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MR. RABINOWITZ: Good evening everyone. We can get this meeting commenced.

The first order of business is to approve the minutes. Did everybody get a copy of the minutes?

Do I hear a motion to approve the minutes from the prior meeting?

MS. LEWERS: I make the motion to approve the minutes from the prior meeting.

MR. MONACO: I second.
MR. RABINOWITZ: All those in favor?
COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.
MR. RABINOWITZ: That means the court reporter did his job. Thank you.

The second item on the agenda today is being moved based on a time constraint. It's my understanding that e-Builder needs to commence their presentation now. So if -- there's no name on there, but -- oh, there it is, Leo Bobadilla, wants to do the presentation?

MR. BOBADILLA: Thank you, sir. Leo Bobadilla, happy to be here with everyone. So I
will go ahead and get moving with the presentation. I'm going to ask our program manager to do that. And, if I could, I'd like to take a moment, I don't believe the committee has had an opportunity to meet the representatives for the program management team. I'd like for them to introduce themselves and then go ahead and move forward with the presentation, if that's okay.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Please.
MR. VIERA: Good afternoon. My name is Adrien Viera with Atkins North America. We are the program controls side of the program and I am the program director for that.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Welcome.
MR. VIERA: Thank you.
MR. CHOMIAK: Good evening. My name is Rob Chomiak. I'm with Heery International and we are the owner's rep. I've been working with the District right now. I'm very excited to be here. And we've been on board, actually, six months now as of this week. So we're anxious to continue and a lot is happening, so, hopefully, you'll hear about that.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you, and welcome.

MR. BOBADILLA: And I'll introduce the e-Builder representative, Linda Giddens from e-Builder will be doing the e-Builder presentation.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
MS. GIDDENS: Hello, my name is Linda Giddens. I'm from e-Builder. And I'm going to talk about the e-Builder software system. So today we want to just kind of give you an overview of e-Builder and then, also, kind of just give you a flavor of the system and what it does for you.

So who is e-Builder? E-Builder is a program management software system. So it's to help your project teams manage their construction projects better. We are 100 percent from the vantage point of the owner, so from your advantage point, not the contractors. We are based off of role and project. So what that means is that you get permissions based off of what projects that you're on and the role that you're in.

So an example of that would be, a general contractor would only see their projects that they're on. And they would only be seeing things like the documents. They wouldn't see the
budgets. Versus your project team, you know, your directors would see the budgets at the same time. And the director may see all the projects, as an example.

We're realtime based, so it's all a cloud-based system. So anybody that has the right permission can go on their computer, on their smart phone, log into the system and we get realtime data.

The reason that you want to have e-Builder or why you purchased it was to increase the transparency, productivity and accountability. Very important.

So today we're kind of going to go over some highlighted items of the system. We are, right now, in the middle of construction of -- of building the system. We've already gone through a lot of the designs and so forth. So we're just going to go over our document structure, so how we share documents, the importance of it, the cash flow management portion, workloads, schedules, and then reporting on it.

Any questions at this point?
All right. So let's go ahead and dig right into it. And, like I said, this is your site
right now. It is still under construction and we put in some test projects in it. But this is the home screen. And what's most important about this is that your project team, at a glance, can see what they are responsible for. So where they need to improve, what task items are, their scheduled items, right there at their fingertips.

So then let's go into the document management portion. So in today's world you have documents, actually, kind of all over the place. You have a little bit into one area, but sometimes people will leave it on their desktop, sometimes the contractors don't have the latest drawings, so that cost you money. It causes confusion, et cetera.

So with e-Builder you'll have one central place and then you give access to the documents to the people that need it. For instance, the drawings, the general contractor will have the latest. And it has version control so you know exactly what the last one was and it's all in a central location. This is as an example of one of the drawing pictures.

So the next is your cost controls. So e-Builder takes your costs and it mirrors SAP, so
it's not the financial system, but it gives the project team a little bit more depth to manage their dollars. It gives them an opportunity to see things coming forward, before they happen, so they can react to make changes to it.

So, for instance, on here you can see that we have a commitment change in the column that is a potential change. So a good example where this would change the way you're managing and enhancing it is like Frank was telling us about Cooper City, when he was doing that project was that at the end of the project the finance people kept on asking where he was on -- what he had outstanding and he really had a hard time pulling it together because it was fragmented. This is all in one central location so we can say when we close out the project, you know what's there and what hasn't been paid, what we have committed out to. It helps manage your overall money. MR. RABINOWITZ: Who uploads all of the financial information into it?

MS. GIDDENS: So there is an import process that e-Builder will be providing, so there's a series of reports that come over and then they're uploaded. And I believe we're doing that daily.

MR. MONACO: And whose responsibility is it to input the data; is it the contractor or is it someone --

MS. GIDDENS: It depends on certain things. So if a contractor would submit their pay-up application into the system, then it goes through a series of approvals throughout your organization. So the project manager would see it next and approve it and review all the documents and then the next one, I believe Frank, I believe, is where we put it, before it goes to finance for approval. And that's, actually -- I think that's my next slide, so you're jumping ahead.

Yeah, this is your structure workflow. And if you click one more time, so you can see this is the workflow that it will actually go to. So the things that the contractor needs to do directly in the system, it will give them access to it. And then, as you can see on this diagram right here, this is a change order. The contractor started it and now it's in the A/D's -- the architects, there for review, and it's all structured. So you can't -- there's no longer passing around pieces of paper. It's
electronically. They get an e-mail alert on it and it's realtime, they're all seeing the same data. And you eliminate those gaps. And it's clearly audited because then you have -- you know exactly who touched it, when they touched it and it keeps track of all of that.

Did that answer your question?
MR. MONACO: It does.
MS. GIDDENS: Okay. Awesome. So our other module is our floor module, and this is where the contractors will do daily reports, they -sometimes, you know, there's a variety of different forms. It's all on-line. We are mirrored through the forms that you guys have already had. So like an action item, again, to sort of avoid from things getting lost and enhance that workflow and improve the accountability, that's what the form module is. So if you have -- a contractor has to submit their submittal for their flooring, carpet, so she can put it in as an action item right there on the job site, in a meeting. So you come out of it, it goes right to the contractor, they know what they have to get done, they close it out. You can see it realtime.

There's a schedule module that's included in this, so it helps, you know, keep up-to-date schedules for the contractor. It, also, can tie into that part of the cash flow, so you have the financials and be able to predict when you're going to spend the money. And so that they can give -- the construction department can give more robust reporting and be able to keep things on track and make sure that they are accurate and keep them on time.

The last area is the reports. So right out of the box you have 120 standard reports. In addition, this is a pretty robust reporting method, so we can pull up data that's in there so they can create their own reports to report on what's going on there.

And then, finally, which kind of really makes this real time is that you have mobile apps. So if somebody's not on the job site in the old days you go do an inspection report, you take a piece of paper, write it down, you take some pictures, and then you've got to go back to an office and you've got to type it all up and upload those pictures. This is all realtime. So you take a picture right there, you fill out your report
and, bam, everybody has it and they can see what the status of the project is.

Do you guys have any questions on what we have?

MR. RABINOWITZ: I have one other question concerning the scheduling.

So if a project is close to completion or scheduled for completion, let's say, on February 29th, and it's not completed, does an alert pop up for the GC or anyone to know or the owner to know that --

MS. GIDDENS: So that's what's on the front page, it tells you the task that you're using and then, yes, you can report with -- get e-mail alerts. There's a possibility of that, too.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: If you have a change order and it changes your schedule, which most change orders do, how -- does it automatically upload that or is that a manual process or are these all interconnected.

MS. GIDDENS: So it's all interconnected. So you can do a schedule change in that workflow process. So you have a contractor saying, well, I have this change because we have this pipe that we need, so it increases maybe dollars, maybe

[^0]time, it still gets routed throughout the organization to make sure that it's approved, and then it updates the system.

MR. VIERA: But just to clarify on that, it still requires as part of the workflow structure, someone will have to go into a scheduling module and provide that update if it's approved through the change order process.

MS. GIDDENS: Any other questions on that?
MR. RABINOWITZ: Any other questions?
MR. MONACO: Yeah, I mean, so this is in the development phase now; it's not active?

MS. GIDDENS: We're real close. So we have finished the design phase of it and work on configuring it. The schedule is mid April for us to go live, including the training.

MR. MONACO: Then we have -- how many active projects do we have now? Who is going to backflow all of that data into the system for us to have that work? I mean, that's kind of a huge task.

MR. VIERA: Our start point is the current projects that we -- Blanche Ely, Northeast and Stranahan, that will be our starting point, and we will get those inputted back into the
e-Builder and they will be managed from e-Builder. But, yes, that information will be put into e-Builder.

MS. LEWERS: Is this something just for the e-Builder managers or will it be for general public to view?

MS. GIDDENS: It's just for the construction team.

MR. MONACO: They see no budget whatsoever, just the projects that they're viewing. So they have a separate log-in and then, obviously, administration here would have the budgets loaded in to see where they are versus budget projections.

MR. VIERA: There are different rights for everybody. Every user has different rights and controls of which modules, of how much they can get into each module. The cost module, outside of the District, you know, that's controlled.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Well, thank you very much.
MS. GIDDENS: Thank you.
MR. VIERA: Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: The next item on the agenda is the quarterly reports and, Mr. Hunter, you're up.

MR. HUNTER: Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
MR. HUNTER: Ladies and gentlemen of the Committee, $I$ just want to draw your attention to the quarterly report ending December 31st, 2015.

