after and the year after. So I don't want to mislead anybody into thinking that's all we have left. And I certainly will take other questions. If $I$ can't answer them today, I'll be more than happy to answer them for you next time we come.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any other questions? Please.

MS. AKER REECE: So we've heard a lot about school security and how that's impacting schools. Will that change the financial picture for you all in the next few years?

United Reporting, Inc.
(954) 525-2221

SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: No, that -- not necessarily. So the Board over the past couple of months has approved somewhere around $\$ 30$ million worth of security investments, including upgrading public address intercom systems at schools; expanding the number of cameras at schools and the type of cameras to include analytic cameras as well; increasing the number of radios that are available to staff at schools; and also we're working in conjunction with the county to upgrade the radio system that we have. That should occur next March. IT is our lead department that's working on that as well.

So there are, again, a number of additional assets that the Board has approved for us to go -- and that's already been committed and funded above and beyond the numbers that you see here.

Now, as we go forward into the future, there may be additional security measures or investments that come up, we'll deal with them at that time, but we've already made substantial commitments to security upgrades in the schools above and beyond what's in the SMART Program which was primarily around the Single Point of Entry projects.

MS. AKER REECE: So I was talking about the operational cost related to having the staff at a certain security level at every school now. So I've read several articles that talk about how the state didn't fully fund it, you know, there's a bond referendum.

SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: Yeah, so that's not capital. The security staff are multifold, so, one, that's our continued contracts with municipalities and the Broward Sheriff's Office. I think it's 13 municipalities and then the Broward Sheriff's Office is the 14th one. I think they cover 10 or 12 cities. So we'll continue to do that. We've worked with the vast majority of the municipalities, I think, except for two of them where they are expanding the number of school resource officers that they have to cover all the schools in their district. So we primarily have covered all of the high schools, our middle schools and elementary schools. There was a mix out there. So there's a real shortage in Broward County and the state generally of law enforcement personnel. I think in Broward County I've heard there's as many as 300 vacancies. So, physically, there weren't the
bodies to be able to accomplish the goal that we wanted without availing ourselves to the Armed Guardian program. In Broward County the Armed Guardian qualifications are the most stringent in state. They require you to have prior law enforcement experience or military experience. They go through 132 hours of training. And then we staff them in schools. Their training is done by the Broward Sheriff's Office. We're going through different cohorts of that process, but we actually had to add an item in the referendum that was just passed. About 20 percent of that referendum was for adding additional school resource officers, but the bulk of it is for hiring somewhere close to 300 campus monitors and security specialists. These are individuals, they're not armed but they work on a variety of activities on school campuses to make sure that they're safe. I mean things like, you know, manning gates and so on are things that we need additional resources for. We will be hiring those resources on the second half of the school year so that we're ready for the start of the next school year when those funds become available through the referendum.

Does that answer --
MS. AKER REECE: Sort of. So it doesn't relate to capital; it wont have an impact?

MS. MARTE: So the question you asked and the question Mr. Runcie just answered does not relate at all to capital. And you're an accountant like I am, so you know there's a segregation of funds. MS. AKER REECE: Right.

MS. MARTE: You are absolutely correct. The state did not provide us enough money to meet that mandate. But we're very fortunate in that the 10 municipalities and the Broward Sheriff's Office partner with us. So our program runs that we cost-share some of those positions. So the communities are bearing part of the cost of those SROs and we're extraordinarily grateful for that. But, you know, that has nothing to do with what's available for capital.

Your other question surrounding the cameras and the PA systems and the radios, that money was set aside because of favorable year-end balances in projects and stuff that we were closing that were outside the SMART Bond that had been done with millage in prior years. So as we closed those out and funds were available, the Board
made a decision to continue to fund the Bond Program at the 225 they committed to and do those projects based on how important they were to the families across the District. So we've been able to pull together both solutions.

The other thing out there and $I$ was going to tell you this, we have a FEMA reimbursement coming at some point, hopefully, in the next couple of years which we'll additionally be able to sure up either the security or the Bond Program. So I think that's the third leg of the stool.

