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\begin{aligned}
& \text { P R O C E E D I N G S } \\
& \text { MR. RABINOWITZ: If we're ready, let's call } \\
& \text { the meeting to order. }
\end{aligned}
$$

All right. Great. The first order of business is approving the minutes from the August 29th meeting. So can $I$ hear a motion to approve the minutes?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So moved.
MR. BERNARD: Second.
MR. RABINOWITZ: All those in favor of approving the minutes say aye.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Hearing no nays, the motion passes. The minutes are approved.

The next order of business concerns membership. As all of you know, pursuant to the resolution that created this committee there were or there are additional seats available for qualified individuals. Are there any individuals that any of the other members of the committee have identified that would be desirous of participating on this illustrious committee?

Everybody's smiling shaking their head.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: No, I did my part.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Well, that's yet to be seen. It's not done yet.

In any event, hearing none, we can move on to the next order of business, which concerns future meeting dates.

Forgive me, but $I$ forgot which dates we had --

MR. SHIM: I put it in front of you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: That right there. Thank you.

MR. SHIM: So everybody should have a copy of that.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Obviously, one of the important things of making sure that this committee moves forward is making sure we have amenable dates for all of us to attend the meeting. And members of the committee as I speak to you right now, and Omar was kind enough to provide us a printout with the prospective dates, are there any dates on here concerning members of the committee that have a problem with these proposed dates?

MR. BERNARD: Not at this time.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: No, not me.

MS. AKER REECE: Not yet.
MR. RABINOWITZ: And the proposed dates actually correspond to, obviously, varying dates concerning when the quarter ends. So, so long as nobody has any conflict with these proposed dates, February 23rd 2017, May 22nd, 2017, August 28th, 2017 and November 13th, 2017, those will be the dates for the next quarterly meetings assuming nothing pops us between now and then which then requires us to amend.

Is that okay with everybody?
Okay. Great. Thank you.
That brings us to the sum and substance of this meeting, the quarterly reports from the varying individuals, and the first issue concerning Section 1 is the SMART Program Technology report from Mr. Hunter. Good evening.

MR. HUNTER: Good evening. How are you doing?

MR. RABINOWITZ: Great. Thank you.
MR. HUNTER: Are we doing the presentation?
MR. BOBADILLA: Yes. If it's okay, before we get stared, I would like to introduce Yvonne Garth. She has been working with the entire team. You may have noticed you have a different
format in the information that's been provided, so I wanted to give her an opportunity to introduce herself, introduce kind of the work that's being done and maybe lay out some of the formatting that we plan to do going forward and get feedback, as well.

MS. GARTH: Okay. Well, good evening everyone. My name is Yvonne Garth. I am with Garth Solutions, and we're, actually, part of the Heery team, part of the program management team. And one of our primary roles on this program is the communications piece. So we've been on board for a few months now, myself and Denise who is taking pictures, so please smile for tweets later, have been engaged in trying to help to enhance the communications effort surrounding the SMART Program. One of our initial tasks was to take a look at the way that we're reporting to you and to try to improve upon that.

So I had the opportunity to attend the last meeting. I also have had the opportunity to speak with Bob, with TaxWatch and some other stakeholders, and, really, our goal was to, first and foremost, make sure that we are maintaining the transparency of this program, but also
importantly in a way that's easily understandable to all of the stakeholders, to you, to make it easier to read through our reports, but, also, to make it simple and easy for the public to understand.

So the last three months we worked collaboratively as a team to try and look at the report and the way that we have been reporting to you and find a way to, one, make this look like one, look and feel, it is one SMART Program, so we want to report in one comprehensive way and in one comprehensive document, which is why you see the new look and feel in the binder that you have. But, also, we took some of the feedback that you had the last time, particularly with respect to how we're reporting on the individual schools and took a stab at modifying that template.

So what I want to say most importantly is, this is our first attempt to improve on the communications. This is certainly not the final. We really welcome your feedback to let us know if we're moving in the right direction, areas of improvement overall, but, also, specifically to the individual school reports.

So, if I may, I would like to reserve some time at the end of this meeting to get your feedback on not just the document report that you have here, but the presentation that you'll see in front of you.

So, with that said, we'll kick off the meeting with just a brief video that's intended to show some of the progress and accomplishments that the team has had over the last quarter. MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you. Make Bob happy, we're happy. (Whereupon, a video presentation was shown to the committee.)

MS. GARTH: And with that, I'll turn it over to Mr. Hunter for the technology update.

MR. HUNTER: Okay. So what you have in front of you is really kind of a summary of the work that has been completed as of $9 / 30 / 2016$, which was the last date. You'll notice there that as of that date we had ordered 62,560 computers and installed 54,612 of those devices.

You'll also see that in terms, we're tracking, of course, computer carts that have been ordered and installed as well as the wireless access points and category 6 cabling.

I want to break down that 62,560 a little bit because we've now started into Year 2, so if you'll go to the next slide, please, you'll see that for all the schools that were scheduled to be installed, ordered and installed for the 15-16 school year, 54,000 of those devices were ordered and 54,612 were installed. So there were just a few at the end of September that still needed to be installed.

But you'll also notice that we've started the ordering process for the schools, the 32 schools that are a part of the fiscal year 16-17 project. So, as of $9 / 30$ we had ordered 7,700 of those devices. Of course, none had been installed as of that date because the orders were out.

Okay. Next slide, please. So Status by Individual Project Scope, we are -- and this is total for all schools. The infrastructure projects are a little over 74 percent, I believe, complete. You'll see the other percent there that is actually in progress. Whereas, Computer Gap, all of the Year 1 schools are now complete and we're towards the Year 2, so the gaps would be the computers, themselves, that are being ordered and installed.

And then our goal for Category 6 and Wireless was one access point for every classroom in the District. We have approximately 14,000 classrooms throughout the District. And as you can tell from the chart there, we're about 60 percent complete with that work and on schedule to complete that at the end of this year. We feel very confident that by the end of the 16-17 school year we will have completed 100 percent of the technology projects.

And that's a summary of the information that you have in here. Obviously, as always, I am very open to questions.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Does anybody have any questions for Mr. Hunter?

MR. BERNARD: Just one. On the access points showing that you have 126, whatever, already installed this year, is there a reason why we installed them early this year and haven't completed the first year of the program?

MR. HUNTER: Yeah, it just takes longer for the cabling. So for the access points we have to run the category 5 cabling to many of those access points and that lead time to run the cabling is what slows us down. It's not the
access points, themselves.
MR. BERNARD: But do they have to do the cabling for the ones this year, too?

MR. HUNTER: Yeah. So, if you can go back a slide. I want to make sure I'm understanding your question. Are you --

MR. BERNARD: I'm saying you're ahead this year. You've got 143 in this year already.

MR. HUNTER: Right.
MR. BERNARD: But you're behind 126 from last year.

MR. HUNTER: Yeah, in terms of ones that still need to be installed. That is correct. But that was at the end of September.

Remember, this report is at the end of September 30th. I can go back and double-check, but I'm pretty sure they're all done now.

MR. BERNARD: Well, we also had 143 ahead of schedule.

MR. HUNTER: Ahead of schedule; right.
MS. REECE: So are you saying that the first two years of the bond program you are doing all of the technology improvements up front and then for the remaining years they won't need any more?

MR. HUNTER: No, not saying that. And I
think that will get to one of TaxWatch's recommendations when we talk about that. We've said to the Board, and so that should now be coming up, I'm assuming, probably in December, we said to the Board, as soon as all of the Year 1 projects are complete, we would then go back to the Board with the savings from Year 1 and make recommendations and ask the Board to make decisions on how those dollars are to be spent.

So I'm hopeful that we'll have opportunities beyond Year 2, but we definitely had some savings in the infrastructure area and it will be up to the Board of Education to determine if we can use those funds to refresh the equipment.

I want to remind everyone that the way we count the computer gap is that computers that are three years old or less; all right? So every year that means that additional computers are falling off. And so I know one of our recommendations to the Board will be to use those savings to handle the refresh that we need for those computers that are now four or five years old by the time we are finished.

MR. RABINOWITZ: When will we know what the monetary savings will be?

MR. HUNTER: I think -- well, I'm going to look to Ben and Omar, but $I$ think we should be able to close that out -- definitely by the December report it will all be closed out.

MR. SHIM: Yeah, we'll just have to go through and include all the ones that have been closed and we'll reconcile that with our technology folks to make sure that everything has been paid and there are true savings.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I think it would be a good idea for us to know from a dollars perspective how much actually was saved. So, when the next meeting arrives so that could be a line item to advise us, because that way the Board could then be properly advised how much money it prospectively had spent to allocate in the future.

MS. AKER REECE: So you said that you would use the savings perhaps to refresh or to replace the computers.

MR. HUNTER: I'm just saying what our recommendation may be.

MS. AKER REECE: But one of our roles as the advisory board is to validate that no bond funds are used for other operating expenses. How is
that not an operating expense going to original education?

MR. HUNTER: Well, that's a great question. I guess my thought on that is that the community, and I had this conversation with other members of the District, as well, the community actually allocated $\$ 80$ million for technology refresh across the District. And the areas that they allocated the money was in the areas of computer refresh, wireless and infrastructure. And so what we're recommending to the Board is that we spend the 80 million that was allocated and continue to refresh and keep the computers current.

I don't know that -- now, you guys will have to, along with the Board, determine whether or not you think that's an operating expense or is that still just part of the refresh. Computers will continue to age every year. So we're hoping that we will be able to use that to keep the computers at the level that the community had hoped they would be when they approved the bond.

Let me hear your thoughts on that.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Of course I have more questions. I can't help myself.

What happens with regard to those computers that were allocated to or purchased by charter schools and then just sit in inventory? What happens to those units?

MR. HUNTER: You know, I don't know that we have, and I'll look to Leslie, I don't know that we have computers from charter schools that are sitting. If a charter school closes, those computers come back to the District and then the determination is made as to how to allocate those. Typically what happens is, if a charter school closes, those students wind up in some District school, and we try to make sure that the technology follows the students that were in those charter schools to those respective schools.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Well, do we know from a unit perspective or a dollar perspective relative to charter schools that have closed, or any school that's closed for that matter, is there any tracing of where those units went?

MS. BROWN: Yes. Absolutely. When a charter school closes, we did have one close that actually had gotten some bond technology, we sent out our inventory team from our auditor's office.

They go out, they actually locate the device, they are put under lock and key, they do not go anywhere, we confirm that they are ours. And then, just like Tony said, a demographic, the student assignment department reports to me, we go in and find where every single one of those children has landed. Instead of just, you know, spreading the units out all over the place, we actually track where those children have shown up and we create more like a bundle. Like if it's a cart, then the cart goes where the predominance of the students went. So the technology is actually following the student to the different sites. And it was a very successful process with Tony's help and our auditors' help with getting the devices back and redeployed within a week. MR. HUNTER: But I think Adam is asking can the committee see where those devices went. MS. BROWN: Oh, yes. Absolutely. MR. RABINOWITZ: Or at least let TaxWatch know.

MR. HUNTER: Yes, we can definitely do that. MS. BROWN: Yes. Absolutely.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Any other questions or comments?

MR. BERNARD: When you're talking about refresh your program, once this bond issue is done, don't you have a maintenance budget for refreshing these computers every year within your budget?

MR. HUNTER: Well, I won't say that we have a budget. We certainly put forth and make budget recommendations on an annual basis. One of the challenges, to be quite honest, we were so far behind -- the reason we were so far behind when we came into this bond program is because the District hadn't had the dollars to allocate to technology the way that it was desired to be allocated in previous years.

And so, will there be money in the budget for technology? Absolutely. Will those dollars be sufficient to maintain at $3.5-$ to-1 or whatever the final ratio becomes? That will be a conversation $I$ look forward to having with the Board around what the cost will be. I don't think the Board at this point, I'm pretty sure they don't, because I haven't presented them with it, once we get there, what is it going to take to maintain this level going forward?
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And that's part of the conversation I want to have when we start talking about the savings that are coming.

MR. BERNARD: Well, in the first two or three years and getting all new equipment, that should be, you know, something, help your budget get, you know, caught up and whatever when they get all new, you know, stuff that's under warranty and everything else.

MR. HUNTER: Right.
MR. BERNARD: So I don't know why the money saved from here would need to go back to that refresher because you should be able to use your existing budgets these three years to do what you need to do.

MR. HUNTER: Great question. Let me see if I can clarify what we did. We have not replaced every computer within the District. The computers that are being replaced in the District, as a part of this program was, as of, I believe it was November 2014 is when the snapshot was taken, any computer that was three years old or older is in this program. Anything that was three years or newer was not in the program.

And so what I'm saying is, that by the time
we finish 2017 we will have two years worth of computers that were never a part of the program to begin with that are now outside of that.

MR. BERNARD: But if we're saving millions of dollars to replace those computers rather than using them for refreshing the computers, it makes more sense refreshing them with new computers then trying to maintain them.

MR. HUNTER: Oh, no, no, no. I agree with that and that will be the recommendation that I'd like to make but, again, the Board will have to decide. Yeah, definitely it's cheaper to refresh them at the rate they we're able to buy them than try to maintain them.

MS. AKER REECE: But I believe we're going to have a similar situation with all of the -- like the music equipment and art equipment. As you read through all of the purchases that have been made, it seems like we somehow need to recommend that the Board considers that before the program is over, to incrementally increase the funding.

MR. HUNTER: Yeah.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Any other comments or questions?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I'm just wondering, if
it's a five-year program and you're doing everything technology-wise in the first two years and you're not holding back anything, because I think everyone is trying to get to that point, how did we get to the 3 -to-1 ratio? Was that something that staff came up with? Is that something the District wanted to do as a goal? MR. HUNTER: The initial 3.5-to-1 ratio that was part of the bond program?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Yes.
MR. HUNTER: The history behind the 3.5-to-1 is that, that was a number that staff backed into based on the current cost of computers at that time and what they reasonably felt they could get done with the dollars that were available to them.