At the end of that quarter we had actually -at the end of that quarter we had actually ordered 39,698 computing devices for schools, had received 16,618 devices. We actually ordered 5,073 wireless access points and installed 2,259 of those.

As we're aware, this is a process that continues, the numbers change on a daily basis. So, as we sit today, the majority of those 39,000 devices have actually been installed. But as of this report only 16,000 had actually been received.

MS. LEWERS: Excuse me, Mr. Hunter. We have three documents in front of us that has quarterly reports. Which one are you referring to?

MR. HUNTER: Oh, I'm sorry. It should say SMART Program Technology - SBBC Schools.

MS. LEWERS: Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: I do want to ask you a question. I just want to make sure it's clear.

So if there's 39,698 computers, as we sit here today, you're saying the vast majority of them have already been installed at the various schools?

MR. HUNTER: Yeah, the number installed as of about two weeks ago was 33,000 .

MR. RABINOWITZ: And I do want to ask you a couple things, things that jumped out at me, for example, we're trying to achieve the ratio of 3.5-to-1, and I think this is something that TaxWatch, actually, saw, as well, relative to priorities, because there are a number of schools that had significant deficiencies and some schools that didn't. And I understand that. But when I look at the report as of New Year's 2015, was there any effort made to prioritize installation at those schools that had the worst ratio?

MR. HUNTER: Absolutely. So the way that process worked is we first looked at the current infrastructure within that school, it's ability to support additional computers, whether or not there was sufficient bandwidth for the network, whether or not there was sufficient wireless access. Those schools that did not have that
infrastructure in place were moved first on the list for infrastructure. But those schools who already had the infrastructure, we then took the ones with the worst student to computer ratio and prioritized based upon that.

So you will see there are some schools that really did not have a real good student to computer ratio to begin with, but their infrastructure was not in a place where it would make sense to put additional computers on the campus until the infrastructure was actually completed.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Can I ask you some specifics?

MR. HUNTER: Please.
MR. RABINOWITZ: I'll give you an example. When I look at the report, one of -- well, we can start with, for example, and I'm curious why nothing has been done at all, I'm looking at like Heron Heights Elementary. It indicates the ratio is 20.9-to-1, but there's nothing ordered and there's no access points. It indicates it meets the standard, but from what I -- it says meets standard from the infrastructure status, but there's nothing ordered, nothing installed.

MR. HUNTER: Right. From an infrastructure standard, it met the standard, but it did not meet the standard in terms of the wireless access points. And so that's where we would be working to get the access points installed, and then from there moving onto the computers. I will have to go back -- for the Committee, I'll have to go back for the specifics, because I don't have every school in my head here.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Why not? No, I'm kidding.
MR. HUNTER: But I'll be happy to do that and provide any specifics that the Committee would like regarding specific schools.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Yeah, it's just one of those schools that jumped out at me because it, also, indicates from a wireless access standpoint that there was nothing ordered.

MR. HUNTER: Right. Nothing ordered as of yet, as of December; that is correct.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I don't want to go over all these, because I know you don't have -- no person can have institutional memory of all of this, but, I mean, the same thing for like Palm View. I look at their ratio is 14-to-1. Again, nothing ordered, nothing installed, not even -- nothing
even started. To me, that's one of the schools that we can unfortunately characterize as a have-not -- and I'm not saying this is your fault, so, forgive me, but it's one of those things when $I$ look at it I'm like, how can that be?

MR. HUNTER: Great question. I will go back and see what I can do and find out regarding these specific schools and I'll be happy to report to the Committee.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay.
I mean, can we assume at this point that -- I mean, now we're sitting here on the 25 th of February, that something has, actually, started in those schools?

MR. HUNTER: Let me check before we assume.
MR. RABINOWITZ: The beauty of realtime and having a laptop available.

MR. HUNTER: Correct. If there are other questions, please go ahead. I can come back to this.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: While he's looking that up, just a question in terms of the warranties, if they're sitting in the warehouse and they're not deployed, when does the warranty start, from

[^1]the time of purchase or --
MR. HUNTER: The time of installation.
Installation at the school. And there's -- I think the Committee asked last time, there really is not a long period of time that they're sitting in the warehouse. The vendor has a four-week lead time to deliver to us. They actually don't go to a warehouse, they go directly to the school. So in four weeks they're on the school campus, and within a week or two after arriving on the school campus they're actually installed. MR. MONACO: So activation?

MR. HUNTER: That's correct.
MR. MONACO: Yeah. Actually, just to clarify, infrastructure status, what is that when it's complete; is that wiring; is it facility's bandwidth; what is that?

MR. HUNTER: It's all of the above. So the infrastructure could, depending on what the school needs, it could be cabling within the building. It could be additional network switches if the network switches need to be upgraded or replaced, themselves. It could be some file server technology that's there on the campus, and every campus is different. So
there's an assessment on each campus to determine what the infrastructure needs are.

MR. MONACO: Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Is there an outside date when all of this technology is supposed to be completed and installed?

MR. HUNTER: The date right now, according to the original plan, was to have it all completed and installed by the end of the '16/'17 school year. We are a little bit ahead of schedule on that right now, so we anticipated being able to do that.

MR. RABINOWITZ: And is the school's IT Department making these installations or is there a contractor that's installing them?

MR. HUNTER: It's a collaborative effort. So we have school staff at every site, but in order to roll this out at the speed that we need to roll it out we also have contractors who are assisting with that. The school is overseeing -the District staff is overseeing the work, setting the direction, signing off on all the work that's being done and participating with the installations.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Did you find the information
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you were looking for?
MR. HUNTER: No, I'm still doing that.
Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't have my computer loaded up at the time.

MR. RABINOWITZ: If you want to look at one in particular, as well, there's Silver Lakes Elementary and their ratio is 34 -to-1 and it's kind of a number that jumps off the page as the highest ratio of all the schools that we have information about.

MR. HUNTER: Okay. Silver Lakes. Yeah. So I show right now for Silver Lakes Elementary, and I'm looking at the District's website right now, where the information is updated on a weekly basis, for Silver Lakes, you are correct, it started off at a 34-to-1 ratio. We are now at a 1.4-to-1 ratio. They had a $\$ 168,000$ budget for computers. They have expended $\$ 157,944$.

The infrastructure, the wireless and the cabling is still in process at that school, not yet completed.

MS. SIEGEL: Yeah, I just don't -- maybe I'm just not understanding. So if you look at like Sheridan Tech High, there's zero ordered, zero received. It says meets standard. So what is
their ratio then?
MR. HUNTER: Yeah. So if, from a computer perspective, if it says meets standards, that means at the time this snapshot was taken, which was November of 2014, I believe, they were already better than 3.5-to-1. So they did not receive any dollars for computers. If their infrastructure and wireless still needed updating they received dollars for that, but not for computers.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Any other questions?
MR. HUNTER: And the one you mentioned, Sheridan Tech, some of our technical schools were already 1-to-1 with computers.

MR. MONACO: Question on the contractors that you're hiring to help facilitate this, right, what's the bid process look like for that; is it RFP or is just selection by price points?

MR. HUNTER: So the District -- there are two answers to that. The first is that, when the project first started, there was a District bid in place that bundled the computers and the installation as one service.

Just recently at the February -- so much of this work was done underneath that bid. At the

February 9th meeting the Board approved a new bid and we actually had unbundled the purchase of the computers from the services piece. So, now, under the new -- under the new bid, the purchase of the computers and the warranty is just that, is buying the devices and it comes with a three-year warranty, and then there is another RFP for the actual services. That was done to support the -- some of the things found in the disparity study and provide opportunities for local and minority businesses to, actually, compete for the business. In that they don't necessarily have the opportunity to sell direct the computers, they can, in fact, compete for the installation configuration.

I will say to the Committee that that RFP for the services piece is currently under protest and so we've not yet awarded that. It's going through the procurement process at this point.

So, at this point, to keep the work moving forward, District staff is, actually, handling the installations. The contractor is not doing that any longer for us.

MR. MONACO: Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Do you know when the bid
protest is going to be concluded?
MR. HUNTER: I'm looking over at my legal counsel, $I$ don't think anyone can tell us for sure.

MS. MYRICK: I don't know that off the top of my head. I would be glad to get that information for you.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
MR. MONACO: One last question. So, for that bid for the RFP for the services piece of it, does that also include the infrastructure, getting the facilities ready for delivery?

MR. HUNTER: No. They were, actually, different RFPs. We have a cabling RFP where the vendor handles the cabling. There's a separate RFP for wireless access points where the installation of the access points are a result of that RFP. So both of those RFPs were in place at the beginning of the program and we're using those RFPs to do this work.

MR. MONACO: Great. Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Go ahead.
MR. HUNTER: Anything else?
MR. RABINOWITZ: No, I think we covered that report and the questions we had.

MR. HUNTER: So as relates to charter schools, the report is labeled SMART Program Technology - Charter Schools. You'll notice as of December 31st quite a bit had been done as related to charter schools.

67 of our charter schools, actually, participated as a part of the General Obligation Bond or a SMART Program, and at that point, if you look on page 3, the bottom of page 3, it indicates the number of devices that have been ordered and delivered. 1,706 desktop computers had been ordered, 1,477 had been received. For student laptops, 5,059 had been ordered, 4,524 had been received. Teacher laptops, 1,417 ordered, 1,296 had been received. And you will see the numbers along there, tablets, 3,096 ordered, 2,443 received. Digital classroom technology, which could be interactive smart boards, projectors and those types of things, there were 1,347 ordered, 883 received. Accessories and peripherals, 3,361 ordered, 625 received. And then laptop carts and trays, 315 ordered, 222 received.