MS. AKER REECE: Thank you.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I want to follow up to that question if I could, Mr. Runcie, or maybe possibly your side of the house, I'm not sure where it falls.

I'm fully aware of the school resource officer issues you've been dealing with and I applaud you for your efforts in that area. I know it's very difficult to hire and put people in positions today. There are multiple vacancies in public safety, in both police and fire and EMS and we're having issues trying to keep people on board.

The issue I'm bringing up has to do with the communication systems. The communication systems you're putting in are internal to the School Board; correct?

SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: Yes, the communication systems that we refer to are internal for the school board.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: The one issue that came up, and it's a question by the fire chiefs and the police chiefs is the bidirectional antennas. In some of these facilities, I'm not sure if the public's aware of it, but our radios don't work inside. In an active shooter situation, a medical situation, whatever it might be, you have to have an internal antenna in some cases to be able to communicate outside the building. I'm aware of the last time that was looked at, I think it was the City of Coconut Creek.

SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: That is correct.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: They had to pay for the bidirectional antenna to be installed in a school facility. Are you addressing that situation? I understand in that case they were looking to solve the problem up front. Are the additional funds going to be available to install the BDAs?

SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: So let me -- so there aren't any funds available to do the BDAs and the cost of that would be substantial, reaching into the tens of millions of dollars.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Correct.
SUPERINTENDANT RUNCIE: That's going to be a challenge for the District and this county to figure out how to solve that. You know, we've been dealing with those on a community by community basis. But a system-wide, county-wide view of that, $I$ know that's something that IT is working on, but that's -- that's something the municipalities are going to have to work with the District and look at. We've certainly been collaborating as much as we can. We've done that with Coconut Creek. There's another city we're working with right now. But it's a pretty extensive proposition, as you know, significant labor costs, et cetera, associated with it. So we're talking with the folks at the county and vendors, Motorola, AT\&T and others to see what are some of the solutions that are coming out that may be more cost effective, but it's -- it's a challenge right now.

MR. HUNTER: If I could weigh in on that to
add just a little bit to that?
So, as the Bond Oversight Committee knows, this is separate and apart from the SMART Program, but I think the Bond Oversight Committee would be very concerned about whether or not it might impact budgets related to the SMART Program, so that makes perfect sense.

I do want to -- as the Superintendent has said, it is something that we're going to have to look at. The Marjory Stoneman Douglas Act requires all school districts across the state to actually do an evaluation of each one of their buildings to determine the access for public safety. That is something that our Board is aware of. It's something that will be going on a schedule for a future meeting. And it's at that point, when we've done that work, we'll have a better handle on what the true need is across the District. I'd also like to say that I firmly believe that as a community we have to all come together with our first responders and talk about the best possible solution for this. Because I don't believe this is a problem just for schools. And you would know better than $I$ as the Fire Chief, but certainly for our schools, we need to
find the right solution so that when there is an event, that first responders have the access that they need. The bidirectional amplifiers are certainly what's been used heretofore, but I think we need to look at everything and kind of figure out what the best possible solution will be.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I agree. Thank you, Mr. Hunter. There are other options. That's why I'm bringing it and putting it on the table. As you know the county's spending somewhere in upwards of $\$ 100$ million on a new radio system that's supposed to be coming in by this time next year. MR. HUNTER: Right.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: And we're not prepared for that at this point. Without the two-way communication there are mobile devices that can serve to improve some of those thing we're talking about.

MR. HUNTER: I'd like to catch up with you and you can probably help steer me where I need to go in terms of bringing the right people together to have that conversation.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I guess I just volunteered; huh?

Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anything else?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: All right. We can finally move on to Supplier Diversity Outreach Program.

MR. HUNTER: Through the Chair? I apologize. Just because I have to, back to Bruce's comment around Mirror Lake, that bothers me, I can't imagine anything being out there that long. So I'd just like to say, I looked back at the Mirror Lake program, obviously under the technology program they had $\$ 60,000$ of technology that was delivered to them.

Under the Choice Program they first put their first order in in March of 2017. It was delivered -- it was put in in February of 2017, delivered in March of 2017.

