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Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:
MR. RABINOWITZ: Call the meeting to order.
Good evening, everyone.
Everybody ready on that side of the room?
Great.
The first item on the agenda is merely the approval of the minutes from our meeting of February 27th. Is there a motion to approve the minutes?

CHIEF DiPETRILLO: So moved.
MR. BERNARD: Second.
MR. RABINOWITZ: All those in favor, say "aye."

ALL PRESENT: Aye.
MR. RABINOWITZ: All right. Moving on to -the next item on the agenda is membership. As all of you know, the resolution permitted or required there to be nine seats. We obviously only have -we need a quorum of the five of the seven members that exist. It was one of the items that we discussed at numerous other meetings relative to whether or not there were other individuals. We were fortunate enough to have Bruce join us.

Is there any other individual that is a member currently of the committee that has recommendations
to fill the two open seats?
CHIEF DiPETRILLO: Not at this time.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Is there anybody from the
School Board that has any recommendations to fill those two seats or any direction that you could provide us to fill those seats?

Don't all jump up at once.
MR. SHIM: Omar Shim, director of capital budget, School Board.

The two remaining seats, if I am not mistaken, one of them is a minority builder, and then the other seat would be -- I think it's an engineer or architect field. So those are the two remaining seats, I just thought $I$ would mention that.

MS. AKER REECE: So, from what I can tell, they can't participate in any of the School Board projects, so that's why those two positions are very difficult to fill.

So was it discussed with the School Board to have two different professions on the board? Because, I mean, we may not be able to get anyone who commits to never being in business with the School Board.

MR. SHIM: They initially had specific organizations tied to those -- to those positions,
but then we went and changed the resolution to just have some background in that area. So that's the last change to the resolution.

And then there was some discussion about it being a retired person from that area, so I don't know where you would find that, or I don't know if any of the -- those organizations would have any recommendation as far as retired people.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Is the School Board expecting us to now locate one of those retired individuals, or is there someone on the staff of the School Board that could help us consider and fulfill those two open seats? Has it been discussed with the School Board at all?

I mean, I didn't think that we were necessarily going to be the recruiting body for our own committee. We have -- like I said, we are fortunate enough to have Bruce; but given the inherent conflict with the composition that was selected and active individuals not wanting to participate, I think that we all could use some direction on what the Board wants in that regard.

I think Bob has a thought.
MR. NAVE: No. What I was thinking is the minority builders would be probably the most
difficult chair to fill, because their folks will bid on projects; but the engineering/architect type, perhaps FAU or one of the public universities, their engineering departments or architecture schools, would have faculty that could serve.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Then that begs the question, is it the Board's desire that you want committee members to reach out to faculty at educational institutions to see if one of their members, a professor or whatever, would be interested in serving; or would that be a function that could be done by someone on the staff of the School Board?

MR. SHIM: I would be happy to take that on, if I just had some direction from the committee. If the committee has active discussion as to any direction, then I would be happy to call, if that's -- as -- to help.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Any thoughts, Bruce?
MR. BERNARD: I think that -- to me, that's fine, and you should have some -- maybe some engineers or architects that are retired and worked on School Board projects in the past that aren't working. You have a ton of retirees out of the School Board that ain't been out working for you no
more.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Who better to scrutinize the School Board than someone who used to work for the School Board?

MS. AKER REECE: We have someone on our fire bond committee who used to work for the city, and the other committee members say, "you know where the skeletons are hidden."

The other option would be someone in a city -you know, in a different city, who is an engineer, because they wouldn't be contracting with the school Board -- or another public organization, the State or whoever that might be.

So I think we would be open to someone, but I don't really know how we go about a recruitment from a Board perspective.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Is that enough direction, Omar?

MR. SHIM: Yeah. I'll take that direction and come up with something, and if I have any other issues, Adam, I'll try to get ahold of you and work it out.

MR. RABINOWITZ: You'll have a short list by tomorrow?

MR. CHOMIAK: Very short.
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MR. RABINOWITZ: All right. Thank you very much.

Moving on to the next component of the agenda is the quarterly reports, start with Mr. Hunter.

How are you doing this evening?
MR. HUNTER: Doing well.
This quarter's report -- actually page six of the presentation, actually shows that we have actually completed all of the device installs for the District. 83,362 devices have been purchased under the SMART Program, deployed and installed, as well as invoiced for at this time.

You will also see on there that we are still in the midst of completing the wireless access points, and those go hand in hand with the category six drops. We will have those completed by end of June, early July; and then we will have completed all the technology deployment at the schools for the SMART Program.

Slide seven simply shows where we are, again, with the infrastructure, Computer Gap and Cat6; but it also highlights the fact that, to date, we have seen a savings of $\$ 8.3$ million, which the Board is very much aware of; and, in fact, I think we will be having a conversation with the Board around that
tomorrow, in terms of their thoughts, in terms of how to use those funds.

MR. RABINOWITZ: You read my mind.
MR. HUNTER: It's on the Board workshop conversation for tomorrow.

Other than that, charter schools have been complete for awhile. You will see that Ms. Brown is here and may want to speak to that, as well; but we are complete with the charter school deployment.

Ms. Brown?
MS. BROWN: It went very well. After some input from this Board, we actually went out and finished up any of the last little bits of dollars and got the rest of the equipment out, and right now we are completely done with getting the charter technology out to the charter schools.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Before moving on, Ms. Brown, I know there has been a discussion in prior meetings that we have convened, and I would imagine that there are some charter schools that may be closing, unfortunately, at the end of this semester; am I correct?

MS. BROWN: Yes, yes, there are.
MR. RABINOWITZ: And what happens to the equipment, I mean, after that point; and can you
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identify for us, if you know, as you sit here, what schools have closed and what's happening to that equipment? Is it being distributed over to other charter schools? Is it being sent over to other public schools? That would be helpful.

MS. BROWN: Yes. We work very closely with the auditor's office. They are the team that's in charge of inventory after it's been distributed to the schools.

So in our last report to the bond oversight committee, we actually submitted to you all the actual transfer, what we call our TD2 forms, of each piece of equipment that would go to the next school. We have --

Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you.
We have had closed the charter at Discovery Middle, and we go in. We take a look at where we find the predominance of the children and what school they have gone back to. The predominance of those children went to Coral Springs Middle School, so we actually -- I think I included in the last response a list of every single piece of equipment that went from Discovery Middle School to Coral Springs Middle School.

We had -- a few of the students actually went
to Forest Glen Middle School, so from Discovery -there was four pieces of equipment that went from Discovery Middle to Forest Glen; and because the school also served some students with some pieces of equipment in the feeder programs to those particular schools, we were able to provide two pieces of equipment to James Hunt Elementary School, as a part of the feeder for the 5 th grade students going up into Coral Springs and Forest Glen Middle.

The other charter school that closed was Broward Community Charter West. The predominance of those students actually went to Coral Springs Elementary School, so we have the TD2 forms for each of those equipments, and it has been shipped to Coral Springs Elementary School.

Broward Community Charter West was also a middle school, so as those students reregistered at the school, the actual equipment followed the students from Broward Community Charter West to Coral Springs Middle School, as well as to Forest Glen Middle School. There was a number of small charters up in that area that closed around at the same time.

The other equipment that came from Broward

Community Charter West went to James Hunt Elementary School, because, again, it was a K to 8. So the students that showed up back at James Hunt, we also shifted equipment to that school.

Another school that closed was henry McNeal Turner, and what we found at that school was that there was a significant lack of those particular students showing up in our designated schools. It was difficult to find them, so our technology team actually went through all of the actual pieces of equipment and found that they were appropriate for ESE students. So that equipment has actually been transferred to be used by district-wide ESE students.

So when we don't have an exact match of a child to a school, we actually identify what the equipment is best used for, and we make sure that it's made available to students in the district for that use.

So that was -- the majority of the pieces of the equipment that were at Henry McNeal Turner have been shifted to ESE student use across the district.

The Pathways Academy Charter School has recently closed. This one was, shall we say, an
interesting closure, and we had some challenges with this particular closure. We had just over a hundred students at that school, and we went through every piece of equipment. The auditors went with us. The predominance of the equipment went to South Broward High School; there were four pieces that went there. We had five -- six pieces that went to Parkway Middle School, five pieces that went to the North Side.

We actually had a Promethean board follow a student to The Quest Center, which is where the student was placed, as well as at Wingate Oak Center for ESE. So we made sure the pieces of equipment matched; if it was an ESE student, it was appropriate for that student.

We actually have not recovered items for the Pathway Academy Charter School. It was 24 items that were unable to be recovered. What we have done with that school -- and we have worked with the auditor -- that particular site, we have a written document as to what our auditors are doing regarding that. They have actually been out to the school. They went through all of the equipment at the school.

They actually were unable to get into the
school, because the school closed and then became a private school, and they reworked. The auditors were told that the equipment was held in an external warehouse someplace. They were not able to track down those 24 items in a warehouse, so the auditors went even further.

They have, obviously, filed a police report for each of those 24 pieces of equipment. They also contacted the lessor of the building that the charter school was in previously and were able to get into that site to see if the equipment may have been in the site that they were now closed out of. The equipment was not found there.

So they actually have contacted, obviously, the Broward Sheriff's Office. They actually have called and contacted the office of the inspector general, and they have filed a claim with the State Department of Education in their effort to recover the assets from the parent company is called Silva of South Florida, doing business as Pathways Charter School.

So we have got a process, a very specific process, to recover those assets, and I think we have got -- again, it is not -- it is not the best of circumstances, but our auditors have been
awesome helping us to track those pieces down, get into places that staff is not able to get into to make sure that we do everything we can to recover the assets.

MR. RABINOWITZ: What's the total value of the prospective loss?

MS. BROWN: Let's see, \$40,797.
MR. RABINOWITZ: What's the likelihood, if that equipment is not located and found, of the District getting reimbursed somehow for that prospective loss.

MS. BROWN: The process we do is we actually, of course, work with the Inspector General's Office of the State of Florida. Once they have done their investigation, we do take a look at applying this cost towards the insurance company for asset recovery from the governing board and the insurance company of that charter school.

If that is unsuccessful, then we would put the -- with all the due diligence attached, we would put it through our own insurance company to replace the assets. It's a few more steps, but we are hoping the Office of the Inspector General will help us along with that.

MR. RABINOWITZ: So there is a prospective for
reimbursement, whether it be from an insurer or the charter school's insurer, for this loss if the equipment is not found.

MS. BROWN: Yes. Thank you.
MS. AKER REECE: Is there ever litigation that happens about unrecovered assets?

MS. BROWN: We always look at that as an opportunity; however, sometimes, when charter schools close and governing boards disband, it -it's difficult to find the party through which we would sue --

MS. AKER REECE: Okay.
MS. BROWN: -- but that is still on the table until we exhaust everything.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
MS. BROWN: Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Moving on to --
MR. BERNARD: Mr. Hunter?
MR. HUNTER: Yes, sir.
MR. BERNARD: You talked last meeting about the charter schools, the computers that we gave the charter schools, and we asked if you could find a ratio with the ratio of computers to student in the charter schools; have you been able to?

MR. HUNTER: We haven't, and the reason is --
and Ms. Brown can speak to this, as well -- here in the District there was specific formulas that we had to follow in terms of the number of devices that we had to deliver to schools.

Charter schools didn't have any of those formulas. Many chose to buy computers, but many chose to buy things, other than the computers. They bought interactive panels, which the District was not allowed to do under the district SMART program. They bought STEM equipment. They bought a number of different things that was not specific to computers, so they spent their money on things other than computers.

So that's why we have not been able to come up with a student-to-computer ratio. Charter schools did not have -- they really had kind of an open book, as long as it was technology for students in terms of what they could buy.

MR. BERNARD: Do you have a list of what they bought with that money?

MR. HUNTER: We do have a complete list.
MS. SIEGEL: You mentioned that the devices went to the exceptional student education program. What devices were those, and did they go to students or teachers?
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MS. BROWN: They went to student use. They are distributed based on student needs. There was an iPad retina Powersync tray, which is a tray for working with manipulatives. There were some iMac desktops that allow a student a larger space to work on. Again, a lot of the retina display mini iPads were a part of it. There actually were these interactive whiteboards, and then there also were some tables that students can actually stand up around to use the technology, rather than having to be at a seat at an individual unit.

MS. SIEGEL: Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Any other questions?
MS. SIEGEL: Thank you.
MS. BROWN: You are welcome.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Moving on.
Ms. Cantrick, is she here tonight?
There you are. Good evening.
MS. CANTRICK: Good evening.
Do you want me to go up to the mic?
So we have been pretty successful in pushing orders through. We have 26,000 instruments or pieces of equipment that are in the hands of children. We have another 15,000 that have been ordered and are being delivered. We have, to this
point, spent a little over 13 million of the 19.2 allocated to music.

We are -- I had -- in the chart that I had put together for the schools for deadlines -- I'm just going off-site here for a second -- just to clarify that all the record orders were due from the schools by March 10th, we had a few stragglers, but those are coming in, and we anticipate having all orders complete by the end of June.