The distinction $I$ will draw here is you will notice that the received rate is much higher for
charter schools. The District is not responsible for the installation at the charter schools. So it's a lot easier. We simply order and we deliver to the schools and they handle their own installation as opposed to our schools. We are not responsible for any infrastructure at the charter schools. They are responsible for supporting and maintaining their own infrastructure.

MR. RABINOWITZ: You anticipated one of my questions, why there's such a, it seems like quicker success rate at the charter school level versus the other schools, but my other question is, what is done by the District to ensure that these computers are labeled, inventoried and, actually, being utilized by the charter schools, versus going home with somebody.

MR. HUNTER: Yeah. First of all, we should note that the charter schools did not receive dollars in their budget. What they were able -they received a -- they were able to log on to the District's catalog and order equipment off of that catalog. As part of that process all the District's inventory processes are -- have been followed. So we've made sure that we've
inventoried every serial number, assigned it to every charter school for auditing purposes and the charter schools have to sign off that they've received not only the number of the devices but the actual serial numbers that are showing in our report.

MR. RABINOWITZ: But my question is a little different. It's my fault for not asking it well.

How does -- how do you make sure that they're actually using that computer at the charter school other than confirming they actually got it?

MR. HUNTER: Yeah. So -- unfortunately Ms. Brown isn't here tonight from our charter school office. We have -- at the District level, we do not have any audits in place from the technology perspective to actually go out and audit that computer. Now, I do believe, and Mr. Leong or Mr. Shim may want to respond to this, our audit department does audit charter schools, I believe, as well as our public schools. And I'm assuming that would be a part of the audit process, but I will turn over to Mr. Shim.

MR. SHIM: Yes. That is my understanding.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Does someone, physically, go
out there to actually look to make sure the computers are there as part of the audit process. MR. HUNTER: Mr. Reilly, our auditor is coming to the mike.

MR. REILLY: Good afternoon. Yeah, what we do is -- with the Broward County Schools we are required to go to the schools and audit the internal funds of the Broward schools, but we, also, since we have the responsibility to -we're the sponsors for the charter schools, we are required to go out there. We do periodic checks. I mean, we -- part of our function is to go out to the schools, but our main thing right now is, we review all their financial statements on a monthly basis. We review their annual audits each year. And we go out as need be. And with the commitment with the SMART money, what we're planning to do is -- is to spot-check as we, you know, can, to go out and verify, especially at the beginning. We've already started to do that, to verify what they have and who's using it. But it's kind of difficult if we go out there one time and say, well, okay, this school got 50 computers and we would like to see, okay, here they are in the classroom. And is
there anybody administratively using one of those? We're kind of looking for that kind of thing, but, you know, we have 104 charter schools. I can tell you, we're not going to hit every single one of those, but we are going to spot-check so people know that we're going to go out and verify -- you know we have a good process in place to verify what everybody got and what they signed for and what they agreed that they got. And then, you know, like a year from now what has always been a concern is, are those being used by the students and so forth, but that's going to be something that we're going to have to verify just as a spot-check because it would be a difficult thing to do. But we are going to do that function as part of the SMART process and our checks and balances with how that SMART money is being used as far as the charter schools and, also, in the Broward schools, too. Because a lot of those things that we're buying are less than a thousand dollars. And, technically, according to, you know, our statutes, items over a thousand dollars have to be inventoried, but we've strengthened our procedures at Broward schools that even items
less than a thousand, mainly the IT-type items, that they have to account for them and we spot-check those, too, for our schools, but we're going to be doing that for some of the charter schools as we expand and have these items brought out to the schools.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
MR. HUNTER: If there are no other questions I would just like to make the Committee aware that we did bring student and teacher devices tonight. They're on the back table for Committee Members, as well as for the community, if you would like to see the devices that are going out to the schools.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you. The next item on the agenda is the music report, unless, Mr. Hunter, do you have more?

MR. HUNTER: No, I do not.
MR. LUECHAUER: Good afternoon everyone. My name is Joseph Luechauer. I'm the music curriculum supervisor for Broward County Schools and I'm here on behalf of our director, Dr. Daryl Diamond, representing her. She is the Director of Innovative Learning and Arts.

The report for you is the third handout
entitled Broward County Public Schools SMART Program Music Equipment Deployment.

I want to give everyone just a little background of where we are and where we started and where we are at this point. If you would indulge me just for a little bit because I don't know how much everyone knows about the process of the music deployment.

Prior to the SMART Bond being initiated there was an independent initiative started by our system under a previous -- under previous leadership with the Office of Academics. There was an initiative to start a process of trying to refresh and retool many of our schools that have possibly been underserved or certainly had not been attended to for years regarding their music equipment. So a plan was gathered together to target several -- as many of our most critical schools as we could with a certain amount of funds that would become available at a later date.

To make a long story short, that process was completed, we identified schools. The original plan was to target mostly middle schools and their feeder high schools, so that there would be
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a continuous articulation pattern between the 6-12 music programs.

So 10 schools were identified, $\$ 1.5$ million was allocated by the Board to start that program and that began. The funds became available during the end of the '14/'15 school year. So, as you know, at the same time or shortly after, excuse me, we -- the voters of Broward County put our SMART Bond into process and approved it. So this particular action that we were taking was a precursor to the entire District being looked at as far as how can we upgrade the equipment, music equipment, in all the schools that we have.

A -- it was determined that certain dollar amounts would go to each school based upon level, and generally that was about half of what it would cost to refit a brand new school. In other words, high schools were allotted 300,000, middle schools 100,000 and elementaries and centers 50,000. And the reason behind that was that was about half of what a new school would have cost. In other words, if we're building a new school in Broward County, about 6 to $\$ 700,000$ it would cost to refit a brand new high school, get a brand new high school up and running.

So this seemed to be a reasonable amount of money to begin the process to refit our schools and get them into a position where we -- where our students could achieve, where we could be competitive with other school districts as far as the quality of equipment that we have.

The initial program that $I$ mentioned earlier, those 10 schools had begun and we were ordering for those schools. So that may not have shown up on prior quarterly reports. So, indeed, equipment has been rolled out and continues to be rolled out to these schools starting with those first 10.

If you look on your -- on your sheet -excuse me, on your handout, those are the DEFP schools that you see that are either closing out or in the process of being closed out as far as ordering is concerned.

So, directly, or at the same time our SMART funding became available, so the process just continued in a different way using a similar type schedule. The schedule of schools that were to be funded were based, generally, on the time in which their school was going to receive the majority of their facilities funding. So a
five-year schedule was put into place and the year one, year two, et cetera, starting with high schools, middle schools and elementary schools.

And that is -- that is being -- any time you see something, in process, that means that either active ordering is taking place or a -- some form of the schools, themselves, determining their needs are taking place at this time. We are actively working with our vendors under our current bid process. We have enough -- we have enough spending authority under our current bid to do a great number of schools. There is a new bid that's under construction that will be rolled out later this spring that will address the rest of our project with the appropriate spending authority.

In addition, what we've -- what we've done, just to make sure that we are -- we're addressing all the potential needs out there is, we have -have created a gap analysis for all -- all high schools and middle schools regardless of their timetable for being refit or purchased. And the reason we're doing this is we just want to make sure that if there are ultra-critical needs out there that we may not be aware of or are only
aware of anecdotally, then we have today to make recommendations to whomever that maybe the school needs a little more attention more quickly than we had thought in the past.

For example, if they're year five but they are in critical need, we would make recommendations that possibly they could be addressed a little bit earlier. So we are in the process of doing that. We, actually, have all of the data analysis back and it's being analyzed, as we speak.

So, you do see on this chart that there are many schools that are actively being engaged. Money is being spent. It took -- the first part of the bond initiative being -- being voted on and approved, it took that time for us to gear up our processes and our way of work to get this done, but that is happening and it's being rolled out at this time.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Questions? I have a question. Sorry. And maybe I'll start on this side of the room (indicating). I'm looking at the budget for just a moment through 12/31 and the dollars spent. And what I'm curious about, it indicates that with regard to Music and Arts
was budgeted $\$ 169,000$ for the bond funds. And I'm just curious why, as of 12/31, it appears that only about $\$ 1,100$ was spent if it was budgeted for almost 170?

MR. LUECHAUER: I can speak from my standpoint. I'm the one who is actually, you know, in the trenches doing it. About November -- the end of October, November, that area, is when we were first able to really start aggressive ordering. So you may not have seen a -- a significant amount of ordering or money being spent at the end of -- as of December 31st. However, that process had been -- had been going, for instance, quotes were being secured, the orders, themselves, were being put into the pipes. They just didn't shoot out the other end until after December 31st. So that's why you may see a huge jump in what is being spent, at least from what I'm responsible for, after December $31 s t$ rather than just prior to December 31 st. MR. RABINOWITZ: And if somebody -- Ben, if you could let me know, I see that the budget amount of $\$ 169,000$, was that for the quarter ending 12/31?

> MR. LEONG: Yes.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. So I would imagine that we'll see in our next report that a lot more than $\$ 100,000$ has been spent already.

MR. SHIM: I, also, want to draw to your attention that if you look at that report where it says 169 in the original budget, that was started, down below, as well, there's a music and art portion that's $\$ 400,000$. So that combined is about $\$ 569,000$ that -- those are active projects. Expenditure-wise, in the current year you have about $\$ 206,000$ in expenditures in Non-GOB art and music and about 1,000 in the GOB.