What's on order now, and I don't have the exact numbers, are additional computers that they have ordered as a result of their SMART dollars. I don't know the date that they were ordered. I will find out and we will get them there.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you, Mr. Hunter. Okay. Anything else?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Let's go to Supplier Diversity, please.

MS. COKER: For the third time.

Good evening everyone. Mary Coker, Director of Procurement \& Warehousing Services. I wanted to highlight today since our last meeting I believe back in May we've come this far having gone live with our supplier on-boarding portal where we passed Policy 3330 back in July of 2017, it was part of policy to ensure compliance to have what we call the Central Bidders Registration. So we went live on June 27 th of this year. And since then $I$ wanted to highlight that we so far have 1,177 registered firms in our supplier portal, 334 that are in queue. We continue to have Tuesday Tech Talks in order to help ensure that we are training and reaching out to the community and to vendors to register. We've had 24 participants so far.

I also wanted to highlight as part of -- next slide please -- our certification and outreach I know that Florida TaxWatch always reaches out and wants to make sure that we are outreaching, doing our outreach and recruiting, et cetera, but I
wanted to highlight through this slide that we have increased our certifications. We have a total of 797 certified firms. We increased this by 10 percent since last quarter. And we have 100 percent total M/WBE participation commitment, 100 percent. Specifically we made it to design only firms that we committed in the design phase. We had six outreach events. When I'm done with my presentation I'd like to distribute our Supplier Diversity \& Outreach program year-end report that we had the honor to present last week to the Board Members, to the School Board. You can take this information to go. It's basically just a year-end recap of trends and data analytics from the implementation of our policy through the inclusion of minority vendors throughout not only the SMART Program but also just throughout all the commodities in the district.

Next slide, please.
Before going into the Cumulative Minority Spend by Ethnicity I also wanted to talk about through the supplier portal, new outreach portal that went live in June, we also have a reduction in time of processing our applications, which was
one of our major handicaps. It would take us over 60 days to process the applications because they were manual. Now going on-line we cut that over 50 percent, so I wanted to highlight that. And also from our outreach events we went ahead and targeted specific outreach events to women, per the recommendation of TaxWatch, but we've done more targeting events regarding, whether it be subcontractors to be networking with prime vendors, targeting veteran-owned businesses or we have different specific targeted outreach events. And the targeted events that we've done so far increased what we call our outlier, what I call the conversion rate from the time that you can tap into that prospect contractor vendor to the time that they become certified and you put them in front of work for them to actually be able to be responsive. And we went up to seven percent. So that's a pretty good number from the prior year that we had, which was about two percent. Going back to this slide now, Cumulative Spend by Ethnicity, I want to highlight the increase in Women Business Enterprise to 12.83. This is all women. This is a significant increase from the one percent that we had the
quarter before. And, again, this goes back to our targeted outreach that we've done so far, which has been very successful.

If you move on to the next slide, please.
I also wanted to highlight in the Cumulative Minority Spend by Gender, again, you will see the increase related to women, to female, which also went up one percent from the prior -- from the prior quarter.

And finally, as I mentioned earlier, if I may through the Chair, provide the Committee copies of our report. I think it's important to note that these numbers are not noted here, but if you look and have the opportunity to read the report you'll see that we have a 96 percent participation commitment of S/M/WBE, which, again, the trend continues to demonstrate how more and more, quarter after quarter, we continue to tap into the local community and increase that inclusion per our commitment and the Board and the Superintendent's commitment.

That is it on my end. I don't know if you have any questions.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob?
MR. NAVE: We have no comments. It's good.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anybody?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Hearing no comments, no questions, we'll move on to Communications.

MS. GARTH: Good evening. Yvonne Garth, Garth Solutions. We're part of the CBRE/Heery team.

Our communications effort over the last quarter and really moving ahead are focused on getting out into the community and communicating at the school level. We've done quite a bit of outreach events and we're proactively going out there, whether it's through the Project Charter Meetings, the Kickoff Meetings, but just making sure that we are helping the community to understand what they can expect to see as far as the improvements in their particular schools.