Just to remind the committee, shortly after the bond program started we went back out to bid and the cost of the devices dropped substantially. And now we've just completed another bid where the cost of the services to install the devices have dropped substantially and that will be going to the Board in December. So the lower cost of devices have allowed us to be able to buy more computers than we had
initially intended and that's why we are beyond the 3.5-to-1 with most of the schools that you see here. Many of them are 2-to-1.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: That goes to my question. Then if we have extra funds and this is a two-year plan, do we really have to go past the 3.5 or do we hold those funds in abeyance to replace the older units that are out there to give yourself a reserve? I don't see any reserve here for anything. That's sort of my philosophy.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Which begs another question in my mind. If I could dovetail your comment for a second, is there any data about what the ratios are in other counties or nationally, you know, in this circumstance? I mean, are we -- is Broward County exceeding the ratio or are we lagging behind? What can you tell us about that; if anything?

MR. HUNTER: I can pull data from other schools, but $I$ can tell you that it's many, if not most schools, prefer to be 1-to-1 in terms of the student to computer ratio because it allows the greatest flexibility from an instructional standpoint, when students don't have to be concerned with whether or not they have their
device or what device will be available for them to do work.

The 3.5-to-1, the District never wanted to stop at 3.5-to-1. The 3.5-to-1 was only there because that was the best that we thought that we could get done at that time.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Based on the dollars that you had.

MR. HUNTER: Based on the dollars that we had. But I think, and I don't want to speak for our instructional team and our chief academic officer isn't here tonight, but I feel confident that they would like us to get as close to 1-to-1 as possible as a District because it would provide the greatest opportunity and flexibility from the instructional staff.

MR. RABINOWITZ: But my question is, do we know what other counties around this state, what their ratios typically are.

MR. HUNTER: I don't, but we could find that out.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I mean, it doesn't impact how we do the analysis of the dollars, but I'm just curious.

MS. AKER REECE: If I could follow up, how is
it determined that bonds, if there's not funding in place to replace the PCs, so you're really financing it over 30 years, the initial purchase.

MR. LEONG: No, I don't think that the computer is a financing issue bond for 30 years. On advice of bond counsel, what we do is that the computer has to be not exceeding the useful life of the computer, that we have to amortize that. And also that, you have to understand also that only $\$ 80$ million, $I$ think it's 10 percent of the funds are allocated for IT. As you know, that most of the -- if you look at the financial report, most of the equipment and all that, except the infrastructure, that we're trying not to use the general obligation bond.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Any other questions?
Comments? Suggestions?
Thank you.
That brings us to the second component or second section of the quarterly report. I guess this concerns charter schools.

MS. BROWN: Yes. So we've had some great success similar to which occurred with Tony. We, actually, went back, we did listen to our Board, as well as this committee, take a look at any of
the dollars that may have been remaining. There was about nine schools where we had a bit of balance left. And if any of those balances were greater than $\$ 150$, we, actually, reached back out to those same charter schools, we opened the ordering opportunity back up again and it's closed again. So we were able to make sure that we got everything out to the charter schools that was represented. We had -- again, it was about -- yeah, it was nine schools. And most of them came from one subset of charter schools, so it was a particular company group that we were able to go back out, work with them directly, get those final balances down to under $\$ 150$. Some of them were $\$ 7, \$ 9$. So we feel really good that charter schools did get what they expected to get and we helped them spend the remainder of their dollars.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Questions? Comments? Concerns?

Thank you.
MS. BROWN: Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Moving on to Music \& Art.
MS. CANTRICK: Good evening.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Good evening.

MS. CANTRICK: So, at this point, we have purchased almost 3,000 instruments for Years 1 and 2 of the of high schools and 1,500 instruments for the Year 1 and 2, middle schools. If you go to the -- and the funds allocated, unlike technology, our music funds are distributed over all five years. So, at this point, we've allocated 63.5 percent of the funds and the rest will be distributed over Years 4 and 5.

If you go to the next slide, this is the -- a very detailed version of the ordering process for the elementary schools. The elementary schools have not, with the exception of maybe one or two schools, ordered instruments at this point. We handled the big ticket items at the high schools and middle schools for Years 1 and 2 before tackling the elementary schools. With that said, the elementary schools have a number of very -- a high number of instruments that they're ordering and they're very small priced units. So to alleviate the -- what we were doing by hand, we created -- actually, Tony's shop created a program that mimics what was used for the principals for ordering technology, for ordering
the devices, we're doing that for musical instruments. So the principal can actually go through and select the instruments that they would like to order in an on-line program. That program will speak to another program that Omar's group has built for us, which will track our orders, which is very important, because the previous reports you've seen will say that the order is in process quarter after quarter after quarter. And that may mean, and you'll see in your documentation, that may mean that 99 percent of the order is complete but we're waiting for a flute for that school. Now we can tell you that that's what we're waiting for at that school, we're waiting for one recorder or we're waiting for a clarinet. And this will be super helpful for our tracking and figuring out what is making that -- what was hanging up that order from completion.

So this will be finished this week, this program. As I said, Tony's shop is working on it and it will be finished this week. We'll be able to test it with elementary schools. We have their list of instruments that they are desiring, they will be able to plug them into the program
and then track their orders. It will be much faster than what we have been doing.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Questions?
MS. CANTRICK: Yes, sir.
MR. BERNARD: First, it's a nice improvement from the last report.

MS. CANTRICK: I think I could only go up from there.

MR. BERNARD: You said this is a five-year program but on your report it only has Years 1, 2 and 3.

MS. CANTRICK: It's a five-year program; yes. I believe that we have to get approval from the Board to go into Years 4 and 5. What we're dealing with here is Years 1, 2 and 3 right now. The middle schools and high school for Years 1 and 2 have placed their orders. For Year 3, which is this year, their gap analysis is due to us tomorrow, so they'll be able to place their orders. Whereas, with the elementary schools, we really hold their hands through the ordering process, and that's why this program was created. Because the high schools, they have orchestra and band, they know exactly what they need to make their program successful. So we just ask that
they show us what their needs are, we approve that list and let me go ahead and order on their own including bids. But for elementary schools we hold their hands and created this whole process and track everything for them.

I will say that we are taking all of the orders that have already been placed for middle school and high school and those will be put into this program, as well, so at the end we'll be able to pull a full report for every single instrument in the District that's been ordered.

MR. BERNARD: And just the one, the Thurgood Marshall Elementary is still supposed to be in Year 1 and there's no progress on that?

MS. CANTRICK: Oh, okay. So we -- they don't have a program, so it's not -- it hasn't been ordered and we've discussed this with the chief academic officer. For the schools who don't have programs, we will be providing them their funds with the agreement that they will start a program. So we will reach out to them, support them in creating a program and then help them in that ordering process. Because funds were allocated to every single school not knowing whether or not they have program, and we do have
schools out there that do not have programs. So in order to support the creation of those programs --

MR. RABINOWITZ: When you say there's no program, what do you mean?

MS. CANTRICK: I mean there is no music at that school.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Why does that just seem unbelievable to the average person and disconcerting?

MS. CANTRICK: It is disconcerting. We have middle schools without art programs.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Again, what is the cause of that, if you have an instructor, are you missing --

MS. CANTRICK: I'm not saying that they do, but I'm saying that that is a possible -- it's -it's the principal's discretion about whether they're having a music program or art program at their school.

MS. LEWERS: If the principal could not afford to have a music program where would that money go?

MS. CANTRICK: Well, we won't be giving them the money. We are going to encourage them to
create that program.
MS. LEWERS: So they would have to go in and find the money to hire the staff to teach it?

MS. CANTRICK: Correct. Correct.
Joe can speak to how many programs we have if they were in every single school before, you know, the economy tanked.

MR. BERNARD: I just don't know why you would allot money to a school that the principal doesn't choose to have music rather than have a pool.

MS. CANTRICK: I can't speak to the setup of the fund. I just know that there are schools on the list for the District that --

MR. BERNARD: Well, they should be listed on the report then that they don't have a program, not, not started or --

MS. CANTRICK: That was an error on my part. That was an error on my part. I have reached out to Research and Assessment and have a list of every single school in the District and who has a program and who does not have a program. I just received that last week. I can supply that to you, certainly.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Mr. Chairman, one more
question about the program.
I can't hear what's going on.
MS. CANTRICK: The question was if it only applied to elementary schools for schools that don't have programs, and Joe said, yes, that is the case.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Are they allowed to come in midyear to have a program or do they have to make that determination during a certain period of time at the beginning of the year?

MS. CANTRICK: I would -- I don't want to answer that without speaking to my chief, but I can't imagine how they would situate that between now and the beginning of the second semester, hiring a teacher and creating that extra special in the children's schedule. I think that would be a huge undertaking. I would be super supportive of it, but I think it would be a huge undertaking on the school's part.

MR. BERNARD: But it could change yearly, though?

MS. CANTRICK: Yes, yes, yes.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. Strange. All right.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Any other questions or
comments?
I have questions. Correct me if I'm wrong, but we're in Year 3 of the bond?

MS. CANTRICK: We are. You are not wrong.
MR. RABINOWITZ: And, you know, when I look at the report, specifically, it states each middle school and high school for Year 1 and 2 has been asked to provide data that will determine the status of their school's music equipment needs.

MS. CANTRICK: And we've received that information.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Well, as of today, I assume; right?

MS. CANTRICK: No.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Because, when I look at the report, itself, there's a number of places that say not started. And the schools are Charles Drew, I believe Griffin, Lloyd Estates, Meadowbrook, Mirror Lake. I mean, there's a number of schools that simply say, not started. And it's a little unclear --

MS. CANTRICK: So Charles Drew is an elementary.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Hold on. Let me finish.

My question is, does it mean that the gap analysis wasn't done such that nothing was started or what is being communicated to us, as the committee, looking at this?

MS. CANTRICK: As I indicated, elementary schools in Years 1 and 2, we have their gap analysis and their priority list. Their orders have not been placed yet. We started with the middle schools and high schools.

So, Years 1 and 2, their orders have been placed. For elementary schools no orders have been placed for Year 1, Year 2 or Year 3. That will happen in the next few weeks and I anticipate, with these two programs, that we will be able to process those orders within -- within a couple of months.

So any of these schools that you see on here that are elementary schools that are Year 1 and Year 2, you will see, in process, because we have not placed their orders yet. I had a staff of one and a half. And my one and a half people could not process this number. So we did a priority list and that was middle schools and high schools first and then elementary schools.

MR. RABINOWITZ: We know there was a staffing
issue. We know it's not you. And I know from sitting in the workshop and Omar made it clear that people and his staff have been providing assistance.

MS. CANTRICK: A huge amount of assistance; yes.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
Any other questions?
All right. Moving on. We are up to Facilities and Construction.

MR. CORBIN: Yes, sir, good evening.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Good evening.
MR. CORBIN: To begin with, just a brief reminder of the benchmark as it relates to the overall quantity of the facilities projects that are contained inside of the SMART Program, it's just over 1,400 line items of projects at over 232 schools.

Since the last quarterly update we have continued to make great strides and progress in rolling out this work and beginning designs. Right now we have $\$ 475$ million worth of work that's underway. This is representing improvements at 162 schools. And if we look at our benchmarks, that represents 49 percent of the
facilities are underway. The last time we met it was reported as 20 percent, so you can see that in this past quarter we've gone from 20 percent to roughly 49 percent. Similarly, the overall number of schools, 70 percent of them now have facilities projects that are underway, last reported as 48 percent.

This next slide, I'll spend a little bit of time on it. We are using these six phases to do a lot of the community updates on our progress that we're doing, and the six phases have been standardized so that Phase 1 represents planning, Phase 2 is where we're going through the stages of bringing on the design consultant. Phase 3 is the actual design phase of the work. Phase 4 is where we hire the contractor. 5 is where the work actually gets implemented. And then Phase 6 is the closeout phase, the work has all been done.

What you're going to see as we continue to work through this large program is this work will primarily start off in the first three phases, which is what this is representing right now. Each of you I think have a hard copy in front of you, so it's a little easier to see the slide,
but if you just look at the Phase 1, Phase 2 and Phase 3 efforts, we've got 144 projects representing over $\$ 68$ million that's in the planning phase right now. We're underway with hiring designers for 263 projects, representing $\$ 210$ million worth of work. And we've actually commenced design on 158 projects, representing \$159 million worth of work.

As progress continues to be made and time clicks on you'll see these figures systematically move through these six phases. And as we have rolled out and begun many of this work, a lion's share of that activity is happening in Phases 1 , 2, or 3. As we make progress you will just see this continue to move down the line until we have all of our projects in that final Phase 6 category.

MR. BERNARD: Can I ask questions on this slide?

MR. RABINOWITZ: Sure. Go ahead.
MR. BERNARD: I'm just saying, Phase 1, 2 and 3 --

MR. CORBIN: Yes, sir.
MR. BERNARD: -- that's all planning, design, hiring consultants?

MR. CORBIN: Yes, sir.
MR. BERNARD: That adds up to over \$400 million for just the paperwork end of the jobs. Is there a percentage you look for for industry standards for what it -- to get the plans, designs and everything ready to go, rather than spend over half of the bond issue on getting the plans before you even start the work?

MR. CORBIN: Oh, let me clarify. Those dollar amounts represent the funding associated with the scope of work that we're executing. It does not -- for example, where we're hiring the design team, that's not $\$ 210$ million worth of design fees.

MR. BERNARD: But that doesn't say that. If a layman looks at that is he going to know that the money's in there for the construction of the project?

MR. CORBIN: Good point. We'll be glad to refine this.

MR. BERNARD: So it's just like if someone tells me you've got 144 projects, you need \$68 million to plan them, that's half a million dollars a project just to plan them.