This chart here is a demonstration of the categories of instruments that have been ordered, and then, on the next slide, we have by level: elementary, middle and high.

So we are moving along rather quickly with the escalation of the funds, and we should be done by the end of June with all of the schools that have programs, so we are two years ahead of schedule.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Questions, anyone?
MS. AKER REECE: Congratulations.
MR. BERNARD: You said there is still 20; from this report, you still have about 24 percent of the schools still in the ordering process.

MS. CANTRICK: So there are approximately 25 schools that don't have programs, so that money we will hold through to the end of the fifth year, and
we will work with those schools to try and get music programs and thus utilize those funds during the next two years.

But separate from those, we have about an additional 20, 25 schools that are finishing up their orders. There were a few --

MR. BERNARD: 45 percent are waiting for remaining instruments. You have 31 percent complete.

MS. CANTRICK: Right. So we've spent 13 point -- 13.2 of the 19.2, so we have approximately 6 million left to spend.

As I said, some of those are for schools that don't have programs, so we won't be utilizing that. Then we have another approximately 25 other schools that are finishing up their orders.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Any other comments, questions? No?

Thank you very much.
Athletics?
MS. BROWN: So we had some great movement in this area. I am going to have Shawn Cerra come up with join us and Greg Boardman.

These two gentlemen have been doing some awesome work with working with each individual
school. We had some great lead -- lead charter contract development examples through Leo and Robert and Robert's work. So they actually go out and do, basically, a charter with each of the schools on their weight rooms, so that we make sure that we meet specifically what the needs are, as well as take a look across the district to make sure we have equity.

So I am going to let them start with the track and weight rooms. I just think that they have developed a great process, and they can bring you up on where we are.

MR. CERRA: Thank you, Ms. Brown.
Shawn Cerra, director of athletics.
MR. BOARDMAN: Greg Boardman, facilities department.

MR. CERRA: And we are proud to be part of the quarterly report now.

We had $\$ 3,000,810,000$ allocated for new tracks. To date we have completed three middle schools and six high schools. We have six high schools remaining that are pending. Currently we have Taravella and Miramar that should, with everything going forward, be finished by the end of summer; and then we will have four that will be in
motion next fall. The six that are in -- pending are waiting for Board approval when it comes to the bid process.

When it comes to the weight room, we have 30 high schools that have been allocated $\$ 3,634,000$, collectively. We are in the selection process when it comes to design solution. We have ten high schools that have already picked their -- their team that will be working at the individual school to deliver, and we have twenty that are in pending mode.

To date, like I mentioned, we have four vendors that are -- have been prequalified. You have Busy Body Gyms to Go, Life Fitness Hammer Strength. We have M-F Athletic and ProMaxima.

The selection team that sits with them -- they are each given an hour presentation. After they have gone to the school, they have taken an inventory, they have designed and quoted the actual square footage of the available space, they come back, and they present to a team within the school, that we facilitate.

And that is a six -- at a minimum, a six-member team at that individual site, and we have been asking the principal, the assistant
principal over athletics, the athletic director, typically a major head coach at the individual site, a weightlifting teacher that works with the curriculum and the property and inventory contact.

MS. AKER REECE: Quick question: So do they design to budget, or how does that work?

MR. CERRA: Absolutely, that's a great question.

Each school has been allocated \$121,000, except for the Coral Glades that's going to be part of the phase 5; they have 125,000 -- but each school is given a budget of 121, and the vendors design those rooms and quote those rooms up to that dollar amount, minus we have given ourselves $\$ 6,000$ worth of wiggle room.

If you want to explain that?
MR. BOARDMAN: Yeah, we left a little bit of money for some upgrades with regards to painting, some murals, maybe even a sound system, after the FF\&E, which is the weight room equipment is installed.

The process that we have established right now is the vendor submits to us a design solution. The facilities department is going to review that design solution to make sure it's in compliance
with codes and standards, Americans for Disabilities Act; and then, once that design solution is approved, then we are going to issue a PO to the vendor, and then they can order the specialized weight room equipment. Most of the vendors are talking about an eight-week delivery time from the time that we release the $P O$ to the actual installation on the equipment.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Another question.
MR. BERNARD: Can we get a schedule for each high school's weight room so we know when they are starting the planning and when the completion dates, because you know your eight weeks and everything else, so we can actually track something, not just pending.

MR. CERRA: Absolutely. We'll make sure that's included in the next quarterly report.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I think that's one of TaxWatch's recommendations.

MR. CERRA: Yes, it was.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Waiting for the Bob to walk in the door.

The other issue that TaxWatch recognizes, as well, is what is going on Pompano High.

MR. CERRA: Pompano's track has been
completed. They are in the process of -- there is six tracks that are pending. We are waiting for bid approval. They are two special events parts of that, the long jump and pole vault lanes, that need to be completed, and that would be the first part of the remaining work that would be done.

So as soon as we get that bid approved, that work would be a hundred percent complete, but the -- the lane track around the stadium, which is eight lanes, has been completed.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you. Thank you very much.

Any other questions?
Thanks.
Facilities?
MR. BOBADILLA: Good evening.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Good evening.
MR. BOBADILLA: Leo Bobadilla, chief
facilities officer. Thank you.
So before I turn it to over Mr. Chomiak with Heery, who is going to be walking us through the update, $I$ just wanted to share with the committee that, at this stage in the program, overall, we have a lot of work that is in the design stage that we continue to progress through that design phase.

So we have seen some good progress in that.
Single point of entry is some of the earliest work that you will see starting to come out. We see some of that work starting over the summer and continuing through the rest of the year, so we are very excited about that.

The other work, the school choice work, you will see some data on that, as well. We also progress with deliveries to the schools, as they have been making choices on what are the things that they would like to purchase for the schools. We are delivering those things out to schools. Those things we don't consider completed until a hundred percent of the items have been delivered. So you will see some low numbers when it comes to "completed," but that's because some of the items have longer lead items than others. But with that, I will turn it over to Mr. Chomiak, who will be walking us through the update for facilities.

MR. CHOMIAK: Thank you, Leo.
Despite what it said on the screen, Robert and I are actually going to tag-team this a little bit, so -- Rob Chomiak for Heery.

Slide 18 these are high level numbers, you have probably seen most of these in the past.

There are 232 schools with SMART projects in the group DEFP document, which is what our guidance is; and by "projects," we say 1,400 projects, we identify those as a line item in the DEFP. So that's not necessarily how we are delivering these, because we are packaging multiple projects into a design package or construction package; but we track them for, the purposes of reporting, by the line items in the DEFP. So we can always tie directly back to that document.

That 1,400 can fluctuate on a monthly basis. Sometimes we split a project into two. Sometimes, like in the case of the weight rooms, we have been tracking that. Now that's in Sean's report, so we take that away from our tracking numbers. So you will see fluctuations from month to month.

MR. BERNARD: I have a quick question, when you say that you have 89 percent of the projects in schools underway --

MR. CHOMIAK: Yes.
MR. BERNARD: -- in your report, where it says "pending start," there is 45 percent of the schools say "pending start" with the primary renovations. MR. CHOMIAK: Yes, that is correct. MR. BERNARD: That ain't 89 percent of them on
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their way when you have got 45 percent saying "pending start."

MR. CHOMIAK: No, a lot of those are related to school choice.

MR. BERNARD: No, these are all primary renovations.

MR. CHOMIAK: Correct, but the 89 percent reflects any project at that school starting, so that's why you will see a higher number there, than the primary. So we count school choice -- if a school choice project has started at the school, it is part of the 89 percent, whereas it may not be part of the 55 percent that is --

MR. BERNARD: School choice is only a few million dollars. The rest of the -- the biggest portion is the major renovations in the schools.

MR. CHOMIAK: Correct.
MR. BERNARD: To me that number just looks out of whack when you put that -- because you did school choice, you have 89 percent of the projects working; but the major portion of the work is -the 45 percent that has not started is in the primary renovations.

MR. CHOMIAK: Right, and so the 67 percent on the left is dealing with the dollar aspect of it,
and the number on the right is just strictly a school count. So of the 232 schools, 89 percent of them have a project that is started in the SMART program under the facilities work we are doing.

We can -- we can -- you know, one thing I wanted to say about this slide, we are working on additional KPIs in the future to expand on the one we have been reporting on --

MR. BERNARD: If you break it down and say that it's just, you know, on that page, the number, 89 percent, that's school choice, and not that -you can't have 45 percent of schools not even started and put 89 percent. If I read that on the outside, it looks like 89 percent of the stuff is going on.

MR. CHOMIAK: All right. We'll clarify that. Again, it's --

MR. BERNARD: It's a small number on the bottom, the school enhancement program.

MR. CHOMIAK: Correct. The intent of that was just to show the schools that are being impacted currently with a project; but in the future we will clarify that and show both numbers.

So this is the six phase chart we have been carrying throughout the program, and I am just
going to jump -- Denise, if you would -- to the next slide. It completes the numbers and compares them to the last quarterly report we did. What you will see is a big kind of bubble floating through here. We started the beginning of 2016 with the process of hiring design teams, so we were putting out roughly 30, sometimes 40 billion dollars a month in new projects for design consultant procurement, and that process has continued steady every month since the beginning of 2016.

So now we are to a point where you see a lot of projects moving from December to March into the design phase. So they come out of the hire the design team, and a lot of projects, the big bubble right now is the design project phase. What you will start to see shortly are those early projects coming out into the construction phase,
construction procurement phase. So we would expect to see those numbers continue to shift down the chart as we move forward the next couple of months, couple reports that you will see, you will see a big change in the construction start-ups that take place.

Question on that? I sense a question.
Okay. Thank you.
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MR. RABINOWITZ: I do.
MR. CHOMIAK: Yes, sir.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I don't know, we have been doing this over a year already, and it's not the first time that we've heard that things were being put out for bid, things are in the design stage, and that's why, for lack of a better word, shovels aren't hitting the ground as much as, possibly, the public would want to know it's actually happening.

When do we expect -- and I am asking for the people that read newspapers, for example: When can we expect the majority of the projects to actually have shovels in the ground or hammers being swung.

MR. CHOMIAK: We are getting back to the primary renovations. That, to me, is what we call pipeline. All the other stuff that is taking place, it's secondary in dollar values.

So the primary renovations, the first projects -- and, Robert, correct me if I am wrong -- are either out to bid or about to go out to bid?

MR. CORBIN: They are out to bid right now. MR. CHOMIAK: It's just a couple of projects, but the bulk of them, through the rest of the year -- and I've got a graph I will be happy to
share with you that shows this, but throughout the balance of this year, that's just going to -- that volume is going to increase and the peak.

I'm sorry, your question was --
MR. RABINOWITZ: I'm not quite sure you answered my question. My question is when is a shovel going to hit the ground or a hammer going to be swung? Is it still "I don't know" after we are sitting here for a year asking questions?

MR. CHOMIAK: No, we have schedules for that.
MR. RABINOWITZ: So when are the majority of schools going to see actual people working on them?

MR. CHOMIAK: The peak is going to be in 2018. The volume is going to steadily increase through the rest of the year with construction starts, and by the end of this year, there should be at least a dozen primary renovations. In the first quarter of next year that number is going to go up substantially.

MR. BERNARD: When is the scheduled completion date?

MR. CHOMIAK: The -- currently we are still on target to have everything done within the five- to seven-year window that was --

MR. BERNARD: What is the last year of that
seven year window.
MR. CHOMIAK: Seventh year would be June of 2021 is the end of the seventh year.

MR. BERNARD: Because some of your pending starts aren't starting until you have got two years into them, two and a half years into them, so you delay anything, you are never going to hit those dates.

MR. CHOMIAK: So the --
MR. BERNARD: The last year of the program, with all the numbers you have -- you got two-and-a-half to three years built in from start to planning to completion.

MR. CHOMIAK: Right.
MR. BERNARD: You are behind the eight ball already when you are starting if you don't hit everything exactly.

MR. CHOMIAK: We have a five- to six-month buffer built into the end of this currently. We are actually working on accelerating the year five starts. We are going to work with Omar's team to accelerate at least the design work on those projects, so we can start them as early as the fourth quarter of this year and the first quarter of next year, which will be substantially sooner
than we had originally planned.
MR. BERNARD: They will be in the next report? If you accelerate something, you change what's in the report?

MR. CHOMIAK: Once we finalize that, yes.
MR. BERNARD: On the report, the new one we are looking at now, we discussed there still is not a start date in that report for when you start planning. You start -- the only date I see in there is when you start going on the hiring of the design team. You have two months built in the schedule for planning. Why aren't those dates in there? What if you take four months for planning or six months for planning?

MR. CHOMIAK: The main reason is for the majority of these, it's a couple-of-week process. Some take a little longer.

MR. BERNARD: You are into some of these programs a year behind schedule now. The big major three on the high schools that you had that you had a bidding problem and all that, they are way behind schedule. So you've got to get -- we asked this and we talked at the board meeting, also, at the last -- that we want actual dates.