In addition to that, other communication tools that we -- you can expect to see, we're already implementing some of these. I know the question came up earlier on how are the schools -- how are we going to communicate specifically to the schools what they can expect to see in terms of schedule? Some of the -- some of the initiatives that are already underway is
when there's a milestone or the Board makes approved -- approves a project moving forward, whether it's advertised for a design firm or whether it's hit another milestone, we do send letters out to the principals immediately, within 24 hours of that Board action, to let them know what's happening. Additional items that we are doing are -- every year at the new school year we put together and distribute what we call a backpack piece. But essentially it's an update on what's happening in the program overall, but very importantly and specifically we include a copy of their Spotlights. That's currently in production and out for distribution, but a couple other initiatives that you can expect to see include a more expanded social media campaign. We're going to be working more closely with the principals and arming them with information that they can push out to their parents through ParentLink. We're also working on signage that they can place in the schools so if a visitor or parent walks into the school they can get a snapshot of what's happening at their school and what they can expect to see. So we'll continue to report on that.

I did want to also address the communication metrics and the information that we're putting out there.

As Frank mentioned earlier, our goal is to align with how -- you're going to see the reports in your Bond Oversight Committee Report, but also making sure that we simplify the information and the data and make sure it's accurate and transparent as we push that out into the public.

Lastly, but certainly not least, Mr. Bernard, I do want to address your comment regarding the soft cost/hard cost. As I mentioned the last time that was completed. My understanding was it was submitted for distribution to the committee. I am not sure what happened. I will certainly get to the bottom of that and make sure that we follow up and that you have that report next meeting.

I'm happy to take any questions.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bob?
MR. NAVE: We have no comments.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Anyone?
MR. HILLBERG: I have a question.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Please.
MR. HILLBERG: Are the communications geared
towards the parents of students or just the general population that are paying taxes?

MS. GARTH: Well, our communications are targeted towards the community within that school. So the parents in particular are our primary target audience, but secondarily we want to make sure that anybody else that picks up the communication materials will know what's happening at their schools. For example, the municipalities, we have been doing so over the course of the last year, but we'll continue to provide the municipalities with reports on what's happening in their city schools. So when we -when we complete the quarterly Bond Oversight Committee Report, as an example, one of the steps that we take is to -- to sort the Spotlights by municipality and we post that on the website. So any city, whether it's a commissioner or a constituent can go on the website and pull up their city's report and look at all of the schools within their community.

MR. HILLBERG: Thank you.
MS. KRISHNAIYER: Just to follow up, you said you send the communication, the update, to the principal. Are you depending on the principal to
distribute it to the school community? Because sometimes it doesn't.

MS. GARTH: Are you speaking about the backpack piece?

MS. KRISHNAIYER: Yeah.
MS. GARTH: We send it out to the principals. It's already printed. It's packaged and with instructions on -- elementary schools, for example, we ask them to put it in the students' backpacks. For middle and high school, you know, chances are parents aren't looking in their backpacks, so we print a smaller quantity and ask them to place them in a visible place within the school. So we are relying, to answer your question, on the principals, but I will also add that we work with Dr. Wanza to make sure that that communication gets out to them and they understand how important it is to make sure that that information is disseminated as well.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: The reason I ask it that we hear from a lot of parents that they don't know what's going on. So is there any way you can communicate, send something that goes directly to the school community instead of routing it through the principal?
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MS. GARTH: We will certainly look into that. We do post social media, but, again, if we're targeting a piece directly to the parents in that school, then we do need to work with the principals. But that is something that we will explore a little bit more.

MS. KRISHNAIYER: And that would also help with the whole process because it will be positive if that's done. If parents don't know there's frustration and questions. And so it would eliminate some of that.

MS. GARTH: Thank you for that.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any other comments; questions?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Moving on to a hopefully brief briefing from the School Board Workshop.

MR. HILLBERG: Okay. I wasn't ready for how intense the workshop was. And based on the reorganization that we're seeing here it was an undercurrent of things to come. They just generally were disappointed with the progress of the bond program. That's probably no stretch of the imagination.

One of the comments that they had -- I'll go over six comments/questions that they had. The first one was that TaxWatch seems to have the same items in the report every time and is there something -- let's just get rid of those, let's take care of the issues and move on from that.