MR. CORBIN: Yeah, we don't want people to be
confused like that. Yeah. Because the amount of funds that we're actually paying the designers is a fraction of those totals.

MR. BERNARD: Well, that's -- you know, we would probably like you to do that, if you have an area where your architecture design, whatever percentage it is, and your engineering design percentages, and inspection designs, but, to me, it's telling me right now you've got $\$ 150$ million -- $\$ 450$ million spent on paperwork.

MR. CORBIN: No, sir. I take your point.
MR. BERNARD: I understand what you're saying, but if you look at that, all you have is Phase 4 is hire contractor. You're not saying the money is already allocated to hire the contractor backwards.

MR. CORBIN: Good point.
MR. BERNARD: And explain what the planning phase is and why it fluctuates every month, 72 down to 58, back up to '68.

MR. CORBIN: What the planning phase represents is where we're going through, we're obtaining the information out of the Jacobs Facilities Condition Assessment. The software is called Maps. That's where all of the line
details of actual improvements that were funded are defined. We're taking that and preparing -well, first validating that that scope is indeed needed at that school, but we are rolling that information into the Request for Qualifications, so that as we go to the Board and have Board approval to advertise the Request for Qualifications, that information is then conveyed out to the community of designers that are interested in it, so that they understand that there's roof replacements at Buildings 1, 2, 4 and 6, the HVAC scope is replacing the chillers, pulling all those details out of Maps into a collective document, so that when it goes to the board for approval, it can then be quickly advertised by procurement to put it onto the street. This is just a source document that better defines the scope of services associated with the design professional services and the scope that we're going to deliver on the campus.

MR. BERNARD: Wasn't some of this planning done up front to come up with numbers for the bond issue?

MR. CORBIN: Yes, it was done in the Facilities Condition Assessment, but that does
not translate to a document you can just put onto the street. You've got to take the time to roll that information into the planning, and then, what you'll see, and these numbers do fluctuate because once we finished up with the planning for these groups of projects in this current report, they're going to be moving into Phase 2, which is where we're going to be hiring the designer, and then systematically they'll come out of Phase 2 and go into Phase 3, the actual design phase.

MR. BERNARD: But, again, that planning just says that you -- a layman's going to look at that and say they're spending half a million dollars for every project you do just to plan to do it. MR. CORBIN: We'll clear that up.

MR. BERNARD: There's nothing showing what carries over, how it carries over, what's included in that planning. It's -- you know, if you try to present this to someone, they're not going to understand it, and that's something that we're here to do is make them understand what this report says.

MR. CORBIN: Well, we appreciate your comments coming in because we don't want that confusion out there in the community. Thank you
for the comment.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Proving your worth, Bruce.
MR. BERNARD: The last one is the inspections?

MR. CORBIN: No, the last one is improvements have been completed, we're doing that final closeout document, it's that, substantial completion, the final completion, inspection, as well as the all the required closeout documents and final payments.

MR. BERNARD: Is there some way to put a percentage of what we're paying for inspection services with -- I don't mean per project, just, you know, out of that -- the inspection services part of that is eight, 10 percent, the closeout paperwork is, whatever, the rest? But, again, to me that looks, 41 projects at $\$ 14$ million, it cost you $\$ 350,000$ to close a project.

I want one of these jobs.
MR. CORBIN: I want to clear this up so you don't think that way. I want to clear this up so that no one gets confused by these figures.

MR. BERNARD: That's what you're looking at. You're not breaking down exactly what's within those phases.

MR. CORBIN: Understood.
MR. BERNARD: So even if you just put in front somewhere what's encompassed in each one of those phases, then it would be a lot easier, once you start reading through this, to see what it exactly entails.

MR. CORBIN: And I think maybe it could be just as simple as clarifying that the dollar figures that are reflected on the slide represent the total funds that have been allocated to date for that scope. And that way it's not misleading that, oh, my goodness planning costs 68 million, we're going to have no funds left when we're ready to go to construction and clear that up.

MR. BERNARD: Yeah, but the only thing I'm really looking at is, what are we actually -before we get to construction what percentage are we paying for services.

MR. CORBIN: Okay.
MR. BERNARD: If it's 30 percent, 35 percent. I'm not looking for dollars. I'm just looking for a percentage so we know that we're in the ballpark of what is the industry standard is.

MR. CORBIN: Thank you.
Next slide?

Once again, a little bit on the quarterly milestones. This slide and the next slide sort of go hand in hand, but 21 Request for Qualifications were approved in this last quarter by the Board. We have also returned to the Board and the Board has approved the award, Professional Service Agreements for 17 additional projects. The period also included the award of two construction contracts, the media center renovations at Boyd Anderson, as well as the 24 classroom addition at Riverglades.

The Boyd Anderson project did start at the end of August and it has been progressing ahead of schedule and that should be finishing substantial completion by the end of February. And that one, like I said, is making good progress.

The Riverglades bids, I'll just pause for a moment and brief the committee that we had great bidder turnout, there was eight proposals received, they were very competitive. The bid range was super tight, which is what we like to see, it means the documents were very concise, all the bidders understood it. And as a result of that everyone got very competitive and the
actual low bid that we received was almost \$2 million below the fixed limit of construction costs, which is our estimated construction contract award price.

A Notice to Proceed has been issued on Riverglades. Before the end of October we should see activities that include the completion of tilt-up walls by March 2017. We'll have the buildings dried in as well as start up of the HVAC equipment the following month. And we're on schedule to have substantial completion take place early July, once again, aligning everything so that this facility is ready for student use come the start of next school year in August of 2017.

Just -- as I mentioned, this next slide goes with the previous one. In case there was interest or questions on what 21 RFQs were approved, there's the list of the schools there in front of you, as well as the Professional Service Agreements.

I'll talk briefly about School Choice
Enhancements. School Choice Enhancement Program, overall, is really moving forward expeditiously. We actually have the opportunity to start the

Year 3 projects a little ahead of schedule. At this time last year our plan was to start the Year 3s in January of 2017, but we're actually looking to begin the Year 3 projects later this month. So we're going to take advantage of being ahead of schedule and rolling those out.

But, right now, there's 110 schools that are underway with theirs, and, like I said, many of them you saw on the slide show, the results happening out there in the field where various components have been -- actually been delivered and implemented at the school.

MR. BERNARD: On page 123 in Year 3, I think it might be a typo, it says 30 projects will commence ahead of schedule in the fourth quarter of 2017 (last reported to commence in January 2017). January is ahead, the next school year.

MR. CORBIN: No, that bullet point, I think what it's trying to say is that there's 30 projects that are Year 3 projects.

MR. BERNARD: Uh-huh.
MR. CORBIN: Those are on track to start ahead of schedule which will be prior to the end of this year, 2016.

MR. BERNARD: So it should say 2016?

MR. CORBIN: Yes.
MS. BROWN: He's looking at another document.
MR. CORBIN: Oh, you're looking at a different --

MR. BERNARD: Page 123.
MR. CORBIN: Oh, is there a typo in the book?
MR. BERNARD: It says, commence forth quarter of 2017, and then it says, last reported it was January of 2017.

MR. CORBIN: That's a typo. It should be 2016. It's this year. My apologies.

MR. BERNARD: Okay.
MR. CORBIN: Let me make note of that. Page 123.

All right. The next slide is in reference to an initiative we've taken on to help expedite some of this more critical work, such as single point of entries and other time-sensitive items. We've established a Small Projects Group Team that will allow us to expedite these time-sensitive projects. And when it relates to single point of entry, earlier this year as we were working through with the District, we had identified that under the original plan there was multiple projects that were to be single point of
entry that weren't to receive funding until Year 4 and Year 5. We met with the District, we reached consensus that, let's bring that work forward, which the Board approved in September with their adopted District Educational Facility Plan. So of all of the 72 projects in the SMART Program, all of those funds are now available. And I'm here to tell you tonight that every one of those projects now has an architect assigned to it so that design can commence. And the evaluation of those improvements, we've determined that there's 13 single point of entries that have already been completed, and that puts us with the need of 59 that still need to be constructed. And we're working that through the design phase just as quickly as we can.

And the good news is that the District had progressed many of these to various stages previously, where some may have reached 60 percent construction documents and then got parked until funds became available. Now the funds are available. We've engaged the consultant, he's been on-boarded. We have handed those documents to him so that he can just finish
up the construction documents, make them comply with the current code, because some of the code has changed over this period that the projects were parked. But our goal is to put those out on the street just as quickly as possible so that we can see these improvements take place.

MS. AKER REECE: May I ask a question?
MR. RABINOWITZ: Sure.
MS. AKER REECE: How were those funded to move them forward? Did you delay other projects?

MR. CORBIN: No, ma'am. We worked with capital and capital was able to move those dollars forward without postponing anything. We did not have to push anything backwards.

MR. SHIM: Yeah. I was going to address that in my report. But, basically, the Board agreed to forward about $\$ 24$ million for projects. Some of them were single point -- a lot of them were single point of entry. And based upon, you know, we issue the bonds at a rate at which the work is done. So we didn't delay anything. We just moved this forward --

COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Omar --
MR. SHIM: So just to answer the question -CHIEF DIPETRILLO: He's not the only one that
can't hear you. I've had a lot of sirens in my ears, so you'll have to speak up.

MR. SHIM: So, basically, the Board -- when we approved the District Educational Facilities Plan in September, the Board approved to forward about $\$ 24$ million in projects from Years 4 and 5 to the current year, which is the third year of the -- program year of the SMART Program. So that -- that's how the funds became available. MS. AKER REECE: So you issued additional bonds earlier?

MR. SHIM: Yes, we issue those when we get to the point where we're getting contracts.

MS. LEWERS: So all 24 million are going to single point of entry?

MR. CORBIN: When we evaluated the district's Educational Facilities Plan we found a couple other projects that, for whatever reason when the plan was originally initiated, had scope that may have been in Year 3, but then in Year 5 there was, perhaps, some additional work like media center improvements. Often it was just a separation of one year. Well, we made a recommendation to put that with the rest of the work so that we could get the design done, take advantage of having the superintendent already on the site overseeing that work. There's a lot of economies by bringing those couple items forward, let alone, it helps us to defer the cost of construction escalation for another year. So those were the type of items that the Board did. But there was just a handful of those. Most of them were single point of entries.

MR. BOBADILLA: So the takeaway from this is, because of the Board approving those funds to move them earlier and then the work that this group is doing, right now Heery projects all this will be done a year to two years earlier than originally anticipated, so that's good news.

And another piece, too, is, you know, we're using common sense as we move forward with this program. So if there's a piece that's hanging out here, an example $I$ use is, if you have HVAC and roofing work and they're going to get on the roof and replace mechanical systems up there, but you have the other piece out here, the roofing piece in a later year, well, you probably don't want to go up there, do one piece and then come back and have the roofing, you want to do those two things combined. Because what I've seen is
you end up with issues of pointing fingers, and you know exactly what I'm talking, where, you know, well, that's not me, that was that other guy and then you try to get someone to address it under warranty, and guess what, this is all you get and no one addresses it.

So we asked Heery to take a common-sense approach. Look at the work and how they would do it in a way that makes sense. And that was also part of the recommendation that came back to our Board.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Is there an increase in cost relative to doing this project simultaneous or near the same time or just you're now spending the dollars quicker?

MR. BOBADILLA: I would say the opposite. I would say there's a savings in cost.

MR. RABINOWITZ: It was softball.
MR. BOBADILLA: Yes. You have two
considerations there. One is, obviously, prices continue to go up. So the earlier we can do it the less inflation and other construction impacts that we could experience. And then the other piece, too, is, when you put things together, again, that makes sense using the common-sense
approach. Then you save money by having a firm handle those two pieces together than having two separate firms trying to work and not damage what someone else has done already.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Then do we anticipate that there will be a report prepared indicating the savings because some of these projects are going to be done together?

MR. BOBADILLA: Yeah, so what we want to do is -- and we've heard, already, one project -MR. RABINOWITZ: We want to make sure that Omar is busy.

MR. BOBADILLA: So we've already heard a report on one project that went out for bid and came in $\$ 2$ million below the budget.

Now, what I've told the team and our Board is, that's not enough for me to say we've got a trend here; right? We want to see more projects. But as these things start to come in, we're all certainly going to see whether it's a plus or a minus in the bids that come in, meaning, are we above what was budgeted or are we below? And, certainly, if we have savings, we want to track that. But, at the same time, if it's beyond the budget, we also want to track that. And Omar is
going to help us track it both ways.
MR. SHIM: Yeah, and I will just say that throughout this process, you know, all through June of last year, we have been showing, whenever there's a Board agenda item that shows a variance in what the budget is, that we bring it back. So we have been listing those throughout the entire time, what any changes to the overall budget of each individual project based upon the Board agenda items that are brought. So you'll see from time to time savings and both overages and underages, at least as it pertains to the overall budget.

So, to the point where we are either closing it out and/or getting a contract that's substantially lower and we can really see the budget as being lower, then we will adjust those and show those in each quarterly report as an amendment.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
MR. BERNARD: When you're looking to combine some of these, you know, renovations together, when you make your determination are you going to change the book to show what you're combining in those years?

MR. BOBADILLA: It's already been updated in the new DEFP book and it should also be updated in what you have in front of you.

MR. CORBIN: And it's also been updated on the website, as well.

MR. SHIM: Yes.
MR. BOBADILLA: Good question. Thank you.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: When we were here early on, when we first started the committee, there were several projects, and I may be getting ahead of myself, but there were several projects that were critical, according to the Board, I think, on a couple of schools and there was some media attention to those. Have those issues been addressed at all? Have they gone back and -somewhere I think I heard one of them was Stranahan and a couple of those schools. Where are we on that?

MR. CORBIN: Great question. You're probably referring to what is fondly called the big three projects.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Yes. Exactly.
MR. CORBIN: That's Stranahan, Northeast Blanch Ely.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Right.