I'm sorry. This is on page 3, if you're following along.

MR. RABINOWITZ: What I'm curious about, and you're right, thank you for pointing that out. So it appears that about $\$ 207,000$ has been spent, both GOB and Non-GOB funds, but there's still, as of that time it was budgeted to be spent, roughly, if we add it up $\$ 569,000$, yet, about 260, $\$ 270,000$ was not spent. That's not to say the money went anywhere, I'm just curious why there was a delay if it was budgeted and why it wasn't done.

MR. LUECHAUER: It was just a matter of
getting information from the schools. The schools are the ones that are providing the information to us on their critical needs. We take them, we quote it. It's a -- it's the process that took place. I mean, it was the time factor to do all that work. At this time, now, it's -- it's much more streamlined. You know, once that perpetual motion starts, it's just rolling out and we're ordering every day. We're processing information every day. It's -- we're in contact with schools every day at this point.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Can I just ask a question? Under your -- on page VI in the first section, it shows the budget is 15.3 million for the first two years. The first year is 5.5 million, and I know that says art, as well, unless we're buying Mona Lisas that's pretty expensive.

So I'm just curious, how much of that is music versus art; and then how much of that is combined budget, Year 1, Year 2, is allocated for your projects? Because we're only looking at a couple hundred thousand here and you've got millions sitting there waiting to be spent.

MR. LUECHAUER: Right. Now, Year 1 is -- I
have $\$ 5.6$ million for year one. Okay. That includes all the Year 1, the '14/'15 schools that are supposed to be --

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Is that completed now? MR. LUECHAUER: No, no, that's what I'm talking about. This is what's ongoing right now. The reason, I believe, that that number is so -is much more inflated than the others is because that includes the 1.5 million of that initial project that was rolled into the SMART initiative.

So, remember, those first 10 schools, we were working independently of knowing any kind of bond issue was on its way. That was $\$ 1.5$ million already available that we were working with and that was put into that first year, so that's why that --

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: That's part of the answer, but the second part is, out of that remaining \$4 million, how much is art and how much is music; or is that something you handle both or just one of those programs?

MR. LUECHAUER: No, I just do music. I just do music. But, I believe, it's the lion's share, if not all, because of the way that the schools
are scheduled.
MR. SHIM: The majority of those dollars are music dollars. I think there is very little of that money that's art.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. All right. Now, I'm just assuming from looking at this and reading the material that that includes parts of the hardware that you would have -- no one takes a piano home, for instance, so a piano is going to be part of that, unless you're Billy Joel, but, you know, the reality is that's an awful lot of money.

Do students still pay for their -- I know when $I$ was in school, and that was a long time ago, we had to pay for our own instruments. So do the student still pay for their own instruments or do we provide those through the School District?

MR. LUECHAUER: It's a combination. Primarily, we provide -- we provide the student instruments that cannot be provided anyway.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: The instruments they dont take home?

MR. LUECHAUER: Exactly. For instance, the large instruments, those type of things, we can't
ask the students to go out and, you know, drop $\$ 9,000$ on a concert tuba. Many of them choose to purchase or rent their own, just because that's what they choose to do, but we're in the business of trying to give equal access to every student that wants to be able to be part of a music program. So taking away -- by taking this barrier away from them that makes that access much easier.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I have a question to follow up on that. So can we expect that when we're sitting here next year at this time that there will be $\$ 5.5$ million spent for music and art?

MR. LUECHAUER: That's my expectation; yes.
MS. LEWERS: I have a question. Where are they ordering the instruments from; what company? MR. LUECHAUER: Well, we have a bid process, so we have a series of vendors that we are using based upon their authorization per brand, et cetera.

MS. LEWERS: I have another question. I know just for the actual instruments, any reason why uniforms like high school bands weren't able to use the money towards actual uniforms?

MR. LUECHAUER: I may not be the one to
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answer this, but it's my understanding that this money is allocated strictly for equipment, not sheet music or supplies.

MS. LEWERS: Because now we have the instruments but we have no sheet music, so I'm just curious why that wasn't considered in the process? As a band mom, you know, we have all these new instruments that are coming in but we have no money to buy the music.

MR. LUECHAUER: I think the intent then is because of this piece, maybe -- this burden may be taken away from either a booster organization or an internal school setting where they no longer have to worry about saving money to purchase an instrument those funds might be redirected in other ways.

MS. LEWERS: Okay. Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Just a quick purchasing question. You may not be able to answer this. You know, I know most governments are allowed to piggyback other bids. Are you allowed to go outside the District at the state level or college level or other places to find competitive bids on some of these items or are you -- and do
you do comparisons in your purchasing department before you make that selection?

MR. LUECHAUER: You're right. That is a procurement issue, but, in my experience, if we were unable to provide a piece of equipment based upon the bid that we had, then we would be able to go out and piggyback off of another bid.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So you don't, basically, price shop or put pricing limits in place except for yourself first?

MR. LUECHAUER: We do within our bid process; yes. And, as of this point, we have not run into that issue of not being able to procure an item. It may happen, but it hasn't happened yet.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: And is there preference for local vendors and other situations, as well in the purchasing?

MR. LUECHAUER: All I do is, I look on the bid and these are the vendors and that's our list where we get to spend.

MS. LEWERS: I do, actually, have one last question. I know we had a lot of instruments that went out to be repaired or fixed. Do we get our money back from the District?

MR. LUECHAUER: No, that's a completely
separate issue. That's a different process, a different bid item. It's handled by a completely different department.

MS. LEWERS: All right. Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Any other questions?
Thank you.
Mr. Bobadilla?
MR. BOBADILLA: Thank you, sir. I'm going to ask Rob Chomiak, our program manager with Heery, to come up and provide you with an update.

MR. CHOMIAK: Rob Chomiak, again, with Heery International, and I just want to walk through the SMART Program update that I believe you have in front of you.

Just skipping to the Owner's Representative and Cost/Program Control section, we are on board. And, as I said earlier, Heery has been on board since the end of August and Atkins has, also, been on board, they started about a month after we did, so they have been on board about five months now. And we're really going to focus on four areas, one is what $I$ call program launch, and the other one is program level issues, looking at contracts, developing procurement schedules for the entire program, getting
e-Builder implemented, as you saw earlier, and those types of issues that affect projects across the entire program.

We've, also, been focused on school choice program, we took that over from the District staff fairly early on in our arrival and we have been processing that and I'll talk about that a little further down in the report.

Also, focused on the SMART Program or the SMART projects, in general, getting those out on the street as quickly as we can, moving the actual projects forward.

And the fourth element is continuing projects that had already started, that were started by the previous program manager, URS, and taking those projects over and continuing those to completion.

E-Builder, the next section, you saw the presentation earlier, that is still in the works and from a company standpoint e-Builder is our company standard from a project management system, so we are very happy to see that the District has selected e-Builder for this program, as well.

Project charter meetings, if you look at the
report, it looks like we haven't done anything in the last quarter, but that is, actually, not true. We made a conscious decision to not do project charter meetings until we had an architect selected and on board with us.

One of the things we do as a firm, we call it the predesign project analysis, very similar process, but we want the entire team. And so we felt that we, obviously, wanted a contractor on board by then, but we want the architect involved with us when we sit down with a school and walk through the project charter process. So that was a deliberate decision to delay those until we got the architects on board, and that's why you don't see any progress in there for the last quarter.

Request for Proposals qualifications, I guess this report was through the end of last year, the last quarter, and at that point we had three major procurements underway, design/build procurements for Stranahan, Northeast and Blanche Ely High School, three separate procurements. And bids -- proposals on those were received in early January and we're still currently in the process of evaluating those and making a recommendation and taking that to the Board for approval.

Now we've, also, in addition to those, and again, this is a little difficult in talking through December versus, you know, this is February and it's hard to separate the two, but we have been putting out a lot of RFPs for design services, continuing -- starting in December and continuing this coming Board meeting, on next Tuesday, we've got another batch. So that's been a continual process and will continue pretty much for every Board meeting for the life of the program as we move forward.

The OFC office relocation, that is still in the works. The goal right now, I believe, is by sometime this summer we will all be co-located at the same facility, which will be a huge benefit. Right now there's a little traveling back and forth to different meetings, but when we're all sitting together and we can actually walk to the person we need to see rather than drive to them it will be a much more efficient process. We're looking forward to that.

Project Control Status, Atkins, we have been working hand in hand with Atkins. They have been working on evaluating the documents and the
previous assessment and the data that came out of that assessment, looking at costs, trying to forecast where we are relative to when those costs were developed and how that may or may not affect the program and the delivery of the projects moving forward. So that's an ongoing process that they are involved in and working on. School Choice Enhancements, all of Year 1 projects have started and we started contacting and working with the schools in the Year 2. Funding, that started at the first of the year and that process continues and we're making really good progress on that. And, again, that will be an ongoing process for the entire five years of the program.