Bob's smiling over there.
Now, on change orders, the change of scope, the School Board would like full explanations for those.

Their concern on the design firms that have had delays and continue to get work, that's something you may want to consider. If there is a spotty record in the city we rank our consultants and we kind of keep track of who's got issues and who does not and what their responses are and use that to help us decide who deserves the contracts.

The Board noted the backlog. The consultants responded in their comments that were made earlier tonight, so I think that's -- I just wanted to add to the emphasis on that.

They were adamant in wanting to know how to fix the bottlenecks in the Single Point of Entry projects and they wanted that in writing, a
response to the Board.
And, last, just the progress issue was that, you know, there were 10 percent of projects that are in Phases 4, 5 and 6 and they were frustrated that it was that far behind, the projects.

And that's all I have for the summary.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you. There's a future workshop on October 23rd. Bruce, did you say you want to go to that?

MR. BERNARD: I insist.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Okay.
MR. HILLBERG: I'd like to go again, if you don't want to.

MS. AKER REECE: Who's going?
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Bruce. It only seems fitting.

We have another meeting coming up. Our next meeting is what, November 8th, I believe?

MS. AKER REECE: Doesn't it say December 17th?

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: December 17th; okay. Is there any issues with that date that we should know about now that were not said before? And the other dates are far enough in advance that I think we should all be fine.

Is there any other business that we need to discuss other than the date that we just mentioned a moment ago?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Hearing that there's no other business, let's recess the business meeting and convene the public hearing. I don't know if any member of the public signed in to provide a comment or questions. Anyone?

Dr. Nathalie Lynch-Walsh. Thank you.
Good evening.
DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hi. Good evening. I'm Dr. Nathalie Lynch-Walsh. I'm the former Chair of the Facilities Task Force and current Corresponding Secretary. This is my first Bond Oversight Committee Meeting ever. We have been sort of sitting back and waiting for you guys to realize what we've known for the past few years, because we've been tracking this.

I've been on the Facilities Task Force since 2011. We were there in the summer of 2014 when the mistakes that were made in that summer are the things we're living with now and will
continue to live with for years to come, which is, essentially, lack of planning.
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Some of the comments that were made that seem to be getting made over and over have to do with delays, budgeted overruns. Well, there's explanations for these things that are rooted in 2014.

The needs assessment was done by a firm called Jacobs, and they've done needs assessments in other districts. The problem is, when you come to Broward, no matter what company you are and no matter how successful you've implemented something in another district it all just goes sideways when you get here. So Jacobs -- and I brought you an analysis that was done the summer of 2014. Jacobs did a needs assessments in two other districts. The one they did immediately before coming here, it was Fort Bend Independent School District. The biggest difference between there and here was time. And also the process.

In terms of time, once we completed our needs assessment here, in four months it was on a ballot. In the Fort Bend Independent School District they too took a year after doing their needs assessment.

And what did they do in that year? They analyzed and came up with a master plan so you
don't have things like Northeast buildings being demolished all of a sudden. Those are decisions to make in 2014 long before you go and ask for a bond referendum. You wouldn't have things like they finally admitted that Stranahan needed a larger cafeteria. That was known back in 2014, but it didn't fit into the 800 million. So this analysis, which I did and presented to the Board in 2014 -- actually, it might be easier if you don't mid taking them and passing them down.

In addition to the timeline issue the order of operation of this process was a problem. If you look on the next to last page, in Fort Bend Independent School District they did a needs assessment and then they reviewed. They took a year and reviewed everything. Do we need capacity here? Do we not need capacity? Is there a program we can move? They also did a master facilities plan. Then they made recommendations and then they determined how much funding was needed and then they asked for a bond.

Here they did a slapped-together needs assessment, decided that 800 million was the magic number and then spent 30 -- something like

26 to 30 hours of School Board Workshop time coming up with different prioritization lists, the goal of which was to spread the 800 million across the District so people would vote yes, not based on the most critical needs.

The amount of money it will take to fix Northeast is the amount of money that should have been on their summary page back in 2014. It wasn't there because they needed to put the money somewhere else to get another community to vote yes. So then they did recommendations and then they did a review at Board Workshops but they had no time at all.