MR. CHOMIAK: And we have actual dates in the
document.
MR. BERNARD: Not from the starting of the planning. You have actual dates from the starting of the hiring the design team.

MR. CHOMIAK: That's correct.
MR. BERNARD: Why do you have planning on your report and show two months in on this sheet even?

MR. CHOMIAK: The date that we obtain, that we have -- and it is in a later slide, but we can talk about it now --

MR. BERNARD: But even that, when you start planning, that, to me, is starting work on a school.

MR. CHOMIAK: Correct, but it's nothing visible to the public.

MR. BERNARD: Neither is hiring a design team, neither is doing the planning, all that is not visible to the public, either. I tell you they are looking for the shovel. That's all the public is looking for. All the other stuff is the soft cost; they don't see anything. We should see all the soft cost time schedules, or else you guys can plan for six or eight months without us knowing and say, "the actual start date is here."

MR. CHOMIAK: Okay.

MR. BERNARD: You sit --

MR. CHOMIAK: No, I understand that, but the first activity the public would see is us going to the Board for an approval to issue an RFQ for design. That kicks off the hiring and design phase. That's the date we've been focused on through this whole program in kicking off every project. We met those dates. We set that schedule over a year ago, and we've been following that schedule.

MR. BERNARD: How you and I look at it are two different things.

MS. AKER REECE: So, Bruce, I'm trying to put together what meaning does that add from our perspective when we see a planning date? Because you have a construction background --

MR. BERNARD: Well, I'm just saying, if you are going to a school and start looking at the scope of the work, see what needs to be done, that's -- they list the planning on every one of these sheets at two months, but they don't say when it starts.

MS. AKER REECE: Just to know when the planning starts.

MR. BERNARD: When did they actually start
working on that school.
MR. RABINOWITZ: So I guess the question is -let me interject for a second -- can you include in the report a specific start date for the planning? This way the public knows the timeframe the planning is going to start, when it's going to end and when the next phase is going to begin.

That's what Bruce would like to see so there is more transparency for the public.

MR. BOBADILLA: Yes. We will be happy to add that for the next report.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Perfect. Thank you.
MR. CORBIN: Good evening. Rob Corbin, program director with Heery.

The next slide here just brings a little bit of a highlight to the Board approvals that have occurred over the most recent quarter ending March 31st. We have provided a comparison so that you could see what the previous quarter was.

This information is similar to what Mr. Chomiak just briefed.

Denise, if you will go to the next slide?
It will actually provide the details so that the six RFQs that were approved for the Board are listed there for the six projects on the left-hand
side, as well as the 17 professional service agreements that were approved and fully executed in this past quarter. Those 17 projects are listed there, as well.

For this next slide here, I'd like to bring in Yvonne Garth for the Heery team to elaborate a little bit more about the individual project level reporting changes that have been made, and I believe this is the section that I just picked up, that if we were to add the planning start dates that you are looking for this to reflect that activity on this sheet, as well.

Yvonne, could you walk the committee through this, please?

MS. GARTH: Sure. Thank you.
Again, Yvonne Garth with Garth Solutions working as the communications liaison on the Heery team.

We started the conversation on this slide, and just continuing in line with milestones that we accomplished this quarter, we did move forward with the new template that we have been talking about for sometime now. So we are pleased that we are able to include that in this quarterly report.

We do welcome any additional feedback that you
may have, and I did make note that, moving forward, we will add -- we will work with the team to add the planning start dates, as well.

But, essentially, this report, this new template, is a culmination of comments that was received from stakeholders, including yourselves; and the intent is that one will always have -- I am just going to walk you through very quickly -- a snapshot of the school details. But up at the very top, we will start with a narrative that offers a high level summary of the status of the project.

We did include, just to help the public understand, the six phases and an explanation of each phases and on average, the timeframe that it takes to move from one phase to the next. To your point, we do have planning in there, but we should have a start dates listed.

Then below that, what we have done is we've categorized the projects into three groups. The primary renovation projects, first and foremost; school choice enhancement; and we also have a separate section that offers a progress report on single point of entry.

There are sometimes schools that may have an outlier project. Those are unique, and we did
report those individually; but if you are looking at the report, the new template, we provide a list of the approved -- the scope of work that has been approved, according to the DEFP; the approved budget; and we have included a line item that shows what the planned milestones are at each one of those -- the start of each one of those phases and what the actual date is.

So the actual date, which is the number in orange -- I know it's hard to see up on the screen, but as the work progresses through each of those phases, we will track the actual start date against the projected date. And as we move forward, if a project is in jeopardy of missing the projected completion date, it will be flagged. There is a flag there for schedules, and if the project will require -- is over budget to the extent that we have to take it to the Board for approval, it will be flagged with a "B," a budget flag. If there is a flag, we will offer an explanation in the comment section.

So that, essentially, is just a highlight, and I know you all have all those pages that you have been looking and at are familiar with them, but for the benefit of the public, $I$ just wanted to
offer -- walk through what the new template looks like. We certainly welcome any additional feedback that you might have.

I will take a moment now to just also share that you may have noticed there is some additional changes in the new report. We have taken some of the feedback we have gotten from you in the past and added some new sections, including a section that further explains what the phase progress is. We want to continue to make sure that this report offers the public as much information as possible.

And that said, I turn it back over to Robert to actually walk you through one of the new sections that you have in the report.

Actually, if you have comments, I will --
MR. BERNARD: We also said we are going to -in this report, there is not one project that is delayed, correct? There is not one project listed in the comment section that is behind or anything; we all know there is some that are behind, but no one made a comment on any one of these schools.

MR. CHOMIAK: Let me address that.
Yeah, I think we all know we are not where we would like to be in the program as a whole. There is a lot of history in the program. We have been
through this before with one of the earlier reports where we had the red light, green light; that was not good.

So what we have done is, when we first started the program, we laid out a term and schedule for when we were going to start the design procurement for every project. Based on that, we also established a template for delivering the rest of the project and applied that to the procurement schedule. What we found -- we based the template on industry standards that we felt were reasonable.

What we found over the past year is those weren't reasonable for Broward County. It's a little more conservative here. Things take a little bit longer. So we have gone back and adjusted the template based on what we actually have found working here and getting the projects out the door, which we felt was a more realistic template to apply across the board. So that's the re-baseline that we did.

Now, back to your question, what we would like to do is use that as the baseline, moving forward with tracking schedule ahead or behind, so we have something that's locked in. Up until this point, outside of, you know, big issues dealing with the
program itself at a higher level, where a project should have been, especially the big three, there was a lot of discussion about what was the schedule. Again, from our perspective, we wanted something we could actually track, and that's what we have said in this report to move forward with.

Even internally we had some disagreement about how to handle that, but that's what we decided was the best approach moving forward.

MR. BERNARD: But as a committee, we talked about, when this was coming out, in the comments, put in there if something was over budget, late, whatever, that would be in the comments. That's why there is a comment box here.

MR. CHOMIAK: Correct.
MR. BERNARD: If you are late, when you put the report out, it should be in the box. I can go through here and find out there is 53 schools late right now by your own dates on your own report. I didn't make this report out; you guys made the report, put the dates, and I can see where you are three, four, five months behind in hiring design and all that.

So there is not -- nothing -- you are making us do all this work to find out where you are, and
it's supposed to be the other way. This report is supposed to tell us exactly where you are.

MR. CHOMIAK: That's certainly not the intent.
MR. BERNARD: The intent is I'm breaking it down every meeting and have to point this stuff -you have got stuff on here, just Larkdale Elementary School, you've got hire a vendor, two to three months; your actual time in here is nine months, on your own schedule. You list up above two to three months, and your schedule says nine months. How are we supposed to follow what is going on when you give us a schedule up top and then you don't -- on your own actual below you don't even meet those timeframes?

MR. CHOMIAK: Again, you know, I don't have an answer for that. I do know that we've got target dates in here. Now, our intent is --

MR. BERNARD: What am I supposed to do with this book? You want it? If I can't follow it -that's what the public wants to be able to do is follow the schools. That's what we tell them we are going to do. In front of the School Board last time, the school board members said the same thing at the meeting: We want everybody to know what is happening.
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It's got to be transparent. This is not transparent if you don't follow your own schedule, and you don't know why your own schedule doesn't follow what you have.

MR. CORBIN: For clarity, this school that you named for example --

MR. BERNARD: Larkdale Elementary School.

MS. AKER REECE: That's the same in every one.
MR. CHOMIAK: I understand what you are saying. Our schedule is showing nine months. The project hasn't started yet, and above it, it says it should only take two to three months.

MR. BERNARD: If you look at all six of those phases, it's 48, 49 months. That's a good buffer built into every project.

MR. CORBIN: Okay. We follow the question.
MR. BERNARD: That top line needs to be -that would be four years you have to build a project with all those months going across it from when you start to the end. Now, we are building something in 18 or 19. You are never make 21.

MR. CHOMIAK: We need to look at that and see where the disconnect is between the two.

MS. AKER REECE: I don't know if that line -although it's meaningful maybe in an appendix or
something, if it doesn't relate to the project, it might not really add value to have on every single project page, because it's -- you need one specific to the project, not --

MR. CHOMIAK: The six phase lines, is that what you are talking about?

MS. AKER REECE: Yeah, either not include it on the project or have it specific to the project where this project is going to take three months for design and four months for construction, not just the -- not just the between 8 and 18, so more specific.

MR. BOBADILLA: I think I follow the comments, and originally that was added based on another comment we received -- and I don't remember where the comment came from right now. But the comment was we would like to have something that tells us kind of what is the potential range of time for each of these phases and more generically as a whole. So that was added there. I think that was done before we added the project-specific dates. So I can see where that now conflicts. So let us go back and clean that up. Maybe we can capture that somewhere else on the report but not specific to a project.
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MR. RABINOWITZ: Which begs a question, because when I look at this and I see the sixth point and looking at the design being 8 to 18 months, I don't know. Typically the largest purchase a member of public ever buys is their home, and if they are lucky enough to be involved in the design phase, if the design person told the homeowner it would take 8 to 18 months, I think they would probably terminate that design person. I mean let's be realistic: I know commercial construction takes infinitely longer than design of an individual home, but why is it indicated that it's 8 to 18 months? We are talking about a year and a half. Why would it take a year and a half to do some kind of design on a school? Can somebody explain that to me, please?

Unless you are building a school from scratch, I don't know why it would take a year and half to design something -- an improvement for a school.

MR. CORBIN: The period of 8 to 18 months is an approximate range, and that range was intended to indicate the variance of complexity of the scopes that are being designed and delivered on. Many of these projects have a significant amount of work. Like the big three, for example, to design
\$15 million -- I'm sure the house in your example is not a $\$ 15$ million house -- that when you factor in the complexity of mechanical systems, electrical systems, the roofing systems, many of which have to go through significant code upgrades and changes, and to get through the building department, this is what we believe some of the longer, more challenging projects can be.

When we sit down and map out the design times to put into the RFQ, we collectively work as a group to come up with really like a barebones type of design period. That duration is then put into RFQ, and then when we actually sit down and go through the negotiations with the designer that's been selected by QSEC, the -- there is not a luxury of, "oh, wow, you put in an extra two or three months; I can get this out quicker than that."

It's very much a challenge for the designers to meet these timelines, and we want to make sure that we have good drawings that have been thoroughly investigated. And that's part of what has been budgeted in here is we want the designers to go through a destructive and nondestructive testing period so that we find out during the design phase, instead of the construction phase,
that I've torn the roof off only to now find that the condition of the roof deck requires a replacement. By putting a little additional design time upfront, we will hopefully head off the majority of the surprises during the construction period.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Bruce.
MR. BERNARD: But every project in here has the same 8 to 18 months.

MR. CHOMIAK: That's just a general --
MR. BERNARD: I understand, but some of them should say three months. If should be able to go through a project and if you are only spending $\$ 300,000$, you don't need 18 months.

MR. CORBIN: Your point is well taken, and as Mr. Bobadilla had said earlier, we were trying to respond to a previous request; and what we are taking away is refine this a little further, define it better, make it you more project specific, if possible.

MR. BERNARD: You know, you know if have you 15 million, I expect you to take 12 months, 14 months to design it. If you have $\$ 300,000$ and someone is taking a year to design it, I don't want that designer.

MR. CORBIN: We don't, either.

Okay.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Any other questions?
Continue, please.
MR. CORBIN: The next portion of our presentation, if we are ready to move on, deals with the school choice enhancement program. Once again, that's the $\$ 100,000$ that's bee allocated to each school for them to make choices of.

What the slide here shows is we are still
underway with 140 schools, as reported at our last quarter; and of the 140 , we've now seen nine of them come completely across the finish line. And as you heard earlier tonight, we don't count a project as done until all of the items that were voted on and selected have been delivered and checked to make sure that they are fully finished; but at the last quarter, we reported there was three projects. That figure is now up to nine, and we do have some slides in here that will help demonstrate and give examples of the some of the items that have been delivered on.