Let's see. Expenditures, I guess that's fairly well detailed in the budget report, so I don't really have anything to report on that. Some of the statistics you may or may not have seen, over, roughly, 200 projects in progress, $\$ 150$ million, give or take, in the procurement process. 110 schools in the School Choice Program that are underway to some degree. From a procurement standpoint, you know, we're issuing RFPs right now, and probably for the next year we
anticipate hitting anywhere from 25 to \$40 million worth of project value in the procurement per month. Certainly, we are monitoring that closely to make sure that the market can keep up with us in that regard, but a lot of that is trying to get to a point where at the end of Year 3, we are -- we have every project in the first three years at least under way to some degree in the procurement process by the end of Year 3 and then we'll basically be on schedule from there on out with the program. So that's our goal right now. So the next year is going to be fairly heavy, then it will taper back off to about half that level for the remaining two years. And then right behind that will come the construction bubble that we will also take through procurement.

So there's a lot going on and we're very busy right now, but that's what we want. So anything else that I didn't touch on?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I have a bunch of questions, probably not for you. I forgot -- we probably asked this before, but I might have forgotten. When does the debt service start on this, on the bonds?

MR. CHOMIAK: I hope there's somebody behind me that can take that.

MR. LEONG: The debt service that we issued, the first launch of the general obligation bond, \$162 million that we need in 2015 and the first debt service is in January of this year. And we just have to pay, starting the debt service immediately.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
MR. CHOMIAK: Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Mr. Shim.
MR. SHIM: Thank you. And good evening.
I want to start off on page Roman numeral IV of the Budget Activity Report and that's a document that's shown on the screen. So page Roman numeral IV of the Budget Activity Report provides an analysis of changes from the previous quarter that you received.

So the total expenditures in the SMART Program through December is 33.4 million, which is up by 24.8 million from the 8.6 million reported from the last quarter which ended in September.

This includes 318 facility financially active projects which have increased by 89 from 229
projects reported last quarter.
Now, the bulk of the financially active projects increased in the area of technology. So that just shows that we have, of course, increased -- and I think that we're going to see that with construction as that gears up, as well as the music as that gears up and continues.

Now, on Roman numeral page $V$, that provides amendments to the SMART Program that the Board had approved in the last quarter. So that page highlights changes that were made by Board action.

At the School Board meeting on December 8th, 2015 the School Board approved Board Agenda Item K-3, which moved funding for technology at Walker Elementary School from fiscal year 2017 to the current fiscal year in the amount of $\$ 133,000$. This is to support current technology needs for a turn-a-round school effort at that school.

MR. RABINOWITZ: What's turn-a-round effort?
MR. SHIM: I guess that's a school that's needed because of -- we have what's called SSOS which is a school that needs special attention that, you know, we decided that -- to change some of the programs and to help the school along to
improve school function. And the Board also approved Item KK-1, which accelerated funding from fiscal years 2017 to the current years for technology, wiring, cabling of the computers to upgrade Stranahan High School at 543,000, Indian Ridge Middle School at 345,000 and Sawgrass Springs Middle School 273,000.

With these changes the table on page Roman numeral VI shows that the total is 1.3 million that is moved from fiscal years 2017 to the current fiscal year.

On page 1 of the report, the current projects total 451.2 million with 109.2 million in projects showing financial activity. This table, also, provides details on the GOB and Non-GOB projects.

Going to page 3 of the report, this shows that out of 109.2 million in financially active projects there are 18.7 million in purchase order commitments, 33.4 million in expenditures when you add the current and the prior year expenditures together, as I mentioned before, with the remaining balance of 57.1 million of those active projects. And, once again, this table shows a breakdown of both the GOB and the

Non-GOB amounts.
And the rest of the reports, the reports show details that roll up to the larger numbers, so it provides a school by school detail of those projects that are active and then the remaining projects in the back.

So that's just, basically, a summary of the changes that were made -- of the changes that were made since the September report, financially, and then any other Board action that impacted the projects in the SMART Program.

And I just, also, want to say that, you know, the resolution that sort of outlines the reports that we provide are the quarterly reports so we kind of structured our reports to coordinate with technology, music and facilities, their statuses, so that we could provide this to the Committee. But I, also, understand the sense of frustration of the Committee about not having current information.

So I, also, want to offer that we do keep current information on the website in the SMART Programs, so that's accessible at any point. The technology website has up-to-date information and there's documents -- I can have my staff pass out
a handout of the latest. It's called a SMART Update, which will be provided to the schools. And what I'll do is, when these come out, I'll go ahead and forward these out to the BOC Committee members just so you have the current update that's provided to the public just as -- for your information.

But, as far as the reporting goes, we provide the quarterly reports and provide the sort of coordinated effort to keep the Committee for the quarterly reports and have TaxWatch give you their review. But, just for your information, this information is on the website, kept up-to-date, as well.

If there's any questions?
MR. RABINOWITZ: Questions anyone?
MS. LEWERS: When was it last updated, the site?

MR. SHIM: This one says February 2016 update.

MS. LEWERS: Oh, okay.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Do you guys have any questions?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Is the update done monthly or do you just do it quarterly with everything
else?

MR. SHIM: It's done periodically. It's not done quarterly. I think it's done a little more frequently than quarterly. So I think the last one was actually in November. But as more activity goes along they will probably do it more often.

MR. BOBADILLA: If I could address that question. We had a meeting with our communications team. We are wanting to move to a monthly, for this to become a monthly update. So maybe the next one, maybe bimonthly, but certainly by that one we want to be on a monthly update.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: And if we want to see that it will be on the website, as well?

MR. BOBADILLA: It will be on the website and --

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: You don't have to bother sending it to us.

MR. BOBADILLA: -- and what $I$ will ask is, between Omar and Frank, that we, also, send that to the Committee.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Anybody else?

Thank you.
The next item on the agenda is the report from TaxWatch.

MR. NAVE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Before I begin my report I would like to introduce a new member of the TaxWatch staff, Mr. Joe Follick. Joe is our Director of Communication \& External Relations and he will, most likely, be a familiar face to you before this process ends. And Joe will handle all the media and public relations activities related to TaxWatch's role in this project.

And I would also like to acknowledge and express my appreciation to the District staff for sending the Committee the reports at the same time they sent those to TaxWatch. I think the practical effect of that is it reinforces the role of the Committee in monitoring and providing oversight, and it, also, reinforces TaxWatch's role to supplement your review and not supplant your review.

So, with that, I would like to talk about our review of the Facilities Construction Report.

And I would just like to say that the
recommendations 1 through 5 of our report that
deal with the Facilities Construction part reiterate recommendations we made in the first quarter report. So I don't see a need to reiterate those here.

Moving to Technology for the SBBC schools and the charter schools, it is clear from the report and from Mr. Hunter's presentation tonight that the District is moving very quickly to provide the technology infrastructure upgrades, order the computers and the wireless access points and do the other things necessary to complete that part of the program and I think they deserve to be commended for that. There were a number of technology upgrades. There were 27 upgrades that were -- had not yet begun that were scheduled to begin in Years 1 or 2, and also, 20 schools at which computers had not been ordered that had also been scheduled to begin in Years 1 or 2. And it's unclear, based on the material we got, whether these are activities that have been scheduled and just hadn't begun yet or whether there were any delays in beginning these projects. And the recommendation that came out of that, and I think this applies to all of the technology report is, TaxWatch thinks it would
help the Committee if the District provided some sort of narrative explanation or analysis in addition to the spreadsheets that we all get.

And I think, you know, earlier Mr. Chairman the questions you had about the priorities and some of the issues we raised, had the District included that type of analysis, maybe some of those questions would be answered before the Committee meets.

MR. RABINOWITZ: If I could stop you for one second.

Mr. Hunter, is that something that can be accommodated?

MR. HUNTER: Absolutely. Absolutely.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
MR. NAVE: The -- one of the issues we raised
-- and then what comes out of that was the discussion about how the decisions were made and how priorities to begin work at certain schools, how those decisions were made. Mr. Hunter answered those. And that's the kind of stuff that, I think, in the analysis could be answered and it would prevent these types of questions having to be asked.

One question that -- issue that came up last
meeting and we've raised it again, and, Mr. Chairman, you may be in a position to shed some light on that, is this issue of entitlement versus cost savings with respect to the student to computer ratios. And as we pointed out and as we've all discussed, a number of schools have student to computer ratios that are far better than the standards that have been set. And at the December 10 th meeting staff explained that, per the General Obligation Bond, it was the School Board's position that the schools were entitled to those full amounts and that they were entitled to spend those full amounts to buy computers. And if that resulted in student to computer ratios that were better than the standards, that none of us would argue that that's not a good thing. Two students per computer is better than three and a half students to computer. But it does raise the issue and I think the Committee has an obligation to raise to the Board, the notion of cost savings. And is this Board's position that, yes, the schools are entitled to spend those moneys or if there are opportunities for cost savings that come out of this that will revert or reinvest those moneys
somewhere else?
And in talking to staff earlier, Mr.
Chairman, I was led to believe that you had some discussion with the Board on this and maybe you could shed some light on that and maybe TaxWatch stops raising this issue.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I can tell you the answer to the question now because $I$ did ask it at the workshop when $I$ was there earlier this week.

And the way it was explained to me, and Mr. Hunter, correct me if I'm wrong, but when there was a snapshot in time to determine whether or not the ratios would be met with these dollars it was done on a fixed date. But then subsequent to that date there could have been grant moneys that a school, for example, may have received, that could have changed that ratio to be more advantageous, yet, at the same time, there's already the application for the bond dollars. So there's -- it didn't seem as though the inequities would have been there when the snapshot in time was taken, but the -- I hate to use the word -- I used the word inequity, but that's not the right word, the parody grew to some extent because the District couldn't foresee
its grant dollars on that specific day would, actually, be provided to make the ratio at a subsequent date and time even more advantageous. Did I have that right?