MR. CORBIN: Those were the three that were of primary focus when we first were brought on board. Those were, also, the three that, unfortunately, when we went forward with the procurement of a design/build service, that the responses were deemed to be nonresponsive from the low proposer that was received. Long story short, all of those proposals were rejected and we had gone through a workshop with the Board to recommend a better delivery method, which is the CM at Risk delivery model.

We have since been able to bring on the design consultants for all three of those projects, Design Notice to Proceeds have all been issued, and they have begun their design phase for those projects. Likewise, we've also been able to bring on the CM at Risk firms. There's two of those firms for those three projects. One firm received two of those projects. It's a large firm quite capable of handling both simultaneously. But all three of those projects now have the team assembled and we are making design progress and we should be seeing design finish up next year. So the work will begin with the main primary pipeline projects. One of the
things that we are working with firms on is identifying opportunities within the scope on that campus, since we have the CM agent already on board, is that if we can accelerate a portion of that work that would benefit the facility or the students, we're looking to do that quicker. So any ways that we can do that. And sometimes it may be through other mechanisms. You know, once again, we've got construction services minor projects that have various trade contractors at our disposal. We're also underway with soliciting proposals to deepen that pool even further as we approach the end of this year anticipating the opportunity, once again, that, if we can cull out work that's just perfect for an HVAC contractor and it's not involving, like Leo said, the roofing work, we're really wanting to make sure that we do this work sequenced properly. We don't want to do it out of sequence. But if it's something like the track at Stranahan that you saw the photo of, that work has been completed now, and that was allowed to move forward quickly through one of these construction services minor project vendors that the District had on board, so we plucked that
out, put it in, and we've been able to deliver that before track season. So that's great news. CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Very good. Thank you. MR. CORBIN: If there's no other questions, the last slide is just, once again, we're on target to meet that overall timeline of five to seven years to get all this work completed and across the finish line. Great news is by the end of the third quarter of this year, one of our goals was to catch up or get underway all of the Year 1 and Year 2 projects so that we could have design procurement underway with all of those. That allows us then to focus on a normal pace for Year 3, Year 4, Year 5. And all of the Year 1 and Year $2 s$ have been initiated prior to the end of third quarter, so that's great news there.

The slide also shows that for those projects in those first two years we should begin to anticipate construction out in the field beginning to happen in the second and third quarter of 2017, and then systematically we'll see that that will actually move backwards in time a little bit to the first and second quarter for the subsequent years, 2018, 2019, for those Group 3, Group 4 projects there.

MS. AKER REECE: This really isn't bond oversight related, but what happens in the schools when construction is going on? Do you displace them or, like, what happens?

MR. CORBIN: It varies by campus and it depends on what the scope is. Some of the scope doesn't require any consideration for displacement. Others will require some close coordination. And one of the things that we preach and we enforce is, safety first, followed up with, no distractions to the learning environment. But many of these facilities have surplus capacity present at their facilities. So it's a matter of figuring out where that is and how we can systematically execute the scope. Some of the scope will have to be done over like a summer break. But other activity, if planned properly and coordinated with movement inside of the building by students coming out of, you know, building 1 and taking over available space, for example, in building 2, if that frees up building 1, the contractor loves it, we can get in there and knock it out, return the students after its been inspected and approved, and then we just systematically move through the campus.

MS. AKER REECE: I just had my kitchen done, SO --

MR. BOBADILLA: And, you know, we will coordinate -- Heery has a project manager assigned to all these projects and they're going to be coordinating very closely with the school, the design firm, the contractor. But, at the end of the day, we do have to go through a little bit of pain to end up in a better place, just like a kitchen remodel.

We're going to work very hard to minimize that to the schools. But I will tell you, if we do all of this just in the summers, then we can double the timeline for getting this done. So we have to work closer to find those opportunities to work, not just the summer, but, also, maybe after hours and maybe some school hours, too, where it minimizes the impact to instruction.

MR. CORBIN: Contractors are interested, likewise, to get in and get out. The quicker they can finish that loop, the better opportunity they stand for having some profit.

MR. BOBADILLA: That is kind of when people talk about, you know, why does it take so much time sometimes for these projects, and that's a
big reason, especially if we're not talking about moving all the students to another campus while the work's being done, it's having to work around the school schedules. So that's a little different than some other industries where this work can happen a little differently, because we have to be very sensitive to, one, we're doing this work with students and children in these spaces, so we have to, as we said already, make sure we do it with safety first in mind, and, secondly, we also have to make sure that, as we're doing it, we know there's learning, that's the primary mission of the school District, education taking place in each of these facilities, that we minimize the impact as we do this work to that learning.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Go ahead, Bruce. MR. BERNARD: A couple issues. I noticed in this report that there's 226 reports for the schools. The last quarter we only had like a hundred less than we had this one, left out a whole lot of elementary schools and high schools in the report. Hopefully, we're going to get a report every quarter that's got all of the work of all of the schools on it.

MR. CORBIN: The last time we gave a report we were trying to provide a report that would reflect information for projects that were underway. This report our goal was to be all inclusive.

And, if I'm hearing you correctly, instead of seeing 232 reports in there, you counted 226 , so there's six that we need to flesh out and include?

I'll go find the needles. They're in the haystack.

MR. BERNARD: Well, three of them are Hallandale Adult, Lanier-James, Stephen Foster Elementary. I got those off the other one.

And the next question is, when we looked at the last report, we gave comments on the -- you know, how you filled and made out those reports, the scheduling and how we asked you to elaborate on that schedule, it seems like these reports have watered it down, not giving us as much information as we had before. The Board, if I'm not mistaken, asked for more information.

Is there a reason we got less information? We can't even tell -- if you don't go back to the last report and put quarters and everything here
you said changed them, I had to go one by one for hours just to figure out what was started and not started when you changed these reports. That's not helping us. That's hurting us.

MR. CORBIN: I understand your question and thank you for it.

MR. BERNARD: It's not a question. It's, actually, a statement.

MR. CORBIN: It's a fact; yeah.
MR. BOBADILLA: So at the end of this presentation, our closing, essentially, if you recall, coming back to Ms. Garth, she's going to collect feedback and she, also, has some kind of ideas based on additional feedback we've received since putting this together, maybe some things we'd want to consider. But we're trying to provide the information. We're kind of, as we go forward, as we hear feedback, making adjustments. And that's okay. That's what we want to do, is adjust to whatever the needs are.

So, at the end of this, we certainly want to go back to that and get the input of what did you think of this report and how can we make it better? So I appreciate that.

MR. BERNARD: Well, hopefully, we're not
going to do that every meeting, we'll get to a place that everybody's happy with the report and get the same thing every time so we're not searching for answers.

MR. BOBADILLA: Right. MR. CORBIN: That would be your goal, as well. Yeah.

MR. BERNARD: Because just searching for answers, what I did, there's 58 schools two years behind, there's 70 schools one year behind, and there's 98 schools either on schedule or not started in those years yet.

So that's still not going to -- you know, you're saying here that Group 1, this, this, this, but we're still going to be three years behind, three and a half years behind on Group 1 schools.

And, you know, I'd hope that there would be somewhere, I don't know if there's a way to show how you catch up on some of these schools. I know the single point of entry going to the front is going to help a lot -- bring up some of these that were behind, but there's no recovery schedule or anything. Everybody's just sitting back and saying we're going to get them done when
we're going to get it done because we're so far behind without a recovery schedule. You're actually talking about going and looking at combining other schools, ones that are three years behind, aren't moving -- are moving just at their pace.

MR. BOBADILLA: So, if $I$ could make a comment. So, when we started, the commitment was to have the program done in five to seven years. Five years meaning it's funded over the course of five years, seven means it's completed within seven years. We're on target still to make that. We recognize that the beginning was a little slower than $I$ think any one of us would have liked, but we're on target to complete within that original commitment, and some of them even sooner, like the single point of entry.

MR. BERNARD: It's nice to say you're on target. What if we get in the fifth and sixth year and you're not going to make your target, then what are you going to say? Then you're going to have to say, well, we tried, it. We didn't try to recover to make sure we finished in the five to seven years? We're sitting here in the third year; correct?

MR. BOBADILLA: So, again, our plan is to get it done within the five to seven years. And that remains the original goal and still the goal. MR. BERNARD: Without a recovery schedule? MR. BOBADILLA: No, I think that we have been recovering and $I$ think that we are at a place where, again, we're on target to complete in five to seven years.

MR. BERNARD: If everything goes perfect; yes.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: In this report where each individual -- you're looking for feedback for the folks that are putting this thing together, if there's a change from the prior quarter, I notice that the coloring is the same. If you were to change the lettering -- let's take Boyd Anderson, for instance. Let's look at Boyd Anderson, the first one on there. Anderson, Boyd. That page is 126.

What I think Mr. Bernard's saying is, show us something that changed from the prior report, either highlight that in a different color, bold it, do something to say that there was progress since the last report, that this moved ahead. If it doesn't move ahead, then we'll be able to tell
that if it stays the same. We're trying to make your job easier and our job easier from the standpoint of keeping the public aware of your progress.

MR. BOBADILLA: So would it help to add a column that would have the information you have now with a percent and another one that shows kind of where we are the next quarter, so you can see a prior quarter's percent --

MR. RABINOWITZ: Quarter to quarter progress.
MR. BOBADILLA: -- and this current quarter's progress? Would that help?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Say that again because I lost you there. I'm looking at the column where it says the Percentage of Phase, 100 percent, Work Completed, five percent construction.

MR. BOBADILLA: Right. So we would keep what we have there and then we'd add a new column that would be for the new quarter.

So, for example, if you have an example that says it's at 40 percent completion this quarter, we'd keep that there, and then add a new column to reflect the new information, that's to say 55 percent completion. So you can see it was 40 last quarter, it's 55 this quarter.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I'm fine with whatever way you want to do it.

MR. BOBADILLA: Okay. I just want to make sure.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I'm good with that if you can come up with something that will make it easier.

MR. BOBADILLA: That will help. That way you don't have to flip between books. You can have it right there from the prior quarter.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Right. A parent could look at it and see there's progress being made there.

MR. CORBIN: I would love to get to a mini workshop where representatives from TaxWatch, a representative or two or from the Bond Oversight Committee, the District and ourselves could sit down and spend an afternoon polishing this up so that we arrive at what meets everyone's desires. Because our goal is always to look for opportunities to improve on something. And if we can get this to the point where it's all nice and polished up, then, going forward subsequent quarterly reports have that detail, that information that these parties are all looking
for, that makes everyone's -- you know, that would make it a lot easier for everyone involved and we won't be back here, you know, a quarter from now going, well, you've got it 75 percent of the way there Mr. Corbin, what about this or that?

I'd love to find a date that we could, you know, do a little mini workshop on it and --

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I'm good with that.
MR. RABINOWITZ: I think what I'm hearing is that we need to create a subcommittee about improving on the reporting. It sounds like Chief DiPetrillo is more than willing to be the chairman of that subcommittee.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I'm certainly willing to waste another afternoon to do what $I$ have to do. MR. CORBIN: Thanks, Chief.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I mean, I would assume -you know what, $I$ don't want to make any assumptions, but, Bruce, you may be helpful in that regard, as well.

MR. BERNARD: I'll carry the Chief.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: No, as your advocate -- as your advocate, we're out there trying to say these projects are moving along. And for us to
look at this and show that there is some progress, it's hard to look at those big numbers and see there's progress because you have to go each quarter. But if $I$ was able to look at that, quarter by quarter by quarter, you could see progress. And I think that's the missing element here.

MR. BOBADILLA: So we'll be happy to work with the Chief. We have to be careful, too, when we have more than one, the notice requirement --

MR. RABINOWITZ: I was about to mention that.
MR. BOBADILLA: -- so, what I was going to suggest, maybe as an initial step is, we can work directly with the Chief, and that way we can have a starting place that they can go from, bring back to the group, to look at kind of the work of the -- with the Chief and TaxWatch and our team and see if that aligns with everybody.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I think what you're getting at is Sunshine laws. And I want to make sure that we're, obviously, in compliance with that. So, what I'm hearing is, and if general counsel is here and wants to make, you know, a recommendation about that, you know, that if one person from the District is there and one person
is there from the committee, don't we are already then have two and then have requirements concerning advertising and things like that? MR. BOBADILLA: What I'll do is, I'll follow up with general counsel, but I don't believe -MR. CORBIN: She was here earlier and had to go downstairs.

MR. BOBADILLA: She was downstairs at the Board meeting, but I don't believe that issue rises when there's one committee member and staff. I think it's when there's more than one committee member. But we'll double-check with legal counsel, and if that's okay, then we'll follow up with the Chief.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. Perfect.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Well, I'm pretty sure I know how the public issues work like that. If you notice anything, you don't have to have -you don't have to have just one person there, because now everybody knows it's there, so they can come and view that. If it were just me and another person, as long as it's noticed that that committee is doing factfinding or working, then that's legal, as well, under the -- under that. From my perspective, that's the way that works.

Either that or I have been violating that for my entire career. One or the other, but, under the circumstances I think we're good to go.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Either way, find out.
MR. BOBADILLA: Okay.
MS. AKER REECE: I would just like to comment that the best section is in the back, the financially active progress, please don't touch that. It's perfect. Because it really -- it really gives a good overview of what's happening financially. So, whatever you do, if you could leave that section.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I have no intention of touching that. I already locked myself into something else I need to do.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Congratulations.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Thank you.
MR. SHIM: Good evening, I'm Omar Shim, Director of Capital Budget. So I'll use the next few pages to summarize the budget activity since the last BOC Quarterly Meeting.

So we talked a little bit about this, moving -- the Board approved the last five-year plan.

So on September 7th the Board approved the District Educational Facilities Plan, which
includes accelerating funding for about \$24 million in SMART projects from Program Years 4 and 5 to Program Year 3. So you can see in Year 4 it was 12.4 million and in Year 5 it was 11.5 million.