At Attucks, there on the left-hand side of that, you see the new interior audio system, front office furniture, murals, projectors, computers,
media center furniture, two-way radios, landscaping equipment and pressure-cleaning equipment.

In the middle there you see Atlantic Technical College where they selected office furniture and a 40-inch TV. On the right, Coral Cove Elementary, they selected to move forward with installation of some ceiling projectors.

At Coral Springs High School, upper left -left side of that slide there, you've got printers that were selected, document cameras -- a significant quantity, they received 60 of those -as well some additional projectors, some Thinkpad laptops and some earthwalk carts.

In the center there you have Country Isles Elementary School where they selected playground, poured-in-place rubber surface improvements. Cypress Run Education Center on the right there, the items that were delivered on there are faculty and student laptops, as well as computers to support the center's computers lab and television production capabilities.

The last slide that we have is examples at Cypress Bay High School on the left, printers, auditorium projector, office furniture and interactive TVs; in the center, Pompano Beach

Middle School, outdoor benches, classic tables and executive chairs; and on the right, at Indian Ridge Middle School, that photo there demonstrates the delivery of some additional technology equipment that has been deployed at that school.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Questions?
MS. AKER REECE: It's more of a comment, and I know I have said.it before, but when you look at all the projects that you just talked about being completed, they are all good initiatives, but I wonder what it's doing to the ongoing operating budget, being a budget person myself.

So is there capacity not to put money in to replace these things in five years from now or eight years. I mean, this is still one-time money. It's not baked into your operating. My concern is, you know, five or six years from now, all of this nice stuff, what do you do with it when it's old?

MR. BOBADILLA: If I could just respond to that?

I think that's a very legitimate concern that we all have, particularly with recent actions by the State, and Omar can speak more to that; but those things are going to have impact as far as capital budget going forward, and we will have to
make some very tough decisions on how we you utilize those dollars.

Omar, can you speak to that?
MR. SHIM: Yes.
Yeah, that's definitely something that we are facing as a challenge is really putting together a long-term plan to address refreshing technology and some of the shorter term items, and we have been struggling with that. So, you know, we'll look at that in the future and see -- that's a part of our discussion in the DEFP.

MS. AKER REECE: It's part of your discussion --

MR. SHIM: In the District Educational
Facilities Plan that we look at. It's a five-year plan that we look at every year.

MS. AKER REECE: Do you have an assessment of all the computers you have and like some sort of program for how it's going to be replaced at this point, the technology?

MR. HUNTER: We had an opportunity to meet with the Board, probably about a month ago now, and share with them everything that has been purchased to this point, as well as share with the Board potential refresh schedules -- not just refresh
schedules, but what happens over the next two to three years that we do not refresh them, as well.

So the Board has that information. Now, as we go into the budget process this year, it will be our first opportunity to start to build in some dollars for those refreshes; but, of course, every year that budget process is extremely tight.

But the Board does have all the information around every -- the number of devices we have, the number of devices that need to be replaced each year, if we want to just maintain what we have; versus the number of devices that we would need to purchase if we want to go to a one to one for our middle and high schools. All of that was a part of that conversation with the Board. So we are looking forward to getting further direction on that.

MS. AKER REECE: Can we see that?

I'm just curious what the annual gap is between what you are putting in versus what you need in order to replace --

MR. HUNTER: We can send that to you, yes.

MR. BERNARD: Just on a sidebar, I was at the School Board meeting to update. I also brought up the same concerns that they need to put something
in the budget for -- down the road for the maintenance items that are going to come along, and they just say "uh-huh."

MR. SHIM: I mean, I think that the challenge really is that the -- the funding from the State has been cut multiple times.

If you really look at the heart of this issue with the SMART program, and what this whole thing is about, there is nothing fancy in this program. This is all deferred maintenance. This is all things that needed to be done because we lacked the funding and we had to go out to the public to do this.

And this is even getting worse. This year the State, again, cut funds or moved funds from -- you know, the Legislature basically moved funds from traditional public schools to charter schools, which isn't creating; it's taking away dollars that we would be able to address some of those issues, the technology, as far as the refresh program and things like that. It's getting very difficult, and the choices are getting -- you know, so ...

MS. AKER REECE: So does this Board have an advocacy role, or do you have folks advocating? I mean, it's not good at all. We are going to have
people paying for this 30 years from now, and it will have a life that expired 25 years ago. It's not really an appropriate way to fund short-term capital.

MR. SHIM: Well, the only thing that I will say about that is I talked to other districts. When this came down the line, I spoke with, you know, Dade, Palm Beach, Hillsborough and other districts about the funding issue; and, you know, they are kind of in the same boat. They are all having these struggles, and a lot of them are really going back to their -- you know, their local areas and trying to see if they can get dollars to do it, but we are in the middle of it.

MS. AKER REECE: Okay. Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Moving on.
MR. VIERA: Adrian Viera with Atkins.
As far as the costs and program controls, we continue to form our estimates and project level scheduling. To date we have done progress estimate of approximately 11 percent of the SMART program active projects, and when we say 11 percent, we mean, again, the 232 schools, not necessarily to the line items to the schools, but the whole projects.
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Bid information, so far, to date, has been mostly on single point of entry projects, Boyd Anderson media center and River Glades Elementary School. That represents probably less than one percent currently. We are seeing, as we are doing our cost estimating, on average, a 25 percent increase above the established budgets, and that is above and beyond any contingencies that are in place in the project allocation sheets.

As mentioned, in the next quarter we will be seeing active projects coming in from bids, and we look forward to what information we are looking to gain from that.

As far as the schedules, as mentioned, Atkins has a collaboration role with Heery in the schedules that were put into the project detail sheets. So for those individual informations, project detail sheets are going to be referenced.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Before you move on from that slide, can we go back for a second?

What accounts for the 25 percent increase? I mean, that's a big number.

MR. VIERA: Sure. And we've -- you know, we've made mention of that a few times now, and it's been brought forward in our -- in our
quarterly market condition letters that we put out; and as a followup, we also did a risk management to the program. We did a risk assessment, and those have all been -- not all, but the most -- two most contributing factors are inflation and escalation.

Factors that were included as part of the DEFP, roofing -- but as part of the needs assessment and budgeted cost -- and, again, this was based on 2014, but the unit costs that have been put for roofing and what has -- has -- as designs have come out, the roofing needs currently, as what was established in 2014, between the needs and unit costs of where we are today, is a big role, as well as the factors coming in to support the -- the DEFP allocated, so fire sprinklers, projects needing fire sprinklers, requiring fire lines to support the fire sprinklers. We have also seen natural -- equipment costs being higher than what the unit -- above and beyond, just accounting for inflation and escalation, unit cost of mechanical and electrical cost --

MR. RABINOWITZ: Can $I$ just ask a question? Was it your firm that did the -- who did the initial budgets for this back in 2014?

MR. VIERA: The needs assessment was done by

Jacobs.
MR. RABINOWITZ: What are the three main reasons why we are now going to see a 25 percent increase? If you could just -- you rattled off a bunch; what are the three main reasons?

MR. CARTER: Yeah, Dave Carter with Atkins.
On this assessment, the biggest reason I would like to mention: Number, one inflation; number two, roofing; number three, unquantified scope, so that's -- like you mentioned, when, you know, fire sprinklers are being added to a building, the pricing did not include an additional fire line, for example.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Let's break that down for a second.

If I remember correctly, there is already a 3 percent inflationary rate that's included right?

MR. CORBIN: That's correct, yes.
MR. RABINOWITZ: So was it a gross miscalculation relative to the inflationary rate when this was done back in 2014?

MR. CORBIN: We don't believe so, no.
If you look at the -- the recession, the construction recession, we were still coming out of the construction recession in 2014. So, you know,
the curve of -- you know, I call it volume of construction, which is a -- the biggest factor we see in rising construction costs, the volume of construction was starting -- was still starting to come up in 2014. So a 3 percent inflation rate, average inflation rate, is reasonable at that point.

What we have seen since then is the volume of construction has just continued to go up, and we are seeing more of a -- you know, in the range of five percent average increase per year. So you start compounding a five percent versus three percent, and that's what starts adding up to a lot of dollars as we get towards this construction phase, you know, the end of this year, going into the next year.

MR. RABINOWITZ: So as I am looking at these numbers with the 25 percent increase, that's 25 percent over -- even if we utilized five years, we are figuring, on basic math, 15 percent, are you saying it's 25 percent over and above the included 3 percent inflationary rate that was included in the calculation?

MR. CORBIN: But the inflation is -- there is only one factor in there. The biggest factor by
far is the roofing. There is about -- you know, about 20 million square feet of roofing across this program that has to be addressed; and so, you know, in the original needs assessment, their roofing unit price is generally a one unit price for all this roofing, and we see some very large risks related to that roofing.

It's all projections right now because, one, we don't have any bids; and, two, we really don't know for sure what has to be done on the roof of each school. So what we are doing right now is advising the School Board of this potential risk, this very large potential risk, and really kind of focusing some mitigation action related to the roofing and, you know, trying to make sure we manage it correctly, manage the scope of the projects, you know.

And the inflation, you know, there is not a lot you can do about that, except, you know, try and make sure you are getting out projects when -you know, when the market is the right; but, you know, it's very difficult to time the market with inflation.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I think Bruce is chomping at the bit.
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Go ahead, Bruce.

MR. BERNARD: Is all your increase on all hard costs? None of the soft costs have gone up?

MR. CORBIN: Well, you know, the -- the design, so far --

MR. BERNARD: Just a "yes" or "no" answer, I don't need a whole -- have you seen your soft costs go up along with the hard costs? All you are saying so far is everything is hard cost.

MR. VIERA: So we've managed to keep the soft cost currently against the budgets that have been established. That's what we have.

MR. BERNARD: I said have you seen them go up? I don't have the exact budget you got for soft costs. Have they gone up from when you started this? Do you think they are going to rise?

That leads to my next question, I think: Could we get a breakdown of what you have, percentage-wise, on this bond issue with hard and soft costs?

MR. VIERA: Sure.
MR. CORBIN: Yes.
MR. BERNARD: So we know exactly what's been spent on what, so we can apply -- almost individually for each project, it should be pretty
to the same, as far as percentage.

Can someone explain to me --
MR. VIERA: I use caution applying percentages until they become realities. I mean, I -projecting things --

MR. BERNARD: You said you had budgets already.

MR. VIERA: Correct, and we are projecting --
MR. BERNARD: So then you can --
MR. VIERA: Sorry to interpret, Bruce.
What we are projecting as part of our risk assessments -- what we are saying, in totality, to the program that 25 percent risk factor more or less represents a risk to the program anywhere from a range of probably 190- to 220-million dollars. Our middle-of-road number, and our risk assessment has made mention of it, is a $\$ 200$ million risk.

That's what we are -- we are trying to say it now, so that we do -- in evaluating the information we have currently, there is no surprises.

MR. BERNARD: I'm not worried about what you are telling me about. I want to know what your soft and hard cost percentages are. That's all I am asking.

MR. VIERA: Yeah, on our cost model, we can
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give you that.
MR. BERNARD: Like Adam was saying, the 25 percent, if you put the three percent a year on top of that or take if you take some of the 3 percent off of that.

MR. VIERA: The 25 percent over, that's an aggregate. It takes into account inflation. It takes into account risk with roofing. It takes into account risk with --

MR. BERNARD: So the 3 percent built-in would be in that 25 percent; if not, it's three plus 25 --

MR. VIERA: No, yes, no, the three is in it. This is above and beyond.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
I guess you made a comment that there is a risk to -- I forgot, the words were a risk to the program. If $I$ understood it from the last meeting, Omar, any project started is going to get finished. It may not be bond dollars that actually finish a project. That necessarily means that if all these projects run over by a quarter billion dollars, the taxpayers are going to eat that, like myself and everybody in this room.

Did I understand correctly from the last
meeting?
If we run 25 percent over on these projects, the $\$ 250$ billion will be satisfied by taxpayer dollars?

MR. SHIM: That's the -- essentially, the program, when the program started, was a billion-dollar program almost. We -- we went out to the public for $\$ 800$ million with the remaining would be the District to do the rest.

The additional dollars would -- based on the risk assessment is to guide as to what kind of dollars do we need to set aside in the future to mitigate the risk that is being identified. So we work with Atkins, as far as identifying those dollars in our budget and making sure that there is some sort of a buffer for that to occur.

Does that answer your question?
MR. RABINOWITZ: You didn't. I mean, I'm
assuming that if all of these projects run over by \$250 million, that money has got to come from somewhere. So I have to imagine that the taxpayers of Broward County are ultimately going to pick up that differential; am I correct?

MR. SHIM: Yes. Those dollars are set aside.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.

MR. BERNARD: One more, can someone explain to me on the plans, who goes out to check the roofs like he is saying?