MR. HUNTER: That's right. I just wanted to point out, it's not just grant dollars but also PTA contributions and other contributions that certain schools will receive that others don't.

So there are a number of factors that weigh in. And so from that snapshot in time of November 2014 to the time the schools, actually, receive their devices, many schools had already supplemented their need for technology.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I hope that answers TaxWatch's question. It did mine.

MR. NAVE: If the Committee is comfortable with it, then I'll stop raising the issue. MR. RABINOWITZ: I think it's a good issue. I do. I just don't know how it can be fixed at this point. I don't think -- I don't know how it can be fixed because you can't unwind what's already been ordered, done, money raised, to make -- create more parody amongst the schools.

MR. NAVE: I would agree with that. But, again, $I$ feel compelled to raise the issue.

MR. RABINOWITZ: It's an appropriate issue to raise.

MR. NAVE: And I have done that. Thank you.
Moving on to the technology for the charter schools, as Mr. Hunter pointed out, about 70 percent of the projected equipment to be ordered has been ordered and received. So it's pretty clear that the charter school technology is moving quickly, as well.

In our last report TaxWatch raised the issue of planned spend versus actual spend and it could not be determined based on the information we got whether the equipment and the materials that were being purchased were consistent with what was projected to be spent. As I understand the District's explanation, there is an on-line catalog available to the charter schools and they can go on-line and they can order from that catalog and the materials they order go into a checkout box or -- and then the school can order the devices.

So, again, it's not going to be possible to have a planned spend to actual spend comparison, but I think the use of the on-line catalog and the fact that the schools are limited in what
they can buy only to those items that are on the catalog should give the Committee some measurable comfort that the charter schools are spending the money consistent with the purpose.

Moving on to the budget activity, as Mr. Shim pointed out, a lot more money is being spent. At the end of the first quarter the expenditures were about 8.6 million. At the end of December the expenditures had increased to about \$33.4 million. So a lot of money was being spent.

There was about 15.3 million allocated in Years 1 and 2 for music and arts, and as Mr. Shim pointed out 569,000 of that is being used to support current projects. However, I'm obligated to point out that the material that we got did not identify any music and arts projects, so TaxWatch could not tell whether that $\$ 569,000$ that's being used for these active projects is being used appropriately.

I will point out that at 4:30 yesterday I did receive the music report. I hope you guys got it, as well. But, again, the report that $I$ received essentially identifies the schools at which there are active projects and the status of the projects. So, even looking at it last night
and today, TaxWatch can make no determination or no recommendation whether that money is being spent consistent, because we don't know what the money is being used to buy.

So TaxWatch's recommendation would be that beginning with the March 31st quarterly report and all subsequent reports that the data and analysis describing status of all the music and arts projects be included in the report.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Mr. Shim, is that something we can provide?

MR. SHIM: Yes.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
MR. NAVE: And based on what I've heard this evening, I would like to amend that recommendation by saying that I hope that the music and arts report is tied to the gap analysis that we heard discussed this evening, that explains what is being bought and that the report be detailed and timely submitted.

The SMART Program Budget Activity Report, also, included a proposed schedule for the issuance of General Obligation Bonds for Years 2, 3 and 4 and 5. And if you remember on our first quarterly report we -- and in Best Practice

Number 33.1, we recommended that the Committee from time to time look at the cash flow projections to make sure that the issuance of the bonds will be timely and that the risk will be minimized and that the District will, in fact, have money for the projects available when it needs the money for the projects.

So our recommendation, again, is consistent with that, that the Committee periodically review and analyze the cash flow projections prepared by the District.

When you got the report that I e-mailed to you last Friday, when you printed it out you probably noticed that it was a little heavier and a lot longer than the first quarterly report, and I'm afraid the third quarterly report is probably going to be longer than this one, but I want to take a moment and explain what I do when I review this report, especially with respect to technology which is where most of the money is spent.

I look at the spreadsheets that Mr. Hunter submits and for the first group I look at the number of computers that were purchased. I go to the Amended District Educational Facilities Plan
and I look to see for that school, okay, have they allocated money to buy computers? If so, how much, and in what years? Then I go to the budget activity report to see how much money has been either committed or expended for that equipment, and is there a balance left over? And then I repeat that 128 times and that is Appendix A in your report. That's why your report has 10 pages of report and 40 pages of appendices. And the process I go through with the charter schools is similar. But, based on the current reports, I don't know another way to do it and review the information that $I$ think you need.

So, anyway, that's that.
In going through that, I identified in the report seven projects that appeared to be inconsistent when I looked at that process. In talking to Mr. Shim before the meeting four of those were addressed in addendums to the Facilities Plan that I did not see. So of the seven I identified, four of those are, excuse me, my bad.

The three that I do think we still need to talk about is Silver Park Elementary School, the quarterly update reflects that 76 wireless access
points have been ordered, however the budget report shows no funding committed or expended for those. And the same thing exists with Coral Park Elementary School, there were 59 wireless access points ordered but no budget activity for those.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Have we gotten an answer to it yet? Can anybody enlighten us on those two schools?

MR. SHIM: Yes. In those cases it's just the time of ordering and when they, actually, hit the commitment in the financial system. I think they hit the commitment in the financial system in early January when cutoff was, when they were probably ordered December 31st. So it's strictly a timing issue on the finance side.

MR. RABINOWITZ: So I assume by the next report we'll see that those have been resolved? MR. SHIM: Yes.

MR. LEONG: And I think, Mr. Chairman, that, also, it is good that TaxWatch, you know, follows through and looks at those, because you know that when people order the computer, particularly, and they receive the computer and they have to wait for the invoices sometimes to be sent by the vendor to the school to acknowledge receipt and
then send it to finance to process, that can be a timing difference. So that's why sometimes you've got cases like this. And then when TaxWatch identifies those we follow up and make sure that it's just a timing situation. MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.

MR. NAVE: And, Mr. Chairman, the third project was Hallandale Adult Community Center, and the budget report identified just over $\$ 243,000$ had been spent to re-roof buildings 13 and 14 but there was nothing in the facilities construction part of the report to support that expenditure.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Can anyone enlighten us about that?

MR. GIRARDI: Good evening. Frank Girardi, Director of Construction. I did. I was requested to find out information on that and I did get with Heery Construction. That was a URS project that has been completed. The balances that are due, I'm assuming, which we'll check on tomorrow, is retainage and other costs that are part of the final payment, but the project is complete.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.

MR. NAVE: In summary, although the information is contained in a number of different reports, when one looks at them on balance, it is clear to TaxWatch that the District is moving forward with the technology upgrades and buying the computers and the wireless access points and that equipment is being acquired consistent with the purposes set forth in the General Obligation Bond.

So I think the Committee should have, like I say, a measurable degree of comfort on that.

As we move into the next quarter the technology spend is going to be winding down and the construction part of this is going to be ramping up. So I think it's important in going forward that the information that the District reports to the Committee on the -- especially with respect to the facilities construction part of it, includes the information that we identified in our Recommended Best Practice 37.3, which outlines all of the information we believe you need to know on each project to make the decisions you need to make.

So with that, Mr. Chairman, I'm -- again, unless you have any questions, I'm finished with
my presentation.
MR. RABINOWITZ: I do have a question.
So has there been any coordination between TaxWatch and e-Builder to try to incorporate some of the things that you think would be helpful for us to have an understanding as to the status of projects?

MR. NAVE: Up until this point, no. As I heard earlier, e-Build is still in the process of being developed, so TaxWatch, at this point, doesn't know what it looks like, what material -what information it's going to include. But, again, the information we think needs to be there is articulated in 37.3 and $I$ would hope that the e-Build folks take a look at that and factor that in when they finish building their system.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Mr. Bobadilla.
MR. BOBADILLA: Yes, sir. Thank you. I will be asking Heery to make sure that we look at the information that has been provided. I will, also, welcome the opportunity, maybe before the next meeting for TaxWatch to sit down with Heery, just to be sure that what's being proposed addresses the issues that have been raised.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Do you have a comment?
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MR. MONACO: Yeah, I would just say that I think you should be totally involved in that process.

And I would make one more comment on the technology spend because there's an enormous amount being spent on technology, we are seeing a process where we have to really have granular detail on the process, in what's being ordered and how it's being ordered from an inventory perspective, I don't think we see that from a technology perspective on the wireless access points and the actual type of laptops being ordered, things of that nature.

MR. HUNTER: We do have that information. So, if the Committee wants to see a level of detail per school we can certainly provide that, but we do have that for every school, every order.

MR. MONACO: Sure. I think that would be a good idea.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
MR. HUNTER: Okay.
MR. RABINOWITZ: I've got a question and I guess this goes over to the guys on the financial side.

I, actually, read the entire report and I can see that a number of schools there may be balances, and they may be small, they may be large, I could give you examples, but in the TaxWatch report it indicates -- and I'll give you an example, on page 16 it references Coral Springs Elementary School, there is a balance of \$218. I would imagine that when the orders were made they took into consideration every single precise dollar that would be allocated at that school, but when there's an amount of money left over and the school is tasked with spending all those dollars but there's a balance, what happens with that money?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: You beat me to that.
MR. SHIM: Yeah. Typically, if the -- the task or project has been completed and the person in charge says we have completed it and closed it out, we go through a closeout process where we move the money to reserves and go from there.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Well, does it go to reserves for that school or does it just come back into the pool of money for reallocation to another project?