So, as we discussed, some of those projects were single point of entry and the other projects that weren't single point of entry, that would have benefited from being completed along with other projects at that location that were already funded. Funding for these projects where accelerated is available beginning for this fiscal year. As we said, these are already being started. And there was no other items that would have otherwise had amended the SMART Program. That means that we didn't approve any other Board agenda items that would have impacted the SMART Program.

Next slide, please ?
So the last BOC Quarter Budget Activity Report was the end of the fiscal year 2016. And that included budget activity for the SMART Programs Years 1 and 2. This BOC Quarterly Budget Activity Report is the first quarter of the fiscal year 2017. And now that includes
budget activity for the SMART Program Years 1, 2 and 3, totaling 655.5 million as shown on page 360 of the quarterly report. Funding is now available to begin executing SMART Programs in Year 3. As shown, SMART Program Years 4 and 5 will become available as the Board approves subsequent budgets for fiscal years 2018 and 2019 .

Next slide, please?
This slide shows a breakdown of the budget activity for the GOB and the Non-GOB funds in the SMART Program. Page 367 gives further details. That page shows the breakdown of the GOB and the Non-GOB funds.

As of September 30th, 70.5 million was expended on SMART Program projects and there were 20.4 million in purchase orders. Out of the 331.4 million in financially active projects there was a remaining balance of 250.5 million. Details of the active projects are shown on page 368 through 436.

And I just want to kind of make sure everybody understands, when I say, financially active versus -- I know in facilities they'll report progress on planning and things like that,
and there are incidents where you are beginning work on a project, but there is no financial activity reported. So there may be a difference in numbers, but, at the same time, we're only looking at projects that have either a purchasing order or expenses on that project. And then we'll report the entire project budget and activity on that budget.

The rest of the remaining projects, totalling 314, those are reported beginning on page 438. And, aside from the details, if anyone has any questions I would be willing to answer them.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Anybody?
MS. AKER REECE: So I see at the end of a few projects that have like very small dollar figures like \$7, \$8 left, will these just drop off the next report or what will happen with those?

MR. SHIM: I'm sorry, what page?
MS. AKER REECE: So you could look at page 434, for example, you have music instruments with \$7 -- or, actually, additional computers, \$22 left. Will that just drop off of our next report as it's closed or what will happen?

MR. SHIM: I don't plan on dropping anything off. I would like to report projects that have
completed so that we have a list of those projects that have been completed.

MS. AKER REECE: Okay.
MR. LEONG: When the project is totally closed, then we take away the remaining balance, whatever, $\$ 2$ or whatever.

MR. BERNARD: Can I ask just one? On the athletics, we never hear about the $\$ 2$ million of projects they're doing. Are they done? Do we have this, some, that they're completed by somebody?

MR. BOBADILLA: I think we have a gentleman here who can help us with athletics.

MR. CERRA: Currently, we have our track projects in motion. At Stranahan High School it's been completed. They're currently at Hollywood Hills, putting the final touches on that. At Western and Flanagan they have been resurfaced and we're just waiting for striping and logos being put down. They're moving to Pompano Beach High School next week. And we still have Taravella High School and we have one more. I'll have to look it up real quick. But we have, basically, eight tracks that we are on right now for this current school year with five
slated for next year -- four slated for next year.

MR. CORBIN: When we get into like locker -or the weight room renovations, those type of athletic improvements, those are often folded in with the primary project work. Because we'll have a general contractor there, we're working closely together to define what improvements would take place, for example, at the weight room at Stranahan. And if it is determined that it is just going to be ordering some equipment, then that will just be pulled out, expedited and that can be, once again, an example of what we can move forward quickly. But if we can get it done through our designer of record for the primary project and the $G C$, we can recognize some cost savings by doing it with the main project.

MR. BERNARD: Well, again, can we just have something in the book?

MR. BOBADILLA: We've already made a note to add an athletics tab.

MR. BERNARD: Just to add a page or two.
MR. CORBIN: An athletics summary; sure.
MR. RABINOWITZ: If you can just identify yourself for the stenographer.

MR. CERRA: Sure. Shawn Cerra, Student Activities \& Athletics.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
Anybody else?
Chief, no questions?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: No, I'm good.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you, Omar.
MR. BOBADILLA: Okay. We're moving to M/WBE Update.

MS. FREEMAN: Good evening. My name is Lavinia Freeman and I'm the M/WBE Specialist in the Office of Supplier Diversity \& Outreach Program Procurement \& Warehousing and I'm here on behalf of Mr. Woods and also our department director, Mary Coker, and our program coordinator, Colleen Robbs. They are all downstairs in a workshop dealing with the Disparity Study. So I will share this information with you. And we appreciate the opportunity to really tell you about the Supplier Diversity \& Outreach Program, itself, who we are, the work we do, our accomplishments, and, also, looking ahead.

Our vision is to promote the involvement and economic growth of the minority and women
business enterprise community and serve as a catalyst for creating more opportunities for the M/WBEs, if you will, and allow our students to succeed in tomorrow's world.

Our mission is to develop sustainable business relationships with minority and women business enterprise and to serve as a catalyst for change. By actively seeking to promote value-added partnerships, we, the Supplier Diversity \& Outreach Program, provide -- we create a virtuous circle to include diverse suppliers in our market area.

What do we do? So we promote the economic development and growth of minority owned and women owned business enterprises. And we're responsible, specifically, for community outreach, M/WBE certification, we have a program. We also handle contract pre-qualifications. We're involved with the solicitation, review, evaluation and scoring of solicitations. We serve as a member of the Qualifications Selection Evaluation Committee, and, also, we are involved with nonconstruction related procurements. We're building a contract compliance and monitoring reporting component and we also provide staff
support for the Supplier Diversity \& Outreach Program Advisory Committee.

Our SMART Promise is to yield a viable pool of skilled local, small and minority businesses and vendors to participate in the district's procurement process and to perform work in the SMART Bond Program. This is our commitment.

This slide shows accomplishments as of 9/30/16. We've held 50 outreach events. We have active certified $M / W B E$ firms, and the number, it hovers around 700. There are 693 right now. We have 131 pre-qualified contractors. We have participated in 53 QSEC meetings evaluating and scoring the solicitation for the design professionals as well as the big three. We also have -- there were 53 meetings that we have been a part of and we have reviewed close to 300 proposals from the bidders.

This slide illustrates M/WBE participation in professional design service. So under professional design services 77 percent -- 77 percent of the dollars are committed to M/WBEs, and that includes both prime bidders and subs. So that total is around 7 million. And the total for $M / W B E$ funds as well as non M/WBE totals around over $\$ 9$ million.

This next slide illustrates the M/WBE participation in the Program Management Services. So under Program Management 36 percent of the contracts -- contract awards is committed to M/WBE participation and a total of 22 million has been awarded. So about $\$ 8$ million represents the M/WBE commitment.

And this chart shows the Professional Design Services and the Program Management Services combined. So the combined total award is close to 32 million. 48 percent of that includes a committed amount for $M / W B E s$ in the amount of about 15 or over 15 million. And, again, that represents 48 percent of the total. And let me just point out that the chart does represent 26 contracts which does include M/WBE participation. It does not, however -- it does not include Safety, Music \& Arts and Technology contract awards. So these charts are specific to the construction and design related work.

So, looking ahead, as we -- as we speak, like I mentioned, there is a Disparity Study Workshop going on downstairs. We are in the process of developing the new Supplier Diversity \& Outreach

Program. There's a new policy being reviewed. We expect that it will go to the School Board on December 6th for their regular School Board meeting agenda. And on that date we do expect that the final adoption of our new policy will be in place and that will allow for more participation and specific goals to be set to increase the number of minority and small businesses in doing business with the School Board.

So the Supplier Diversity \& Outreach Program will continue to build and grow our compliance program as data and systems become available to our office and our department. This will include adding reporting of participation in the other areas of the SMART Bond Program.

And that concludes my report. I'll take any questions or feedback and/or feedback.

MR. BOBADILLA: If I could, before we open it up for questions, I would like to introduce someone who is sitting back here because I think he is also very instrumental in this work. So recognizing how important this is to the program, we also asked Heery, our program manager, to bring in a sub-consultant who could work with our
team internally as we move this forward, to make sure that, as the Disparity Study is being reviewed, as you heard, tonight by the Board, as whatever those final conclusions are, that we're not waiting for that, that we're already making changes that we can make as staff in order to make sure that we are being inclusive of our entire community as we do this work.

So I'm going to ask him to take a minute or two and just introduce himself and the work he's been doing.

MR. CHANNER: Good afternoon everyone. My name is Barron Channer. I am a with Bach Real Estate and we are participating specifically on the $M / W B E$, what we call, inclusion. Our primary work is to support the Supplier Diversity \& Outreach Program teams, so working with Ms. Freeman and her colleagues in that department. But we are a part of the program management team, so we, actually, bridge the gap. And our presence here is, actually, a statement of the school district's desire to modify the typical approach. The typical approach here would be, you know, what you see in the region, would be a program that is already planned and then an
outreach effort to folks who represent whatever disparity numbers you may have.

The School Board, in its wisdom, has decided that they would like to, actually, plan the program in a way to optimize the opportunities for those groups that have disparities to participate in.

And so we have two team members who will participate, and one actively works with the project managers, themselves, thinking about how to package and structure the projects in a way that is consistent with on time, on budget and on quality, but, also, in a way that could be attractive to M/WBE firms, which are often smaller firms that have other considerations to be weighed. So that team member has been on board now for a little bit over a month.

We have recently brought on board an outreach coordinator to work with Ms. Freeman and team and in that -- and from that end we will be directly reaching out with a heavy focus on construction and professional services, and particularly the groups that are identified as having been heavily dispared per the disparity study that the School Board has been reviewing and we are strategically
building relationships with the groups that represent those contractors and professional service firms.

So we understand the pipeline of opportunities that are coming, specific scopes, and we understand the pool of $M / W B E$ firms that currently exist, and we are working to balance that out in a way that translates the District being able to achieve whatever goals it ultimately establishes. A big part of our job at this point has been to provide input into the various solicitations that have recently come to the Board and the ones that are now being teed up for the December timeline, and it's just simply reviewing them, providing a perspective on the language, providing a perspective on how the M/WBE is scoring and making you sure that that is aligned with the market place and actually creates the opportunities for firms which tend to be smaller firms and firms that have not historically had a significant relationship with the school district.

MR. BOBADILLA: Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: It sounds like President Trump's transition team could use your services.

I have one question. What is the criteria utilized to determine what is an M/WBE Enterprise.

MS. FREEMAN: Okay. So our procedures requires that the firm has to be at least 51 percent minority owned or woman owned and the financial threshold for business services, commodities and professional service is, the average gross sales over the past three years cannot exceed over $\$ 6$ million. For construction it's 12 million. And those are primarily the thresholds we go by, and there's a list of required documentation to verify ethnicity and a list of other items to certify firms.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Bruce, Chief, go ahead.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Is there an actual goal, I mean, in terms of increasing by 50 percent or 100 percent or overall or is it an elusive number. MS. FREEMAN: You mean the goal as far as increase our pool?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: As far as the number -MR. BERNARD: Participation. Like a dollar amount.

MS. FREEMAN: Right now there is not a required goal. We do encourage -- we use
encouragement and assistive language in the solicitation. And so the bidders can include participation or not. If they include it at a certain level then they are eligible to receive points within the scoring process. But they do not have to.

Once the disparity study is -- once the Board passes the new language for our program, then there will be goals in place.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay.
MS. FREEMAN: And that will be based on the study, itself.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: How do you avoid shadow contractors? You know, people that put someone out there as a member that's not really a member? I run into this a lot in other areas, as well. So how do you validate that ownership or that participation?

MS. FREEMAN: Okay. Well, we receive, like I said, a number of documents to verify ownership of a company. So we look at tax returns. We look at the operating agreement. We look at stock certificates and a laundry list of documentation that we can verify the ownership. We look at, you know, two forms of ID. There are
a lot of different requirements that we use.
MR. BOBADILLA: So if there's someone who's falsifying it's more than just with the District. They're falsifying a lot of other places. It's a bigger issue.

MR. CORBIN: But, likewise, one of the steps that the team is taking is, for example, under Professional Services, if a firm has submitted a proposal stating that they are going to utilize 30 percent M/WBE sub-consultants, and that's what they have been evaluated on and they were selected on, when they come in and sit down with our negotiation team, we go back to that source document and we make sure that they fully disclosed who those firms are, what are their roles, and we get them on their letterhead to, once again, meet or exceed that value that they have proposed. And that gets part of their actual professional service agreement, and then on a monthly basis as they invoice us, they need to reflect that dollar amount committed to that M/WBE and the amounts that have been paid to date against that total. So there has been some good checks and balances.

MS. FREEMAN: And I'll add that, in advance
of the evaluation committee meetings, we go through each proposal and we verify that they are certified with the School Board of Broward County. That's the only certification that matters to us. So they have to be certified with us in order for that prime proposer to receive those points that are eligible.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: One day I'll tell you how that works in the Indian world, but you don't need to know that right now.

MR. RABINOWITZ: It sounds as though that it's been a success.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: It sounds very good.
MS. FREEMAN: We've been working really hard to make it successful.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you. Communications.
MS. GARTH: Communications. So, as I
mentioned earlier, over the last few months we've brought on a dedicated team to focus on improving the communication. It's led primarily by me, but I would also like to introduce my colleague, Denise Williams. And Denise is 100 percent dedicated moving forward to working on the communications regarding -- related to the SMART Program.

So over the last few months we have been collaborating closely with the Public Information Office to develop a proactive communication strategy that's documented and that is also going to, I guess, be less responsive and really be more ahead of the curve and proactive in getting information out into the community. We've started to roll that out. And what you'll start seeing is, on a regular basis, on a weekly basis, biweekly, monthly, quarterly, regular pieces of communication going out to the general public, to the Board, to yourselves, in a consistent manner and in a way that is, hopefully, easily understandable.