I guess you are going out and looking at the scopes you originally got from Jacobs to make sure you are -- when we go out and do these jobs, it's all -- you are not going to try to miss things that might have been missed like we had on one of the other jobs already. Is it the facilities people that go out? Does Heery go out. Does Atkins -does someone from all teams go out?

MR. CORBIN: Let me address that question.
Heery sends staff out into the field to look at the scope to first make sure that if it says to replace the roof, that it, indeed, needs to be replaced. We don't want to just me to go out there and say, "hey, it says to replace it, but it still has useful life left in it." So it's evaluated with a group of professionals that Heery puts out in the field.

But also at the kick-off point of design services, we bring out the designer of record, his engineers that supplement his team, so that we go through the process of confirming what the scope intent was and what needs to come along with it, so
that -- you know, for example, you heard Adrian mention that one of the other pressure points that's happening in this program deals with fire sprinklers.

If you look at the facility condition assessment for fire sprinklers, they identify the various buildings on a campus -- building one, two, four, six -- that need sprinkler systems. So they took the square footage and applied a unit cost against it. What they did not include was the fire loop that has to come around the building to pressurize and provide the flow that's required. So, you know, we flush out those type of items, as well, as we walk, so that as we commence the design -- the designer knows that the sprinkler system has to have that fire loop to come along with it to fully function and to deliver on the intent of that scope, which was to provide a functioning sprinkler system for the building.

MR. BERNARD: You know, like I said, your professionals from your firm go out there and make the original design team come in, and you all walk again to make sure everything is covered?

MR. CORBIN: Correct, but this is all taking place after the facility condition assessment was
established and these budgets were established.

MR. BERNARD: I understand that.

Now, on the budget -- just go on your fees, I guess, I don't know -- the first meeting I ever intended, I heard someone throw out a number of five percent was put aside for Heery and Atkins or whatever, just a random number for a round number. If the budget goes up 300,000, are your numbers going up? Are you getting 15 million more on top of that, or are you staying off the original budget?

MR. CHOMIAK: I like that thought, but that's not what is going to happen.

MR. BERNARD: I'd like it, too. I just want to make sure that you are not expecting something that you are also going to make money out of.

MR. CHOMIAK: No, not at all.
The only -- you know, to answer your earlier question about the soft cost/hard cost going up and being part of this, the only place that we do have to manage better is on the design side, because designers like to tie their fee to a cost. So we are working very hard to tie their fees to a level of effort, not to a dollar value.

MR. BERNARD: I am not looking for a dollar,
just give me a percentage. That's all I am asking.

MR. CHOMIAK: Right. We will have to get you that.

In answer to your question, is it going up because of this, that would be the place where, if it did, it would be on the designer fees, not on our side.

MR. BERNARD: I am asking for a percentage so we can know how much is actually going into a construction site and how much it takes before we get there.

MR. CHOMIAK: We will have to get that number for you.

MR. VIERA: Okay. Continuing our role is the collaboration, as has been made mentioned; and the negotiation of professional service agreements and construction manager service agreements, as well; analysis of the cash flow to assist the District in establishing capital and the time-reserve allocation, going back to risk assessment.

As part of every fiscal year's DEFP process, we take into account, based on the cash flow, what's going to be coming in in potential commitments for the next fiscal year and guide the District in what -- you know, what -- what -- it
has been made mention what of that potential $\$ 200$ million has to be set aside this coming fiscal year, so that's part of our services.

The risk assessment letter that was officially issued January 27th, 2017, which -- and this as far as in addition to market conditions, is something is something that acted on a -- committed to on a biannual basis to update; but if we do see something in the market that is either a risk or a reward to the District that's coming, we will -- we are committed to presenting that as soon as we see a trend established on that.

Then we continue with our e-Builder tool and capturing the project, budgets and schedules. That's been used as a management tool. The SMART Program website, that is under -- in the process of being updated, and we want to mirror the bond oversight information so that it all remains consistent and the same level of transparency with bond oversight, as well with the constituents on the website. On the current website, we are in the process of updating that to get that information, as well, on the SMART futures website.

MR. CHOMIAK: I think we've talked earlier on about this, to some degree. We did reevaluate and
establish a target schedule that's in the BOC currently, based on what we have actually seen.

A couple things back, to some earlier questions, we did look at Larkdale. Following the nine-month design and procurement, there is a three month design -- so something is not right there. I think there may be a typo on the completion date or the design. We will fact check that and make sure everything is cleaned up.

The other issue is we talked about the timeframe we had put in there. Those were based on the original template, and we did not revise those based on revised template. So that was something we missed, and we need to go back and correct that, as well, so ...

But moving forward, again, this report and the flags for schedule will all be based on the target dates that are in there currently and moving forward. Anytime we are in danger of missing a date in there, we will flag it, comment on it, why and what we are doing to correct it; and additionally, on the budget side, we will flag anybody that is -- will require us to come back to the Board for additional funds.

Just on the big picture, this is back to the
target to meet the five- to seven-year timeline, one of notes, on the group five projects on the left, it says "design procurement, 5Q, 1/2019," we are working hard and hope to bring this back within probably the next meet for this committee. Our plan is to accelerate some of those. So we are not just waiting on the funding to come in to be able to start the designs; we want to move funding forward so we can at least start that design effort and be able to hit construction when the funding for that year is available, not hold off on design. That will help quite a bit in making sure that we meet the overall construction schedule of five to seven years?

MR. RABINOWITZ: Any other questions before we let them off the hook?

I say that in jest, Omar.
MR. SHIM: Thank you. Again, Omar Shim, Director of Capital Budget.

This quarterly budget activity report is for, as you know, the quarter ended March 2017. This quarter the Board approved to accelerate the SMART music funding for years four and five to programming year three, which is about $\$ 7$ million. So as Ms. Cantrick mentioned, we have accelerated
the last two years, and they have already begun doing it and have a schedule, which I think was good.

An additional change to the SMART Program came when the Board approved accelerating funds for $\$ 9.2$ million for the construction of Markham Elementary School's SMART Program for -- from year four to program year three.

So changes to the SMART Program, including these changes, and changes made by the School Board prior to this quarter, as was requested the last time, are highlighted on page 454.

So this brings us to the budget -- this brings the budget from 655.5 million that was reported the last time to 671.7 million for the first three program years of the SMART Program that is currently budgeted. So this slide shows the breakdown of the financial status of those funds.

The quarter we added -- this quarter we added a section for completed projects, with a summary and details beginning on page 503. The balance of those completed projects total $\$ 13.1$ million, which indicate that there have been -- that there has been some savings in executing the program as we have been -- we have talked about.

Some of those dollars may go towards settling final bills as the projects and the budgets themselves are closed out. So there could still be pending bills and things like that, although the projects are completed physically.

Expenditures have increased by $\$ 23.1$ million for this quarter from 83.4 to 106.5 million, and purchase orders increased by 4.5 million from 27.6 million to 32.1 million. The balance on the financially active projects also increased from last quarter by 23.2 million from 287.9 to 311.1 million, while the balance of projects that did not have financial activity declined by 47.6 million.

So that sort of gives you a picture of -financially, of, you know, where the program is at. The details, as you know, are in the sections starting on page 461 and back. That concludes my part of it, if you have questions.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Laura, question?
MS. AKER REECE: I think I asked this last time, but I can't remember the answer. So when you add to the budget, are you issuing like a new tranche to the bond, or are you doing like a short-term loan? How are you -- what are you using
to increase those amounts?
MR. SHIM: Right. So we do cash flow and work with, you know, the different departments on the projections; and so from time to time we will review, cash-flow-wise, when they are issuing contracts. Because here we have to have the dollars in place when they actually issue the contracts, not necessarily when they are spending it.

We have only issued one tranche so far, and so far we don't anticipate issuing another set until probably next year -- the next fiscal year, which is in a couple months.

MS. AKER REECE: The commission approved -I'm sorry, the School Board approved up to 800, as long as you don't anticipate issuing the contracts in the same amount, until you have the actual --

MR. SHIM: Right. So on page 458 there were original projections of what was laid out. So far we've issued the first year of 162 , but we haven't issued the others. The School Board has authorized up to the year -- the 180.

MS. AKER REECE: Okay. Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Any other questions?
Hearing none, we move on to Supplier Diversity Outreach.

MS. COKER: Good evening. Mary Coker, director of procurement and warehousing services.

So the Supplier Diversity Outreach program continues to be a cornerstone of the SMART bond program. In summary, we are going to demonstrate our events. We are going to show you our certifications we've prepared this quarter, as well as contract compliance and cumulative spend and POs as we move forward throughout the presentation.

So this quarter we did eight events. It went down from ten events the prior quarter, but as you may know, or may not know, in January the Board approved to add -- the Board approved a new Supplier Diversity Outreach Policy 3330. So we've been working diligently to ensure -- this policy goes into effect in July of 2017 , so we have been working to ensure that we have been mapping our processes, our procedures, ensuring that we have the resources in order to implement this policy, which also, last month, the Board approved four new positions to help ensure that we can support the implementation of this policy and required additional outreach efforts that we require, as well as automation systems for us to be able to
implement and be in compliance with the policy that will go into effect in July.

As we move onto the next slide, you'll see that we have 759 certified M/WBE firms. We went up from 702 firms, and we also have 55 applications in queue this month. Therefore, it's -- it's a significant improvement from looking at the numbers, actually, from the previous fiscal year, which we had about 700 certifications.

Sometimes it's a bit of a wash because every two years, every three years, we have to recertify vendors. So we are constantly recertifying to make sure that that pool of vendors sustains, as well as, obviously, the outreach and doing prequalifications, certifications, to ensure that the number continues to rise. But this year I hope to be able to report positive numbers increasing 800 certifications.

If we move to the next slide, this is just a summary of what you are going to see in the following page. Of the one hundred -- of the QSECs that we have held this quarter, 182 proposals will be reviewed by the Supplier Diversity Outreach personnel. We have had 16 contracts awarded of which M/WBE participation was 8.3 million. Please
note, the contract commitment is different than the spends, which is what you'll see in the next slide. The amount of $M / W B E$ commitment is 7.3 percent, percentage of the $M / W B E$ commitment is 72.9 percent, and I'll show the you breakdown now on the following slides.

So this is a comparison Q2 and Q3, total contract amount. You are going to see that we actually doubled the contract amount, which is positive. We went from 4.5 million to 8.3, almost double that. M/WBE commitment, we had 2.5; now we are at 7.3. That's an increase. We have -76 percent of the actual commitment has gone to M/WBE, which is a very big story, shows the efforts that we have been doing in not only the outreach, but also in the prequalification; and as we continue to move down from the design to the construction, we are going to continue to see that rise.

I don't have here in this slide a cumulative total. I know that was something that was asked for, but I calculated manually here, cumulative total for professional designs is 22 million, total commitment, M/WBE, 16.8, and none-M/WBE, 5.1.

We go to the next slide, we have program
management one of two. We broke down the program management this time into two slides. In the last report we had it all combined, where we had Heery as the representative and then in the next slide you will see Atkins, but we wanted to break it down.

This number has remained the same since Q1 because we haven't had any additional contract awards; therefore, our two program managements continue to be both Heery and Atkins, but we wanted to make sure that we reported the numbers. We do anticipate for this -- for next quarter, for this to change, as we now have additional contract spends -- I'm sorry, contracts commitment.

The next one, again, is part of the program management, and this is the part that belongs to Atkins. If you add the previous line and this line, it's the same amount that was presented to you in Q1.

So we are on slide 46, construction manager at risk, I believe Q1, there was nothing here, as we didn't have any CM at-risk information and -- as well as we don't have none to report in Q3, so we just maintained the numbers as reported at $Q 2$, which, in this case, you can consider this to be a
cumulative amount of the contract amount of $\$ 361,000$.

And then the last three slides is basically a summary broken down for SMART demonstrating, basically, the same information, but at different views. One of the recommendations was to show the spend per ethnicity, so we were able to break that down. You will see the increase in African American, as well as Hispanic American. That's per ethnicity, as well as per gender, not woman-owned. We are looking as we move forward to try to increase the 14.79 women-owned with the continued improvements we have made on the SEOP and the new policy that was just passed.

We have a total POs issued 17.59 -- 569, which is 24 purchase orders that were made specifically for -- to M/WBE firms. Again, spend does not commit that you are going to see a difference in numbers. This is purchase orders issued, and the couple of first slides were contract commitment where we awarded an amount, and this is what we actually issued purchase orders on.

The next one you are going to see, if you look back to fiscal year 2015 and -- as we've continued to move, we went from having zero participation on

M/WBE to just recently, Q1, 2017 is where we've really dedicated our resources to the outreach and ensuring that we have that minority participation and that we are able to award the contracts to M/WBE; and now, effective July 1st, with our new small SBE program, as well, we are also going to be able to award it.

This shows 12 percent of the dollar values, but the dollar value of POs issued. You can see that in the chart above.

And, again, this just kind of the same information just broken down differently. We wanted to share with you how it was broken down, if you can see, safety, athletics, just to see the M/WBE prime POs issued.