So all of these mistakes, that's just one round of mistakes. What you're seeing, this is where we're getting into scope issues. There was no time for well-defined scopes. There was no time for doing an analysis. So that was one issue.

Then three years ago Leo Bobadilla was hired as the Chief Facilities Officer. And I notice his name was not really mentioned tonight, but he's still employed here. On paper he's still the Chief Facilities Officer making \$196,000 a year.

In three years that he has been here, when you talk about the schedule, the 12 -month construction schedule that made everybody think that 2021 was when everything was going to be over, realistically, add five years to whatever day you're on, five to seven years, and that's the actual end of this bond program. And coupled with that are all of the tax -- the millage money, that money's needed to cover all those cost overruns. They couldn't very well have done this any faster in the past three years because they would have had to admit that they were over budget.

But in the meantime Bobadilla was hired from Houston, a district that was $\$ 200$ million in the hole on their bond program. He was hired October 20th amidst a cloud, you may remember, of
controversy because there were three audits that looked at departments that he was in charge of. And the final audit came out the day after the bond -- the Board approved his hiring. The day after. And then they released the audit in Houston. But understand that it was about his management of that bond. Because I read it multiple times. That is what that audit was
about.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Dr. Walsh, I don't want to prematurely cut you short but there is a timer.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Actually, I'm glad you mentioned that, because according to your resolution we're allowed some time and it doesn't say how long.

But in any event, he's still employed here. So he was the one that was supposed to be in charge of Heery.

Actually, $I$ don't see the timer.
MS. MYRICK: I timed it. I did three minutes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, okay. You know that the resolution says, especially for the Facilities Task Force and Diversity, that we're allowed to speak.

MS. MYRICK: Well, I don't know what this bylaw says --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What I'm following is in the resolution.

MS. MYRICK: Well, the resolution is fine, but the Board allows three minutes, so that's what I timed, which is the typical amount that we
allow at meetings.
DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I understand.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: We'll give you another moment.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you. Because it's actually up to the Chair.

Okay. So all of these issues with Heery's performance, you've expressed some frustration, who's minding the store? That's supposed to be Leo Bobadilla. That is his job on paper.

The idea that we now have the Executive Director of Capital Programs, there's no restructuring going on here. Leo Bobadilla has been pulled off the very thing that he was hired to do and that he was responsible for and gets paid for, and that he has been here for three years. So things that have been -- there's no oversight of Leo Bobadilla. He didn't have a performance evaluation until he had been here for two years, and there's only been one. So he just got renewed in June, and how is it that his performance is so poor that he's now not even doing what he was hired to do? What does that tell you about where things stand?

The bond -- we did a public records request
for the risk assessment, the very numbers you asked for earlier that no one seems to know, they know. But even on a public records request they're still sitting on the information, although, supposedly tomorrow we will be getting the risk assessment numbers. That will tell you how far the bond program is in the hole, in theory.

So thank you. And I appreciate it.
The problem is, we don't have a seat on the Bond Oversight Committee. We're not allowed to speak for more than five minutes when we give our report, so there's no place for $Q \& A$ or for us to speak in any more than sound bites, and that's by design. So we resort to writing e-mails to the Board, because that's the only avenue. The group that knows the most about the bond has the least amount of time or place to speak. And that's a -- that should be concerning to the Bond Oversight Committee. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
Seeing that there is no one else on the public hearing list that has signed in we'll adjourn the public hearing and reconvene the business meeting.

United Reporting, Inc.
(954) 525-2221

Is there anything else to discuss at this moment?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Do you want to provide any response to what Dr. Walsh had to say?

Anybody? Mr. Runcie?
SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE: You know, I heard this before and I -- I'll just say what a wise person once told me. The best way to spread some misinformation is to sprinkle a little bit of truth in it. So I'll leave it at that.

CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Any other comments; any other discussion?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: That will then conclude this meeting today. Thank you.

Do we need a motion or can we just simply conclude?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So moved.
MR. BERNARD: Second.
CHAIRMAN RABINOWITZ: Those in favor say aye.
COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
(Meeting was concluded at 7:50 p.m.)
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