We have been doing a lot of tweeting. Over the last quarter we've had over 30 posts. And what we're trying to do is get ahead of the game. And, so, for example, if we know that there are items on the agenda on a Board meeting, let's say for today, we've already reviewed them, we've got, you know, tweets prepared to go out so that the public is aware of what transpired at that Board meeting. What we are also going to do as we move forward is, if there's activity and the Board approves an item on a particular school,
like, say, Stranahan, there will be a follow-up e-mail that the principal gets that says, congratulations, your project is moving forward. Here is -- your item was approved yesterday. And they can then share that with their constituents. As far as spotlights, back to school, we actually produced a flyer that went in every single backpack. I think there were over 250,000 flyers that were produced, by school. Each school had their own, and it provided an update on what's happening overall in the program and specific to that particular school.

That will continue on a quarterly basis. One of the things I have actually done quite a bit of this past quarter is what I'm calling a road show. We've standardized a presentation deck that captures what is happening overall in the SMART Program and then we customize it based on the community that we're presenting to. So the last quarter we've done over 15 presentations to municipalities, to business communities, to -for example, to Fort Lauderdale -- Greater Fort Lauderdale Alliance, the Broward Workshop, to The Society for Marketing Professionals, which, actually, that is a great organization that has a
strong membership of contractors, architects, engineering firms.

So we've been out on the road just letting folks know the progress that's being made, what they can expect. And in the specific municipalities we've been reporting on those schools that impact that city. We have been working to try to -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.

MR. BERNARD: Under municipalities, is there a way to give them like a yearly update of what is -- has happened in their school or is going to happen for the next year? Because I know the city managers and the council, they're usually pretty in tune with the schools in their cities because they come forward for different items. So having this information of knowing what's happening at least the next year would keep them informed, because you're sending it out to the schools, but it doesn't always get back to the city, you know, council members and city managers to know what the -- because cities, also, participate with funding with some of the schools, also.

MR. BOBADILLA: So, good question. Couple things. We are closely working with our
government relations team. And one thing we've already done is extended to any municipality who would like for us to come out and do a presentation and give them an update of where we are and what's coming, we'll be there. And several, as you can see, have already taken us up on that.

The other piece that I'm sure we're going to get to is we are going to be preparing an annual update report on the program that we will be sharing with all the municipalities as well as other stakeholders.

MR. BERNARD: I understand. If you get the whole municipality the whole program, that's fine and dandy, but $I$ know, and the Chief will probably tell you, if you're a municipality on that level, you're looking for what you're getting in your municipality. You're not cross-referencing what your neighbor is getting. So, to save all the paperwork, you just would like to know what's happening in your city or your town so you can be, you know, up on the same speed that -- you know, there's a lot of -- our districting is schools in each District with some of the counsel members. So, if they could know
what's happening, it could help them along to push the program, also.

MR. BOBADILLA: Yeah, that's exactly what we've been doing with these presentations. They've been tailored to each of those communities with the schools. You know, we've been ready to answer any other questions, but the presentation is tailored for that community.

MR. CORBIN: And the way that Heery built our database that is populating these quarterly Bond Oversight reports, we have it in there with the ability that if we get a request for -- can you run a report for this municipality or for this District inside of the county, because sometimes you're -- you're speaking to a little bit of a blended group, for example, we have that ability to be able to extract that information out. So that would only aid us in being able to deliver all of those type of reports.

MS. AKER REECE: Does this report -- is this put together for the public or for us? I mean, it looks like a lot of work. So is this just put together just for our meeting or is it for --

MR. BOBADILLA: So, great question. It is a lot of work, but we think it's important to put
all this together so you and the public know exactly what's taking place. So one of the things that we want to start looking at a little more is the website again. And so my past experience in creating these kind of reports, what happens next is that they would be posted on the website so that anyone could have access to them and see exactly what has been reported up, along with the meeting minutes, when they become available, to put those up for everyone to see for folks who can't attend the meeting and they can hear exactly what the conversations were that have been happening. So that's something that we are going to be doing, Yvonne, is taking our website that next step to make that available. The other piece that would, also -- because we've already started working on the website. If you recall, we came last quarter or the quarter before and talked about the page by page sheets that have been loaded. One of the things we noticed on there was that it was very hard to print. So if you were in a school community and wanted to print your school page, it came up looking very strange. So that's been addressed now, I understand; right, Adrian?

MR. VIERA: Yeah.
MR. BOBADILLA: So we've addressed the printing. So now we want to, also, have this material available. So that's -- that's the plan, to have this available. Because I think this becomes a very good single document for everyone to be on the same page with where we are with the program and school by school, specifically, as well.

MS. AKER REECE: And once it's on-line I don't need a printed copy. They're very beautiful, but --

MR. CORBIN: And it's also quick to be able to search. So that if you had a school of interest you could quickly just cue in that name and you could see in the various sections of this report what's the pertinent information. So if you wanted to see budget activity, for example, it would take you to there. If there was something else in music it would take you to there and you could just search just for those pages quickly.

MR. BOBADILLA: And the goal for this meeting was to get it all into one report, which we accomplished, because we have to do that first
before we can have the conversation about putting it on the web as a single report and making it so you can search for terms and have access to the whole report in one search.

MS. AKER REECE: Okay.
MS. GARTH: I would also add that part of the goal moving forward is this quarterly report will, actually, trigger a number of other pieces going out into the general public. So once we leave the meeting today and it's public, then this trigger for us on the communications side a quarterly bulletin or quarterly newsletter that will go out. And our intent was, actually, to offer an insert that would be specific to the District so the Board members can go on in their District and they have a summary, not just overall on what's happening, but on their District and we can certainly do that by municipality, as well. But I neglected to mention, so, really, on a weekly basis -- if I may summarize just what you can expect to see moving forward. On a weekly basis we're going to continue to tweet, we're going to continue to blast those out on social media. On a biweekly basis we're, actually, starting a newsletter,
what's pending in the office of facilities and construction. That will be generated through Leo's office and it will be a topic of whatever is newsworthy, highlights for that last couple of weeks. The quarterly newsletter, we're going to continue to go out in the community and offer updates to municipalities and any other interest groups that are interested. We are working very closely with Adrian to continue to update the website and present materials there. But, also, very importantly, you know, the principals are really our gateway to the parents and to the students. So we're working on creating a comprehensive tool kit for the principals that they can use to get their message out related to their particular school.

And even as our project managers begin to kick off the projects, those school enhancements, for example, you heard that 30 of the schools are tracking ahead of schedule, we've created one document, one pamphlet, that helps the principal understand what they can expect, what that process is going to look like and -- what that process is going to look like, and, again, what they can expect and they can distribute that to
their parents. The same thing is going to happen, once the project is triggered, we will have communication materials that they can send out.

We're working with the public information officer to create -- to tell the SMART story, and, again, to tell the story in a way that the public understands, give the principals the materials that they need, they can post on their school websites that they can send out to parents.

So, we're in the early stages. We're making progress, but we certainly have quite a bit of work to do to create an exciting communication plan. And I think we're moving in the right direction. I am certainly very open to feedback as we move along.

So, with that said, as Leo mentioned, there has been progress on the website and I will ask Adrian to speak to that.

MR. VIERA: So as part of the Atkins Services knowledge management and knowledge management's community, and part of it is taking the facilities project and further writing enhancements for communicating to the public what
their progress is for their projects, and so each school has their own website with their ADEFP listed and all the projects detailed and it gives them status-intense improvements that we've done here since the last quarter, now, as part of -there's been updates to the logo, there has been refinements to certain functions to aid the searchability of the document, clarification on some questions that had to do with what funding year really meant and when dollars were allocated to the school, when really projects were going to begin, so there has been clarification as to that language. And there's commitment to monthly updates. As Leo mentioned, now the sheets are printer friendly. And, you know, those were the updates through September, but I can also report that as part of new ADEFP that was approved and incorporated, now, the website also has that incorporation and the new technology addendum and the cleanup of the ADEFP. So it's up to date on that. And we, as mentioned, are looking to continuously provide enhancements to it to better serve all the communities.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Questions? Comments?
(No response.)

MR. BOBADILLA: So, Key Takeaways, so, hopefully, you see that this team has been working hard and we believe we have the right team and the right structure to continue to move forward and deliver this program. This program is not a sprint. And I think some folks sometimes think, well, the funds became available, why isn't it done? Well, the funds start a process that at times can be lengthy. Between planning, between permitting, between design, between getting all these things together before we can actually get to construction, and part of that includes coordinating with our schools, because we don't just want a contractor to develop a schedule and enforce it on the schools, we want the schools to be a part of those discussions. So this is a marathon, not a sprint. And then we're building momentum and work is underway. We're going to continue to have more and more work, moving out of that planning and into that design. And then by next year, early next year, moving out of that design and into the construction. And so, as you can see, we are really getting busy, and $I$ want to just say thank you to this entire team because I
know everyone's been working collaboratively together to move this forward. It's a lot of work. And I appreciate everyone's work and effort to continue to make this better, because I do think this is an improvement over where we were and I think the next report will be an improvement over where we are today.

Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: We have been going for about an hour and 45 minutes, an hour and 40 minutes. Does anyone want to take a break? I know that Bob's probably ready, but these chairs are not the most comfortable.

MR. NAVE: I work for you guys.
MR. RABINOWITZ: And our stenographer has been rolling along and I'm sure his hands are a little tired. Typically, in courtrooms and depositions we do take a break every now and then. So why don't we break for five minutes and let everybody stretch their legs.
(A brief recess was taken.)
MR. RABINOWITZ: All right. Let's get started. Ready, Bob?

MR. NAVE: Yes, sir.
MR. RABINOWITZ: And before you start, just
so that we can have this process, you know, I think, typically, you've kind of rolled through your report and we've gone through it. Why don't we do this, why don't you give the report about, say, technology and then we'll allow Mr. Hunter to respond to that specific issue, for example, and then roll through each section that way, so this way we can deal directly with the issues and, you know, follow up with this. MR. NAVE: Okay. I would like to start, I would like to commend Yvonne and her staff for the new look and the new format for the District report. I don't know how you did it, but you managed to shoehorn all of that information into 500 pages. So, thank you.

MS. GARTH: Thank you, I think.
MR. NAVE: TaxWatch, we took advantage of the new fiscal year to change your look and the format for our report, as well. I hope the most obvious change was the fact that it's about 70 pages shorter than the previous reports. We took the Appendices A an B out of the report. Those were put in there more for my benefit than your benefit, in that anything that was inconsistent or identified anything that you needed to know in
those appendices, I put in the body of the report, anyway. So I took those out just to make the report shorter and make it easier for all of us. We also have a new cover on the report. And the man on the left is James Neer. He is the principal at Monarch Senior High School in Broward County. The lady on the right is Alexandra Prieto, she is the principal at Somerset Academy in Miramar. Principals Neer and Prieto are two of the nine winners of this year's Florida TaxWatch Principal Leadership Awards. They will -- along with the other seven principals, will be recognized and honored at a dinner reception for them this Wednesday night in Orlando. We are very proud of them.

Also, proud to report that Bond Oversight Committee Member Lewers will be prominently figured in the video introducing Principal Neer, so we're all excited about that. And also a copy of the video.

So the report includes -- we included 31
findings and 14 recommendations. And the findings were things that $I$ wanted to bring to your attention and I wanted to make sure what you saw. The recommendations are just that. We've
gone through a lot of these, and in the interest of time, I'm going to focus on six or eight of these that $I$ think are particularly important and I'll start with technology of the Broward County schools. Mr. Hunter's report showed that there are 124 schools for which additional computers have been ordered and installed, and 76 of those have student to computer ratios of 2 -to-1 or better. And the District acknowledges in the report the need to get as close to a 1-to-1 ratio as they can. And I think that raises the issue of the adequacy of the $3.5-$ to-1 standard, and TaxWatch recommends the District take a look at that standard with the aim of adjusting or changing the standard to be more in line with what the District is, actually, trying to accomplish.

Other than that, I think with regard to the School Board schools, the District is making great progress. They continue -- as Mr. Hunter pointed out, there have been 32 new schools that have been added this year, and we look at the percentage of schools that have projects that are in progress that are being completed, those shifted down a little bit. As we pointed out in
our report, that's due to the inclusion of these new schools that are just getting started. So one would expect those percentages to go down. So I think Mr. Hunter and his folks are continuing to make great progress. And we had no observations, no findings, no concerns on the charter schools. So that's the technology part.

MS. AKER REECE: So are you recommending that the standard be changed to something different than 3.1 to 3.5 or just that if they're doing more than that that they get the Board to adopt a standard that's different?

MR. NAVE: We're recommending that they revisit the standard with the intent of changing the standard. Hitting the $3.5-$ to-1 was too easy for Mr. Hunter. That was a softball. I don't know if they just had too much money to do it, but they've had no difficulty hitting the 3.5-to-1. And given the percentage of schools and the number of schools that are 2.0 or better, it suggests that moving that standard closer to 2.0 or closer to 1 -to-1 is worth taking a look at. I don't know what the right standard should be, but 3.5-to-1 was too easy.

MR. HUNTER: That is a good question there,
because I don't disagree with that at all, and I think the conversation needs to be had with the Board. The question that keeps coming to my mind, though, is what about the schools that have already been completed? And, so, if we adjust that standard, should that -- should the recommendation then be to go back and revisit those schools? Is that -- you know, are those two things tied together? Because I'm sure that's what we will hear. In fact, to be quite honest with you, what I heard from some of the schools that, actually, purchased their equipment before we put out the new bid was that they weren't able to buy as much equipment because they paid a higher cost for it. And then once we had a new bid, and so we kept everything the same, just so that, you know, the playing field would be even for all, but $I$ don't disagree with that at all. I think it's a conversation $I$ would certainly love to have the Oversight Committee's thoughts on whether or not we go back and revisit schools if the Board sees fit, and I think the Board would be interested in having your input, as well.