And, again, the last slide, $I$ just kind of wanted to -- looking ahead, as I mentioned earlier, we were able to pass the Policy 3330 in January 2017. It goes into effect in July. The Board just recently approved, in April, for four additional resources for my department.

Therefore, the resources that have been allocated are going to allow us, not only the resources that we need, but, hopefully, software that's going to come forth, as well, and be
approved by the Board, is going to allow us -- give us a bit more business intelligence, as it relates to data gathering that we have been doing thus far, which has been very manual. It's going to allow us the opportunity to provide more adequate spend analysis and be able to track and monitor contract compliance so that we can provide the information and ensure that the primes are being in compliance with what they -- the percentage of $M / W B E$ participation that they committed to.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Any questions?
MS. AKER REECE: Just one.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Laura?
MS. AKER REECE: Can you remind us, are they getting extra points for saying that they are -- in the procurement process, for saying that they are M/WBE, meaning do they get -- what's the advantage of saying they are -- these firms are M/WBE certified?

MS. COKER: So there is -- I'll let Colleen Robbs, coordinator of Supplier Diversity explain in detail; but there is different goal setting -different programs, if you will. So obviously you have an advantage by being an M/WBE, but Colleen can go into details.

MS. AKER REECE: I don't need too many details, just sort of like, conceptually, why does it serve them to do that?

MS. ROBBS: The policy allows the District to set what we call affirmative procurement initiatives, which are goals to encourage minority and small business participation. So the benefit to being certified is that you would get -- in an evaluation method, you could get additional points. If it's an ITB, there would be a percentage goal so that that firm would receive participation points or utilize subcontractors who would be utilized.

MS. AKER REECE: So they would have to do -in an ITB, they would have to have a certain percent of the business?

MS. ROBBS: If -- the bidder, if they want to fulfill the goal on the ITB, they would need to be certified. If they are not certified, then they would fulfill the goal by using a subcontractor that's certified by our agency.

MS. AKER REECE: Are we tracking if this is resulting in higher prices being paid by the School Board?

MS. ROBBS: We will ultimately track it, but right now, as Ms. Coker said, the program will go
into action basically July 1st --
MS. AKER REECE: Okay.
MS. ROBBS: -- so it is something that we will monitor.

MS. AKER REECE: Okay. Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
Moving on to communications.
MS. GARTH: Okay. Again, Yvonne Garth on communications.

We continue to try to find new ways to keep the public informed. Over the course of the last quarter, a significant portion of our time was spent, quite frankly, on the bond oversight committee report and trying to enhance it, not only the single pages that you have seen in the new template, but other sections, as well. For us, that's time well spent, because we see this new template as truly the foundation to report to the public in a number of different ways.

Our intent, moving forward, is coming out of the quarterly bond oversight committee reports, those individual fliers will then be uploaded shortly thereafter on the website. So, as Adrian mentioned earlier, we are working to update the website so that the look and feel of it reflects
this new template. So we, then, a week or so after us reporting to you, those new templates will be available for the public to download on the website.

In addition to that, one of the initiatives that we are undertaking and the public can look forward to is we do take the individual sheets and report to the municipalities. We will be able to pull the schools by municipality and send that out to each city so that they have an update on what's happening on the schools in their municipalities and be able to do it by District, as well. Again, it is just -- for us, we look at it as a great tool to keep the public informed.

Other communications efforts, we continue to work with the PIO to send out the monthly SMART updates, and we are continuing to tweet. On average, we try to tweet two to three times per week on any level of progress being made. We -- in addition to that, we try to go out -- we have been going out in the community. This past quarter we had about 15 events that we reported to, and that includes the updates at City commission meetings in the business community and elsewhere.

One of the activities that we are in the
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process of planning is a vendor outreach event where we are working collaboratively with the pyramid department, with Ms. Coker and Ms. Robbs. It's scheduled for June 9th, and the intent to reach out to the business community, at large -M/WBE firms, yes, but also we are really opening it up to the entire business community to try to encourage firms to learn about contracting opportunities and to bid on the work, especially as it moves from design into implementation.

One of the things we launched in addition to that is what we are calling "the principal letter." So every time there is a Board action taken, an approval on a school, within 48 hours we do send a letter to the principal notifying them that, you know, progress is being made on their school, and it's an opportunity for them to then push that information out to the parent community.

So that is in summary what we have for communications, and I welcome any questions or feedback.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Anyone?
MS. AKER REECE: Thank you --
MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
MS. AKER REECE: -- for the reports. They are
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beautiful.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Moving on to TaxWatch.
MR. NAVE: What I'm going to do is kind of skip around a little bit. I'll try to avoid rehashing things that we have already talked about with one or two notable exceptions.

I'm going to start with the technology part of it. As Mr. Hunter pointed out, the District continues to make great progress on the technology spend. All of the $S B C$ schools now comply with the District standard of one computer for every three-and-a-half students. We looked at it a little closer, and roughly seven out of every ten schools now have one computer for every two students or fewer; and, again, all of the technology projects for the charter schools have been finished. As Mr. Hunter pointed out, the Cat6 and the wireless access points are the only outstanding issues.

We looked at the cost savings, and one looks at -- all the technology projects have been completed to date. We calculated the savings about \$8.41 million. So the technology spend is going and continues to go extremely well.

In looking at the music and art equipment, one
point that we have made in the last few reports is we have looked at the percentage of money that is going to the different vendors, and there was a much more equitable spread of the spending at the end of this quarter. We've pointed out in the past that All County Music continues to receive a disproportionate share of the music and art spend. In this quarter, the figure was roughly 66 percent. I think in the past couple of reports, that percentage has been north of 90 percent. So the money does seem to be being spread out to the other vendors.

We looked at the completed projects. The District reports that there are -- 60 schools have completed music and art projects. We looked at the total savings, and it was right at $\$ 430$, not much, but it's still underbudget.

A couple of the discussion items, it was pointed out in the second quarter report that the -- at the January School Board meeting that money had been allocated to accelerate the music and art acquisitions and that there was a revised ordering schedule put in place that would permit all of the school orders to be placed by the end of March of 2017; and what I heard earlier today was
that, obviously, those were not completed by the end of March and that it looks like somewhere around the end of June all of those of projects will be ordered. So there was a delay. We didn't see an explanation for that delay, but we take comfort knowing that the District plans to have all of that equipment ordered by the end of June.

We also looked at the kiln program last
quarter to this quarter, and the kiln program seems to be a bit of a moving target. There were schools listed in the second quarterly report that aren't reflected in this quarter, and there are schools in this quarter that weren't reflected in the previous quarter. So it's unclear to us which schools are scheduled to get the kilns, so we would ask the District to take a look at that.

If the kiln program has changed, then I would assume the School Board approved that change. If the kiln program has changed without School Board approval, then that's an issue I think we need to take a look at.

Moving on to athletics, Mr. Cerra talked about the progress on the track resurfacing project. He mentioned six has been completed, and it's unclear whether that is the number as of the end of third
quarter or if that is as of today, because the third quarter report mentions five projects completed, not six.

One thing that is important to note is that the track projects that have been completed have been done so and saved the District about \$71,000. So those projects that are completed are also coming in underbudget.

The discussion items that we identified, there were four high schools identified that had bids recently approved by the School Board for track resurfacing, but there are no project schedules identified for those.

We talked about the Pompano Beach High School issue, so $I$ won't go into that.

The ten high schools that had selected vendors and that had begun to order the weight room equipment, we didn't see any project schedules or projected completion dates for those.

And then the athletic report includes a status report for only 15 of the 30 high schools, so we would wonder what the status of the remaining 15 high schools are.

So, hopefully, in the next quarterly report, we will see those schedules for all the high
schools and we will get a better idea what the plan is to wrap up the athletic projects.

The budget activity, I'm very grateful to Omar and Ben for including the completed and meet standards section of the budget activity report. That knocked about half a day off of my review time, and I am very grateful for that.

We looked at all the completed projects, and when one looks at the technology projects that are completed, the music arts completed, the eight track resurfacing, 34 construction projects that were identified as having been completed, all told, we came up with a figure of about $\$ 11.3$ million in savings.

Omar, I think you had a little higher number than that, but they are both very, very significant cost savings numbers.

We did point out in our report that there were ten projects identified in the facilities construction report as having been completed that weren't reflected in the completed section of the budget activity report, and those were mostly school choice enhancement projects and a few of the single-point-of-entry projects.

Moving on to Supplier Diversity Outreach, I
think it was shown on slide 47 that through the end of March, the district has issued 24 purchase orders to W/MBEs totaling about $\$ 17.6$ million, and that reflects a cumulative commitment of about 12 percent. So the Supplier Diversity Outreach effort continues to make progress.

It was shown on slide 47 that there were $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{MBE}$ monies awarded for safety projects and for athletics, so the only two categories for which no W/MBE monies have been spent are technology and music and arts.

Looking at the communications, the District continues to step up its efforts to get the word out on what's going on; and we pointed out in the last report that the role of the bond oversight committee in this effort has not been clear, and it may be that the District has no role for the bond oversight committee, but if the District will make that clear, then $I$ can stop bringing that $u p$ in my reports.

And that brings us to facilities construction. I want to give the District credit for their efforts to make the reports more transparent and to include more project specific information in the reports. We all worked very hard on the template
for the school spotlights, and I think that that template has enormous potential.

One thing that's reflected in the report is that there is progress being made. When one looks at the number of projects that haven't started compared to the last quarter, that's decreased from 525 to 420. So there are fewer projects that have not started.

When we look at the number of projects that are moving from the planning phase into the design and implementation phases, those numbers are increasing, as well; and the number of completed projects increased from 67 to 75. So the needle is being beginning to move in the right direction.

The revised school spotlight template, I think when one looks at it for the first time, the thing that stands out is it is really easy to see where a project is in the six-phase life cycle. What's not as clear is where it should be, and that's an issue that we will continue to discuss.

It was unclear -- and, Mr. Chomiak, I think you mentioned that 67 percent of the scheduled construction activities are underway and 89 percent of the schools have at least one project currently underway. We made mention in our report that the
numbers were 62 percent and 84 percent, respectively, so it was unclear whether that was an oversight or whether there was no movement. So it's not clear to me whether the 67 percent and the 89 percent reflect the status as of today or whether that reflects the status as of the end of the third quarter.

If there is one page in that entire report that I think the bond committee ought to look at, it's page 121, and it was slide, I think, number 34 in the District's presentation; but it was the -it's -- the picture has the sharpened pencil, and it talks about the -- I think Mr. Chomiak referred to it as "re-baselining," and I want to spend some time talking about that, because in looking at that slide --

MR. RABINOWITZ: Can we put it back up, please, on the screen?

MR. NAVE: Slide 34.
MS. AKER REECE: What page was that?
MR. RABINOWITZ: 121.
MR. NAVE: If I am looking at this right, every project in years one, two and three is being pushed back somewhere between three and nine months; and, again, I -- if I am not mistaken,
those quarters are calendar year quarter and not school year quarter.

MR. BERNARD: School year quarters, I think.
MR. RABINOWITZ: It says fiscal year.
MR. NAVE: Is it fiscal year?
MR. CHOMIAK: It should be calendar year. I am double-checking, but it should be calendar year.

MR. VIERA: Yeah, it should be calendar.
MR. CORBIN: Yes.
MR. NAVE: It begs the point of this re-baselining, and the school spotlight template, as we pointed out, none of the projects on that template are flagged. If we were still using the traffic signal icon that we jettisoned a few meetings ago, there would be 232 green traffic signals in this report, and I think I heard Ms. Garth say that -- in explaining that template, that if a project was in jeopardy of missing it's completion date, that it would be flagged; and if I heard that correctly, then a project can miss any number of dates along the way and never be flagged.

But I think that the issue that the bond oversight committee has to deal with tonight is whether this notion of re-baselining is acceptable and whether the schedule that is reflected in this
report is the schedule going forward, or whether -at the District's own admission, these projects are pushed back, and whether the bond oversight committee wants to ask the District to submit a readvised facilities construction report that shows these projects as being delayed and the reasons for the delay, and that was Florida TaxWatch's recommendation.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Do you want to talk first or do you want me to?

MR. BERNARD: You can talk. Go ahead.
MR. RABINOWITZ: So what is your recommendation?

MR. NAVE: Well, I think there are some that might consider it disingenuous to think that these projects are all on schedule.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I agree.
MR. NAVE: Every quarter, every time that we meet, we discuss this.

MR. RABINOWITZ: This is particularly true when we are seeing that prospective inflation is 25 percent, and some of that is associated with, I guess, time, value and money. If we are looking at inflation of 25 percent over and above what amounts to be a billion dollars, how can we tell the
public, now, that let's create a new baseline and eat another 250 million, without just simply being honest and saying we are behind schedule.

Wouldn't we all be better served doing that? Anybody want to respond?

MR. CHOMIAK: I will.
I mean, here is the dilemma we had: We baselined this -- again, if you notice on this chart, the design and professional procurement dates remain intact, and that's been the trigger point for all of our project starts, and we have been making those dates.