MR. SHIM: With respect to technology, in
technology they tried to spend pretty much all the money. There may be very small amounts that as they close out goes to the reserve, it's \$6 or whatever it is. It goes back into -- we go through a closeout process. With regard to projects, we work very closely, because projects can vary a lot more. You can have some projects that the bids come in and they are higher, then you could have some that come in and they are lower. So, in general, we try to keep a reserve already to take care of those things. But if you can complete a project and you've finished all the scope and you've finished everything and you go through the closeout process and there are dollars left over, then you take those dollars and put it back into the reserve to service some of the projects that, actually, went over. So, on average, you hope that can keep enough in the reserve that you won't go over.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Thank you.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Can you just clarify something for me? And I'm kind of having a hard time hearing you, but that's okay. When the money goes back, does it go into a contingency fund for overages and it sits there
in case the project goes over in expenditures in all these cases? Do you have a contingency that's --

MR. SHIM: That's correct. We currently have a contingency in reserve set aside for, you know, projects to make sure that there's continuity. If a project goes over because of the bids, then we do have current contingencies to say, okay, if we can't get a better price on this project, we're still going to go forward with this with the Board's authority to say, you know, just utilize our contingency to move forward with the project.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: So earlier we showed some dollars that were increased or spent in other areas that weren't anticipated, so those are coming out of a contingency fund. Is there a percentage of the overall bond that was allocated for that or is it right down to the penny?

MR. SHIM: No. Right now we have unallocated contingency in our budget. And so our budget would take care of gaps in projects that go over. And so that's -- I don't know if that answers your question.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: No. I don't know, really,
honestly. I'm saying, as you go down, things are getting more expensive, obviously, and so there's cost allocations, there's change orders, things like that we already talked about. But at some point where is the projection in the overall bond that says, we think we're going to be five or 10 percent of that overall bond and at some point it's going to have to deal with long-term contingencies, cost increase, inflation, et cetera? Inflation is very low right now.

MR. SHIM: Great question. And I know Mr. Bobadilla can address some of those, but we are currently looking at that and -- well, I'll let Mr. Bobadilla address that.

MR. BOBADILLA: Thank you. So I've asked Atkins to look at exactly that question to help project out to the end of the bond. Part of what we're doing is preparing that now in preparation for a workshop in April, which I believe that came up as well in the workshop that recently occurred, and we certainly want all the Committee members to be there, if possible, because we do plan on having that discussion with the Board around contingencies and around inflation and what we've seen today and what's projected out.

And so that work is in progress with an expectation of having that ready to report to the Board in April in a workshop.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Are we going to see some of that?

MR. BOBADILLA: Well, I hope you will attend the workshop. Yes.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Well, no, if we're not present at the workshop is that going to be part of the report that comes to the Committee?

MR. BOBADILLA: Oh, absolutely. After the workshop we'll certainly be happy to share that and would want to share that with the Committee, as well, along with the feedback that we get from the Board, as well.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay. That answers that question. Part two, Mr. Chairman; is that okay?

MR. SHIM: We can add that to the agenda if that's the will of the Committee.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: There are unforeseen things that happen, not just in construction, in my business, things happen.

The School Board is self-insured; correct? Or they have a policy that carries certain --

We've had a lot of these little storms lately
and they've taken roofs off buildings. Have we had any damage as a result of that to schools that would affect this bond? Or if something like that were to happen during hurricane season, or is all covered by insurance and bonds that protect these projects?

MR. LEONG: The School Board is self insured and -- but there is a large deductible. And I think that up to the first $\$ 100$ million the School Board would have to come up with the money to do it and go through FEMA, as well. And after that we have -- we buy insurance for, you know, for that after that. But for the -- are you referring to the most recent storms? I don't know that $I$ know there's any damage. I don't believe there's any significant damage. Maybe Leo can address that.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Well, as an emergency manager, $I$ can tell you it takes forever to get your money back from FEMA. So that's a concern if the school gets damaged, how does the school pay for that? Do they borrow money in advance off the bond or it all comes from the contingency of insurance?

MR. LEONG: We have a sizeable contingency.
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As you recall, Chief, 10 years ago we had a major storm and at that point we still had enough reserve to get us going.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: All right. Thank you. MR. RABINOWITZ: Any other questions?

Anything else you want to add?
MR. NAVE: I would like to raise one issue. At the last meeting $I$ asked if the report we submitted to the Committee met your expectations and if we were giving you the materials that you needed and the answers to the questions you might have, and you said, yes.

The question $I$ have tonight is with respect to the timing of me sending you the report. And the question is, how much time does the Committee need to review my report before the Committee meets? Because that -- we backed the schedule out and that dictates when the District sends the report to you and to me.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Anybody? I've had no problems with timing.

Are you having a problem with the timing.
MR. NAVE: It's not that I'm having -- I'll meet whatever expectations the Committee had. If you need a week to review any report, then I'll
get it to you a week early. If you need three days -- I'm just -- knowing your expectation helps me work with the District's staff better so that we can figure out when they get the material to me so that I can get my report to you timely. MR. RABINOWITZ: I mean, I can't speak for everybody else. I've had no problem.

MS. LEWERS: I haven't had any problem.
MR. MONACO: No problem here.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: It just depends on the time of year, what's going on.

You know, it would be nice to have five days on anything, but $I$ don't get that luxury where I work, so I'm not sure I'm going to get that from you.

MR. NAVE: Five to seven days?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: That would be really nice, especially if you're out of town and you don't have access to it right away or you're busy with something else.

MR. LEONG: I think the minimum seven-day window would be required. I think that -- I don't want to speak for General Counsel, I think it's required by law that we provide it to you a week before the meeting.

MS. MYRICK: That's me. I don't -- I don't think there is a law that requires it. I know that we have to provide it to you in time for your review. So I would hope that we would provide it to you at least five business days in advance and that would give you seven, basically, with the weekend. So I think that's what the goal should be.

MR. NAVE: Seven works for me. But we all need to understand that that puts more of a constraint on the District's staff than it does on the TaxWatch staff. I can do this -- you know, if I have to work all night to get a report out, I will do that.

So I don't have a problem -- TaxWatch does not have a problem getting you the report seven days in advance assuming that we get the information timely from the District.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Well, that's not a problem for the District; right? I mean that in jest. MR. SHIM: I mean, right now, I think we've been providing the report two weeks in advance for TaxWatch. I don't know if that is -- is an issue moving forward. You have been providing it to the Committee seven days prior, so -- is that
correct?

MR. LEONG: No, I think that, to clarify, when we send the report to TaxWatch, it is two weeks in advance, and $I$ think that we -- staff, we can do that. The issue is that what TaxWatch is asking is that they take about a week or so to review before sending to the Committee the TaxWatch report. I think that is the question.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Yeah, that's fine by us.
MR. NAVE: Because the Committee gets the report from the District the same time I do. So you have it and you have an opportunity to be reviewing it while I'm preparing my report. I just want to make sure that I'm responsive to your needs.

MR. RABINOWITZ: I think it's fine. Especially what the Chief says, I mean, my review of this report is going to be dictated by my own personal work schedule, in reality. So if I have it a week in advance $I$ may read it on the first day I get it or I may read it, unfortunately, the day before the meeting, but --

MS. LEWERS: Do you need more time?
MR. NAVE: No, ma'am. Like I say, I just want to make sure that we all have the same
expectations and that we're all working off the same sheet of music.

If five to seven days is good for the Committee, then I think our current schedule permits five to seven days' review.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Fine by me.
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Exactly.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. Thank you.
MR. NAVE: No, thank you.
MR. RABINOWITZ: The next item on the agenda is the School Board Workshop follow-up. I guess that's me.

It's a friendly place going to a workshop for the first time.

To provide everyone an update, I, obviously, provided the School Board at the workshop an update of our work that was provided. I went over with them the things, the concerns and the questions that we had. They provided us some instruction, as well. Forgive me, at the moment I forgot what they are, but I'm sure that Mr . Shim will remind me at some juncture in time.

The one other substantive thing that came out of the workshop was, essentially, the Board's rejection of a number of suggested changes to the

[^2]resolution. The substantive rejection was, you may recall, we voted on the conflicts of interest provision. After discussing it for some amount of time to the unhappiness of the District Board I think that some of them agreed, ultimately, and the General Counsel is going to take a stab at making a change to what we voted on and approved. Namely, they are going to take out of our hands, and appropriately so, whether or not a conflict exists. The General Counsel will vet that conflict and then the Board will vote on it, I guess, or follow the General Counsel's instructions in that regard. And to achieve that General Counsel has advised me and advised us that she is going to draft that resolution amendment.

And that was pretty much my takeaway from attending the workshop.

The only other thing I could request, and I don't know who to make the request to, is that if there is a -- it seems as though the Board wants us to do certain things, but I haven't seen -other than asking questions and doing what we're doing so far, I mean, they do have specific things they want us to do, but it would be great
if we got those things via e-mail or writing somehow without somebody just kind of advising me at the meeting what we should be doing, because this is all new to us, as well.

I think one of you should be the next person to, personally, go to that workshop.

MS. LEWERS: I was, actually, there sitting in the back.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Were you?
MR. SHIM: There were two other issues that they addressed and I think the other issue was the issue of the Committee being able to add additional members.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Oh, thank you. Thank you.
They didn't like all of our suggestions. No. Again, this is going to be an amendment to the resolution. The District -- the Board members really don't want to be involved in approving who the person is. Their -- they'll take your recommendation, but they don't want this Committee to be a Committee of our friends to just not ask the appropriate questions, which, again, is a valid concern. And I'm assuming that General Counsel is going to address whatever additional changes will go into the resolution,
and, in particular, because we've had problems filling seats on this Committee, it's not just whether we're not the -- a certain organization, for example, the NAACP didn't want to provide someone for this Committee, but the resolution may be amended to include a skill set of an individual that may be an appropriate member to join us in this endeavor.