MS. AKER REECE: I don't think we should
change the standard unless we have a replacement plan. Otherwise, you're just stocking up on computers now and you'll have old computers. And then you'll have more old computers unless you can replace them in three years.

So I think the conversation has to be married to the replacement plan.

MR. HUNTER: The refresh strategy.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Or the funds for replacement.

MS. AKER REECE: Yeah, or some sort of appropriation that the School Board committed to, so if we get to $2-t o-1$ or get to 1 -to-1 there's also a replacement commitment to replace them every three years or to keep them relevant.

MR. BERNARD: The 2-to-1, I think, you know, I'd like to see it 1-to-1.

MS. AKER REECE: Right.
MR. BERNARD: But if the School Board at least accepts $2-t o-1$, then you should have the -you know, if one is available to go back and service the schools that you already have done, but then hand in hand has got to come up with a refresher plan to make sure once you get all this new equipment, it's not three years down the road we're sitting here with the same problem and it's out of date and there hasn't been a refresher plan to keep it up to date, just keep it even, like we've discussed. You don't have to be ahead. If you can keep even, you're ahead.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: There's something like 200,000 students; right?

MR. HUNTER: 260.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: 260,000; right? And there's about 75,000 that you've actually identified, computers in the system?

MR. HUNTER: 75,000 computers? No, many more. You mean in terms of total number of computers within the system?

MR. BERNARD: No, the new ones you're buying he's saying.

MR. HUNTER: Oh, the new ones that we've purchased so far.

Chief dipetrillo: Right. Right. Right.
MR. HUNTER: I think about 62,000 that we've purchased.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: About 62, but the goal is around -- to get to the 3-to-1 you've got to have at least -- you're the math.

MR. HUNTER: Yeah, right, exactly.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay? You have to have about 80,000, 70-something, whatever that number is --

MR. HUNTER: Right.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So the question is, are we going to get to that point sheerly by the numbers or are we just sort of throwing a dart out there and saying that's where we want to be?

MR. HUNTER: Yeah, so we will get to that point, but it's a moving target is my concern. Because we will hit it, but then six months later we'll be behind again. So --

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Right. Right.
MR. HUNTER: But, yes, I think, based on the timeline that we're working with and the budget that we're working with, we will achieve the goals of the bond, but then the question becomes refresh and how do we maintain it?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: But to get to 2-to-1 you're going to have to have 150,000.

MR. HUNTER: 2-to-1 is a different conversation.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Different conversation.
MR. HUNTER: Yes.
MS. LEWERS: But that would come out of the

District's budget, not the individual school's budget.

MR. HUNTER: Well, I don't want to speak to Mr. Leong's budget.

MS. LEWERS: But I'm just trying to figure, is it something that's appointed to an individual school? You know, so that's -- I'm curious on that.

MR. LEONG: Yeah, I think your question is that if any refreshing of the program would come from, you know, the Board. Predominantly, right now the funding, the refreshing is coming from the Board approving the capital outlay, you know, dollars for that. In the past when we -- you know, during the recession when we didn't have the capital outlay dollars, the individual schools will come up with the money to increase their computers, because during the recession we absolutely did not have any money that, you know, that -- we had to make a choice, whether we're going to hire a teacher or buy a computer.

MR. SHIM: And we do have dollars in the out years as we look along, we just need to prioritize based upon all the needs that we have. I mean, we have $\$ 3$ billion worth of needs besides
this $\$ 800$ million or whatever it is that we went out for. So I think it's important to kind of recognize where we're at now and what we're trying to do now and looking down the line.

MS. AKER REECE: Right. But don't you agree -- well, I guess it's a question. Would you think that it makes sense to buy more and more computers if more money's left in the bond if we know that we don't have operating funds to replace them?

MR. SHIM: I agree with what you said. Because you have to look -- you have to look at both the replacement and think long-term about the viability of that replacement versus lowering the ratio. And you have to look at a plan that's comprehensive and say what can we sustain? What's sustainable based upon the available funds and your long-term plan.

MR. HUNTER: And I -- the other part of that equation $I$ don't ever want us to leave out is the instructional requirements. And so I think academics has to be a part of this conversation to understand as we move forward, you know, what's the intent in the classroom; how are we going to be engaging with students, et cetera?

Because it would be great if it was just dollars and cents, but we're here for the purpose of instruction, so we definitely need to have that conversation with them, as well.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Forgive me, because I'm from the chalkboard generation.

MR. BERNARD: Stone.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: I'm 43 and there was chalkboards in my school, too.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So what is the -- I mean, what is the intended goal? We're talking all the way down to preschool kids 1-on-1; right? Is there a focus here for a certain group of -- I know kids today pick up computers and games at home.

So if they're already getting that dexterity and that ability, where is our focus in this program?

MR. HUNTER: And that's why I'm saying the conversation needs to take place with this budget. Today we buy devices from pre-k forward, but they're different devices. So the pre-k students are using tablets, you know, where by the time they're in 3rd grade they need to learn
to use the keyboard, you know, and those kinds of things, so there's a different device type, but most definitely we are now providing devices for every grade level within the District right now.

MS. CANTRICK: I was part of the team that created the recommendation for devices to be used at age level and content area, so I worked with Tony's people before being awarded the Music and Arts project, so it was a very -- it was a very well-researched and thought-out process as to -and every person was -- content area and ESE and ESOL and pre-k, all of those people were consulted when creating this recommendation for devices to be selected. So we, actually, recommended that tablets be used for pre-k and kindergarten and then that keyboard devices be used at the 2 nd grade level, so that when they're going into the 3rd grade and using them for testing they have those keyboarding skills. CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Bob, next item?
MR. NAVE: Moving on to Music and Arts, in our last report we reported that 36 of the 52 projects that were scheduled during the fiscal year 2014-15 and 2015-16 years had not started.

This quarter that number is down to one and that's the Thurgood Marshall project that we talked about earlier.

We noted in our report that there was no explanation for the delay at Thurgood Marshall. We talked about today, we heard an explanation this evening before that, but TaxWatch continues to recommend that when projects are delayed that the District include explanation for those delays. And it is my expectation that if there are delays in the next quarterly report for Music and Arts projects that they are explained, as well.

There were also -- the report identified 21 schools where equipment -- replacement equipment had either closed out or were in the process of being closed out and another six schools where music equipment was being ordered. We looked at the total spend on those 27 schools and it was in the range of $\$ 4.47$ million. What caught our attention was the fact that about 4.28 million of that went to one vendor, and that's All County Music. And that just raises an obvious question about why the reliance on that one vendor.

So our recommendation was to request that the

District provide an explanation for the reliance on All County Music to buy so much of the equipment. And we also questioned -- the report also failed to identify whether All County Music or any of the other vendors were women or minority owned businesses or historically underutilized businesses. So one can't tell from that report how much of this money is going to W/MBEs. And the Supplier Diversity section of the report failed to address that, as well. So that's a shortcoming that TaxWatch expects to be addressed in the next report.

Also, the kiln replacements, there was money appropriated to replace kilns. There was 17 new kilns scheduled to be bought, eight of which have already been paid for and the projects closed, and, again, there's nothing to show who -- who those kilns were purchased from, who the vendors were, whether they were $W / M B E s, ~ h o w ~ m u c h ~ w a s ~$ spent on those. So, again, our expectation is that the next report address those, as well.

And I think that is pretty much it for Music and Arts equipment. So I'll be glad to answer any questions.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Any questions for Bob? I
guess I turn back to Ms. Cantrick. Are the recommendations that have been made by TaxWatch things that can be satisfied and put in the balance of the reports that we'll see in the future?

MS. CANTRICK: Yes.
MR. RABINOWITZ: We've already spoken about the Thurgood Marshall Elementary School issue and it -- I think that we have addressed this to some regard, but is there an explanation why the lion's share of the acquisition of the instruments comes from one company other than the fact that they probably give the best price?

MS. CANTRICK: That was the primary. There was for -- yeah, that was the primary reasoning behind that.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Is there any other reason? Look, I don't buy equipment so I don't know who is out there to buy it.

MS. CANTRICK: Right. So we have a new bid now, as of August 25 th, $I$ want to say. We've gotten all the prices in. We're putting them all into a database for the schools to order from, but our recommendation when schools are ordering is to choose the lowest price and that's what's
referenced there.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Adam?
MR. RABINOWITZ: Yeah.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: One question. When we do bids, you can do bids any way you want to do them to make them come out the way you want them to. I know how to play that game.

Did you do a complete bid, line item by line item, and award to the lowest bidder or did you do a consolidated bid that awarded the bid to the lowest bidder?

MS. CANTRICK: That's, actually, a procurement question.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I can't hear you. You have to speak up.

MS. CANTRICK: That's, actually, a procurement question. I don't -- I don't handle.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Well, I would like an answer to that when they come back next meeting. Because I can make a bid look very good or very bad depending on how -- and if you're trying to get minority business owners and others to bid, maybe they can provide a clarinet cheaper than they can provide a guitar --

MS. CANTRICK: Right.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: -- and then the money can be given to everybody else, as well. So I have a concern about that. Not because these are bad, because I think I, actually, bought something from them back when my kids were in school, so it doesn't necessarily mean that they're still the best vendor. All right? So I'd like an answer to that, if $I$ could.

MS. CANTRICK: Certainly.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Good question.
MR. NAVE: Any other questions on music and art?
(No response.)
MR. NAVE: Okay. Moving onto Facilities Construction, the District noted in its report that in response to Request for Qualifications at Plantation Middle School, New River Middle School and Whiddon-Rogers Education Center that they received less than the three proposals required by law to proceed. Now, there's nothing in the report that suggests that these projects are delayed, but $I$ think it's reasonable to assume that these projects are delayed based on the fact that they have to go backwards through the procurement process.

So, again, it goes back to the recurring recommendation that TaxWatch has made in every quarterly report since we got involved in this, and that is that delays in projects be reported and explained. And, again, that's our recurring recommendation on that issue.

We've talked about the template that is used to report the status of projects at the schools. I think it's been a good discussion on that. That has been a recurring issue. We issued our best practice report 15 months ago, and Recommendation 37.3 outlines the information that TaxWatch thinks you need to see to get a sense of whether these projects are on time, whether they're on budget, whether minority or women owned businesses are involved in this and the things you need to know. And 15 months later we have yet to crack that nut. I am very encouraged by the appointment of a subcommittee tonight. I think -- and TaxWatch is certainly willing, we have been working with the District since September 1st trying to come up with a template to resolve this issue, and I'm optimistic that we can do it. And it's my expectation that the next quarterly report addresses TaxWatch's concern.

The final thing I point out on Facilities, the Facilities report identifies projects that are completed. We identified 40 projects in the Facilities Construction Report that are identified as complete, but there's nothing to suggest that these projects were delivered on time, on budget. There's nothing to suggest that there were any problems associated with this. So I think anyone that reads this assumes that these projects were delivered on time, on budget and that there were no issues.

And, again, TaxWatch's recommendation has consistently been in instances like that to provide some sort of affirmative statement that projects were on time, on budget or something so that the reader can determine for themselves that the projects were delivered on time, on budget and -- yes, ma'am.

MS. AKER REECE: So would on time be within the seven years that they've guaranteed? I'm not -- like, I really don't know what on time would be related to except for that they said that they wanted to complete them in seven years. MR. NAVE: Construction, well, these projects have schedules, and they know when the
procurement starts, they know when the planning phases, design phases are scheduled to start. If there are things that disrupt that schedule or delay it, then these are the things that need to be identified. If all of a sudden the scope of work changes, if because the cost of labor and materials were to go up, the budget either has to be increased or the scope of work has to be paired down, these are things that should be noted. These are things that taxpayers want to know. These are things I think you want to know. And they should be noted. And I think our challenge is to come up with a way that visually displays that information so that anyone that looks at this can determine fairly quickly whether these projects are on time, on budget, if there are change orders, if there are W/MBEs used or not. These are things that we can show in a one-page template. I know because I sent the District an example that did just that.

MS. AKER REECE: So in terms of budget, you can see in the financial summary what the original and the current budget is. So that would give us that information. So on time would be more subjective, right, or they would have to
let us know?
MR. NAVE: Well, I think there's a way to do it. The Budget -- the Budget Activity Report does show expenditures and commitment against the original budget, so, yeah, one can do that. But, again, you're having to cross-reference for every school. Or at least I'm having to cross-reference for every school when I do my report. So there's got to be a way to get this information to you and to the taxpayers so that you're not constantly having to cross-reference the three or four different reports. That's the challenge. We can do it. We're smart enough to do it. We just have to be committed to do it.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Bruce?
MR. BERNARD: With the software and
everything we're doing now, when you guys do Phase 6, your closeout of a project, is it possible to put what TaxWatch is asking for, just a closeout sheet for every project, on budget, time, if there were delays. You're just -- we're not asking why, we just want to list what the delay was, if, you know, we had a procurement problem, if we had -- like you said. At least if you list everything down, you know, we know why
it came -- it was supposed to be this year, it ended up this year. So if you do your closeout like you're saying, you've got all the answers right there when you're finished with the project.

MR. CORBIN: You do. And that's one of the things that $I$ think the strength of Heery brings to this team is we're a national firm, we do this for so many different districts across the nation that we've got various types of reports that meet those districts' needs. And I'm encouraged tonight, as well, by having the subcommittee formed so that we can polish up this one-pager. CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Subcommittee of one. Go ahead.

MR. CORBIN: I'll be here with you, as well as Bob. And I think, you know, it is important because as we got the TaxWatch findings and recommendations, you know, if this is the one that is specific, for example, to the school choice items, the school choice is limited to a hundred thousand dollars. It's not going to be allowed to exceed that.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: We're looking at the real money.