Where the discrepancy comes up is the template that we use to establish the remaining schedule is based off of the design procurement, so the design phase, the construction procurement and construction timeframes. We have since found that those were not -- we initially set them based on what we felt were industry averages. What we have since found is those are not realistic for this district, so we had to adjust, based on what the reality was.

MR. RABINOWITZ: What are you seeing in this district that's different than any other part of the country?
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MR. CHOMIAK: Primarily the process for procurement is the biggest difference, both on the design side and on the construction side. It just takes longer for various reasons.

We have been analyzing this for the last year, for the most part, looking at, not only what the process is and what timeframes were realistic, but now we are also looking at how to mitigate that, how do we come back and recommend processes and changes to the District that will shorten that back down so we gain time we have lost because of the extended timeframes.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Is it a fair statement that the longer this takes, the more money it is going to cost?

MR. CHOMIAK: Most likely, yes.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Then how can we better utilize the bond dollars to mitigate that exposure?

MR. CHOMIAK: Right, that's what we are looking at.

MR. RABINOWITZ: That's the question you are about to get to.

MR. CHOMIAK: We have been looking at that all along, but we are --

MR. RABINOWITZ: What's the answer to the
question?
MR. CHOMIAK: I think some of it is process, you know. One of the things that impacted us early on is we had intended to bundle -- package schools together, so instead of having a single school as a design contract, in and of itself, we put three or four schools together, put that out as a single design contract, which does a lot of things. It's easier to manage. It's four times less effort from the procurement department to procure, you know, one design contract, rather than four. So right off the back we quadrupled the level of effort that is taken to move a project through District procurement and all the things that are related to that.

So that's since been corrected. It was a policy change that we required. We had that corrected, so now we are going back to the packaging concept we had in the beginning.

We have a list of things we are attacking and trying to contract and shrink back down to a reasonable timeframe. The reality is, until we can get all those changes implemented, the schedule is -- we have to comply with the process, and that's what it's taking.

MR. RABINOWITZ: For the next meeting, can we have some understanding of what efforts are being made to mitigate that additional exposure?

MR. CHOMIAK: Absolutely.
MS. AKER REECE: I agree with Adam. Is there a way for us to get a mini presentation on what the procurement process looks like for the School Board for any of these phases and what you see as the concerns, like what of the policies are set by the School Board and what are State mandated?

MR. CHOMIAK: Right, yes, absolutely we can do that.

MR. RABINOWITZ: That would be great. Thank you.

MS. SIEGEL: So my question would be, then, we developed this without understanding the policies of the procurement process?

MR. CHOMIAK: Not -- I mean, I wouldn't quite say it that way.

MS. SIEGEL: It sounds like we developed a timeline without taking into consideration the hurdles that this process creates, and now we have a delay, but we are not actually calling it a delay, and we are hoping to catch up. I mean, that's just my understanding of it, so please
correct me if $I$ am wrong.
MR. CHOMIAK: Right. I wouldn't say you are wrong. I think the difference is, up until now, these were schedules that we had set up internally that we wanted to track against, and now that we are incorporating that into the bond oversight committee, we wanted them to be dates that would be meaningful and when we say we are behind, we are truly behind. Otherwise we show everything behind schedule right now. I don't know that's doing any justice to anything we are going to do in the future, because some of these we may never get back to what we thought was a good original schedule. Now we know, so we can track very accurately.

MS. SIEGEL: I guess my concern is, just historically, as a parent perspective, and definitely not a building expert or anything else, we seem to have an issue with consistently, with our projects, running behind and going over budget. So we have a bidding project -- and I'm assuming that the bids go to the person who bids the least -- and yet then we always historically seem to go over that, "because I bid in 2014, but now we are going to not go into construction until 2018, and now the supplies have gone up."

So it just seems to me, as a parent, and a taxpayer, the concern is, you know, if we know that there is this delay, why are we -- why isn't that like written into a bid or something?

MR. CHOMIAK: The -- again, I go back to delay against what -- baseline, because whether we were a little more maybe optimistic about schedules we could achieve than what we are actually seeing based upon the true process it takes, it would have taken that process anyway. Whether we had a schedule to show a quicker process or not, the process is taking what it would have taken. I am probably not explaining this very well but --

MS. COKER: So can I jump in?
MR. CHOMIAK: Yes, please do.
MS. COKER: I think, being responsible for procurement, I think I'd appreciate those questions being addressed to me. That way I can help Mr. Chomiak on the delay part.

So from the history of the District -- mind you, I have been here a year and a half, a little bit less; but from going back and reviewing the history of the District's procurement policies, construction sourcing, at one point in time, was part of the facilities department. There were
policies that had been written years ago maybe for a department where there was not a lot of construction volume, there wasn't a lot of things happening.

Therefore, maybe a year or two before my arrival to the District, that department went back into the procurement -- that facilities or construction sourcing went back into the procurement department, and then we got the bond, right? Now some of those policies remained antiquated, and some of those policies, and the interpretation of those policies, were not aligned with some of the work and requirements that we have today.

So when he says that things are slower in the district, just from doing the analysis myself and comparing and benchmarking and networking with other districts, we do do things differently. Broward County actually requires permits on several things that Miami-Dade County doesn't require. So there is an additional delay for prequalification of vendors. The interpretation from our legal department, as well as justifying competitive solicitation and what can and the superintendent cannot approve based on his authority is limited.

So it is not that the procurement process is delayed. It's the policy that -- which myself and the legal department are working up now in July to change, we are going to update this policy that hasn't been updated in several years, just, basically, in order to help the policy be more realistic to some of the requirements and comparison to some of the other districts, now that we do have an increased volume.

Not only related to construction, but I can tell you, across the board, related though any commodity, there is just interpretation, policy issues, which is why part of the changes that we have brought forth is mapping of those processes, automation. This department, the procurement department, you know the history, which I have -it's almost only hearsay to me, have had several issues, but we are moving forward to changing and improving.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you for the explanation.

When were these policy issues, as you described them, identified as being -- mucking up the works and slowing down this progress?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Years ago.

MS. COKER: I don't want to give a specific date, but $I$ can tell you that we have identified -we have identified practices that the District has taken, versus actual policies. So we might have been moving along contracts under $\$ 500,000$ with -based on interpretation of a policy that was written many years ago to where now we have to bring every item to the Board.

So I think, just those changes of -- even advertising, we have to bring an advertisement for a request for qualifications to the Board every time. Miami-Dade, or any -- no other district does that. They don't ask permission for the Board to give them to advertise.

The advertisements are done. You go out for an RFQ, you have to award it via approval by the Board, but our process indicates that we have to do specific things that are approved by the Board. So it takes longer than -- than possibly necessary, and we are looking at hopefully improving those processes and streamlining them alongside legal support and Heery making recommendations and just realistically demonstrating, through the mapping.

You know, when you talk about 8 to 18 months on design services, $I$ can tell you -- I didn't want
to go and help Heery's response, but I can tell you that the QSEC process, which is a requirement by law, is very cumbersome, because it's just getting the quorum, getting the committee together, doing the logistics of making sure that all the committee is there. It's under the Sunshine law.

I can tell you from the time that we set up these meetings, which we have a calendar today that goes three, four, six months out so that we can help plan this better, it takes several, several weeks and months to even do that, which adds to this already, you know, extensive timeline of, you know, the design phase.

MS. SIEGEL: But since we know that, how do we still project a calendar that's unrealistic with the procurement process that we all know now exists and say, genuinely, we hope it will get better and expect people who voted for this bond to be okay with knowing that every day, the dollar amount goes up to the taxpayer's expense?

MS. COKER: And I can appreciate that, but as Mr. Chomiak said, we just recently changed the policies. So we are putting effort where we identified some of the constraints where that bottleneck is. We actually moved forward -- and

Policy 3330, it was a change from the previous policy of 7007. That was a change that we made. We also changed -- again, we have identified some of the issues, and we are moving forward with the cooperation of District staff.

And I -- I foresee, as we moved along in this process, and maybe Mr. Chomiak can agree, that it actually improved, the process has definitely improved, because we have identified those hit and misses, and we have actually put things into play to ensure that we can push things along.

I don't know if you agree?
MR. CHOMIAK: Absolutely.
I think back to your question about, you know, what do we show, that was the whole reason we did what we called the re-baselining is because we wanted it to be accurate. This is the first time we were publishing dates in the report that will be seen by the public, and we wanted it to reflect reality, not our original optimistic view of what we thought we would be able to do here, and we realized that we were unable to achieve that in those timeframes. So that's the reason for the re-baseline.

MR. BERNARD: That's all nice and well and
sugar-coated, but as Ann said, this is three years old. We are in the third year, and you are saying you are going to tell them we are starting that date and the time starts now, not three years ago when it was supposed to start.

Now TaxWatch is asking, he is asking, if there is a delay, note it. That's why you have the comment section. That's why we all said put the comment section in there so everybody knows.

They are not going to slap your hand or anything, just give a reason why it didn't start when it should have. If the funds weren't allocated until 2016, and it was in a 2015 year, put it in there; but just don't say, we are going to start here and forget the first two years of the program and say, hey, we want to start on the clean slate and this is what we are doing and everything is up to date right now when it's not.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I think Bob wanted to add a comment.

MR. NAVE: Just an observation, this is not either an all-the-projects-are-on-time or all-the-projects-are-late issue. If I look at that correctly, the projects in years four and five, the design-related procurement and the construction-related procurement activities are on schedule. If you look at the first three years, the design-related procurement activities are on schedule. It's the implementation or the construction-related procurement activities that are being pushed back.

So you only have got a subset of all of these activities that would be shown as delayed; and if the procurement process is the reason for them, then maybe giving it that kind of visibility will help make changes in the procurement process to speed things up.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Is there anything else?
CHIEF DiPETRILLO: Just one quick question: Is there a way in your particular estimates you gave us back in January, the stuff that we are talking about now, the 25 percent, is there a way that you can minimize that by -- I know you have been grouping these things together going forward and they've been releasing the funds, but it seems like things take awhile to get done, as you said earlier.

But is there a way you could fast-track some of these things, that you can get them on the table ahead of that schedule? Do you have the ability to
do that?
MR. CHOMIAK: To the extent -- yes, is the short answer, and that's what we are doing with the --

CHIEF DiPETRILLO: So you can take large groups of projects to the School Board and get them approved at one time? In other words, have the -have the paperwork done upfront, instead of stretching it out for five years or four years, is there a way to fast-track this?

MR. CHOMIAK: I'm not sure I totally understand how -- you are asking to take large quantities --

MR. BOBADILLA: Let me try.
I think one of the things we are looking at is that group five, as was mentioned earlier, can we start that sooner; but in order to do that, we also have to make sure we get through the group four, and so that's where the push is to make sure the group fours are completed so that we can start moving the group five forward.

So that's the other piece to this is that there were commitments made to the community of how the things would move forward in the different groups, but I think there is an opportunity to
accelerate --
CHIEF DiPETRILLO: What I mean, Leo -- excuse me a second.

Can't you have different groups working on different years? In other words, have a group four working on all the projects in group four. Have another group working on group five, and getting ahead of that, instead of waiting until you hit that quarter in 2019 to start the project.

Can you compartmentalize those years with working groups that can carry that all the way through and get ahead the project?

Basically, what I think, the way we are doing it -- and I may be wrong because I'm not an expert in this, but $I$ know some people who are, and if you -- if you compartmentalize it, to some degree, and you have work groups working on those projects, they get ahead of things. They get it to the Board -- which seems to take forever, by the way, because that's the way bureaucracy is and government. So if you get it to them ahead of time, then they can start committing to these things in advance; and instead of waiting for group one and two to be completed before you start two, three and four, start to put mini teams together,
start working on those projects now and getting ahead of it.

Is that possible? Do you understand what I am trying to say?

MR. BERNARD: Didn't you say you were going to start doing the designs and everything and you were going to try to accelerate those to get the paperwork done, get everything ready to go out to bid when it's time for that money to be spent?

MR. CHOMIAK: That's correct.
MR. BERNARD: So you are going to accelerate the soft-end stuff, let it sit there. When the money is finally allocated, you are ready to go with the design, like he was saying. He is going to try and get ahead of it that way, get the design sitting on the table; and as soon as the money is allocated to build, he is ready to go.

CHIEF DiPETRILLO: You hit the ground running when the year comes, instead of us running from behind -- we are behind; we know that from all the stuff, but if you get that front-end piece done, isn't that going to help you accelerate hitting the ground running? That's what I'm trying to get to.

MR. CHOMIAK: It will. That's exactly what we are trying to do.

Again, if you look, the group one and group two, you know, funding year '14/'15 and '15/'16, with all the upfront bond prep work and getting the funding in place, we got a late start on those, but that's typical. You have got to get some things in place before you can get funding and so forth in a bond program like this.