What was the other one?
MR. SHIM: The other one was the term limits.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Yeah, there was discussion about term limits and there was some back and forth about whether or not we could extend the term limits at our leisure to try and maintain continuity, as well. After some back and forth I do believe that they said okay, ultimately. MR. SHIM: Yeah.

MR. LEONG: I think that my recollection is that, Mr. Chairman, that they agreed with the Committee recommendation to super majority vote. However, they would like the Committee to inform the Board via memo.

MR. RABINOWITZ: You are correct. Right.
Who wants to go next?
The next item on the agenda --

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: One quick question. Did we address the appointments if we recommended people? How are they going about making the appointment?

MR. LEONG: I think that the Board is going to maintain the Committee's independence and, like Mr. Chairman said, the back and forth about the discussion and at first they wanted to appoint the -- get involved in the appointment. And, ultimately, the consensus of the Board is to allow the Committee to look at the skill sets for the kind of persons they need to make sure there's diversity on the Committee and all that kind of issue, let the Committee choose the individuals and they are willing to amend the resolution to reflect that authority to the group.

MR. RABINOWITZ: So I think that sequentially, I think we have to get the resolution made and then there's a gentleman, his first name is Bruce, if $I$ remember correctly, so he can't be on yet until the resolution is, actually, completed and approved, and then, if he meets that skill set, which I think he does, then he can join us.

MS. LEWERS: So are they going to send it to the public once the resolutions are complete; do we go to another Board meeting for public input?

MS. MYRICK: I'm hoping that we can just bring it back to another Board meeting and have the resolution approved in a Board meeting. It certainly would be advertised and whatever, but I do not believe that we need to bring it to another workshop. So, I would hope that we would have it approved by one of the first meetings in April, would be my goal.

MR. LEONG: It would be the April 5th meeting.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: And so we're matching up those recommended Committee members with those categories that you're speaking of; correct?

MR. LEONG: I don't believe the Board specified on that. They said looking, just like what you recommend, the skill sets and an individual recommended by the Committee.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Does that require a memo from the Chairman or a letter from the Chairman?

MR. RABINOWITZ: Yes. And I designate you to draft it.

MS. MYRICK: I think, from my point of view,

I would like if you have specific skill sets of people that you would like that we would want to put in here, give those to us, you know, give them to Ben or any of us and we'll be willing to put those in there.

The issue was, they didn't want to be here approving an individual person to say, we like this one, we don't like that one. But if they need a skill set that will join us, I don't think there was any problem with the approval process of that.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: And my concern is that I just don't want someone who's willing to serve to sit there for six months waiting for an approval or by that time they may be into something else.

MS. MYRICK: No, that was not their intent. CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Okay.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Does anybody else have any questions?

The next item is recessing the business meeting and then to convene the public hearing.

So is there anybody from the public that had any questions, comments or concerns about the bond?

Going once?

Hearing that there's nobody from the public that wants to make any commentary, that would conclude the public hearing.

Is there any discussion, which is really the last item on the agenda, other than adjournment?

Nothing?
I think that the only other thing that we need to do then is to potentially schedule our next meeting; or did we already do that?

MR. LEONG: I think that we already have the date but we just want to make sure that we confirm the meeting date is still good for the Committee members.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Can you remind us what the date was?

MR. SHIM: May 23rd. So May 23rd is the next.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Yeah, May 23rd. 5:00.
Does anybody have a problem with that?
CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I can't do May 23rd. I can't do that week at all.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. Then if May 23rd doesn't work then we should probably pick another date now.

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: Just that week I'm booked
solid.
MR. RABINOWITZ: Is there any earlier or later date that we should consider based upon when the School Board is having a workshop or a meeting?

MS. LEWERS: And that resolution you said is coming April 5th? Did you say April 5th?

MS. MYRICK: That's our goal is to have it by April 5th.

MS. LEWERS: Okay.
MR. RABINOWITZ: So before we pick another date can someone let us know? Because it's obviously easier for me or someone that may go to the meeting in my steed before -- before another workshop to do it closer in time.

MR. SHIM: Okay. Just going forward from the closing -- going forward from the closing of the quarter, the end of the quarter is March. And then we need about six weeks for the process. So that would take us to --

MR. RABINOWITZ: April 15th at the earliest.
MR. SHIM: No, to May -- May 16th at the earliest. So any time after May 16th.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay.
MR. LEONG: How about May 30th? Anyone have
a problem with that?
MR. RABINOWITZ: I have a Board of Governors meeting starting on the 18th. That's probably why we picked the 23rd.

And that week isn't good for you, right; Chief?

MS. LEWERS: I thought that we all agreed that the 23 rd worked.

MR. RABINOWITZ: He could have had something come up.

MS. LEWERS: I thought we agreed that the 23rd. We didn't agree to that date?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I just can't guarantee I'm going to be here. We have a large conference for every hospital district, nurse, doctor and paramedic in the county, statewide, and that starts on Monday. So I may not be here.

MR. LEONG: You can call in if you can't, also. We can have the facility you can call in.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Does that change anything for you?

CHIEF DIPETRILLO: I'm sorry?
MR. RABINOWITZ: He's saying that you can call in versus, physically, being here. Does that change anything?
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CHIEF DIPETRILLO: It might. I don't know that I'll be able to attend the whole meeting, but I might be able to call in.

I'll be okay with that if you want to do the $23 r d$.

MR. RABINOWITZ: Okay. Is everybody else still okay with the date?

Okay. We'll leave it for that date at this point in time.

I don't think there's anything else at this time.

I think I just need a motion to adjourn the meeting, technically.

MS. LEWERS: Okay.
MR. RABINOWITZ: So made. Second to adjourn?
MR. MONACO: Second.
MR. RABINOWITZ: All those in favor say aye. COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. RABINOWITZ: All right. We're out of here.
(Meeting was concluded at 7:48 p.m.)
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| viewing 13:10 | 51:5 74:10 75:6,18 | 80:9 | 1,000 37:12 | 2015 14:5 15:15 50:5 |
| vote 83:11 85:20 | 76:8 82:1 83:23 | workshop 60:9 75:19 | 1,100 36:3 | 51:14 |
| voted 35:15 83:2,7 | 85:3 87:14 92:19 | 75:20 76:3,7,9,12 | 1,296 25:15 | 2016 1:5 54:19 93:10 |
| voters 32:8 | we've 3:21 5:17 | 82:11,13,16,24 | $\mathbf{1 , 3 4 7} 25: 20$ | 2017 51:16 52:3,10 |
|  | 23:18 26:25,25 | 83:18 84:6 87:9 | 1,417 25:14 | 206,000 37:11 |
| W | 28:20 29:24 34:17 | 90:4,15 | 1,477 25:12 | 207,000 37:17 |
| wait 67:23 | 34:17 45:4 47:2,9 | world 6:9 | 1,706 25:11 | 218 72:8 |
| waiting 38:24 88:14 | 59:1,6 75:25 76:25 | worry 42:14 | $1.352: 9$ | 222 25:23 |
| walk 44:12 46:11 | 80:21 85:1 | worst 15:17 16:4 | 1.4-to-1 21:17 | 229 50:25 |
| 47:19 | website 21:13 53:22 | worth 49:2 | $1.532: 339: 9,14$ | 23rd 89:16,16,18,20 |
| Walker 51:15 | 53:24 54:13 55:16 | wouldn't 4:25 | $1032: 333: 8,1339: 12$ $66: 875: 678: 1$ | 89:22 91:4,8,12 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { want } 4: 95: 1014: 4 \\ 14 \cdot 242515 \cdot 7 \end{gathered}$ | 55:17 | WRIGHT 1:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 875: 678: 1 \\ & \mathbf{1 0 0} 4: 1677: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 92:5 |
| $14: 24,2515: 7$ $17 \cdot 2021 \cdot 5 \quad 27 \cdot 19$ | week 3:22 19:10 60:9 | write 10:21 | $1004: 1677: 9$ $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0} 32 \cdot 1937 \cdot 3$ | 24.8 50:21 |
| $17: 2021: 5 ~ 27: 19$ $31 \cdot 334 \cdot 2337.4$ | 78:25 79:1,25 81:6 | writing 84:1 | 100,000 32:19 37:3 $\mathbf{1 0 4} 29 \cdot 3$ | 243,000 68:10 |
| $31: 3 ~ 34: 23 ~ 37: 4$ $44: 1246: 8,10$ | 81:20 89:21,25 | wrong 60:11 | $10429: 3$ 109.2 52.13,18 | 25 1:5 49:1 |
| $44: 12$ 46:8,10 $49 \cdot 1950 \cdot 1353 \cdot 12$ | 91:5 |  | $109.252: 13,18$ 10th 59.9 | 25th 18:13 |
| 49:19 50:13 53:12 | weekend 80:7 | X | 10 | 260 37:21 |
| 53:21 55:13,15 | weekly 21:14 |  | $11048: 22$ | 27 57:14 |
| 65:17 75:21 76:13 | weeks 15:6 19:9 | Y | 12/31 35:23 36:2,24 | 270,000 37:21 |
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