MR. CORBIN: Right. But, you know, it's those pieces. It's just as important as any other line item, though, and we need to find a means to be able to report back to the taxpayers and citizens that, you know, these high priority needs such as time, cost, scope, so I'm encouraged that we can find something that will fit this model.

MR. NAVE: And let's be clear about one thing. It is not TaxWatch's recommendation that we wait until the project closeout stage to get this information. This should be ongoing. It should be quarter to quarter. If there are delays experienced, then that should be noted.

MR. BERNARD: That's labor intensive. That's labor intensive, too.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Do we anticipate, Chief, that you will have a conversation with the folks at the District within what period of time?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Sometime after the first of the year. Certainly before the next quarterly meeting.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay.
MR. CORBIN: The sooner, the better. That
way we can refine this thing, polish it up, rout
it around, share it, get comments in from a little larger group and say, there, change it right there and then it's bullseyes from here on out.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I think I know where you want to go.

MR. RABINOWITZ: And if you don't know, you'll ask Bruce.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Right.
MR. NAVE: All right. Anything else on Facilities Construction?
(No response.)
MR. NAVE: All right. On the Budget
Activity, one of the things that we found was that the SMART Program allocated money for technology infrastructure upgrades at specified schools. We identified five schools. It was Park Trails Elementary, Henry Perry Middle, Plantation Senior High, Sunset Lakes Elementary and Watkins, where the technology report shows that these activities have been completed, but the Budget Activity Report didn't show any budget activity associated with it. So there was a disconnect there.

And the recommendation would be to, again, to
make sure that the Technology Report and the Budget Activity Report are aligned so that these are caught.

We saw the same thing with respect to the Facilities Construction Report and the Budget Activity Report.

There were 34 financially active projects identified in the Facilities Construction Report that are in some stage of progress and there is no financial activity reflected in the Budget Activity Report.

Now, some of those are in the amended District Educational Facility Plan, which I think, Ben, you said those are legacy projects, and, perhaps, those should be excluded, but that was just a small minority of that number of 34.

So the recommendation, again, is, let's make sure that if there's project activity reflected in the Facilities Construction Report that we have budget activity associated with it.

Another thing we saw, we looked at the -- for every project that was identified in Mr. Hunter's report as completed, we looked at the Budget Activity Report to show how much was spent and committed and what the remaining balance was.

And what we found is that for the projects that had been completed, there was a balance of about \$7.25 million saved. So, again, reiterating the point we made in the last report, Mr. Hunter and his staff have been able to procure this technology far in excess of the District's standards and saving the District seven-and-a-quarter million dollars in the process. So, again, that's money that can be reallocated to meet other critical needs. Good job.

MS. AKER REECE: When you talk about the Budget Activity Report not matching the Construction Report, when you're going out for bid and things like that, aren't there times and projects where you wouldn't see financial activity because they're in the early stages, it's just staff time? I'm just trying to think why there would be one and not the other.

MR. SHIM: And I'll let you go with the ones that are in the legacy projects.

MR. CORBIN: Yeah, sure. I'd be glad to.
In the District's Educational Facility Plan, if you look at a project page inside of it, there's two sections. The upper section is often
containing if there's any legacy type of projects that remained. These are improvements that are funded outside of the SMART Program. But it's still associated with improvements on that campus. The District has assigned that work to Heery to manage and report on, but, once again, you know, the focus of the Bond Oversight Committee is more on the bond side of this work. So, I think, you know, one of the things that I'd like to do is maybe hang around after this meeting and meet with Bob and Omar and talk a little bit about, what's the way that we can, you know, report this to eliminate this confusion or, you know, doubt? Because the last thing we want to do is have anyone think that we're doing something with the bond money, spending it on something else, which we're not. This is funded separately. It's just a matter of how do we, polish up that, you know, project level reporting? Maybe there's an appendix that we could use. Maybe there's, you know, a way that we could color code it so it stands out that it's clearly defined as a separate, you know, set of work.
One thing that may come is that if it's, you
know, legacy work that's not going to be folded in at all and it's roofing work that's already underway, maybe it doesn't belong in this report. But we'll work with the team to arrive at a report and content that meets the goals.

MR. NAVE: And I think it may be appropriate to put a provision in the report that just says just that, that there are projects that are, you know, not funded with the bond money and this report is limited solely to the SMART Program funds and these projects are beyond the scope of this and beyond the purview of the Bond Oversight Committee. And then I think that gives everyone the cover they need if someone were to say, you're putting a roof on Building 12 and I don't see it in this report.

MR. CORBIN: Uh-huh.
MS. AKER REECE: Definitely.
MR. SHIM: With regards to the technology projects, it looks like those were -- there weren't any funds expended on those programs. I think those had already met the standard, but they were just listed as completed instead of -MR. HUNTER: Well, I don't know. I'm perplexed by that. Because I'm looking at the
activity report, the Financial Activity Report for Park Trails Elementary School on page 411 and it's clearly showing 315,000 current year expenditures for the computer gap and 82,000 for the wireless. So I just want to make sure that I'm -- I'm not sure if I'm looking at the right data.

MR. SHIM: Yeah, but I think it's for infrastructure.

MR. HUNTER: Oh, you're talking infrastructure?

MR. SHIM: Yeah --
MR. HUNTER: Oh, okay.
MR. SHIM: -- I think it's saying that it's complete, but there's no expenditures on it. Am I right?

MR. HUNTER: Infrastructure. All right. I got it.

MR. SHIM: Right. It's saying that the technology infrastructure is completed.

MR. HUNTER: But we need to see if it's labeled correctly, complete versus meets the standard.

MR. SHIM: That's what $I$ believe might have happened.

MR. HUNTER: I got it. Okay.
MR. SHIM: I believe that's what occurred, is that it's labeled as if it was completed when it's actually -- it already met the standard.

MR. HUNTER: It met the standard so there was no money to expend on it. I got it. Okay. Got it.

MR. SHIM: Right.
MR. NAVE: Okay. Anything else?
(No response.)
MR. NAVE: All right. Then I'll move on to Supplier Diversity. This is a new section of the report. It is ostensibly in response to the comments that were made at the last meeting that we need to address these issues. It does a good job of giving an overview of what the Supplier Diversity Outreach program is, but it doesn't -we still don't know which vendors are getting which projects and how much money they are getting for things other than some of the construction projects. We don't know in Music and Arts, we don't know on the kiln replacements, and we don't know on the technology replacements.

So I think there is still a need -- if we're going to have a Supplier Diversity Outreach
section of the report, it can't be limited solely to Facilities Construction. And I think it should -- I think there should be a better understanding of which vendors are for which contracts.

MR. RABINOWITZ: The question is then, can those departments then satisfy that request?

MS. CANTRICK: Our bid was just fulfilled for music and there are no $M / W B E$-- there's no one.

MR. NAVE: It doesn't say that.
MS. CANTRICK: No, from our previous quarter, no, but I can tell you that -- I can tell you that now. And it will say that in the next one. But what $I ' m$ saying is, it won't change.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. That answers the question then.

MS. CANTRICK: And same with kilns, there is only one vendor and it's not $M / W B E$.

MR. NAVE: Easy fix. Yes, ma'am.
MS. ROBBS: Good evening. My name is Colleen Robbs. I'm the Coordinator for Supplier Diversity and Outreach. I am pleased also to be here and I embrace the feedback. And, so, with this opportunity for our first time out we did present, basically, construction information for

M/WBE participation, did in the 400-page document outline who those firms were as far as if they were $M / W B E$ or not. We do plan to build on our platform for reporting in the future adding the different components of the SMART Program. We will be reporting on that. We have a manpower, girlpower of two and -- however, we have some contracted consulting services that are coming on board that will help us with this reporting. So look forward to better reporting. I heard you mention that you had some sample reporting. What we reported in the binder was, basically, what we are able to do at this time, the types of information. However, this will go across the different disciplines, you know, Safety, Music and Arts and so forth, we will include that in the future. So, I appreciate the input. I'm glad to be mentioned. And we will respond. MR. NAVE: Thank you. I appreciate that. Any other questions on Suppler Diversity and Outreach?
(No response.)
MR. NAVE: Then the last section was
Communications. Which, again, is in response to comments and discussion we had at the last
committee meeting. It's obvious that the District has given considerable thought on how to message the SMART Program. What is not clear in the plan, though, or at least it wasn't clear to me is, what the District sees the role of the Bond Oversight Committee in this Communications Plan. And, if there's not a plan, then the question is, should there be one? If there is a plan, then $I$ think it needs to be fleshed out a little bit in the District's Communications Plan. But, overall, I think the District staff should be commended. It just gets more -- as more schools -- as we have a new fiscal year, more schools get added to the mix, more activities begin, it becomes more and more difficult to put all the stuff together in a meaningful way. I know because I review it and I see this. And I -- what I've seen from the last quarter to this quarter and we saw it from the last quarter to the previous quarter was a concerted effort on the District's part to be more transparent, to be more open and made a good-faith effort to address the concerns I raised in my reports. We're not there yet, but I'm optimistic that the next quarterly report
we'll be there or we'll be very, very close. So I've heard what staff's said tonight and I'm optimistic that they'll make a good-faith effort to address the findings and recommendations that we've made tonight.

So thanks to the staff.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
Anything else?
Thank you. The next order of business was the School Board Workshop Briefing, which I attended.

Every time I go to one of these I think they're interesting and learn something new. You don't realize the things that we do, actually, have an impact upon others, negative or positive. We like to think it's all positive. I can tell you that $I$ didn't realize, I don't know how else to put it, the firestorm that got created relative to the discussion at the workshop concerning the gap analysis and the things that we learned at the meeting. But what's most important from my takeaway is that positive things come out of it. You know, we may flesh out some things that, where there's deficiencies and there's needs, and I guess that's what the
whole purpose is. So, you know -- and what I'm talking about, obviously, is the Music and Arts, because some of the members of the Board didn't realize that there was, actually, a deficiency in the available staff to facilitate Ms. Cantrick's work and what she's doing and then, you know, we come to learn how other departments like Omar's stepped up and helped her out relative to those needs, and we can see from TaxWatch's analysis how that plays out in a positive way. But I encourage all of the members of this committee to at least attend one of those workshops.

You're excused this time, Chief.
I say a lot of these things in jest and they don't come out necessarily in the minutes, but, whether it's you, Bruce, or Laura or Maxine, there should be a person reporting once in a while because you get to see what things that we do and all the reports that we receive and how they play out. And, frankly, because we're not on the inside, we don't know what they find to be important sometimes.

So when I mentioned to the Board that Ms. Cantrick had a lack of support to complete the gap analysis, for example, I didn't realize that
was going to create, not only a news story, with me being quoted, unfortunately, but, you know, but then what it winds up doing is, it puts a focus on something that needs to get done for the betterment of our community and the education of our kids. And that's what we're here for.

So I encourage all of you, at least, you know -- because I know that the resolution requires the chairman to be the person reporting, but I think it's important for all the members to see what it is that we do other than we sit in this forum to see how it plays out amongst the Board. That's, essentially, my report relative to that circumstance.

And the next workshop is January 24 th. So does anybody want to cover that one for us?

And, by the way, it's not as though you need an institutional memory associated with what happened here today. Staff is great. They give us, actually, a report to review before you walk in there. So it's not like you're walking in there from today until January 24 th cold and expected to know everything.

So does one of you want to cover it?
MS. AKER REECE: Are you saying just to sit
and listen?
MR. RABINOWITZ: No, no, no. To go and report.

MS. AKER REECE: I would if you --
MR. RABINOWITZ: Don't all fight at once.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I nominate Laura.
MR. RABINOWITZ: There you go. Great. Fantastic.

I mean, I'll see the report, as well, and we'll copy Laura on the report and you'll see.

MS. LEWERS: It's long, so bring lunch, snacks, or dinner.

MR. RABINOWITZ: It will be maybe an hour. You know, and you're scheduled, typically, first to get in and out.

MS. CANTRICK: Are you sure you're in the right county?

MR. RABINOWITZ: Well, we learned. The first time I went I was there for hours.

MR. SHIM: What we'll try to do is get like the timing that's convenient for you for that particular workshop and we'll work with our Chief of Staff on the time. Going first is the best deal, because --

MS. AKER REECE: Okay. That works. And then
we just report back on what we talked about today?

MR. RABINOWITZ: Yeah, exactly. They'll have notes for you.

MS. AKER REECE: Okay.
MR. SHIM: We'll provide a summary of this meeting for you to utilize as your reference to the Board, you know, exactly what, kind of, were the important things that happened here.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Yeah. The minutes didn't have what I mentioned, but that's okay. I remembered it.

MS. AKER REECE: You were freestyling?
MR. RABINOWITZ: I remembered, but I, also, had the minutes.

The last -- well, we'll recess the business meeting and open it up for a public hearing.

Is there any member of the public that wanted to provide any commentary?
(No response.)
MR. RABINOWITZ: All right. Excellent.
We can reconvene -- well, we can adjourn the public hearing and reconvene the business meeting, and that leaves us really with the last order of business.

Is there any other discussion from any of the members of the committee or anyone else that wants to add anything?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I have a question. What's Applied Learning? They didn't have that term when I was in school.

MR. BERNARD: They didn't have pencils when you were in school.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Thanks, Bruce. I'm going to regret this appointment.

MS. CANTRICK: It's the application of what you're learning in your core areas. So, I oversee all the electives and special programs. So, debate, chess, stem, code.org, music, art, PE, driver's ed.

MR. BERNARD: All the courses you took.
MS. CANTRICK: All the fun stuff. I oversee all the fun stuff.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay.
MS. CANTRICK: It's the application of the learning of those skills in other areas.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. All right.
I should have stayed in med school.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Any other issues, comments, concerns; anything at all?
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