So we were -- you know, those are grouped together because we had to kind of double-time those to get caught up, which we did do. Now we are in years three and four, groups three and four were pretty much caught up as far as getting those out to match the funding year, and now we are trying to accelerate group five because we have the opportunity do that to gain ourselves additional flow time or extra time at the end to make sure we do finish this program within the allotted seven years.

I think we are doing what you are suggesting. It's just a little different view of it.

CHIEF DiPETRILLO: I just didn't know if you needed more teams to be able to do all those early phases and you had to have more people upfront doing that because your team is already overloaded and they were behind. If that were the case, then

I would put more people upfront to get that stuff going. It's going to cost money regardless of whether you pay for it now or you pay for it later. It's going to cost you more later, you know, if you don't get it on now.

So if you need more people to get that done, then that's really between you and the Board to figure out whether you can accelerate those things. I think that will give you a good head start when the shovels start hitting the ground.

MR. BOBADILLA: Just so the committee knows, so we do look at the volume of work that's coming out. One of the recommendations we brought to the Board recently, working with Heery and Ms. Coker and her team is, given the construction volume that is getting ready to hit, that procurement will need additional help to move that through the process. So Heery is going to also be providing augmented staff to procurement to help move that work through the pipeline.

CHIEF DiPETRILLO: Okay. I'm not sure, but I think you answered my question but --

MR. CHOMIAK: It's kind of going back to old refinery days, I worked for the refinery for a little while, and you also look for the bottleneck,
the slowest point in the process. All they want to do is put out the product.

You find that bottleneck, you fix it; find another bottleneck and fix it, which is kind of what we are doing with -- you know, procurement right now has been the bottleneck, where we are increasing staff to eliminate that bottle neck and keep work flowing at the speed you would like it to flow.

MS. COKER: I don't think that's a fair statement.

MS. AKER REECE: So do you envision that may be a second bottleneck, the permitting problem?

MR. CHOMIAK: I'm sorry?
MS. AKER REECE: You read a lot of things in our local papers about permitting being an issue. Are you all proactively addressing potential issues with your larger construction projects with the various municipalities and their permitting process?

MR. CHOMIAK: We are, and most of the permitting is actually done in-house with the District. So we are meeting with the building department to make sure that -- like we are trying to schedule in the next couple weeks to look at
some of the issues we have been seeing in design, reviews that we are doing, and to make sure we are in sync with what the building department is looking for so that we can mitigate the number of comments that come back that could potentially delay permit issues by that department. So we are moving forward because we know that's going to be the next potential hurdle that we are going to have to get over.

MS. COKER: If I may, I just want to add one more thing just publically.

Heery is the entity that writes the RFPs, RFQs. They are the ones that write the bid documents, and we process those bid documents as they come in. I just wanted to make sure that -you know, they are placing procurement as the bottleneck. I don't think that statement is completely true, but if we don't get them fast enough, then, obviously, the procurement takes longer because we are waiting on documents. So it's combination of both areas. It's a combined effort. We work very well together, and I don't usually throw anybody under the bus, but I have to say, publically, that it's a combined effort, and I don't think it's a procurement
problem.
MR. CHOMIAK: Let me clarify, because you are right --

MR. BERNARD: You are both on the bus. You are not under the bus. You are both on the same bus.

MR. CHOMIAK: I understand.
I was just tying back to Leo's statement that we are supplying additional personnel because we recognize the need there and that that's the solution there.

There is nothing against you. You guys are doing great.

MR. BOBADILLA: If I could just make a comment, I think the other piece, too, not making excuses, but also I think it needs to be said that there are districts that do bonds on a continuous basis, so they move from one to another. So they don't have these kinds of issues that we are, in the start up, struggling to address and have addressed, because they move from one to another.

They have gone through the struggle of looking at their policies or procedures, looking at their staffing levels, looking at a lot of things that this district is going through for the first time
in many years in having to go through a bond. It hasn't had one like this for many years, and these are the kinds of things that $I$ would expect to find in any District that is going through this after not having a program for many years.

So to be fair to procurement, to the entire time, our facilities team, some of these things are things that are to be expected when a District has not gone through a program like this for a long time. I cannot make an excuse, but I just wanted to share that because sometimes I get the comment, "well, such-and-such District passed a bond and they are doing this."

Well, yes, but they just completed another one, so they already have all these things in place to pick up and run with that.

MR. BERNARD: We're not here for the labor pain. We are just here for the baby, so let's go. MR. RABINOWITZ: Bob, was your report complete?

MR. NAVE: I'm sorry?
MR. RABINOWITZ: Was your report complete?
MR. NAVE: I'm done.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Have we done enough? MR. NAVE: Yes.
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MR. RABINOWITZ: That leads us to the workshop briefing, Bruce.

MR. BERNARD: We have talked about mostly about the issues we talked about here tonight. We had the budget issue we talked about. The School Board made them aware.

I also told them that the committee cautiously optimistic we can get this completed in the time juncture that was been scheduled. Again, everybody was happy with the technology portion of it.

And the biggest question I was asked is do we think the staff is hiding information from us. That was the question I was asked. They were there. I answered. I will say the same thing I told everybody there. I said, they give us the meat but we've got to search for the potatoes; and that's basically what we are here to do is search more. They give us the main portion of it, and we have to search for the rest, and hopefully we get the rest of it, and that was it.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
The next workshop is June 20th. Again, I'm supposed to be the person that's the liaison -- I'm actually in Tampa that day, so don't all jump at once to partake in that.

Ann?

MS. SIEGEL: I'm sorry, I'm pulling out my calendar to see if I'm in town.

It looks like I'm here.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Excellent. You can give the report about what happened today. Don't worry, you speak with the Staff.

MS. SIEGEL: Perfect.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Other business, is there anything else?

Omar?
MR. SHIM: Yes. I was told that I was going to get in trouble if I didn't do this, so on behalf of the public information office, and everybody here at the School Board, I wanted to give out these certificates of appreciation to the bond oversight committee.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thanks.
So we recess the business meeting and convene the public hearing.

Is there a member of the public present that wanted to make some comments, I guess?

MR. SCHAGRIN: I do.
MR. RABINOWITZ: What's your name, sir?
MR. SCHAGRIN: My name is Ronald Schagrin. I
am a graduate of Northeast High School, and I represent a group of concerned alumni at Northeast High School.

Terry Stiles organized a group back in '14. He was concerned that he had heard reports that Northeast had become a sick school with mold and mildew.

In early '15 we walked through the school with Superintendent Runcie. We walked into a classroom, and instantaneously he could identify the mold and mildew.

We had been promised by Superintendent Runcie that Northeast High School, Blanche Ely and Stranahan were top priorities for this bond issue.

I was pleased to hear tonight the success of the SMART bond issue. Laptops have been purchased. Category 5 wiring has been installed. Music instruments have been put in place. Art is back in school. Our athletic department now has weight rooms. Track fields are being done, notwithstanding the children at Northeast High School and the other schools that I mentioned are dealing with mold, mildew, allergies and asthma.

I've heard nothing here said tonight about priority in terms of the commitment that

Superintendent Runcie, as well as School Board members, have made to the public at Northeast High School at a public hearing that was held. Superintendent Runcie, Terry Stiles and I had a meeting with many of the representatives that are here tonight with Atkins, Heery, facilities, a guy who was the general contractor -- I'm sorry, a guy who is the architect, a representative from Dwight Stephenson Construction was there. Again, in front of all these gentlemen, commitment was made that those three schools were the priority to get something done.

This is the summer of '17. What are we going to get done? Single point of entry.

I'm not down-playing the importance of a single point of entry. We all appreciate how important safety is to our schools, and I'm not questioning that; but now we have let the summer of '17 go. I've heard no commitment from the gentlemen that have spoken tonight about when -- to your phraseology, Mr. Chairman, when are we going to see shovels in the ground? When are we going to see hammers being swung? It is important.

I would hope that the committee understands that their responsibility is to the students that
attend Broward County schools spending $\$ 800$ million is easy, but it's difficult when it's not spent properly, and we are concerned.

I've been at town hall meetings. I was at a -- make sure I say it right, a school advisory committee meeting at Northeast High School, parents looked at us and said, what is being done, my child is suffering from allergies and asthma; and all facilities is doing is putting Band-Aids on a situation that requires major surgery with sutures.

And I would only ask that -- I share the frustration that I heard tonight. This is first time I have ever attended a School Board meeting and/or a committee meeting. I -- it is the classic pass the buck.

I'm in commercial real estate. I am involved in putting tenants into space. My landlords who do the leases, hire architects, hire mechanical engineers, hire contractors, if we took the amount of time that we are wasting the taxpayer dollars, we would never put any people into space, and I just think that it's the responsibility of the committee to hold all of these stakeholders -Atkins, Heery, facilities, whatever contractors, we need to hold them accountable.

You have tried to tonight. I didn't hear any qualified answers, I apologize. I share your frustrations, and I trust the frustrations of Blanche Ely and Stranahan, and I would only hope that the next time I come back -- and I will come back -- that I will hear more definitive direction from the committee and from the representatives that I have reason to believe you hired. And if they are not the qualified people to spend taxpayer money, then let's make a change in leadership. Thank you for your time. MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you. So you know, sir, we didn't hire them, by the way. MR. SCHAGRIN: I feel better. MR. RABINOWITZ: You know, I think he brings up a good point, I think that it would be helpful for everyone sitting at your table, because we, at least once a month, or thereabouts, see some kind of news report about the big three, how about we have a report, every bond oversight committee meeting, about those specific projects. So this way concerned citizens, like this gentleman, who come forward, can hear about the progress that is being made and the promises of making it a priority are actually being kept fair
enough.
MR. CORBIN: Absolutely.
MR. BERNARD: I think there is a communication end to it, too. When he can stand in line for this thing, like he said he has at the high school, those three high schools, we should make an effort to make sure that we get into them when they have their meetings so they know something is happening, rather than trying to find out through other means that -- especially those three, because those are the hot spots right now.

MS. GARTH: Thank you for that. You are absolutely right. I will mentioned that.

Just as recently as this month, we have started -- also with the leadership of Mr. Runcie -- having community meetings at those three schools on a monthly or bimonthly basis where we will come out to the community, give them an update, not just include the principal and community leaders, but putting that invitation to municipal leaders.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I think it would be a good idea if we had a report every single bond committee meeting about that, not just the next one, because we want to have positive news and not just hear
that it got stuck in procurement or there is a design delay. I think it's incumbent to educate the public about what is going on there.

I think we have another member of the public. Welcome.

What is your name, ma'am?
MS. FERRARA: Linda Ferrara, vice chair of Employee Task Force. I just wanted to quickly clarify a couple things.

First of all, as far as the policies go, the task force had made a recommendation back in late 2014/early 2015 to revise the policy, and there was a number of personnel changes, so things did not move forward.

We took it upon ourselves to start doing it in July, I want to say, of 2015 so that when Mr. Coker came aboard, we were able to hit the floor running, literally, because she was there one month when she met with us, and it was a very successful meeting. This was something that, you know, we took on ourselves to move ahead with knowing that there were problems with that and other areas, but one thing at a time.

The other thing is, the people were promised that the bond would be hitting incrementally.

Don't forget we are paying for this on our taxes, and some people have expressed concerns that, okay, we have only issued two- -- $\$ 230$ million at this point, what is it going to do to my taxes if all of a sudden you come out with $\$ 500$ million.

You have to understand the vast demographics of our population. To some it's not going to make a difference. To some it's going to be a major impact. They were counting on the small, incremental increases. They are not expecting a big, wham, to be hit; and I know -- you know, when we say we want to move projects forward, I think we need to be cognizant of that and also what the work force can actually handle. Between Miami, Palm Beach, the airports and everything, resources are stretched at this point.

The other one is I do sit on a number of QSEC meetings, and one of the things that is always asked whether they can bring in the project under the timeline described, and I'd like to say nine out of ten, they always say they can. So when Staff sits down with them to negotiate, pinpoint a date. At least that portion can be put onto report, and you have a starting point with the architect when it comes to that and move on with
the contractor from there, because the two are hitting one right after the other.

I just did one for an architect I am doing for one school, and I am doing a contract in a couple weeks for another school -- for the same school.

So they are working together, and they are trying to make the process as efficient as possible, and I think that needs to be reflected in the report.

Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
Any other public comment?
Hearing none, we'll adjourn the public hearing, reconvene the business meeting.

And is there any other discussion from anyone?
MS. AKER REECE: One thing, and it doesn't have to be for next meeting, but as people talk, I don't understand all the School Board's policies and procedures, so I don't know if you could take five minutes each meeting to tell us about how capital is funded -- I don't know how that is funded -- or how the pyramid process works.

It doesn't have to be in depth, but to just kind of give us an understanding of when folks have these concerns or are talking about the different
rules you have to follow, that we can kind of put that in context.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay.
Anything else?
Hearing none, we can adjourn the meeting.
Motion to adjourn?
MS. SIEGEL: Motion.
MS. AKER REECE: Second.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Meeting is adjourned.
Thank you, everybody.
(Whereupon, the proceeding was concluded at 8:26 p.m.)
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